

EPA Identification Number FRS# 110005310733	NPDES Permit Number WA00045144	Facility Name Liberty Lake Water Reclamation
--	-----------------------------------	---

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

Form 2A NPDES		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Application for NPDES Permit to Discharge Wastewater NEW AND EXISTING PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS
---------------------	---	--

SECTION 1. BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION FOR ALL APPLICANTS (40 CFR 122.21(j)(1) and (9))

Facility Information	1.1	Facility name Liberty Lake Water Reclamation Facility		
		Mailing address (street or P.O. box) 22510 E. Mission Avenue		
		City or town Liberty Lake	State WA	ZIP code 99019
		Contact name (first and last) Dan Grogg	Title Chief Operator	Phone number (509) 370-1453
		Email address dangrogg@libertylake.org		
		Location address (street, route number, or other specific identifier) <input type="checkbox"/> Same as mailing address N. 2218 Harvard Road		
		City or town Liberty Lake	State WA	ZIP code 99019
	1.2	Is this application for a facility that has yet to commence discharge? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes → See instructions on data submission requirements for new dischargers. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Applicant Information	1.3	Is applicant different from entity listed under Item 1.1 above? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 1.4.		
		Applicant name Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District #1		
		Applicant address (street or P.O. box) 22510 E. Mission Avenue		
		City or town Liberty Lake	State WA	ZIP code 99019
		Contact name (first and last) Bilay Adams	Title General Manager	Phone number (509) 922-5443
		Email address bijay@libertylake.org		
	1.4	Is the applicant the facility's owner, operator, or both? (Check only one response.) <input type="checkbox"/> Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both		
	1.5	To which entity should the NPDES permitting authority send correspondence? (Check only one response.) <input type="checkbox"/> Facility <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility and applicant (they are one and the same)		
Existing Environmental Permits	1.6	Indicate below any existing environmental permits. (Check all that apply and print or type the corresponding permit number for each.)		
		Existing Environmental Permits		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NPDES (discharges to surface water) WA-0045144	<input type="checkbox"/> RCRA (hazardous waste) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> UIC (underground injection control) _____
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSD (air emissions) Registered with SRCAA	<input type="checkbox"/> Nonattainment program (CAA) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> NESHAPs (CAA) _____
	<input type="checkbox"/> Ocean dumping (MPRSA) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Dredge or fill (CWA Section 404) _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) BA-0045144 Biosolids	

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

Collection System and Population Served	1.7	Provide the collection system information requested below for the treatment works.				
		Municipality Served	Population Served	Collection System Type (indicate percentage)		Ownership Status
		City of Liberty Lake	10500	<u>100</u> % separate sanitary sewer ____ % combined storm and sanitary sewer <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain
		Outside the City Spokane County	1100	<u>100</u> % separate sanitary sewer ____ % combined storm and sanitary sewer <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain
				____ % separate sanitary sewer ____ % combined storm and sanitary sewer <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own	<input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain
				____ % separate sanitary sewer ____ % combined storm and sanitary sewer <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own <input type="checkbox"/> Own	<input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain
		Total Population Served	Roughly 11600			
		Total percentage of each type of sewer line (in miles)		Separate Sanitary Sewer System	Combined Storm and Sanitary Sewer	
			100 %	0 %		
Indian Country	1.8	Is the treatment works located in Indian Country? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No				
	1.9	Does the facility discharge to a receiving water that flows through Indian Country? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				
Design and Actual Flow Rates	1.10	Provide design <i>and</i> actual flow rates in the designated spaces.			Design Flow Rate	
					2.0 mgd	
		Annual Average Flow Rates (Actual)				
		Two Years Ago		Last Year		This Year
		.761 mgd		.790 mgd		.793 mgd
		Maximum Daily Flow Rates (Actual)				
	Two Years Ago		Last Year		This Year	
	.924 mgd		.924 mgd		.897 mgd	
Discharge Points by Type	1.11	Provide the total number of effluent discharge points to waters of the United States by type.				
		Total Number of Effluent Discharge Points by Type				
		Treated Effluent	Untreated Effluent	Combined Sewer Overflows	Bypasses	Constructed Emergency Overflows
	1	0	0	0	0	

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

Outfalls and Other Discharge or Disposal Methods

Outfalls Other Than to Waters of the United States

1.12 Does the POTW discharge wastewater to basins, ponds, or other surface impoundments that do not have outlets for discharge to waters of the United States?
 Yes No → SKIP to Item 1.14.

1.13 Provide the location of each surface impoundment and associated discharge information in the table below.

Surface Impoundment Location and Discharge Data

Location	Average Daily Volume Discharged to Surface Impoundment	Continuous or Intermittent (check one)
	gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
	gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
	gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent

1.14 Is wastewater applied to land?
 Yes No → SKIP to Item 1.16.

1.15 Provide the land application site and discharge data requested below.

Land Application Site and Discharge Data

Location	Size	Average Daily Volume Applied	Continuous or Intermittent (check one)
Water Reclamation Facility	<4.0 acres	40000 gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
	acres	gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
	acres	gpd	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent

1.16 Is effluent transported to another facility for treatment prior to discharge?
 Yes No → SKIP to Item 1.21.

1.17 Describe the means by which the effluent is transported (e.g., tank truck, pipe).

1.18 Is the effluent transported by a party other than the applicant?
 Yes No → SKIP to Item 1.20.

1.19 Provide information on the transporter below.

Transporter Data

Entity name		Mailing address (street or P.O. box)	
City or town		State	ZIP code
Contact name (first and last)		Title	
Phone number		Email address	

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

Outfalls and Other Discharge or Disposal Methods Continued

1.20	In the table below, indicate the name, address, contact information, NPDES number, and average daily flow rate of the receiving facility.			
	Receiving Facility Data			
	Facility name		Mailing address (street or P.O. box)	
	City or town		State	ZIP code
	Contact name (first and last)		Title	
	Phone number		Email address	
	NPDES number of receiving facility (if any) <input type="checkbox"/> None		Average daily flow rate mgd	
1.21	Is the wastewater disposed of in a manner other than those already mentioned in Items 1.14 through 1.21 that do not have outlets to waters of the United States (e.g., underground percolation, underground injection)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 1.23.			
1.22	Provide information in the table below on these other disposal methods.			
	Information on Other Disposal Methods			
	Disposal Method Description	Location of Disposal Site	Size of Disposal Site	Annual Average Daily Discharge Volume
			acres	gpd
			acres	gpd
		acres	gpd	
			Continuous or Intermittent (check one) <input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent	
			<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent	
			<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent	

Variance Requests

1.23	Do you intend to request or renew one or more of the variances authorized at 40 CFR 122.21(n)? (Check all that apply. Consult with your NPDES permitting authority to determine what information needs to be submitted and when.) <input type="checkbox"/> Discharges into marine waters (CWA Section 301(h)) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water quality related effluent limitation (CWA Section 302(b)(2)) <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable			
------	---	--	--	--

Contractor Information

1.24	Are any operational or maintenance aspects (related to wastewater treatment and effluent quality) of the treatment works the responsibility of a contractor? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 2.			
1.25	Provide location and contact information for each contractor in addition to a description of the contractor's operational and maintenance responsibilities.			
	Contractor Information			
		Contractor 1	Contractor 2	Contractor 3
	Contractor name (company name)			
	Mailing address (street or P.O. box)			
	City, state, and ZIP code			
	Contact name (first and last)			
	Phone number			
	Email address			
Operational and maintenance responsibilities of contractor				

SECTION 2. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (40 CFR 122.21(j)(1) and (2))

Design Flow	Outfalls to Waters of the United States						
	2.1	Does the treatment works have a design flow greater than or equal to 0.1 mgd? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 3.					
Inflow and Infiltration	2.2	Provide the treatment works' current average daily volume of inflow and infiltration.	Average Daily Volume of Inflow and Infiltration 1000 gpd				
	Indicate the steps the facility is taking to minimize inflow and infiltration. Estimate, known source remaining, drying beds at WRF only during rain and runoff. We do have some areas where ground water infiltrates to the sanitary sewer but flow is minimal as confirmed with video inspection. Majority of the system is relatively new and the most of the I&I is from storm related inflows (manholes covers).						
Topographic Map	2.3	Have you attached a topographic map to this application that contains all the required information? (See instructions for specific requirements.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No					
Flow Diagram	2.4	Have you attached a process flow diagram or schematic to this application that contains all the required information? (See instructions for specific requirements.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No					
Scheduled Improvements and Schedules of Implementation	2.5	Are improvements to the facility scheduled? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 3.					
	Briefly list and describe the scheduled improvements.						
	1.						
	2.						
	3.						
	4.						
	2.6	Provide scheduled or actual dates of completion for improvements.					
	Scheduled or Actual Dates of Completion for Improvements						
		Scheduled Improvement (from above)	Affected Outfalls (list outfall number)	Begin Construction (MM/DD/YYYY)	End Construction (MM/DD/YYYY)	Begin Discharge (MM/DD/YYYY)	Attainment of Operational Level (MM/DD/YYYY)
		1.					
	2.						
	3.						
	4.						
2.7	Have appropriate permits/clearances concerning other federal/state requirements been obtained? Briefly explain your response. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> None required or applicable						
Explanation:							

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

SECTION 3. INFORMATION ON EFFLUENT DISCHARGES (40 CFR 122.21(i)(3) to (5))

Description of Outfalls	3.1	Provide the following information for each outfall. (Attach additional sheets if you have more than three outfalls.)		
		Outfall Number <u> 1 </u>	Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____
	State	Washington		
	County	Spokane		
	City or town	Liberty Lake		
	Distance from shore	30 ft.	ft.	ft.
	Depth below surface	1-4 ft.	ft.	ft.
	Average daily flow rate	.793 mgd	mgd	mgd
	Latitude	47° 40' 41.0" N	° ' "	° ' "
	Longitude	117° 6' 59.0" E	° ' "	° ' "
Seasonal or Periodic Discharge Data	3.2	Do any of the outfalls described under Item 3.1 have seasonal or periodic discharges? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.4.		
	3.3	If so, provide the following information for each applicable outfall.		
		Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____
	Number of times per year discharge occurs			
	Average duration of each discharge (specify units)			
	Average flow of each discharge	mgd	mgd	mgd
Months in which discharge occurs				
Diffuser Type	3.4	Are any of the outfalls listed under Item 3.1 equipped with a diffuser? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.6.		
	3.5	Briefly describe the diffuser type at each applicable outfall.		
		Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____
Waters of the U.S.	3.6	Does the treatment works discharge or plan to discharge wastewater to waters of the United States from one or more discharge points? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 6.		

Treatment Description Continued

3.9	Describe the type of disinfection used for the effluent from each outfall in the table below. If disinfection varies by season, describe below. Low pressure low intensity system consisting of 5 - 40 bulb modules. As facility flow is equalized, lamps are not operated in flow pace mode. Currently only 1 module is required for outstanding fecal coliform reduction (typically <1.0 cfu/100 ml.).		
	Outfall Number 1 _____	Outfall Number _____	Outfall Number _____
	Disinfection type	Ultra Violet	
	Seasons used	Continuous	
	Dechlorination used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Effluent Testing Data

3.10	Have you completed monitoring for all Table A parameters and attached the results to the application package? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No						
3.11	Have you conducted any WET tests during the 4.5 years prior to the date of the application on any of the facility's discharges or on any receiving water near the discharge points? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.13.						
3.12	Indicate the number of acute and chronic WET tests conducted since the last permit reissuance of the facility's discharges by outfall number or of the receiving water near the discharge points.						
		Outfall Number 1 _____		Outfall Number _____		Outfall Number _____	
		Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic
	Number of tests of discharge water	1	1				
	Number of tests of receiving water						
3.13	Does the treatment works have a design flow greater than or equal to 0.1 mgd? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.16.						
3.14	Does the POTW use chlorine for disinfection, use chlorine elsewhere in the treatment process, or otherwise have reasonable potential to discharge chlorine in its effluent? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes → Complete Table B, including chlorine. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → Complete Table B, omitting chlorine.						
3.15	Have you completed monitoring for all applicable Table B pollutants and attached the results to this application package? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No						
3.16	Does one or more of the following conditions apply? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The facility has a design flow greater than or equal to 1 mgd. • The POTW has an approved pretreatment program or is required to develop such a program. • The NPDES permitting authority has informed the POTW that it must sample for the parameters in Table C, must sample other additional parameters (Table D), or submit the results of WET tests for acute or chronic toxicity for each of its discharge outfalls (Table E). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes → Complete Tables C, D, and E as applicable. <input type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 4.						
3.17	Have you completed monitoring for all applicable Table C pollutants and attached the results to this application package? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No						
3.18	Have you completed monitoring for all applicable Table D pollutants required by your NPDES permitting authority and attached the results to this application package? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No additional sampling required by NPDES permitting authority.						

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

Effluent Testing Data Continued

3.19	Has the POTW conducted either (1) minimum of four quarterly WET tests for one year preceding this permit application or (2) at least four annual WET tests in the past 4.5 years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → Complete tests and Table E and SKIP to Item 3.26.				
3.20	Have you previously submitted the results of the above tests to your NPDES permitting authority? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No → Provide results in Table E and SKIP to Item 3.26.				
3.21	Indicate the dates the data were submitted to your NPDES permitting authority and provide a summary of the results.				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date(s) Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY)</th> <th>Summary of Results</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>04/07/2021</td> <td>Results included with this application. Earlier results in 2012 (April, July, Oct.) and 2014 (April, July, Oct) reported to DOE.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date(s) Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY)	Summary of Results	04/07/2021	Results included with this application. Earlier results in 2012 (April, July, Oct.) and 2014 (April, July, Oct) reported to DOE.
Date(s) Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY)	Summary of Results				
04/07/2021	Results included with this application. Earlier results in 2012 (April, July, Oct.) and 2014 (April, July, Oct) reported to DOE.				
3.22	Regardless of how you provided your WET testing data to the NPDES permitting authority, did any of the tests result in toxicity? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.26.				
3.23	Describe the cause(s) of the toxicity:				
3.24	Has the treatment works conducted a toxicity reduction evaluation? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 3.26.				
3.25	Provide details of any toxicity reduction evaluations conducted.				
3.26	Have you completed Table E for all applicable outfalls and attached the results to the application package? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable because previously submitted information to the NPDES permitting authority.				

SECTION 4. INDUSTRIAL DISCHARGES AND HAZARDOUS WASTES (40 CFR 122.21(j)(6) and (7))

Industrial Discharges and Hazardous Wastes

4.1	Does the POTW receive discharges from SIUs or NSCIUs? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 4.7.				
4.2	Indicate the number of SIUs and NSCIUs that discharge to the POTW.				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number of SIUs</th> <th>Number of NSCIUs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number of SIUs	Number of NSCIUs	0	
Number of SIUs	Number of NSCIUs				
0					
4.3	Does the POTW have an approved pretreatment program? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				
4.4	Have you submitted either of the following to the NPDES permitting authority that contains information substantially identical to that required in Table F: (1) a pretreatment program annual report submitted within one year of the application or (2) a pretreatment program? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 4.6.				
4.5	Identify the title and date of the annual report or pretreatment program referenced in Item 4.4. SKIP to Item 4.7.				
4.6	Have you completed and attached Table F to this application package? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				

Industrial Discharges and Hazardous Wastes Continued

4.7	Does the POTW receive, or has it been notified that it will receive, by truck, rail, or dedicated pipe, any wastes that are regulated as RCRA hazardous wastes pursuant to 40 CFR 261?			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Item 4.9.	
4.8	If yes, provide the following information:			
	Hazardous Waste Number	Waste Transport Method (check all that apply)		Annual Amount of Waste Received
		<input type="checkbox"/> Truck	<input type="checkbox"/> Rail	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated pipe	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Truck	<input type="checkbox"/> Rail	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated pipe	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Truck	<input type="checkbox"/> Rail	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated pipe	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____	
4.9	Does the POTW receive, or has it been notified that it will receive, wastewaters that originate from remedial activities, including those undertaken pursuant to CERCLA and Sections 3004(7) or 3008(h) of RCRA?			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 5.	
4.10	Does the POTW receive (or expect to receive) less than 15 kilograms per month of non-acute hazardous wastes as specified in 40 CFR 261.30(d) and 261.33(e)?			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes → SKIP to Section 5.		<input type="checkbox"/> No	
4.11	Have you reported the following information in an attachment to this application: identification and description of the site(s) or facility(ies) at which the wastewater originates; the identities of the wastewater's hazardous constituents; and the extent of treatment, if any, the wastewater receives or will receive before entering the POTW?			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

SECTION 5. COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS (40 CFR 122.21(j)(8))

CSO Map and Diagram

5.1	Does the treatment works have a combined sewer system?			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No → SKIP to Section 6.	
5.2	Have you attached a CSO system map to this application? (See instructions for map requirements.)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	
5.3	Have you attached a CSO system diagram to this application? (See instructions for diagram requirements.)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

EPA Identification Number
FRS# 110005310733

NPDES Permit Number
WA0045144

Facility Name
Liberty Lake Water Reclamation

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

CSO Outfall Description	5.4	For each CSO outfall, provide the following information. (Attach additional sheets as necessary.)		
		CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____
	City or town			
	State and ZIP code			
	County			
	Latitude	° ' "	° ' "	° ' "
	Longitude	° ' "	° ' "	° ' "
	Distance from shore	ft.	ft.	ft.
Depth below surface	ft.	ft.	ft.	
CSO Monitoring	5.5	Did the POTW monitor any of the following items in the past year for its CSO outfalls?		
		CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____
	Rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	CSO flow volume	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	CSO pollutant concentrations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Receiving water quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	CSO frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Number of storm events	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
CSO Events in Past Year	5.6	Provide the following information for each of your CSO outfalls.		
		CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____
	Number of CSO events in the past year	events	events	events
	Average duration per event	hours <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	hours <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	hours <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated
	Average volume per event	million gallons <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	million gallons <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	million gallons <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated
Minimum rainfall causing a CSO event in last year	inches of rainfall <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	inches of rainfall <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	inches of rainfall <input type="checkbox"/> Actual or <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated	

CSO Receiving Waters	5.7	Provide the information in the table below for each of your CSO outfalls.			
		CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____	CSO Outfall Number _____	
		Receiving water name			
		Name of watershed/ stream system			
		U.S. Soil Conservation Service 14-digit watershed code (if known)	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
		Name of state management/river basin			
		U.S. Geological Survey 8-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (if known)	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
		Description of known water quality impacts on receiving stream by CSO (see instructions for examples)			

SECTION 6. CHECKLIST AND CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (40 CFR 122.22(a) and (d))

Checklist and Certification Statement	6.1	In Column 1 below, mark the sections of Form 2A that you have completed and are submitting with your application. For each section, specify in Column 2 any attachments that you are enclosing to alert the permitting authority. Note that not all applicants are required to provide attachments.	
		Column 1	Column 2
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 1: Basic Application Information for All Applicants	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ variance request(s) <input type="checkbox"/> w/ additional attachments
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 2: Additional Information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ topographic map <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ additional attachments
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 3: Information on Effluent Discharges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ Table A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ Table D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ Table B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ Table E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ Table C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> w/ additional attachments
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 4: Industrial Discharges and Hazardous Wastes	<input type="checkbox"/> w/ SIU and NSCIU attachments <input type="checkbox"/> w/ Table F <input type="checkbox"/> w/ additional attachments
		<input type="checkbox"/> Section 5: Combined Sewer Overflows	<input type="checkbox"/> w/ CSO map <input type="checkbox"/> w/ additional attachments <input type="checkbox"/> w/ CSO system diagram
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 6: Checklist and Certification Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> w/ attachments
	6.2	Certification Statement	
		<i>I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.</i>	
	Name (print or type first and last name) BiJay Adams	Official title General Manger	
	Signature 	Date signed 04/12/2021	

EPA Identification Number FRS# 110005310733	NPDES Permit Number WA0045144	Facility Name Liberty Lake Water Reclamation	Outfall Number 1
--	----------------------------------	---	---------------------

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

TABLE A. EFFLUENT PARAMETERS FOR ALL POTWS

Pollutant	Maximum Daily Discharge		Average Daily Discharge			Analytical Method ¹	ML or MDL (include units)
	Value	Units	Value	Units	Number of Samples		
Biochemical oxygen demand <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BOD ₅ or <input type="checkbox"/> CBOD ₅ (report one)	12.1	mg/L	2.6	mg/L	1/7	SM 5210 B-2011	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Fecal coliform	161	CFU		CFU	2/7	SM 92220D mfc	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Design flow rate	1.002	MGD	.799	MGD	Cont.		
pH (minimum)	5.58	Standard Units					
pH (maximum)	9.31	Standard Units					
Temperature (winter)	20.5	degrees C	13.3		5.7		
Temperature (summer)	24.1	degrees C	19.7		5/7		
Total suspended solids (TSS)	10.0	mg/L	1.2	mg/L	5/7	SM 2540-D-2011	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL

¹ Sampling shall be conducted according to sufficiently sensitive test procedures (i.e., methods) approved under 40 CFR 136 for the analysis of pollutants or pollutant parameters or required under 40 CFR chapter I, subchapter N or O. See instructions and 40 CFR 122.21(e)(3).

EPA Identification Number FRS# 110005310733	NPDES Permit Number WA0045144	Facility Name Liberty Lake Water Reclamation	Outfall Number
--	----------------------------------	---	----------------

Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

TABLE B. EFFLUENT PARAMETERS FOR ALL POTWS WITH A FLOW EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 0.1 MGD

Pollutant	Maximum Daily Discharge		Average Daily Discharge			Analytical Method ¹	ML or MDL (include units)
	Value	Units	Value	Units	Number of Samples		
Ammonia (as N)	.553	mg/L	.040	mg/L	2/7	EPA 350.1-2 1993	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Chlorine (total residual, TRC) ²							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Dissolved oxygen	8.1	mg/L	6.1	mg/L	5/7	Hach 10360 Rev 1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Nitrate/nitrite	Nitrate N 8.67	mg/L	Nitrate N 5.68	mg/L	1/14	Hach 10206	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Kjeldahl nitrogen	<0.5	mg/L	<0.5	mg/L	one	SM4500-norgC	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Oil and grease	11.2	mg/L	11.2	mg/L	one	EPA1664B	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Phosphorus	0.21	mg/L	.010	mg/L	1/7	EPA 365.1-2 1993	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total dissolved solids	290	mg/L	290	mg/L	one	SM2540C	<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL

¹ Sampling shall be conducted according to sufficiently sensitive test procedures (i.e., methods) approved under 40 CFR 136 for the analysis of pollutants or pollutant parameters or required under 40 CFR chapter I, subchapter N or O. See instructions and 40 CFR 122.21(e)(3).

² Facilities that do not use chlorine for disinfection, do not use chlorine elsewhere in the treatment process, and have no reasonable potential to discharge chlorine in their effluent are not required to report data for chlorine.


EPA Identification Number FRS# 110005310733	NPDES Permit Number WA0045144	Facility Name Liberty Lake Water Reclamation	Outfall Number 1
--	----------------------------------	---	---------------------


Form Approved 03/05/19
OMB No. 2040-0004

TABLE D. ADDITIONAL POLLUTANTS AS REQUIRED BY NPDES PERMITTING AUTHORITY

Pollutant (list)	Maximum Daily Discharge		Average Daily Discharge			Analytical Method ¹	ML or MDL (include units)
	Value	Units	Value	Units	Number of Samples		
<input type="checkbox"/> No additional sampling is required by NPDES permitting authority.							
Total Alkalinity	131	mg/L	98	mg/L	1 monthly	SM 2320 B	5.0 mg/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
PCB Influent	9130	pg/L	3620	pg/L	6 /year	EPA 1668C	0.1 pg/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
PCB Effluent	977	pg/L	274	pg/L	4/year	EPA 1668C	0.1 pg/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Cadium	.713	ug/L	.098	ug/L	12/year	EPA 200.8	1.0 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Lead	2.82	ug/L	1.10	ug/L	12/year	EPA 200.8	1.0 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Zinc	87.3	ug/L	61.8	ug/L	12/year	EPA 200.8	1.0 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Arsenic	2.82	ug/L	1.10	ug/L	4/year	EPA 200.8	1.0 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Copper	16.8	ug/L	3.55	ug/L	4/year	EPA 200.8	1.0 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Low Level Mercury	.0028	ug/L	.0011	ug/L	4/year	EPA 1631 E	.005 ug/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
Total Hardness	142	mg/L	118.5	mg/L	4/year	SM 2340 C	6.0 mg/L <input type="checkbox"/> ML <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL
							<input type="checkbox"/> ML <input type="checkbox"/> MDL

¹ Sampling shall be conducted according to sufficiently sensitive test procedures (i.e., methods) approved under 40 CFR 136 for the analysis of pollutants or pollutant parameters or required under 40 CFR chapter I, subchapter N or O. See instructions and 40 CFR 122.21(e)(3).





Liberty Lake Water Reclamation Facility

Water Balance

- 1 Headworks area - Influent Composite sampler, fine screens (2) grit channels (2) and influent flow measurement (ultrasonic). Flow ranges .700 - .900 MGD
- 2 Equalization Basins - Influent flow and all drains (press bldg., drying beds, main drainage well). Flow ranges (400 – 700 gpm)
- 3 Selector Basins and Aeration Basins - Flow from EQ basin plus RAS. Flow ranges (EQ 0 – 1.0 MGD) (RAS 200 – 1600 gpm). Influent anoxic selectors Mixed Liquor Recycle added (0 – 4000 gpm)
- 4 Secondary Clarifiers - Receive all flows from aerations basins. Removed (RAS 200- 1600) (WAS 0 - .5 MGD)
- 5 Sludge Storage Tanks – WAS from secondary clarifiers. (0 - .5MGD)
- 6 Belt Filter Press Building – Sludge from SST's (0 – 250 gpm)
- 6 Membrane Filtration Building – All flows from secondary clarifiers (.600 – 2.0 MGD). Recovery rate, currently at 92% of MFB influent
- 7 Ultra Violet Disinfection – Permeate from MFB less WRF utility water (0 - .25MGD)

*Flows indicated are indicative of current operating ranges, not total designed flow ranges.

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG


Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Antimony	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Arsenic	0.00122	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Beryllium	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Cadmium	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Chromium	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Copper	0.00339	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Cyanide	<0.01	mg/L	0.01	2/1/2016 2:55:00 PM	RAW	SM4500CNE	
Lead	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Mercury-CVAFS	ND	ug/L	0.01	2/1/2016	ETL	EPA 245.7	
Nickel	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Phenolics	ND	mg/L	0.05	2/8/2016	MER	EPA 420.1	
Selenium	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Silver	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Thallium	ND	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	
Zinc	0.0600	mg/L	0.001	2/2/2016 5:57:00 PM	KEB	EPA 200.8	

Authorized Signature


Kathy Sattler, Lab Manager

MCL EPA's Maximum Contaminant Level
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.
Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number 160122018-001 **Sampling Date** 1/22/2016 **Date/Time Received** 1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID #2 EFFLUENT COMP **Sampling Time** 6:30 AM **Extraction Date**
Matrix Water **Sample Location**
Comments

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane(DBCP)	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.99	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-hexanone	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acetone	11800	ug/L	250	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	W
Acetonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acrolein	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acrylonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Benzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number 160122018-001 **Sampling Date** 1/22/2016 **Date/Time Received** 1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID #2 EFFLUENT COMP **Sampling Time** 6:30 AM **Extraction Date**
Matrix Water **Sample Location**
Comments

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromoform	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Carbon disulfide	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloroform	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dibromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Diethyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Ethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Iodomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
m+p-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methylene chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
o-Xylene	0.65	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
p-isopropyltoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Styrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Toluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Total Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
trans-1-4-Dichloro-2-butene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Vinyl acetate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016 5:11:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Surrogate Data

Sample Number	160122018-001			
Surrogate Standard		Method	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4		EPA 624	101.4	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene		EPA 624	112.0	70-130
Toluene-d8		EPA 624	110.2	70-130

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-002	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	TRIP BLANK	Sampling Time		Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments	Both trip blanks submitted to the lab were analyzed to confirm the presence of acetone.				

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,1-dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane(DBCP)	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-hexanone	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acetone	16.8	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acetonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acrolein	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Acrylonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Benzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-002	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	TRIP BLANK	Sampling Time		Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments	Both trip blanks submitted to the lab were analyzed to confirm the presence of acetone.				

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Bromoform	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Bromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Carbon disulfide	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloroform	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Chloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dibromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Diethyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Ethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Iodomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
m+p-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Methylene chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
o-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
p-isopropyltoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Styrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Toluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Total Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-002	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	TRIP BLANK	Sampling Time		Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments	Both trip blanks submitted to the lab were analyzed to confirm the presence of acetone.				

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
trans-1-4-Dichloro-2-butene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Vinyl acetate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016 6:03:00 PM	WOZ	EPA 624	

Surrogate Data

Sample Number	160122018-002		
Surrogate Standard	Method	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	EPA 624	102.6	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	EPA 624	108.2	70-130
Toluene-d8	EPA 624	113.8	70-130


Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client:	LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.	Batch #:	160122018
Address:	PO BOX 184 LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109	Project Name:	PRIORITY POLLUTANT SCAN 2016
Attn:	DAN GROGG		

Analytical Results Report

Authorized Signature



Kathy Sattler, Lab Manager

MCL EPA's Maximum Contaminant Level
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit
W Analyte was detected in both the sample and the associated trip blank

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.
Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	1/28/2016
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
1,2-Diphenyl hydrazine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Chlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
2-Nitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
3-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Chloroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
4-Nitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Acenaphthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Acenaphthylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Aniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	1/28/2016
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzidine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzo(ghi)perylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Benzyl alcohol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	337	ug/L	10	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Butylbenzylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Carbazole	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Chrysene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Dibenzofuran	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Diethylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Dimethylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Di-n-butylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Di-n-octylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Fluorene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Hexachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Isophorone	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Nitrosodimethylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Pentachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report


Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	1/28/2016
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Phenanthrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Phenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	
Pyridine	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	HSW	EPA 625	

Surrogate Data

Sample Number	160122018-001			
Surrogate Standard	Method	Percent Recovery	Control Limits	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	EPA 625	87.6	53-122	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	EPA 625	84.4	12-116	
2-Fluorophenol	EPA 625	78.8	10-139	
Nitrobenzene-d5	EPA 625	83.6	54-118	
Phenol-d5	EPA 625	79.6	28-154	
Terphenyl-d14	EPA 625	38.2	20-137	

Authorized Signature



Kathy Sattler, Lab Manager

MCL EPA's Maximum Contaminant Level
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.
Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST. **Batch #:** 160122018
Address: PO BOX 184 **Project Name:** PRIORITY POLLUTANT
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109 SCAN 2016
Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	1/29/2016
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
Aroclor 1016 (PCB-1016)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1221 (PCB-1221)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1232 (PCB-1232)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1242 (PCB-1242)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1248 (PCB-1248)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1254 (PCB-1254)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
Aroclor 1260 (PCB-1260)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	
PCB (total)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	MAH	EPA 8082	

Surrogate Data

Sample Number	160122018-001			
Surrogate Standard		Method	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
DCB		EPA 8082	82.8	30-130

Authorized Signature



Kathy Sattler, Lab Manager

MCL EPA's Maximum Contaminant Level
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.
Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG


Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report

Sample Number	160122018-001	Sampling Date	1/22/2016	Date/Time Received	1/22/2016 2:10 PM
Client Sample ID	#2 EFFLUENT COMP	Sampling Time	6:30 AM	Extraction Date	
Matrix	Water	Sample Location			
Comments					

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method	Qualifier
2,3,7,8-TCDD	ND	pg/L	5	2/11/2016 9:46:00 AM	SUB	EPA 1613B	

Authorized Signature



Kathy Sattler, Lab Manager

MCL EPA's Maximum Contaminant Level
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.
Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Lab Control Sample

Parameter	LCS Result	Units	LCS Spike	%Rec	AR %Rec	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Chlorobenzene	4.98	ug/L	5	99.6	86-115	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3.87	ug/L	5	77.4	33-109	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Dieldrin	0.526	ug/L	0.5	105.2	71-114	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
delta-BHC	0.547	ug/L	0.5	109.4	48-123	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
beta-BHC	0.482	ug/L	0.5	96.4	68-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
alpha-BHC	0.482	ug/L	0.5	96.4	68-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aldrin	0.486	ug/L	0.5	97.2	27-121	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDT	0.501	ug/L	0.5	100.2	60-124	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDE	0.538	ug/L	0.5	107.6	69-116	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDD	0.539	ug/L	0.5	107.8	63-125	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
PCB (total)	10.9	ug/L	10	109.0	30-130	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Trichloroethene	5.09	ug/L	5	101.8	72-125	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Toluene	5.33	ug/L	5	106.6	76-123	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Endosulfan II	0.522	ug/L	0.5	104.4	70-117	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Ethylbenzene	5.31	ug/L	5	106.2	84-115	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Endosulfan sulfate	0.497	ug/L	0.5	99.4	60-124	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Benzene	5.18	ug/L	5	103.6	75-125	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1-Dichloroethene	4.58	ug/L	5	91.6	68-127	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Pyrene	4.66	ug/L	5	93.2	45-139	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Phenol	5.17	ug/L	5	103.4	45-134	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Pentachlorophenol	3.66	ug/L	5	73.2	22-138	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	4.68	ug/L	5	93.6	46-135	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	5.25	ug/L	5	105.0	43-148	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Acenaphthene	5.00	ug/L	5	100.0	36-131	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Nitrophenol	4.51	ug/L	5	90.2	19-137	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	4.72	ug/L	5	94.4	42-139	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Chlorophenol	4.58	ug/L	5	91.6	50-131	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	4.65	ug/L	5	93.0	49-145	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.64	ug/L	5	72.8	28-108	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Tetrachloroethene	5.59	ug/L	5	111.8	78-119	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Tetrachloroethene	5.50	ug/L	5	110.0	78-119	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Zinc	0.0506	mg/L	0.05	101.2	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Thallium	0.0541	mg/L	0.05	108.2	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Silver	0.0506	mg/L	0.05	101.2	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Selenium	0.0504	mg/L	0.05	100.8	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Lab Control Sample

Parameter	LCS Result	Units	LCS Spike	%Rec	AR %Rec	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Nickel	0.0503	mg/L	0.05	100.6	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Lead	0.0523	mg/L	0.05	104.6	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Copper	0.0467	mg/L	0.05	93.4	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Chromium	0.0534	mg/L	0.05	106.8	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Cadmium	0.0495	mg/L	0.05	99.0	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Beryllium	0.0519	mg/L	0.05	103.8	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Arsenic	0.0552	mg/L	0.05	110.4	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Antimony	0.0502	mg/L	0.05	100.4	85-115	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Endosulfan I	0.518	ug/L	0.5	103.6	67-117	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Toluene	5.99	ug/L	5	119.8	76-123	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Phenolics	0.371	mg/L	0.4	92.8	70-130	2/8/2016	2/8/2016
Ethylbenzene	5.00	ug/L	5	100.0	84-115	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Chlorobenzene	4.49	ug/L	5	89.8	86-115	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Benzene	5.80	ug/L	5	116.0	75-125	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.60	ug/L	5	112.0	68-127	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Mercury-CVAFS	0.00492	ug/L	0.005	98.4	76-113	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Cyanide	0.0977	mg/L	0.1	97.7	80-120	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Methoxychlor	0.543	ug/L	0.5	108.6	56-124	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Heptachlor epoxide	0.537	ug/L	0.5	107.4	72-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Heptachlor	0.484	ug/L	0.5	96.8	37-129	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.517	ug/L	0.5	103.4	75-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin ketone	0.499	ug/L	0.5	99.8	58-124	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin aldehyde	0.478	ug/L	0.5	95.6	60-121	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin	0.548	ug/L	0.5	109.6	73-121	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Trichloroethene	5.67	ug/L	5	113.4	72-125	2/1/2016	2/1/2016

Lab Control Sample Duplicate

Parameter	LCSD Result	Units	LCSD Spike	%Rec	%RPD	AR %RPD	Prep Date	Analysis Date
PCB (total)	10.6	ug/L	10	106.0	2.8	0-50	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Pyrene	4.75	ug/L	5	95.0	1.9	0-36	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Phenol	4.96	ug/L	5	99.2	4.1	0-35	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Pentachlorophenol	4.03	ug/L	5	80.6	9.6	0-47	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	4.38	ug/L	5	87.6	6.6	0-38	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	5.13	ug/L	5	102.6	2.3	0-50	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Acenaphthene	4.83	ug/L	5	96.6	3.5	0-23	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Nitrophenol	4.89	ug/L	5	97.8	8.1	0-50	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Lab Control Sample Duplicate

Parameter	LCSD Result	Units	LCSD Spike	%Rec	%RPD	AR %RPD	Prep Date	Analysis Date
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	4.98	ug/L	5	99.6	5.4	0-33	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Chlorophenol	4.30	ug/L	5	86.0	6.3	0-50	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	4.77	ug/L	5	95.4	2.5	0-47	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.32	ug/L	5	66.4	9.2	0-27	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3.61	ug/L	5	72.2	7.0	0-27	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Matrix Spike

Sample Number	Parameter	Sample Result	MS Result	Units	MS Spike	%Rec	AR %Rec	Prep Date	Analysis Date
160122018-001	4,4-DDD	ND	0.517	ug/L	0.5	103.4	66-118	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.505	ug/L	0.5	101.0	62-119	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Endosulfan II	ND	0.509	ug/L	0.5	101.8	68-116	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Endosulfan I	ND	0.532	ug/L	0.5	106.4	71-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Dieldrin	ND	0.512	ug/L	0.5	102.4	70-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	delta-BHC	ND	0.474	ug/L	0.5	94.8	51-124	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	beta-BHC	ND	0.479	ug/L	0.5	95.8	53-136	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	alpha-BHC	ND	0.496	ug/L	0.5	99.2	56-135	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Aldrin	ND	0.462	ug/L	0.5	92.4	50-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160119038-003A	Antimony	ND	0.0528	mg/L	0.05	105.6	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	4,4-DDE	ND	0.498	ug/L	0.5	99.6	62-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Endrin ketone	ND	0.461	ug/L	0.5	92.2	60-119	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160119038-003A	Nickel	0.00106	0.0444	mg/L	0.05	86.7	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160122011-001A	Mercury-CVAFS	ND	0.0487	ug/L	0.05	97.4	63-111	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
160119038-003A	Lead	ND	0.0486	mg/L	0.05	97.2	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	Cyanide	<0.01	0.103	mg/L	0.1	103.0	70-130	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
160119038-003A	Copper	0.00392	0.0430	mg/L	0.05	78.2	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Chromium	ND	0.0496	mg/L	0.05	99.2	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Cadmium	ND	0.0488	mg/L	0.05	97.6	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Beryllium	ND	0.0490	mg/L	0.05	98.0	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Arsenic	ND	0.0518	mg/L	0.05	103.6	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	4,4-DDT	ND	0.464	ug/L	0.5	92.8	58-121	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160127034-001A	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	4.71	ug/L	5	94.2	49-145	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Thallium	ND	0.0504	mg/L	0.05	100.8	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Silver	ND	0.0461	mg/L	0.05	92.2	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	Pyrene	ND	4.53	ug/L	5	90.6	45-139	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	Phenol	ND	5.32	ug/L	5	106.4	45-134	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.26	ug/L	5	85.2	22-138	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Matrix Spike

Sample Number	Parameter	Sample Result	MS Result	Units	MS Spike	%Rec	AR %Rec	Prep Date	Analysis Date
160127034-001A	n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	4.57	ug/L	5	91.4	46-135	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	6.43	ug/L	5	128.6	43-142	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	Acenaphthene	ND	4.91	ug/L	5	98.2	36-131	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	4-Nitrophenol	ND	5.32	ug/L	5	106.4	19-137	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	Endrin	ND	0.578	ug/L	0.5	115.6	70-128	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160127034-001A	2-Chlorophenol	ND	4.38	ug/L	5	87.6	50-131	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.570	ug/L	0.5	114.0	60-118	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160127034-001A	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	3.40	ug/L	5	68.0	28-108	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	3.86	ug/L	5	77.2	33-109	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
160119038-003A	Selenium	ND	0.0454	mg/L	0.05	90.8	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160122018-001	Phenolics	ND	0.402	mg/L	0.4	100.5	70-130	2/8/2016	2/8/2016
160122018-001	Methoxychlor	ND	0.550	ug/L	0.5	110.0	48-136	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.536	ug/L	0.5	107.2	71-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	Heptachlor	ND	0.475	ug/L	0.5	95.0	61-123	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160122018-001	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.530	ug/L	0.5	106.0	74-115	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
160119038-003A	Zinc	0.0317	0.0739	mg/L	0.05	84.4	70-130	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
160127034-001A	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	4.80	ug/L	5	96.0	42-139	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Matrix Spike Duplicate

Parameter	MSD Result	Units	MSD Spike	%Rec	%RPD	AR %RPD	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Lead	0.0490	mg/L	0.05	98.0	0.8	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
alpha-BHC	0.494	ug/L	0.5	98.8	0.4	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aldrin	0.458	ug/L	0.5	91.6	0.9	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDT	0.464	ug/L	0.5	92.8	0.0	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDE	0.498	ug/L	0.5	99.6	0.0	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDD	0.513	ug/L	0.5	102.6	0.8	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Antimony	0.0525	mg/L	0.05	105.0	0.6	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Mercury-CVAFS	0.0492	ug/L	0.05	98.4	1.0	0-18	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Dieldrin	0.504	ug/L	0.5	100.8	1.6	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Cyanide	0.102	mg/L	0.1	102.0	1.0	0-25	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Copper	0.0437	mg/L	0.05	79.6	1.6	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Chromium	0.0494	mg/L	0.05	98.8	0.4	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Cadmium	0.0488	mg/L	0.05	97.6	0.0	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Beryllium	0.0478	mg/L	0.05	95.6	2.5	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Arsenic	0.0510	mg/L	0.05	102.0	1.6	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Nickel	0.0448	mg/L	0.05	87.5	0.9	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Matrix Spike Duplicate

Parameter	MSD Result	Units	MSD Spike	%Rec	%RPD	AR %RPD	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Endrin ketone	0.463	ug/L	0.5	92.6	0.4	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Thallium	0.0512	mg/L	0.05	102.4	1.6	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Silver	0.0420	mg/L	0.05	84.0	9.3	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Selenium	0.0457	mg/L	0.05	91.4	0.7	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Phenolics	0.300	mg/L	0.4	75.0	29.1	0-25	2/8/2016	2/8/2016
Methoxychlor	0.649	ug/L	0.5	129.8	16.5	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Heptachlor epoxide	0.526	ug/L	0.5	105.2	1.9	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
beta-BHC	0.469	ug/L	0.5	93.8	2.1	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.528	ug/L	0.5	105.6	0.4	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
delta-BHC	0.477	ug/L	0.5	95.4	0.6	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin aldehyde	0.556	ug/L	0.5	111.2	2.5	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin	0.578	ug/L	0.5	115.6	0.0	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endosulfan sulfate	0.465	ug/L	0.5	93.0	8.2	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endosulfan II	0.499	ug/L	0.5	99.8	2.0	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endosulfan I	0.522	ug/L	0.5	104.4	1.9	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Zinc	0.0738	mg/L	0.05	84.2	0.1	0-20	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Heptachlor	0.468	ug/L	0.5	93.6	1.5	0-30	1/29/2016	1/29/2016

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,1-dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,1-dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane(DBCP)	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane(DBCP)	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,2-Diphenyl hydrazine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Chlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2-hexanone	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
2-hexanone	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
2-Methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
2-Nitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
3-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4,4-DDD	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDE	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,4-DDT	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Chloroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
4-Nitroaniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
4-Nitrophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Acenaphthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Acenaphthylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Acetone	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Acetone	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Acetonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Acetonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Acrolein	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Acrolein	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Acrylonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Acrylonitrile	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Aldrin	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
alpha-BHC	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aniline	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Antimony	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Aroclor 1016 (PCB-1016)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1221 (PCB-1221)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1232 (PCB-1232)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1242 (PCB-1242)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1248 (PCB-1248)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1254 (PCB-1254)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Aroclor 1260 (PCB-1260)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Arsenic	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Benzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Benzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Benzidine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzo(ghi)perylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Benzyl alcohol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Beryllium	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
beta-BHC	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Bromobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Bromobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Bromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Bromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Bromoform	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Bromoform	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Bromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Bromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Butylbenzylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Cadmium	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Carbazole	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Carbon disulfide	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Carbon disulfide	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Chlordane	ND	ug/L	0.05	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Chlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Chlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Chloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Chloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Chloroform	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Chloroform	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Chloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Chloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Chromium	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Chrysene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Copper	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Cyanide	<0.01	mg/L	0.01	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
delta-BHC	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Dibenzofuran	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Dibromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Dibromomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Dieldrin	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Diethyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Diethyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Diethylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Dimethylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Di-n-butylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Di-n-octylphthalate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Endosulfan I	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endosulfan II	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endosulfan sulfate	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin aldehyde	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Endrin ketone	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Ethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Ethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Fluoranthene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Fluorene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Heptachlor	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Heptachlor epoxide	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Hexachloroethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Iodomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Iodomethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Isophorone	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Lead	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
m+p-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
m+p-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Mercury-CVAFS	ND	ug/L	0.001	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Methoxychlor	ND	ug/L	0.01	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Methylene chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Methylene chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	ug/L	2.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	ug/L	2.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Naphthalene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Nickel	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Nitrobenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Nitrosodimethylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
o-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
o-Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
PCB (total)	ND	ug/L	0.2	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
Pentachlorophenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Phenanthrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Phenol	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
Phenolics	ND	mg/L	0.05	2/8/2016	2/8/2016
p-isopropyltoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
p-isopropyltoluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Pyrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109
Attn: DAN GROGG

Batch #: 160122018
Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

Method Blank

Parameter	Result	Units	PQL	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Pyridine	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/27/2016	2/2/2016
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Selenium	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Silver	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Styrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Styrene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Thallium	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016
Toluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Toluene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Total Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Total Xylene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Toxaphene	ND	ug/L	0.05	1/29/2016	1/29/2016
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
trans-1-4-Dichloro-2-butene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
trans-1-4-Dichloro-2-butene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Vinyl acetate	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Vinyl acetate	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	1/28/2016	1/28/2016
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ug/L	0.5	2/1/2016	2/1/2016
Zinc	ND	mg/L	0.001	1/26/2016	2/2/2016

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Client: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.

Batch #: 160122018

Address: PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE, WA 99109

Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Attn: DAN GROGG

Analytical Results Report Quality Control Data

AR Acceptable Range
ND Not Detected
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit
RPD Relative Percentage Difference

Comments: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Certifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C595
Certifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095; FL(NELAP): E871099

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com
504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Login Report

Customer Name: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE WA 99109

Order ID: 160122018
Order Date: 1/22/2016

Contact Name: DAN GROGG

Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Comment: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Sample #: 160122018-001 **Customer Sample #:** #2 EFFLUENT COMP

Recv'd: **Matrix:** Water **Collector:** DAN GROGG **Date Collected:** 1/22/2016
Quantity: 11 **Date Received:** 1/22/2016 2:10:11 PM **Time Collected:** 6:30 AM

Comment:

Test	Lab	Method	Due Date	Priority
624 VOLATILES IN WW	S	EPA 624	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
CYANIDE TOTAL SM	S	SM4500CNE	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
DIOXIN	S	EPA 1613B	1/22/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
OC PEST 8081A	M	EPA 8081A	1/29/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
PCB 8082	M	EPA 8082	1/29/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
PHENOLICS TOTAL	M	EPA 420.1	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
SEMIVOLATILES 625	M	EPA 625	1/29/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Antimony	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Arsenic	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
BERYLLIUM	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Cadmium	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Chromium	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Copper	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Lead	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
MERCURY-CVAFS	M	EPA 245.7	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Nickel	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Priority Pollutant Metals	S	N/A	1/22/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Selenium	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Silver	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Thallium	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>
Zinc	S	EPA 200.8	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>

Customer Name: LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DIST.
PO BOX 184
LIBERTY LAKE WA 99109

Order ID: 160122018
Order Date: 1/22/2016

Contact Name: DAN GROGG

Project Name: PRIORITY POLLUTANT
SCAN 2016

Comment: COMPOSITE SAMPLES FROM EFF SAMPLERS

Sample #: 160122018-002 **Customer Sample #:** TRIP BLANK

Recv'd: **Matrix:** Water **Collector:** DAN GROGG **Date Collected:** 1/22/2016

Quantity: 1 **Date Received:** 1/22/2016 2:10:11 PM **Time Collected:**

Comment:

Test	Lab	Method	Due Date	Priority
624 VOLATILES IN WW	S	EPA 624	2/1/2016	<u>Normal (~10 Days)</u>

SAMPLE CONDITION RECORD

Samples received in a cooler?	Yes
Samples received intact?	Yes
What is the temperature of the sample(s)? (°C)	8.6
Samples received with a COC?	Yes
Samples received within holding time?	Yes
Are all sample bottles properly preserved?	Yes
Are VOC samples free of headspace?	Yes
Is there a trip blank to accompany VOC samples?	Yes
Labels and chain agree?	Yes

2018	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	MAX	MIN	AVE
AVERAGE Q	0.786	0.772	0.773	0.791	0.767	0.756	0.737	0.717	0.735	0.754	0.772	0.776	0.791	0.717	0.761
PEAK Q	0.882	0.844	0.849	0.924	0.914	0.848	0.841	0.827	0.855	0.814	0.849	0.847	0.924	0.814	0.858
SUS INF	301	372	332	307	256	291	282	257	288	324	318	433	433	256	313
SUS EFF	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.5
BOD INF	256.0	313.0	283.0	266.0	290.0	207.0	210.0	173.0	163.0	166.0	149.0	167.0	313	149	220
BOD EFF	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	<2.0	2.4	<2.0	2.5	2	2.4
FECAL	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	3
EFF pH MAX	7.44	7.39	7.38	7.70	7.55	7.50	7.90	8.45	7.49	7.59	7.42	7.58	8.45	7.38	
EFF pH MIN	6.94	6.88	6.85	6.85	6.86	6.82	6.87	7.01	6.89	6.87	6.85	7.00	7.01	6.82	
PHOS INF	6.80	6.79	6.78	6.65	6.54	6.43	7.24	6.29	6.03	6.19	6.00	6.13	7.24	6.00	6.49
PHOS EFF	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.51	0.39	0.76	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.76	0.07	0.65*
ORTHO PHOS EFF	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.39	0.32	0.69	0.14	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.69	0.02	0.56*
NH3 EFF	0.024	0.022	0.049	0.015	0.034	0.095	0.082	0.113	0.087	0.048	0.061	0.140	0.14	0.015	0.064
ALKALINITY	85.6	133.0	83.4	108.0	85.3	109.0	89.7	115.0	92.0	99.0	83.0	120.0	133	83	100.3
HARDNESS	96.6			121.0			114.0			119.0			121	97	112.7

Entries are monthly averages as reported on Discharge Monitoring Reports

2019	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	MAX	MIN	AVE
AVERAGE Q	0.791	0.790	0.806	0.788	0.773	0.786	0.766	0.757	0.794	0.822	0.803	0.816	0.822	0.757	0.790
PEAK Q	0.844	0.790	0.924	0.903	0.895	0.876	0.836	0.870	0.866	0.906	0.901	0.870	0.924	0.790	0.873
SUS INF	417.52	480.53	300.53	322.19	278.50	302.50	302.27	270.9	290.9	305.4	307.2	322.4	480.5	270.9	325.1
SUS EFF	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.6	1.1	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1	0	0
BOD INF	167.2	153.3	118.8	147.1	155.8	180.3	208.00	195.800	224.3	234.6	243.4	195.4	278.5	119	195.5
BOD EFF	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	3	<2.0	<2.0	5	2	2	3	4.7	<2.0	2
FECAL	1.00	1.00	1.00	<1	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	<1	<1	1.00	2.00	<1	1.0
EFF pH MAX	7.48	7.59	7.42	7.83	7.62	7.51	7.39	8.58	8.58	7.53	8.36	8.33	8.58	7.36	
EFF pH MIN	6.90	6.83	6.89	6.85	6.81	6.83	6.86	6.94	6.94	6.92	6.83	6.93	6.94	6.81	
PHOS INF	6.10	5.93	5.45	5.92	5.72	6.21	6.50	6.03	6.03	5.95	6.26	6.30	6.50	5.45	6.07
PHOS EFF	0.081	0.050	0.060	0.055	0.063	0.072	0.152	0.042	0.042	0.010	0.012	0.010	0.081	0.000	0.169
ORTHO PHOS EFF	0.024	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.081	0.020	0.020	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.081	0.010	0.02
NH3 EFF	0.060	0.031	0.036	0.062	0.030	0.034	0.510	0.104	0.104	0.064	0.710	0.553	0.553	0.040	0.180
ALKALINITY	92.0	86.0	124.0	96.0	92.0	94.7	104.0	104.0	79.5	116.0	127.0	120.0	127	80	101.6
HARDNESS	111.0			133.0			126.0			142.0			142	111	128.0

Entries are monthly averages as reported on Discharge Monitoring Reports

Hardness and Alkalinity testing by Anatek Labs

2020	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL
AVERAGE Q	0.826	0.813	0.825	0.778
PEAK Q	0.875	0.888	0.897	0.875
SUS INF	298.0	329.0	405.0	328.0
SUS EFF	0.20	0.20	0.60	0.20
BOD INF	229.0	246.0	260.0	210.0
BOD EFF	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
FECAL	1	1	<1	1
EFF pH MAX	7.83	9.31	8.12	7.81
EFF pH MIN	6.86	6.59	6.89	6.97
PHOS INF	6.26	6.58	5.45	6.30
PHOS EFF	0.020	0.010	0.068	0.013
ORTHO PHOS EFF	0.010	0.011	0.022	0.010
NH3 EFF	0.394	0.477	0.011	8.290
ALKALINITY	83.0	75.0	124.0	93.5
HARDNESS	104.0			109.0

Entries are monthly

Hardness and Alkalinity testing by Anatek Labs

2020	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY
AVERAGE Q	0.826	0.813	0.825	0.778	0.781	0.781	0.769
PEAK Q	0.875	0.888	0.897	0.875	0.867	0.867	0.895
SUS INF	298.0	329.0	405.0	328.0	276.0	276.0	287.0
SUS EFF	0.20	0.20	0.60	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.60
BOD INF	229.0	246.0	260.0	210.0	190.0	190.0	167.0
BOD EFF	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	99.0	2.10
FECAL	1	1	<1	1	1	1	1
EFF pH MAX	7.83	9.31	8.12	7.81	7.89	7.89	8.16
EFF pH MIN	6.86	6.59	6.89	6.97	6.82	6.82	6.88
PHOS INF	6.26	6.58	5.45	6.30	6.24	6.24	6.44
PHOS EFF	0.020	0.010	0.068	0.013	0.010	0.010	0.210
ORTHO PHOS EFF	0.010	0.011	0.022	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.210
NH3 EFF	0.394	0.477	0.011	8.290	2.340	2.340	0.110
ALKALINITY	83.0	75.0	124.0	93.5	131.0	131.0	111.0
HARDNESS	104.0			109.0			119.0

Entries are monthly averages as reported on Discharge

Hardness and Alkalinity testing by Anatek Labs

AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	MAX	MIN	AVE
0.739	0.794	0.799	0.828	0.838	0.838	0.739	0.798
0.839	0.866	0.882	0.880	0.897	0.897	0.839	0.877
261.0	291	236	251	309	405	236	296
0.7	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.4
216.0	224.3	127.0	136.0	159.0	260	127	196
<2.0	4.7	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	99.0	2	35.2
1	1	1	<1	<1	1	1	1
7.89	7.36	7.57	8.36	7.92	9.31	7.36	
7.01	7.02	7.09	6.83	6.85	7.09	6.59	
5.89	6.49	5.81	6.26	6.95	6.95	5.45	6.24
0.049	0.018	0.040	0.010	0.020	0.21	0.010	0.040
0.028	0.012	0.026	0.010	0.010	0.21	0.010	0.03
0.125	0.049	0.049	0.531	2.500	8.290	0.011	103.333
97.5	88.5	124.0	118.0	63.5	131	64	103.3
		132.0			132	104	116.0

Monitoring Reports

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L	Total Recoverable Lead ug/L
1/3/18		108	ND (<0.5)	1.47
2/6/18		110	ND (<0.5)	1.79
3/6/18		109	ND (<0.5)	1.87
4/3/18		94.2	ND (<0.5)	1.61
5/1/18		103	ND (<0.5)	2.8
6/5/18		85.7	ND (<0.5)	1.60
7/3/18		106	ND (<0.5)	1.61
8/21/18		118	ND (<0.5)	1.71
9/11/18		103	ND (<0.5)	1.54
10/9/18		100	ND (<0.5)	1.8
11/6/18		96.9	ND (<0.5)	1.68
12/4/18		101	ND (<0.5)	1.83
MAX		118	0	2.8
MIN		85.7	0	1.54
AVER		102.9	0.0	1.9

Testing by Accurate Testing Labs

*PQL for Hg 0.2 ug/L

Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable
Arsenic ug/L	Copper ug/L	Mercury ug/L
1.27	23.8	ND (<0.2)
2.2	24.8	ND (<0.2)
1.97	37.9	ND (<0.2)
3	29.7	ND (<0.2)

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L	Total Recoverable Lead ug/L
1/3/18	96.6	57.3	ND (<0.5)	0.877
2/6/18		64.1	ND (<0.5)	1.43
3/6/18		68.8	ND (<0.5)	1.23
4/3/18	121	66.5	ND (<0.5)	1.56
5/1/18		70.6	ND (<0.5)	1.51
6/5/18		65.1	ND (<0.5)	1.060
7/3/18	114	58.4	ND (<0.5)	0.789
8/21/18		50.4	ND (<0.5)	1.14
9/11/18		47.7	ND (<0.5)	1.02
10/9/18	119	48.7	ND (<0.5)	1.01
11/6/18		52.4	ND (<0.5)	1.39
12/4/18		49.6	ND (<0.5)	0.638
MAX	121	70.6	0	1.56
MIN	96.6	47.7	0	0.638
AVER	113	58.3	<0.5	1.14

permit limit

80.8

76

3.7

Testing by Accurate Testing Labs

*PQL for Hg 0.2 ug/L

*PQL for Cd .003 ug/L

Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable
Arsenic ug/L	Copper ug/L	Mercury ug/L
1.11	2.33	ND (<0.2)
1.4	3.09	ND (<0.2)
ND (<1.0)	3.21	ND (<0.2)
1.37	1.41	ND (<0.2)

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L
1/3/19		91.6	<0.5
2/5/19		97.3	<0.5
3/5/19		53.8	<0.5
4/2/19		118	<0.5
5/7/19		135	<0.5
6/4/19		109.0	<0.5
7/2/19		160	<1.0
8/6/19		209	0.164
9/3/19		66.9	0.043
10/1/19		119	0.097
11/12/19		98.3	0.143
12/4/19		91.7	0.165
MAX		209	0.165
MIN		53.8	0.043
AVER		112.5	0.1

Testing by Accurate Testing Labs

Total Recoverable Lead ug/L	Total Recoverable Arsenic ug/L	Total Recoverable Copper ug/L	Total Recoverable Mercury ug/L
1.38	1.5	28.7	<0.2
1.37			
1.25			
1.37	2.47	45.3	<0.2
2.14			
3.10			
<1.0	1.37	19.6	<10.0
2.04			
0.434			
0.828	1.9	22.6	0.0174
0.823			
0.668			
3.1			
0.434			
1.5			

*PQL for Hg 0.2 ug/L

*PQL for Cd 0.5 ug/L

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L	Total Recoverable Lead ug/L
1/3/189	111	37.1	<0.5	0.769
2/5/19		53.6	<0.5	0.735
3/5/19		51.8	<0.5	1.06
4/2/19	133	62.4	<0.5	0.64
5/7/19		56.1	<0.5	0.6
6/4/19		54.5	<0.5	1.200
7/2/19	126	70.6	<0.5	<0.5
8/6/19		12.1	0.005	0.035
9/3/19		55.7	0.025	0.295
10/1/19	142	47.7	0.016	0.196
11/12/19		51.4	0.068	0.185
12/3/19		56.1	0.098	0.161
MAX		70.6	0.098	1.2
MIN		12.1	0.005	0.035
AVER		50.8	0.042	0.53

Testing by Accurate Testing Labs

*PQL for Hg 0.2 ug/L

*PQL for Cd 0.5 ug/L

Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable
Arsenic ug/L	Copper ug/L	Mercury ug/L
1.12	16.8	<0.2
<1.0	2.18	<0.2
1.01	4.38	<10.0
0.611	2.63	<.0005

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L
1/7/20		101	ND
2/3/20		119	ND*
3/2/20		101	ND*
4/2/20		116	ND*
5/5/20		151	ND*
6/2/20		112.0	0.239
7/14/20		140	<1.0
8/4/20		127	<1.0
9/1/20		115	<1.0
10/6/21		70.1	<1.0
11/3/21		159	<1.0
12/1/20		134	<1.0
MAX		159	0.239
MIN		70.1	0.239
AVER		120.4	0.2

Testing by Accurate Testing Labs

Total Recoverable Lead ug/L	Total Recoverable Arsenic ug/L	Total Recoverable Copper ug/L	Total Recoverable Mercury ug/L
ND	1.19	21.5	0.0139
ND			
ND			
ND	1.87	21.8	0.0142
ND*			
0.929			
<1.0	2.11	1.69	0.018
<1.0			
<1.0			
<1.0	2.38	22.1	0.0208
1.15			
<1.0			
1.15			
0.929			
1.0			

*PQL for Hg 0.2 ug/L
 *PQL for Cd 0.5 ug/L

* 2/3/20 new limits
 pql for Cd 0.995 µg/l
 pql for PB 1.00 µg/l

DATE	Total Hardness as CaCO3 mg/l	Total Recoverable Zinc ug/L	Total Recoverable Cadmium ug/L	Total Recoverable Lead ug/L
1/7/20	104	58.8	ND	ND
2/3/20		67.9	ND	ND
3/2/20		67.6	ND	ND
4/2/20	109	62.9	ND	ND
5/5/20		62.6	ND	ND
6/2/20		13.1	<0.05	<0.12
7/14/20	119	57.7	<1.0	<1.0
8/4/20		53.8	<1.0	<1.0
9/1/20		50.7	<1.0	<1.0
10/6/20	132	49.9	<1.0	<1.0
11/3/20		56.4	<1.0	<1.0
12/1/20		67.5	<1.0	<1.0
MAX	132	67.9	0	0
MIN	104	13.1	0	0
AVER	116	55.7		

permit limit

80.8

76

3.7

Testing by Anatek Labs, Inc

*PQL for Hg 0.0005 ug/L

*PQL for Cd,Pb,As .001 mg/L

Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable	Total Recoverable
Arsenic ug/L	Copper ug/L	Mercury ug/L
ND	2.48	0.000212
0.658	3.24	0.0028
0.64	1.69	0.00126
1.2	2.02	0.000763

* 2/3/20 new limits

pql for Cd 0.995 µg/l

Cd <1.0

pql for PB 1.00 µg/l

Pb <1.0

-

ND	ND
----	----

DATE	LOCATION	Total PCB's pg/L	PRL BLANKS	WRF FLOW
2/23/2018	Influent	3110	11.9	0.774
4/10/2018	Influent	4940	33.5	0.783
6/14/2018	Influent	2910	0.1	0.794
8/24/2018	Influent	3580	0.1	0.654
10/11/2018	Influent	2670	0.5	0.734
12/20/2018	Influent	4610	1.9	0.76
2/26/2019	Influent	2680	26.4	0.827
4/16/2019	Influent	3730	0.9	0.867
6/27/2019	Influent	4440	0.4	0.794
8/22/2019	Influent	1570	22.8	0.727
10/23/2019	Influent	2520	0.5	0.829
12/13/2019	Influent	65.9	0.1	0.848
2/13/2020	Influent	760	26.3	0.808
4/15/2020	Influent	2660	23	0.781
6/19/2020	Influent	2090	0.1	0.767
8/20/2020	Influent	2630	14	0.768
10/20/2020	Influent	2490	46.4	0.821
12/10/2020	Influent	2100	0.89	0.827

LIBERTY LAKE SEWER AND WATER DISTRICT

Resolution 11-12 WASTEWATER PRETREATMENT

Sections:

- A Definitions.
- B Requirements for permits.
- C Regular sampling procedure.
- D Methods of determining volume and concentrations.
- E Power of superintendent and employees.
- F Pretreatment requirements.
- G Grease, oil and sand interceptors.
- H Plans and specifications for pretreatment facilities and interceptors.
- I Prohibited discharges.
- J Penalty.

A. Definitions.

As used in this Resolution, the following words and phrases will have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

(1) "BOD" means the biochemical oxygen demand, expressed in milligrams per liter, utilized in the biochemical oxidation of organic matter under standard laboratory conditions for five days at a temperature of 20 degrees Centigrade. The laboratory tests shall be made in accordance with "standard methods" as defined in this section.

(2) "Commercial waste" means waste from a commercial use as defined in this resolution and not specifically hereafter defined as "industrial waste."

(3) "District" means the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District.

(4) "Domestic sewage" means water and water carried wastes normally discharged into the sanitary sewers from dwellings, including single-family homes, multiple-family homes and hotels, from office buildings, factories and institutions, but not including stormwater drainage or surface water drainage and not including industrial wastes as defined in this section.

(5) "Garbage" means solid wastes and residue from preparation, cooking and dispensing of food and from the handling, storage, processing and sale of food products and produce.

(6) "Industrial user" means any nongovernmental user of the district's sewage system, including agriculture, forestry, fishing, mining, manufacturing, transportation, communication, electric, gas and sanitary services and any other industrial services discharging industrial wastes into the district's sanitary sewer system, or discharging into the district's sanitary sewer system, any wastes other than domestic sewage as defined in this section.

(7) "Industrial wastes" means all water, water-carried solids, liquid and gas wastes resulting from any industrial, manufacturing or food processing operation or process or from the development of any natural resource, or any mixture of these fluids and domestic sewage, or any mixture of these fluids with any other water or with any other liquid.

(8) "Milligrams per liter" shall be abbreviated "mg/l" and means a weight to volume ratio. The figure appearing before the symbol "mg/l" shall be the number of milligrams to be found in one liter of the substance being tested. This figure can be transposed to pounds per million gallons of water by multiplying the figure appearing before the symbol "mg/l" by 8.34.

(9) "Normal domestic sewage" means sewage in which the average concentration of suspended materials does not exceed 250 mg/l and in which the five day BOD does not exceed 250 mg/l.

(10) "Owner" means the owner, tenant, occupant or person in charge of any building or premises, or any person acting in the owner's behalf.

(11) "Person" means any person, firm, corporation, association or governmental entities.

(12) "pH" means the logarithm (base 10) of the reciprocal of the hydrogen ion concentration expressed in moles per liter. pH shall be determined by standard methods as defined in this section.

(13) "Premises" means any building or lot under individual ownership or individual use where water service is metered independently.

(14) "Properly shredded garbage" means wastes from preparation and cooking and disposing of food, exclusive of eggshells and bones, which waste has been shredded so that the particles are no greater than one-half inch in any dimension, and the particles can be carried freely through the normal conditions in public sanitary sewers.

(15) "Public sanitary sewer" means any sanitary sewer constructed by the district or dedicated to the dedicated, regardless of the source of funding, and any sanitary sewer belonging to any public trust or municipal corporation or body politic of any kind.

(16) "Sanitary sewer" means a sewer that conveys sewage and wastewater, in which groundwater and unpolluted industrial wastes are not included.

(17) "Sewer system" means all of the mains, pumping facilities, treating and disposing facilities included in the wastewater treatment facilities of the district.

(18) "Slug" means any discharge continuing longer than 15 minutes in which either the concentration of any material or the amount of flow is more than five times the 24-hour average from the same source.

(19) "Standard methods" means methods approved by the engineering profession of examination of waste and wastewater. Evidence of standard methods may be submitted by showing standard methods approved by the American Waterworks Association, the American Public Health Association and the Water Pollution Control Federation.

(20) "Stormwater runoff" means the amount of rainfall that flows directly or indirectly into the sewer system of the district.

(21) "Manager" means the district manager or designee.

(22) "Suspended solids" means solids that either float in sewage or are in suspension in sewage, which are removable by a laboratory filtration device.

(23) "Wastewater" means sewage.

(24) "Wastewater plant" means any facility owned by the district used for receiving and treating sewage.

B. Requirements for permits.

Permits shall be issued after application to the Manager, when the applicant meets the following conditions:

(1) New Establishments. Permits for new establishments constructed or connected after the effective date of this resolution will be issued only after the following conditions have been met:

(a) A formal written application is filed on a form provided by the Manager;

(b) Where necessary to comply with the terms of this resolution, holding facilities, pretreatment facilities, flow-regulating devices, cooling or heating devices or inspection chambers have been installed, and inspected and approved by the Manager;

(c) The estimated amounts of wastewater and concentrations of industrial wastes have been determined by the Manager and agreed to by the applicant. When any user discharges 1,000 gallons or more daily, there will be actual samples taken after operations start to determine the actual concentrations;

(d) The applicant must sign an agreement to pay any surcharges required by the terms of this chapter, and agreeing to operate and maintain at the applicant's costs any pretreatment facilities, holding and flow regulating facilities required to comply with the terms of this resolution.

(2) Existing Establishments. Permits for connections existing on the effective date of this resolution will be issued when the following conditions have been met:

(a) A formal application must be submitted on a form furnished by the Manager, and filed within 120 days after the effective date of this resolution;

(b) Where necessary to comply with the terms of this resolution, pretreatment facilities, flow-regulating devices, cooling or heating devices or inspection chambers have been installed and inspected and approved by the Manager;

(c) The estimated amounts and concentrations of industrial wastes have been determined by the Manager and agreed to by the applicant. When any user discharges 1,000 gallons or more daily, there will be actual samples taken after operations start to determine the actual concentrations;

(d) The applicant must sign an agreement to pay any surcharges required by the terms of this resolution, and agreeing to operate and maintain at the applicant's cost any pretreatment facilities required to comply with the terms of this resolution. The agreement will specify that all pretreatment facilities will be in place within 120 days after the effective date of this resolution.

(3) Procedure for Renewal of Permits. Each application for a renewal of an annual permit under this chapter shall be accompanied by a laboratory report issued either by an independent testing laboratory or by the discharger's laboratory, if the discharger's laboratory is approved by the Manager, either indicating that there have been no changes in flow rates, BOD and suspended solid concentrations, or if there have been any changes, furnishing detailed information as to the changes and the current status.

C. Regular sampling procedure.

Industrial users at anytime during the year shall report all such changes in flow rate, BOD or suspended solids or any other characteristics of industrial waste to the District within 24 hours of the change. It is the user's responsibility to make such tests from time to time as are necessary to comply with the terms of this section. A reasonable schedule of testing may be required by the Manager.

D. Methods of determining volume and concentrations.

The volume of wastes may be determined by utilizing the method used to calculate the sewer service charge or actual water meter flows. For users discharging less than 20,000 gallons per

day, the BOD determination and suspended solid concentrations may be determined by the Manager in accordance with standards applicable to the various industries involved. Any user who is not satisfied with the results obtained by the Manager under that method may install at his expense a control chamber or inspection chamber, subject to inspection and approval by the Manager, to make determinations by means of actual samples. Any user discharging more than 20,000 gallons per day shall, within one year of the effective date of this resolution, provide and maintain an accessible inspection chamber near the outlet of the building sewer. Each such inspection chamber shall be of such design and construction as to prevent infiltration by ground and surface waters or introduction of any liquids or solids. The inspection chamber shall contain the necessary access for obtaining samples and necessary flow measuring devices required to measure compliance with this resolution.

E. Power of Manager and employees.

All of the powers granted under this resolution to the Manager may be exercised by any employee duly authorized by the Manager to exercise those powers, provided that any hearing provided for herein shall be held by the Manager and not by an employee of the Manager. The Manager and his employees shall carry credentials at all times that they are in the field enforcing the terms of this resolution. The methods of enforcement shall be as follows:

(1) Powers. The Manager shall be permitted access to any part of any property where access is necessary for the purpose of inspecting, observing, measuring, sampling or testing to determine compliance with the provision of this resolution. If any person should refuse to permit access to the Manager, the Manger may, with the assistance of the district's attorney, obtain the necessary court orders to obtain access. If the Manager finds any violation of this resolution at the premises of any user, the Manger shall notify the owner or occupant or user in writing stating the nature of the violation and providing a reasonable time for corrections to be made. In the absence of unusual circumstances, 15 days shall be considered a reasonable time. The person receiving the notice shall report to the Manager within 15 days, in writing, stating what action has been taken and is being taken to correct the conditions constituting the violation. If the user, occupant or owner of the premises does not correct the violation within the time limit, or within any extension of time granted by the Manager, the Manager shall do one or all of the following:

- (a) Disconnect water service and sewer service to the premises;
- (b) Bring appropriate court action to enforce compliance;

(2) Request for Hearing. Any person aggrieved by any notice by the Manager under this resolution may obtain a hearing upon a written request being filed with the Manager. The written request must be filed within the time for correcting the violation, or within any extension of time granted by the Manager. Any such written request will postpone the date that the work is required

to be completed until after the hearing; provided, however, that the Manager will set the date for hearing on the request for hearing as early as possible. At the hearing, the petitioner may present any facts or arguments he desires to present, may be represented by counsel and may present such expert testimony or technical evidence as is necessary to establish the contentions of the owner or occupant. After the hearing, the Manager may continue the original order in effect, modify the order or withdraw the order, depending on the facts shown at the hearing.

(3) Appeals to the District's Board of Commissioners. Any person aggrieved by any decision of the Manager under the provisions of this section may appeal to the District's Board of Commissioners. The appeal shall be by a notice in writing stating the nature of the decision of the Manager, and stating briefly the reasons for the appeal, that is, the reason why the owner or user believes that the decision of the Manager should be overturned or modified. The appeal must be filed within 15 days after the user is notified by the Manager of his decision. The appeal will delay the effective date of the Managers order until after the hearing.

F. Pretreatment requirements.

For any industrial wastes which, without pretreatment, will be harmful to the structure, process or operation of the sewage treatment works, or detrimental to the quality of the effluent, the user shall provide preliminary treatment or processing facilities, at the user's expense, to render the wastes acceptable for admission to the public sanitary sewers, by providing facilities at the user's expense, subject to inspection by the Manager. Industrial wastes which have excessive BOD or excessive suspended solids in excess of normal domestic sewage shall be pretreated to meet the requirements of normal domestic sewage; provided, that such wastes may be accepted without pretreatment if the user can show that the waste will not cause damage to the sanitary sewer collection system, the waste will not impair the operation of the wastewater treatment process, the waste will not damage any of the wastewater facilities, and the precise limits to be accepted are covered by an agreement in writing between the District and the user.

G. Grease, oil and sand interceptors.

Grease, oil and sand traps or interceptors shall be provided for any liquid wastes containing grease or flammable wastes, sand and other harmful ingredients. Such interceptors shall not be required for private living quarters or dwellings. All such interceptors shall be subject to inspection by the Manager, and shall be located so as to be readily accessible for easy cleaning and inspection. Grease and oil interceptors shall be constructed of impervious materials capable of withstanding abrupt and extreme changes in temperature. They shall be of substantial construction, watertight and equipped with easily removable covers which when bolted in place shall be gastight and watertight. Where installed, all grease, oil and sand interceptors shall be maintained by the user, at his expense, in continuously efficient operation at all times. Materials removed from these facilities shall be either recycled by the user or disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws and ordinances.

H. Plans and specifications for pretreatment facilities and interceptors.

No pretreatment facility shall be accepted and no interceptor shall be accepted under the terms of this chapter until written plans, specifications and information required to show compliance with the terms of this resolution have been submitted to the Manager and approved by the Manager.

I. Prohibited discharges.

No person shall discharge any of the following substances or conditions into any public sanitary sewer in the District, into any sewer flowing into any wastewater plant owned or operated by the District:

(1) No person shall discharge any storm water or groundwater, roof run-off, subsurface drainage or any water from downspouts, yard drains, fountains and ponds, swimming pools, sump pumps, septic tanks or lawn sprays into any sanitary sewer. Dilution of any waste discharged into the sanitary sewer system is prohibited whether accomplished by combining two or more water streams or accomplished by adding other liquids solely for the purpose of diluting the discharge. Dilution may be an acceptable means of complying with the pH prohibition.

(2) No person shall discharge any of the following substances into any public sanitary sewer:

(a) Any liquid or vapor having a temperature higher than 150 degrees Fahrenheit or 65 degrees Centigrade;

(b) Any water or waste which contains wax, grease, oil, plastic or other substances that will solidify or become discernibly viscous at temperatures between 32 degrees Fahrenheit and 150 degrees Fahrenheit or zero degrees Centigrade and 65 degrees Centigrade;

(c) Flammable or explosive liquids, solids or gases;

(d) Solid or viscous substances in quantity capable of causing obstruction to the flow of sanitary sewers or of interfering with the operation of the wastewater treatment works. Such substances include but are not limited to acids, cinders, sand, mud, straw, shavings, metal, glass, rags, feathers, tar, plastics, wood, whole blood, animal wastes, animal body parts, lime slurry, lime residue, paint residues, fiberglass or bulk solids;

(e) Any garbage except properly shredded garbage;

(f) Any noxious or malodorous substance which, singly or by interaction with other wastes in the sewage system, is capable of causing objectionable odors or hazards to health, life or property or which can by itself or in combination with other substances in the sewage system result in concentrations exceeding the limits established in this chapter or any substance which creates any other condition harmful to the structure or treatment processes of the wastewater treatment system.

(3) No person shall discharge any substances exceeding the following concentrations into the public sanitary sewers of the District:

(a) Free or emulsified oil and grease exceeding an average of 100 mg/l or 830 pounds per million gallons of either oil or grease or any combination of oil and grease if it appears that the amounts of oil and grease can do any of the following: deposit grease or oil on the sanitary sewer lines in such a manner as to clog or interfere with the flow of sewage, overload the grease handling equipment of the wastewater system, exceed wastewater treatment process capacity of the District, or have any harmful effect on the treatment process or the treatment equipment due to the nature and quantity of the substances;

(b) Acids or alkalis having a pH value lower than 6.0 or higher than 9.0;

(c) Salts of heavy metals in solution or suspension in concentrations toxic to biological wastewater treatment processes or in concentrations sufficient to adversely affect sludge digestion or any other biochemical, biological or other wastewater treatment process or harmful to the biology of the receiving water to which the flow of the wastewater treatment facility discharges, or exceeding any of the following limits:

Toxic Substance	mg/l
Arsenic	3
Cadmium	1
Chromium	3
Copper	3
Lead	0.10
Mercury	0.002
Nickel	3
Silver	3
Zinc	3

(d) Any other elements which will damage collection facilities or be detrimental to the treatment processes or to the receiving water to which the effluent of the wastewater treatment facility discharges. In enforcing this resolution the volume of a particular industrial user shall be considered not only by itself but also in connection with other industrial discharges within the same area of contributing to the same wastewater treatment plant. All state and federal laws and regulations relating to discharge of effluent into receiving waters shall be followed;

(e) Cyanide or cyanogen compounds capable of liberating hydrocyanic gas or acidification in excess of two mg/l as Cx;

(f) Radioactive materials defined as hazardous materials under federal laws and applicable regulations, including any substance required by the United States Department of

Transportation to have Type A packaging or Type B packaging under regulations found in 49 CFR 173.426;

(g) Any wastewaters containing phenols or other taste-producing substances in such concentrations as to produce a detectable odor or taste in the stream or other watercourse receiving the effluent from the treatment facilities;

(h) Materials such as fuller's earth which cause unusual concentrations of inert solids or other solids such as sodium chloride, calcium chloride or sodium sulfate. Materials which cause excessive discoloration of the sewage. Materials which cause unusual biochemical oxygen demand or an immediate oxygen demand. Materials with a high hydrogen sulfide content. Materials with unusual flow and concentration characteristics;

(i) Any toxic substances which are not amenable to treatment or reduction by the wastewater treatment processes of the District.

(4) Procedure for Violations. Upon any violation of any provision of this section, the Manager may take any or all of the following actions:

(a) Discontinue sewer service and water service to the offending premises;

(b) Apply for appropriate court action, with the assistance of the district's attorney;

(c) Require pretreatment facilities or other action to end the violation forthwith;

(d) Require the user to pay a surcharge equal to the cost to the District of dealing with the offending matter;

(e) Apply to court for further action for violation of this resolution.

J. Penalty.

(1) Injunction. Violation of any of the provisions of this chapter is deemed to be a nuisance and threatening to the health and safety of the citizens of the District. The district may utilize any civil remedy available to it under the laws of the state of Washington to enforce these provisions, including injunctive relief.

(3) Civil Damages. Any person violating any of the provisions of this chapter shall become liable to the district for any direct or indirect expense, loss or damage occasioned by the district by reason of such violation. .

RESOLUTION 12-12
RULES, REGULATIONS AND BYLAWS
GOVERNING SEWER SYSTEM
FOR LIBERTY LAKE SEWER & WATER DISTRICT
MAY 2012
TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

- 1.1 Authorization of Regulations
- 1.2 Definitions
- 1.3 Right of Access
- 1.4 Required Connections
- 1.5 Prohibited Discharge Standards
- 1.6 Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards
- 1.7 State Requirements
- 1.8 Local Limits**
- 1.9 Penalties for Violations
- 1.10 Public Reports
- 1.11 Public Notice of Meetings
- 1.12 Commissioner Meetings

SECTION II - REGULATIONS FOR SERVICE

- 2.1 Right of Classification
- 2.2 Classifications of Service
- 2.3 Billing for Service
- 2.4 Authorization for Receipt of Payments
- 2.5 New Connections
- 2.6 Delinquent Accounts
- 2.7 Cessation of Billing
- 2.8 Responsibility for Side-Sewer Maintenance
- 2.9 Pump Policy

SECTION III - REQUIREMENTS FOR CONNECTIONS

- 3.2 Connection Charges
- 3.3 Illegal Connections
- 3.4 Opening Public Sewer; Permit Required
- 3.5 Permit to Open Sewer; How Obtained
- 3.6 The Owner Desiring to Construct, Extend, or Repair Sewer Inside Property
- 3.7 Regulations for Side-Sewer Contractors
- 3.8 Additional Work--New Permit Required
- 3.9 Life of Permit--Extension of Time
- 3.11 Construction Requirements for Side-Sewers

- 3.12 Work in Public Streets--Bonded Contractor
- 3.13 Restoration of Roadways
- 3.14 Excavation to Be Guarded
- 3.15 Call for Inspection--Notice of Defects
- 3.16 Inspection Before Trenches Are Filled
- 3.18 Inspector's Right of Entry
- 3.19 Modification of Regulations

SECTION I - GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 AUTHORIZATION OF REGULATIONS

The Commissioners of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District have the power to make such reasonable regulations as they deem necessary to carry out the provisions of this law and any other law relating to the Commission, pursuant to the State of Washington Sewer & Water District Laws, Title 57, Revised 1996.

1.2 DEFINITIONS

- A. COMMISSIONERS--the Board of Sewer Commissioners of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District.
- B. DISTRICT--the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District No. 1 in Spokane County, Washington.
- C. ENGINEER--the consulting engineers and/or any of his authorized assistants or inspectors employed by the District.
- D. PERSON--any individual, firm, company, association, society, corporation, or group.
- E. MANAGER--the person employed by the District who is in charge of the Business Office of the District.
- F. SEWER or SANITARY SEWER--any lateral, trunk, or other sewer owned or constructed by and/or part of the public sewerage facilities of the District.
- G. SEWAGE--ground garbage, human and animal excretions, and all the types of domestic waste normally disposed of by a domicile, or commercial establishment through the sanitary drainage system.
- H. SIDE-SEWER--any intercepting line from any domestic and/or commercial service to the sewers of the District.
- I. SIDE-SEWER CONTRACTOR--a contractor licensed by the County of Spokane, hired by as property owner or resident of a building for the purpose of constructing side-sewer facilities. See section 3.7.
- J. CHIEF OPERATOR--the person employed by the District who is in charge of the operation, maintenance and inspection of the sewer system and/or Water Reclamation Plant.
- K. DEVELOPER - Any individual or corporation that plats property for development of residential, multifamily, commercial & business purposes.
- L. WATER RECLAMATION PLANT- The wastewater treatment plant of the District.

1.3 RIGHT OF ACCESS

The authorized agents of the District shall have the right of access to the customer's premises, at reasonable hours, for the purpose of inspecting the customer's sewerage connections and for any other purpose which is proper and necessary in connection with the District's business.

1.4 REQUIRED CONNECTIONS

The owner of each lot or parcel of real property within the area to be served by the sewerage system of the District, upon which lot or parcel of real property there shall be situated any improvement designed to be utilized for human occupancy, employment, recreation, or other purpose or use abutting on any street, alley or right-of-way in which there is now located, or may in the future be located, a public sanitary sewer of the District, is hereby required, at his expense, to install suitable toilet facilities therein (or, in the instance of a trailer or mobile home to insure that there are suitable toilet facilities therein) and to connect such facilities directly with a proper sewer, provided that said public sewer is within three hundred (300) feet of the property line. All connections to said sewerage system shall be made in a manner complying with the District regulations, and each toilet, sink, stationary washstand, or other piece of equipment having sanitary waste or other such matter as determined by the District to require connection, shall be connected with said sewerage system.

Whenever any land, buildings or premises are required to be connected with the public sewer, the District's Manager shall serve upon the owner, tenant, or occupant of said lands, buildings or premises a notice in writing specifying the time within which connections must be made, which time shall be not more than sixty (60) days from the date of delivery of the notice.

Any improvement hereinafter constructed or made available for human occupancy and use for any purpose shall, before completion of construction or before any occupancy or use thereof, or within sixty (60) days after written notification from the District in the event that a sewer line capable of serving said building or structure had not been completed by the District prior to its construction occupancy, or use, be connected to the sewerage system of the District.

1.5 PROHIBITED DISCHARGE STANDARDS

General Prohibitions: No User shall introduce or cause to be introduced into the POTW any pollutant or wastewater which causes Pass Through or Interference. These general prohibitions apply to all Users of the POTW whether or not they are subject to categorical pretreatment standards or any other National, State, or local pretreatment standards or requirements. (40 CFR 403.5(a) and WAC 173-216-060(2)(b)(i))

Specific Prohibitions: Except as hereinafter provided no person shall discharge or cause to be discharged any of the following waters or wastes to the district sewer system.

- A. Pollutants which either alone or by interaction may create a fire or explosive hazard in the POTW, a public nuisance or hazard to life, or prevent entry into the sewers for their maintenance and repair or are in any way injurious to the operation of the system or operating personnel. This includes waste streams with a closed-cup flashpoint of less than 140° F (60° C) using the test methods specified in 40 CFR Part 261.21. Such substances further include, but are not limited to:

alcohol	aldehyde	benzene	bromate	carbide	chlorate
ether	gasoline	hydride	kerosene	ketone	naphtha
perchlorate	peroxide	sulfide	toluene	xylene	

or any other substance which the District, the Department of Ecology, or the EPA has notified the user is a fire hazard or hazard to the POTW.
- B. Any water or waste which may contain more than one hundred (100) parts per million by weight of animal or vegetable fat, oil, or grease (FOG).
- C. Pollutants, including oxygen-demanding pollutants (Biochemical Oxygen Demand, etc), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration, which, either singly or by interaction with other pollutants, will cause interference with the POTW.

- D. Solid or viscous substances in amounts that may cause obstruction to the flow in the sewer or other interference with the operation of the system
- E. Wastewater having a temperature that will interfere with the biological activity in the system, has detrimental effects of the collection system, or prevents entry into the sewer. In no case shall wastewater be discharged, which causes the wastewater temperature at the Reclamation Facility to exceed 40°C.
- F. Any waters or wastes having a pH lower than 5.5 or higher than 9.0 or having any other corrosive property capable of causing damage or hazard to sewer structures, equipment, personnel of the sewage works, or to be adversely active on sewage treatment processes.
- G. Any waters or wastes containing a toxic or poisonous substance in sufficient quantity to injure or interfere with the sewage treatment processes, constitutes a hazard to humans or animals, or creates any hazard in the receiving waters of the Water Reclamation Facility.
- H. Any waters or waste containing suspended solids or such character and quantity that unusual attention or expense is required to handle such materials at the sewage plant.
- I. Any noxious or malodorous gas or substance capable of creating a public nuisance or hazard. to life, or to prevent entry into the sewers for maintenance or repair.
- J. Wastewater, which imparts color that cannot be removed by the treatment process, such as, but not limited to, dye wastes and vegetable tanning solutions, which consequently imparts color to the Reclamation Facility's effluent.
- K. Any detergents, surface-active agents, or other substances in amounts which may cause excessive foaming in the POTW.
- L. Any of the following discharges unless approved by the District under extraordinary circumstances such as the lack of direct discharge alternatives due to combined sewer service or need to augment sewage flows due to septic conditions (WAC 173-216-060(2)(b)(vii)):
 - (a) Noncontact cooling water in significant volumes;
 - (b) Stormwater, and other direct inflow sources; or
 - (c) Wastewaters significantly affecting system hydraulic loading, which do not require treatment or would not be afforded a significant degree of treatment by the POTW
- M. Any substance which will cause the POTW to violate its NPDES, State Waste Discharge or other disposal system permits or causing, alone or in conjunction with other sources, the treatment plant's effluent to fail a toxicity test.
- N. Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the District.
- O. Wastewaters prohibited to be discharged to the POTW by the Dangerous Waste Regulation (WAC 173-303), unless authorized under the Domestic Sewage Exclusion (WAC 173-303-071).

1.6 Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards

National Categorical Pretreatment Standards as adopted and hereafter amended by the EPA pursuant to the Act shall be met by all Users in the regulated industrial categories. These standard, found in 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N, Parts 405-471, are hereby incorporated by reference.

1.7 State Requirements

- A. State requirements and limitations on discharges to the POTW as incorporated into

Washington State Law by Chapter 90.48 RCW and implemented in Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-216 WAC, and Chapter 173-240 WAC, shall be met by all Users which are subject to such standards in any instance in which they are more stringent than Federal requirements and limitations, or those in this or other applicable Ordinances. This includes the requirement to meet AKART as defined herein whenever applicable.

B. Any User determined by the District to qualify as a Significant Industrial User shall file an application for a State Waste Discharge Permit with the Department in accordance with the requirements of WAC 173-216-070. Proof of acceptance of the application and payment of permit fees shall be kept at the User's facilities, and produced upon request by the District. Failure to submit the application or rejection of the application by the Department may be considered sufficient grounds to terminate or refuse to provide sewer service.

1.8 Local Limits

A. The following pollutant limits are established to protect against pass through and interference. No person shall discharge wastewater containing in excess of the following daily maximum allowable discharge limits.

Analyte	Daily Maximum Concentration Limit mg/L
Arsenic	0.5
Cadmium	0.11
Chromium	2.5
Copper	1.0
Cyanide	0.49
Lead	0.32
Mercury	0.1
Nickle	2.5
Silver	0.31
Zinc	5.0
Fats, oil or grease (FOG)	100
 Reduction in effluent ultra violet transmissivity (per cm at 254 nm wavelength)	 10% reduction

1.9 PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS

Any violation of this Resolution and the rules and regulations of the District may impose a penalty not to exceed One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) for each violation or the actual cost to the District, whichever is greater.

1.10 PUBLIC REPORTS

The Commissioners of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District shall hold at least one meeting per year for the purpose of making a report to the sewer users of the District.

1.11 PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE

Public notice of any meeting of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District Board of Commissioners will be at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting. Notice will be available at the District Office and mailed to local news media. Notice may be waived in the event of any emergency.

1.12 COMMISSIONER MEETINGS

The Commissioners of Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District shall meet once per month to conduct business of the District. Meeting notices must comply with section 1.8 of these bylaws. Meetings canceled for any reason will be posted at the District Office and at the scheduled meeting room prior to the meeting time.

SECTION II - REGULATIONS FOR SERVICE

2.1 RIGHT OF CLASSIFICATION

The District reserves the right to determine the type of customer receiving sanitary sewer service and to classify the type of customer within the foregoing categories in the event there arises a dispute relative to the type of customer receiving sewer service and thus applicable sewer service rate, the District's determination as to the type of customer shall be binding upon the customer receiving sanitary sewer service in the absence of manifest error, however, a customer disputing the classification shall have the right to appeal the determination of the District to the Board of Commissioners, and, after a hearing on the matter before the Board, the determination of the Board shall be likewise binding on the customer in the absence of manifest error.

2.2 CLASSIFICATIONS OF SERVICE

DEFINITIONS: Dwelling--a building or portion thereof designed exclusively for residential purposes, including one (1) family, two (2) family and multiple dwelling units, but shall not include hotel, boarding and lodging houses (Spokane County Code, Section 4.03 020 (20)).

DWELLING UNIT: One (1) or more rooms in a dwelling, commercial building, apartment house or any type of multiple family dwelling building designed for living or sleeping purposes, and having one (1) kitchen.

GENERAL: A sewer user charge shall be levied by the District on all users of the sewage collection and treatment facilities to cover the actual or estimated cost of operation, maintenance, replacement, and financing of these facilities. The user charge shall distribute these costs to each user in approximate proportion to such user's contribution to the total wastewater loading of said facilities. The sewer user rates for each user shall be based on the user's estimated or actual contribution to the total wastewater loading of the treatment facilities in comparison to a standard equivalent user as defined below. Each user shall be assigned a number of equivalent users to be multiplied by a constant monthly cost factor to determine the basic monthly sewer user charge rate. User connection fees and monthly rates will be based on the latest edition the Equivalent Users Schedule adopted by the Board of Commissioners. (Resolution 37-97 and Resolution 10-97)

EQUIVALENT USER UNIT (ERU): One equivalent user shall be defined as contributing 300 gallons per day of wastewater containing not more than .54 pounds of 5-day BOD and .54 pounds of suspended solids. The equivalent user flow is based on an average single dwelling unit of 3.2 people contributing 94 gallons per day per person. The wastewater strength is based on .17 pounds of 5-day BOD and .17 pounds of suspended solids respectively per person per day. The basic equivalent user shall be subject to revision if population, water usage, sewage volume, and/or other information indicates flow and/or strength significantly different than defined herein.

EQUIVALENT USER SCHEDULE: The number of equivalent users to be assigned to each user shall be in accordance with the latest adopted edition of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District Equivalent User Schedule. (Resolution 37-97 and Resolution 10-97) Assignment of equivalent users shall apply for one year. A single user having more than one classification of use shall be the sum of all uses.

REVIEW AND REVISION OF RATES: The sewer rates and connections fees shall be reviewed annually and update to reflect actual costs of operation, maintenance, replacement and financing of the sewage collection and treatment facilities. The District may require installation of flow-measuring devices and/or collect wastewater samples at any time in reviewing or revising a users equivalent user charges.

USER REQUEST FOR RATE CHANGE: Any sewer user may appeal to the Board of Commissioners regarding the assignment of equivalent user units. Each appeal must be in writing and indicate actual or estimated average flow and strength of wastewater in comparison to values assigned by the District. Additional studies and review may be required by the Board.

NEW CONSTRUCTION AND VACANCIES: For new construction, the sewer user charge shall begin when the service connection has been inspected and approved. All sewer users shall pay a user charge for their premises even though vacant or unoccupied, unless said premises have been destroyed by fire, demolished or other wise made unfit for human habitation, then the user charge shall be terminated until the premises become habitable. The sewer ERU's will be classified as dedicated capacity.

MONTHLY RATES: Monthly rates for all sewer users in the District shall be established by resolution at a public meeting. The Monthly Rates shall be reviewed annually by the Board of Commissioners.

DEDICATED CAPACITY- Sewer ERU's that are not assigned to a residence or building and may be subject to special monthly fees as reserve units.

2.3 BILLING FOR SERVICE

A. The District shall bill all sewer service customers in accordance with the adopted Schedule of Rates and Charges, The current schedule shall be made available to the public at the District's business office. Sewer service billings shall include a base rate charged according to the service connection.

B. Property owners whose property is rented to others shall be responsible for all sewer charges. All sewer bills and notices will be sent to the property owner, unless requested otherwise in writing by the property owner.

C. The District shall have the right to charge a reasonable fee for processing checks returned to them by the bank for any reason, when such checks are received in payment of charges.

D. All sewer service charges are due at the District's business office by the last day of the billing cycle. A 10% late charge will be charged on all amounts not paid when due.

E. An additional notice of amount due shall be sent to any customer whose bill is not paid when due. If said bill is not paid on or before the 15th day following such written notice, the service will be turned off by the District and shall remain off until all charges, including penalties are remitted.

F. Developers will be required to sign District form, Intent to Pay Engineer Plan Review & Inspection Fees.

G. Billing for regular service begins at date service is available to site regardless of use or building construction completion.

2.4 AUTHORIZATION FOR RECEIPT OF PAYMENTS

The Manager or any such person who shall from time to time under the authority of the Board of Commissioners act as Manager of the District, shall collect all the rates and charges herein designated, and all such sums when collected shall be transferred by the District at least once each month to the County Treasurer of Spokane County.

2.5 NEW CONNECTIONS

New Connections: Billing for new connections will commence on the day of final inspection and approval of the sewer connection to the property.

2.6 DELINQUENT ACCOUNTS

All sewer charges against property owners receiving or capable of receiving such services are deemed charges against the property served and when such charges are not paid by the end of the billing cycle, such charges are considered delinquent. Upon such charges becoming delinquent, there shall be added to said charges a late charge of ten percent (10%) per month of the amount of such charges due.

Delinquent charges and penalties added thereto shall be a lien against the property upon which such service was received or capable of being received, subject only to the lien for general taxes, and shall be certified to the Treasurer of Spokane County whenever such charges have been delinquent for a period of three (3) months. The District may, thereafter bring suit and foreclose such lien by civil action in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for Spokane County pursuant to RCW 57.

2.7 CESSATION OF BILLING

All changes of ownership of property occurring during any calendar month will be billed to the date of change.

In the event that buildings or structures being billed for sewer service are destroyed by fire, demolished or otherwise made unfit for human occupation, the District will, upon capping off of the side-sewer and having such cap-off inspected by the District, terminate its billing. Dedicated capacity charges may be applicable. At such time as buildings are rebuilt or otherwise made suitable for human occupation, the District will resume its billing for sewer service in accordance with the appropriate rate into which the user classification falls.

2.8 RESPONSIBILITY FOR SIDE-SEWER MAINTENANCE

All expenses of operation and upkeep of the sewer system of the District, including the mains and side-sewers located in the street, alley, roads, and land for which an easement has been granted the District, shall be paid by the District except those expenses (1) cause by the negligence of the property owner or lessee; (2) resulting from the special or extraordinary service needs of a property owner or lessee; and (3) resulting from new or modified connections to the system made at the request of the property owner or lessee, which shall be paid by the owner or lessee of the property served. Except as provided above, the District shall be responsible for maintenance and repair and replacement of side-sewers up to the property line. The property owner shall be responsible for maintenance and repair and replacement of side-sewer on private property.

When any side-sewer or private sewer or portion thereof located on private property becomes obstructed, broken or out of order, the District shall, if the owner, agent, or tenant of such premises fails to repair the same after ten (10) days notice to do so, cause such drainpipe to be removed, reconstructed, repaired, altered, or cleansed as may be required, and all expenses incurred for such repair, replacement and cleaning shall be the responsibility of the property owner, agent or occupant of the premises.

2.9 PUMP POLICY

I. Installation:

A. The Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District No. 1 (the "District") will purchase grinder pumps (including their container and the necessary electrical equipment and controls) for existing homes, that, due

to topographical difficulties, are unable to be connected to the District's sewer system by conventional gravity lines. The District will retain ownership of said pumps.

B. Homes constructed after the District's sanitary sewer construction period (approximately January, 1979) that, due to topography, are unable to utilize conventional gravity lines, will have pumps installed by the homeowner, at the sole expense of the individual homeowner. The type of pump must be both acceptable to the District and conform to its By-laws. The District will assume ownership of the pump upon acceptance by the District.

C. The placement and location of the pumps referred to in Section 1.1 and 1.2 must be mutually acceptable to both the homeowners and the District. If the parties can't agree, the District's determination is final.

D. The homeowner is in all respects responsible, including expenses relating thereto, for providing adequate electrical service so the grinder pump be safely connected in conformity with appropriate building codes.

E. The homeowner shall bear the cost of all electricity required to operate the grinder pump.

F. The homeowner is responsible for and shall bear the expense of the construction and installation of the service line from the home to the grinder pump and from such pump to the side sewer, if one has been installed or to the District's main sewer if no side sewer exists.

II. Maintenance:

The District, at its expense, will provide maintenance and repair to the grinder pumps.

III. Hold Harmless:

The homeowner, by accepting the installation of a grinder pump, agrees to hold the District harmless from any and all losses, claims, expenses, damages, obligations and liabilities relating to the installation, operation, maintenance and repair of the grinder pump except for expenses and damages arising out of the District's gross negligence.

SECTION III - REQUIREMENTS FOR CONNECTIONS

3.2 CONNECTION CHARGES

Connection Charges: Connection charges and hookup fees shall be in accordance to the latest edition of the Board adopted Rate Schedule and thereafter amended.

3.3 ILLEGAL CONNECTIONS

No gutter drain, downspout, storm water collection system, street drainage, swimming pool or any such waters shall be connected with the public sanitary sewer or side-sewer. Also, no cesspool, septic tank, privy vault or cistern shall be connected with the sanitary sewer or side-sewer.

3.4 OPENING PUBLIC SEWER: PERMIT REQUIRED

It shall be unlawful for any person to make any opening in any sewer or connect any private sewer drain therewith, without complying with all of the provisions of this Resolution in relation thereto and having a permit to do so from the District.

Application for permit shall be made at the Business Office of the District, or at such other place as may be designated in Spokane County, Washington.

3.5 PERMIT TO OPEN SEWER HOW OBTAINED

In order to obtain the permit provided in section 3.4, any licensed side-sewer contractor employed to do the work or the owner or occupant of any property shall file an application in writing with the Manager

stating the name of the owner or occupant of the premises to be connected, giving service address, lot, block, plat, and addition, or other legal description, the number of buildings on premises, and the purpose for which they are to be used, together with plans and specifications showing the whole course of the drain from the sewer or other outlet to its connection with the building or premises and all branches, traps, and fixtures to be connected therewith, which plans and specifications shall be submitted with two (2) copies to the Manager and he may change or modify the same and designate the manner in which such connections shall be made with the building, the place where such connections of the public sewer shall be made, specify the size and grade of such connecting sewer, and endorse his approval on such plans and specifications as originally prepared or as modified and changed.

Upon approval of such plans and specifications as heretofore provided, the Manager shall issue as permit as provided in this Resolution and it shall be unlawful for any person to alter the approved plans and specifications or to do any other work than is provided for in the permit, or to repair, extend, or connect to any private sewer or drain without first obtaining a permit as provided in this ordinance. The Manager shall prepare and keep on file in the District's Business Office all cards and records of buildings connected to sewers showing the size of the lot, location of the building or buildings, and the whole course of the side-sewer drain to the public sewer.

3.6 THE OWNER DESIRING TO CONSTRUCT, EXTEND, OR REPAIR SEWER INSIDE PROPERTY

It shall be unlawful for any person to construct, extend, re-lay, repair, or make connections to a private or side-sewer within the property lines without obtaining a permit therefore as herein provided and submitting a scale drawing showing the location thereof as provided in section 3.5 of this Resolution. The Manager may issue such permit to the owner or occupant of any property to construct, extend, re-lay, repair, or make connections to a side-sewer, or private sewer, lying inside of the property line, provided that such owner or occupant shall comply with the applicable provisions of this ordinance except he need not employ a licensed side-sewer contractor to do such work.

3.7 REGULATIONS FOR SIDE-SEWER CONTRACTORS

All contractors working on sewer mains and/or side sewers within the District shall be a licensed and bonded contractor to do work in the State of Washington. Contractors shall obtain and keep in force during the term of contract or work, Commercial General Liability insurance policies with insurance companies approved by the Insurance Commissioner of the State of Washington. The Contractor shall file with the District a certified copy of all policies or a certificate of insurance evidencing such policies are in force. The certificate shall be accompanied by such policy endorsements as are necessary to comply with these requirements. The Contractor shall not commence work until all required insurance's are in place and approved by the District. Insurance policies shall name the District, its elected or appointed officials, employees and volunteers as insured with regards to damages and defense of claims. The District shall be given 45 days written notice of cancellation. The coverage provided by the Contractor insurance policy shall be primary to any insurance maintained by the district. The Contractors insurance policies shall not contain deductibles or any self insured retention in excess of \$10,000. The types and limits of insurance shall be that required by the Districts Insurance provider and/or insurance pool in effect at the time of Contractors work. The Contractor will maintain all insurance requirements of the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries and any other agency or organization requiring insurance coverage for contractors providing work in the State of Washington. The contractual coverage of the Contractors policy shall be sufficiently broad enough to insure the provisions of the Hold Harmless and Indemnification Agreement required by the District. Nothing contained in these requirements is to be construed as limiting the extent of the Contractor's responsibility for payment of damages resulting from his operations in the District.

3.8 ADDITIONAL WORK--NEW PERMIT REQUIRED

When a permit has been issued for a private sewer drain as herein provided, no additional work shall be put in without the approval of the Manager and a new permit must be taken out covering all additional work.

3.9 LIFE OF PERMIT--EXTENSION OF TIME

In the event work shall not be completed within one year (365) days after being issued permit, the permit becomes void and a new permit will be required. No permit issued under the provisions of this Resolution shall be valid for a longer period than that specified in such permit.

3.11 CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS FOR SIDE-SEWERS

All materials and standards of construction shall be subject to the latest approved edition of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District Standard Construction Specifications.

3.12 WORK IN PUBLIC STREETS--BONDED CONTRACTOR

No person shall install side-sewers in any public thoroughfare or right-of-way and make connection to the District sewer unless he is bonded to do such work to the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District and to Spokane County and has adequate liability insurance. Bond and insurance forms along with opening permit issued by Spokane County must be in the possession of person working in right-of-way. The Manager has the authority to waive this requirements in the event of emergency.

3.13 RESTORATION OF ROADWAYS

All work within the limits of any street or public place must proceed to completion with due diligence and if any excavation is left open beyond a reasonable time, in the opinion of the District, the Manager or Engineer may cause the same to be rebuilt and the street to be restored forthwith and any costs incurred in such work shall be charged to the contractor in charge of such work, or to the owner of the property and the actual costs may be added to the bill for sewer services and shall be a lien upon the property served by such side-sewer.

3.14 EXCAVATION TO BE GUARDED

All excavations for purpose of sewer installations made by any person within the limits of any street, alley, avenue or other public place or easement shall be protected and guarded by fencing or covering by such person, both by night and by day, by the display of proper signals and lights. If the Manager deems any such guards, fencing, or covering inadequate, the person making such excavation shall place necessary or additional guards, fencing or covering as the Manager or Engineer may direct.

Persons conducting any part, or all of the operations connected with the work as set forth above, will be held responsible for any failure to respect, adhere to and comply with, all ordinances, resolutions and laws governing, controlling, or limiting in any way, the action of those engaged upon the work.

3.15 CALL FOR INSPECTION--NOTICE OF DEFECTS

Any person performing work subject to the provisions of this Resolution shall notify the District when the work will be ready for inspection, and shall specify in such notice the location of the premises. If the inspector finds the work or material used is not in accordance with the provisions of this Resolution, he shall notify the person doing the work and also the owner of the premises by posting written notice upon the premises, and such posted notice shall be all the notice that is required to be given of the defects in the work or material found in such inspection and a copy of such notice shall be kept on file in the District Office. If such defects are not corrected within thirty (30) days for such posted notice, the Manager or Engineer, if in

their opinion such defective work is detrimental to the public sewerage system, may order or cause the said defects to be corrected and the actual cost of such correction shall be chargeable to the owner as a service charge and shall be a lien upon the property served by such side-sewer.

3.16 INSPECTION BEFORE TRENCHES ARE FILLED

No trench shall be filled nor any connecting sewer covered until the work from the place where the same connects with the public sewer, or other outlet, to the point where it connects with the **iron pipe**, or other plumbing of the building or premises to be connected, shall have been inspected and approved by or under the direction of the Chief Operator and until the same shall have been made in all respects to conform to the provisions of this Resolution.

3.18 INSPECTOR'S RIGHT OF ENTRY

The Manager, Engineer, or other designated inspectors, or authorized representatives shall have free access to all buildings or premises for the purpose of examining any or all private sewers or drains and of ascertaining whether the provisions of this Resolution are being complied with, and for such purpose shall, at all reasonable times, have the right to enter and inspect such buildings, and it shall be unlawful for any person to prevent, or attempt to prevent, any entrance or inspection or to obstruct or interfere with any such officer while engaged therein.

3.19 MODIFICATION OF REGULATIONS

The Commissioners of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District shall have the authority to repeal, modify, or add to these regulations, bylaws, and rules at any meeting, either regular meeting or special meeting.

If any section, sentence, clause, or part of this Resolution is for any reason held invalid, such decision shall not affect the remaining portion of this Resolution. The Board of Commissioners hereby declares that it would have passed this Resolution and each section, sentence, clause and part thereof, despite the fact that one or more sections, sentences, clauses and parts thereof be declared invalid.

ADOPTED by the Board of Commissioners of the Liberty Lake Sewer & Water District, Spokane County, Washington at a regular meeting thereof this ____ day of _____ 2012

Steve Skipworth, President

Frank L. Boyle, Secretary

Tom Agnew, Commissioner

ATTEST

F. Lee Mellish, Manager

**Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District
Water Quality Variance Request**

Name of facility:

Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District - Water Reclamation Facility

Facility contact (name and title):

BiJay Adams, General Manager

Phone (area code and number):

(509) 922-5443

Email address:

bijay@libertylake.org

Facility mailing address:

22510 E. Mission Ave., Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Facility location (street address and latitude/longitude):

1926 N. Harvard Rd., Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Lat.: 47° 40' 36"N., Long.: 117° 06' 33" W.

Existing NPDES permit number for facility:

WA0045144

Receiving Water Body:

Spokane, River, WA-57-1010

Location of Discharge:

River Mile 92.3

Lat.: 47° 40' 42"N., Long.: 117° 07' 00" W.

Sources of Influent:

Municipal Wastewater

List the other NPDES, state waste discharge, or clean-up permits/orders applicable to the facility location, to areas that discharge to the facility or to the waterbody on which the facility discharge is located.

None

Attach a topographic map of the facility location that shows the locations of all permitted NPDES and state waste discharges and clean-up sites, and the service areas (in the case of municipal wastewater and stormwater permits) for the permitted facilities listed above. Show the outline of the facility, location of intake and discharge structures. Include all springs, rivers, and other surface water bodies in the map area.

Attached

Pollutant and criterion for which a variance is being requested (e.g., total PCBs criterion of 7 pg/L):

Pollutant: PCB's
Criterion: 7 pg/L

Designated use for which a variance is being requested (e.g., harvest of fish and shellfish):

Harvest of fish

Is this a request for a discharger variance (individual or multi-discharger) or a waterbody variance? (see WAC 173-201A-420(2) and 40 CFR 131.14(b)(1)(ii), or, contact Ecology for more information):

Individual discharger

Signature of Responsible Official (person who signs permit applications):

Signature: _____
Bijay Adams, General Manager

Date: April 30, 2019

When submitting a variance request to Ecology the following information must be included to fulfill the requirements of WAC 173-201A-420(3). Ecology recommends that any entity requesting a variance contact Ecology first to determine whether a variance is needed and the level/types of information needed to fulfill the requirements of WAC 173-201A-420(3).

1. The pollutant-specific criteria and designated use(s) proposed to be modified by the variance, and the proposed duration of the variance.

A. Pollutant-specific criteria:

PCBs. The water quality criterion for which the District request a variance is the 7 pg/L Human Health water quality criteria for Total PCB's.

B. Designated uses:

The designated use for the human health criteria is fish harvest and consumption, domestic water, and recreation. This variance is a request for establishment of criteria based on a Highest Attainable Condition (HAC) based on 40 CFR 131.14 (g) (3); Human caused conditions or sources of pollution prevent the attainment of the use and cannot be remedied or would cause more environmental damage to correct than to leave in place.

C. Proposed duration of variance:

The District request a variance of the Total PCB criteria for a period of 20 years. The two recent upgrades to the District's treatment facility were completed at significant cost (\$37.3 Million) to the District's customers. The most recent upgrade included addition of chemical coagulation and membrane filtration. Chemical coagulation and membrane filtration is recognized as the most technical, economical, and feasible technology available to meet effluent limits based on the underlying water quality criteria. As discussed in section 3 below there is currently no treatment technology available that has been proven to treat municipal wastewater to 7 pg/L on a consistent basis. The District is currently optimizing the operation of the facility to achieve effluent criteria in the current NPDES permit and to identify what measures if any can be taken to further reduce PCB concentrations in the discharge. In addition, the District has begun to evaluate reuse options to reduce or eliminate the effluent discharge to the Spokane River. If found to be feasible some of these alternatives will take 20 years to evaluate, plan, acquire funding, and implement.

2. A demonstration that attaining the water quality standard for a specific pollutant is not feasible for the requested duration of the variance based on 40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(2)(i).

Meeting the Water Quality of 7 pg/L is not feasible within the 20-year variance duration requested for the following reasons:

A. Limitations for reducing PCB concentrations in the District's discharge to 7 pg/L;

i. There is no treatment technology available to meet the water quality standard; As discussed in section 3 below there is currently no treatment technology available that has been proven to treat municipal wastewater to 7 pg/L on a consistent basis.

ii. TSCA and FDA limits for PCB's does not adequately protect the influent PCB levels into the District's plant; The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR, Title 40, Chapter 1, Sub chapter R, 761.20) limits the distribution of commercial items

to PCB levels of 50 ppm. This TSCA limit allows products with levels 7,142,857,000 times the concentration of the WQS to enter the District's collection system. Furthermore, FDA mandates tolerances of 0.2 to 3.0 ppm PCBs for all foods [FDA 1996c]. Also, the enforceable MCL for PCBs in public water systems is 0.0005 ppm [EPA 2001]. The limits described above result in PCB concentrations in the plant's influent where PCB removal rates cannot be currently achieved to meet a water quality standard of 7 pg/L.

- iii. Current testing procedures do not provide consistent/accurate PCB test results to determine District compliance with effluent criteria of 7 pg/L. Laboratory test results on "blank" samples often exceed the 7 pg/L water quality standard. There is currently no approved laboratory testing procedure with detection limits that will provide the District with reliable test data to confirm compliance with an effluent limit at the water quality standard.
- iv. Sources of PCBs in the District's wastewater are outside the control of the District; PCBs are persistent and widespread in our environment with half-lives estimated at 10-15 years. They are found in food products, clothing, paper products, and personal care products. The District has no regulatory control over the use of those products nor do they have control to reduce or eliminate PCB concentrations in those products (See TSCA discussion above).
- v. Additional treatment added to the Water Reclamation Facility would cause more environmental damage than if they are not done; Additional treatment technologies discussed in the evaluation of treatment options section of this request may minimally reduce PCB concentrations in the District's Water Reclamation Facility. These technologies would require more chemical addition and significantly higher energy consumption resulting in an increase in the carbon footprint of the treatment facility. This would result in more environmental damage from the increase in carbon emissions than the minimal environmental benefit that they are estimated to achieve.

B. Limitations for reducing PCB concentrations in the Spokane River to 7pg/L;

- i. PCB levels in the Spokane River come from many sources that are difficult if not impossible to control; Sources of PCBs include groundwater contamination sites, non-point sources, and aquifer concentrations above the water quality standard contributing flow to the river through the gaining reaches. In addition, groundwater is withdrawn from the aquifer for domestic, commercial and irrigation use in the District. This water enters into the District's wastewater system through normal daily uses of drinking water such as laundry, showering/bathing, dish and clothes washing, cooking, flushing and excretions from drinking and eating liquids and foods consumed by customers.
- ii. Idaho water quality standard is higher than the standard required in Washington; On April 4, 2019 EPA Region 10 approved Idaho's Human Health Water Quality Standards (HHWQS) with a PCB criteria of 0.00019 µ/L for water. The water quality standards for PCBs in the Spokane River in Idaho prior to entering Washington are significantly higher than those in Washington.

3. An evaluation of treatment or alternative actions that were considered to meet effluent limits based on the underlying water quality criteria, and a description of why these options are not technically, economically, or otherwise feasible.

The District has implemented a state-of-the art biological, chemical, and ultrafiltration (nominal pore size of 0.04 μm) membrane treatment system for nutrient removal and is currently producing highly treated effluent with PCBs less than 1,000 pg/L. The District’s treatment system would have been adequate for compliance with state water quality standards for toxics prior to implementation of the new 7 pg/L human health criteria for PCBs in surface waters in 2016, and the publishing of EPA Method 1668C in 2010 for detecting PCBs down to 7 - 77 pg/L in clean water (depending on the PCB congener) (U.S. EPA 2010).

However, because the changes to the surface water quality standards and analytical test methods have occurred relatively recently, the available technologies that have been demonstrated at full-scale for treatment of PCBs to the new standard of 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C are limited, if non-existent. Table 1 is a summary of feasible “add-on” PCB treatment technology alternatives for the District’s existing state-of-the art treatment plant, and Table 3 is a summary of alternatives for optimizing the existing treatment system. The technologies included in the tables are technologies that could theoretically, based on the current science available, reduce the trace PCBs in the District’s treatment system effluent, and are capable of being implemented on a high-flow domestic waste stream. Other technologies for PCB destruction exist and are discussed in detail in various publications (U.S. EPA 1976) (Rahuman 2000) (Gomes 2013) (Urbaniak 2013) (Ayanda 2014) (Jing 2018). These other technologies are applicable to the remediation of sediments, soils, solvents, or concentrated low volume industrial wastes. They typically involve high temperature, pressure, and/or radiation, solvents, metallic catalysts, and other processes that are not considered to be technologically feasible for trace PCB removal from high-flow domestic wastewater streams.

Table 1. Evaluation of Additional Treatment and Treatment Optimization Alternatives

Add-On Treatment Alternatives	Feasibility and Implementation Considerations	Economic and Environmental Considerations
Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Biological Activated Carbon (BAC) Powder Activated Carbon (PAC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive. -GAC has been considered the best available technology for plant scale treatment of PCBs in wastewaters since the 1970s (U.S. EPA 1976). -Benchtop studies have shown removal of PCBs to non-detect levels (Ghosh 1999) (Des Ligneris 2018). One benchtop study demonstrated removal of PCBs down to less than 100 pg/L for trichlorobiphenyl-18 and to 0.1 pg/L for hexachlorobiphenyl-158 using coal-based activated carbon (Ghosh U. 2012). -In the presence of influent particulates, PCB removal was better for BAC (99%) than for GAC (62%) (Ghosh 1999). Biofilm covered GAC has a higher removal efficiency due to simultaneous adsorption and biodegradation (Kjellerup 2013). -PAC and alum removed 78-98% of PCBs in a benchtop study (Roninska 2015). PAC could be added in low doses ahead of the existing alum coagulation process to enhance organic matter and PCB removal. Permission 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Lower capital cost than other add-on treatment processes due to the relative simplicity and widespread use of activated carbon treatment systems. -High operation and maintenance costs due to energy for pumping and spent media replacement and disposal. -Lower carbon footprint and land space requirements than other add-on treatment processes.

Add-On Treatment Alternatives	Feasibility and Implementation Considerations	Economic and Environmental Considerations
	from the existing membrane system manufacturer would be required.	
Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOP) with ultraviolet (UV) light and Hydrogen Peroxide, or Ozone, or Electrochemical Peroxidation (ECP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive. -One benchtop study using UV-hydrogen peroxide showed over 99% removal of six PCB congeners down to less than 1 ng/L after 160 minutes (Kastanek 2004). A second benchtop study showed 98% removal of PCB-153 using UV-hydrogen peroxide (Yu D. N. 2011). -Another study using pulsed corona discharge to generate UV and hydrogen peroxide degraded nearly 70% of PCB-47 within 60 minutes (Sahni 2005). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -High capital cost than other add-on processes due to complexity and being a less-developed technology. -Higher operation and maintenance costs due to high energy costs and/or chemical costs, but no residual or media disposal costs. -Higher carbon footprint due to high energy and/or chemical consumption. -Lower land space requirements than other add-on treatment processes.
Reverse Osmosis (RO) Nanofiltration (NF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive. -Molecular weight of PCBs range from ~190 g/mole to ~500 g/mole, so theoretically PCBs could be excluded by RO and partially by NF for PCBs larger than ~300 g/mole (J. S. Taylor 1989). NF membrane pore sizes are in the range of 0.001 to 0.005 µm, and they will reject multivalent ions. RO membrane pore sizes are typically less than 0.001 µm, and they will reject monovalent ions. -Removal of 12 PCB congeners in the range of 0.15 to 50 pg/L through microfiltration and RO was demonstrated in a limited duration pilot study (Rodriguez, Dioxins, furans, and PCBs in recycled water for indirect potable reuse 2008). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -High capital cost due to complexity and management of high dissolved salts in membrane reject streams which require storage and evaporation. -High operation and maintenance costs due to energy costs for pumping and evaporation, chemical costs for membrane maintenance, and membrane replacement costs. -High carbon footprint due to high energy, and chemical/membrane consumption. -Large land space requirements for storage and evaporation of reject streams.
Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive. -Pseudomonas bacterium, polyphosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs), found in biofilms and wastewater treatment systems, are capable of oxidizing PCBs into chloric benzoic acids (Novakova H., PCB metabolism by Pseudomonas sp. P2 2002) (Chavez F. P., Growth of polychlorinated-biphenyl-degrading bacteria in the presence of biphenyl and chlorobiphenyls generates oxidative stress and massive accumulation of inorganic polyphosphate 2004) (Chavez, Adaptive responses and cellular behaviour of biphenyl-degrading bacteria toward polychlorinated biphenyls 2006). -Combined Moving-Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR) and membrane filtration system showed removal efficiencies for PCB77 of 73% under anaerobic conditions and 84% under aerobic conditions (Dong 2015). -Inland Empire Paper pilot testing demonstrated an average ~50% removal of total PCBs from 1,920 pg/L to ~1,000 pg/L (Inland Empire Paper Company 2018). -The rate of aerobic biodegradation of PCB compounds increases with the reduction of chlorination (Furukawa K. 1978). -PCB monitoring data for the District's existing treatment system indicates that the existing system is completely removing PCB homologs with 7 or greater chlorine atoms, and most of the time is removing those homologs with 5 or more (Century West Engineering Corporation 2019), which may increase the potential for further biodegradation with a biofilm treatment system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -High capital cost if implemented as an add-on process due the additional membrane solids separation process and complexity. May be implemented as a polishing process prior to the treatment plant's existing membrane filtration system to reduce costs. -High operation and maintenance costs due to energy costs for pumping and aeration, added chemical costs for membrane cleaning, and additional biosolids disposal costs. -High carbon footprint due to high energy use, and additional chemical consumption. -Larger land space requirements than for other add-on treatment processes.

Phytoremediation	<p>-Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive.</p> <p>-Phytoplankton (algae and cyanobacteria) play a critical role in controlling the fate of PCBs in water columns because they are high in lipids (Lynn 2007).</p> <p>-Blue-green algae (Cyanobacteria) are capable of degrading 40 to 68% dioxin-like PCBs after sufficient exposure times, up to 25 days (Zhang 2015).</p> <p>-Five species of microalgae have been identified for bioaccumulation of PCBs (Baghour 2017).</p>	<p>-High capital cost if implemented as an add-on treatment process due additional solids separation process and complexity.</p> <p>-May be implemented as a polishing process prior to the treatment plant's existing membrane filtration system to reduce costs.</p> <p>-High capital cost if implemented as a natural system due to land and ecosystem requirements.</p> <p>-High operation and maintenance costs due to energy for pumping and UV radiation, added chemical costs for membrane cleaning, and additional biosolids disposal costs, if implemented as an add-on mechanical treatment process.</p> <p>-Lower carbon footprint than other add-on treatment process due to carbon fixation of phyto-organisms, although system may still consume energy and additional chemicals.</p> <p>-Larger land space requirements than other add-on treatment processes.</p>
Oil/Solvent Sorption and Filtration	<p>-Data demonstrating removal of PCBs to 7 pg/L using EPA Method 1668C is inconclusive.</p> <p>-PCBs are freely soluble in non-polar organic solvents, oils, and biological lipids, and the degree of water to lipid solubility is linked to the degree of chlorination, with the higher chlorinated PCBs less soluble in water and more soluble in non-polar oils and solvents (Shui W. Y. 1986).</p> <p>-Pilot testing from 2015 through 2018 at Kaiser Aluminum's Trentwood facility showed that for an average inlet PCB concentration of 350 ng/L, the walnut shell filtration system removed an average of ~70% of PCBs using 15 mg/L of castor oil (Leber 2019).</p>	<p>-High capital cost due to solvent management systems, complexity, and being a less developed technology.</p> <p>-High operation and maintenance costs due to energy for pumping, and spent media and solvent replacement and disposal costs.</p> <p>-Lower carbon footprint and land space requirements than other add-on treatment processes.</p>

Table 2. Evaluation of Additional Treatment and Treatment Optimization Alternatives

Treatment Optimization and Pollutant Minimization	Feasibility and Implementation Considerations	Economic and Environmental Considerations
Coagulant and Alkalinity Dosing	<p>-Various coagulants have been shown to remove PCBs from drinking water with aluminum sulfate being one of the most effective in bench scale studies (up to 65% total PCB removal) (A. Rosinska 2017). The removal efficiency increases with the PCB hydrophobicity and degree of chlorination, and the degree to which natural organic matter (NOM) is removed. Sorption of organic micropollutants onto NOM, and neutralization and coagulation of the NOM play an important role in the removal of organic micropollutants (Li X. 2009).</p> <p>-Testing of PCBs, total and dissolved organic carbon, and specific ultraviolet absorbance (SUVA) before and after the coagulation and filtration process could help correlate NOM and PCB removal and coagulant and alkalinity doses, and determine if adjustments can be made to improve removal efficiency.</p> <p>-Testing of all bulk chemicals used in the coagulation process for PCBs is recommended prior to optimization of the coagulation process. Alternate suppliers of PCB-free bulk chemicals may be required.</p>	<p>-Environmental and economic impacts of testing and optimization of the coagulation process would be less significant than implementing new add-on treatment processes, but would likely increase operational costs.</p>

Treatment Optimization and Pollutant Minimization	Feasibility and Implementation Considerations	Economic and Environmental Considerations
Biosolids Storage and Dewatering Processes	<p>-Biological and chemical solids removed from the secondary clarifiers are stored and then dewatered by the belt filter press. PCBs adsorbed to solids that are not captured by the dewatering process or PCBs that remain in solution may be recycled back to the head of the treatment system during biosolids dewatering.</p> <p>-Increasing the solids capture efficiency of the dewatering system, through operational adjustments of the belt filter press, may reduce the PCB loading recycled back to the treatment system.</p> <p>-Optimizing the mixing and the selection of polymers in the dewatering process to capture PCBs may also reduce PCB recycling back to the treatment system.</p> <p>-Treatment technologies could also be used on the dewatering reject stream to reduce recycled PCB loadings, but it is not clear if these PCBs are already removed by the tertiary membrane filtration system, and if so, would not improve overall PCB removal by the treatment system.</p> <p>-Biosolids stored for longer than a few days tend to decompose and release organics and nutrients back into soluble forms which are recycled back to the treatment system instead of being dewatered. There is a potential for PCBs to be released and recycled through the same process. Limiting the duration of biosolids storage may reduce this recycling of PCBs.</p>	<p>-Testing of PCBs in the solids dewatering reject stream could help determine the extent to which PCBs are recycled back to the head of the treatment system. Testing for PCBs and optimization of the solids dewatering and storage processes may increase operational costs, although the overall environmental and economic impacts would be minor.</p> <p>-Since the dewatering system operates intermittently and produces a smaller volume waste stream, it may be more cost effective to treat the dewatering reject stream than implement new full-scale treatment processes.</p>
Membrane Cleaning	<p>The existing membrane filtration system uses various chemicals for membrane cleaning, including sodium hypochlorite. The dilute cleaning solutions are recycled back to the treatment system after membrane cleaning on a periodic basis. Testing of PCBs in the bulk cleaning chemical solutions and in the membrane cleaning reject stream may help determine if PCBs are generated in the membrane cleaning process. Modifications to the cleaning process could be evaluated with the membrane system manufacturer if required.</p>	<p>-Testing for PCBs in the reject stream and bulk cleaning chemicals would increase operational costs, although the overall environmental and economic impacts would be minor.</p> <p>-The economic and environmental impacts of modifying the membrane cleaning process or chemicals are unknown, but are assumed to be less than implementing new treatment processes.</p>
Evaluate Enhancing Conditions for Microorganisms that are Capable of PCB Biodegradation	<p>-Various species of bacteria have been shown to dechlorinate PCBs under anaerobic conditions (Furukawa K. 1978) (L. V. Master E. R. 2002) (Fava F. 1994).</p> <p>-Sequential exposure of PCBs to anaerobic and aerobic degradation has shown to increase overall biodegradation of PCBs to chlorobenzoic acids by reducing the chlorine molecules on the PCBs and thereby increasing the rate of aerobic biodegradation (Furukawa K. 1978), (L. V. Master E. R. 2002).</p> <p>-PCB monitoring data for the District's wastewater treatment system indicates that the existing system is completely removing PCB homologs with 7 or greater chlorine atoms, and most of the time is removing those homologs with 5 or more (Century West Engineering Corporation 2019).</p> <p>-Removal of higher chlorinated homologs is likely due to adsorption to non-polar solids, but may also be due in part to reductive dechlorination in the treatment system (Rodenburg L. A. 2010).</p>	<p>-Testing of PCBs after the anaerobic basins (prior to aeration basins) and after the aeration basins prior to coagulation and UF membranes could help determine if the existing anaerobic and aerobic treatment conditions support PCB biodegradation and if these conditions can be optimized for PCB removal without negatively affecting treatment removal of other constituents.</p> <p>-Testing for PCBs in the activated sludge system would increase operational costs, although the environmental and economic impacts would be minor.</p> <p>-The economic and environmental impacts of modifying the existing biological treatment process are unknown, but are assumed to be less than implementing new treatment processes.</p>

4. Sufficient water quality data & analysis to characterize receiving water & discharge concentrations.

Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-201A-420(3)(d) requires that “sufficient water quality data and analyses to characterize receiving and discharge water pollutant concentrations” be submitted with a variance request. This section addresses this requirement by summarizing the Spokane River total PCB water quality in the vicinity of the District’s treatment plant discharge and the effluent water quality from the plant.

Spokane River Receiving Water PCB Water Quality Data

The Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force (SRRRTTF) has collected samples from various locations in the Spokane River between years 2014 and 2018. The samples were analyzed using EPA Method 1668C and the results incorporated into a database that is available to the members of the SRRRTTF, which includes the Washington State Department of Ecology and the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Since the data is readily available, this receiving water quality summary only includes the PCB data collected from sampling locations immediately upstream and downstream of the District’s treatment plant discharge.

The District’s treatment plant discharges to the Spokane River at river mile 92.4. Upstream, the City of Post Falls wastewater treatment plant discharges at river mile 100.5, and the City of Coeur d’Alene wastewater treatment plant discharges at river mile 110. The nearest upstream river PCB sampling location is at the Lake Coeur d’Alene Outlet Gage (Blackwell Island) at river mile 111.3. The nearest downstream river PCB sampling location is at Greenacres Gage (Barker Road) at river mile 90.3. The results of the upstream and downstream sampling are summarized in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. The downstream total PCB concentrations do not appear to consistently comply with the 7 pg/L human health criteria-based water quality standard, although occasionally the measurements do indeed fall below the standard when blank censored for quality assurance. The total PCB concentrations appear to be increasing from the upstream to the downstream sampling locations, but since two other treatment plants and potentially other non-point sources also discharge between these two sampling locations, it is difficult to determine what portion of the total PCB concentrations in the river water column might be attributed to the District’s discharge.

Table 3. Lake Coeur d'Alene Outlet Gage Total PCB Measurements 2014 to 2016

		Total PCB Concentration (pq/L)			
	Sample Collection Date	Uncensored	3x Blank Censored	5x Blank Censored	10x Blank Censored
1	8/12/2014	42.1	15.8	7.4	7.4
2	8/14/2014	66.0	47.4	21.5	13.3
3	8/16/2014	48.1	10.3	8.3	8.3
4	8/18/2014	48.6	2.9	2.9	2.9
5	8/20/2014	46.8	8.0	8.0	8.0
6	8/22/2014	42.4	1.7	1.7	1.7
7	8/23/2014	39.3	0.9	0.9	0.9
8	8/24/2014	32.8	1.4	1.4	1.4
9	10/26/2014	62.0	17.8	12.3	10.7
10	(1) 3/24/2016	38.9	8.7	8.7	8.7
11	4/19/2016	54.8	30.7	18.9	18.9
12	5/24/2016	88.4	14.2	14.2	14.2
13	6/16/2016	61.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Minimum	32.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
	Geometric Mean	49.9	6.9	5.6	5.4
	Arithmetic Mean	51.7	12.5	8.4	7.6
	95% Percentile	75.0	37.4	19.9	16.1
	99% Percentile	85.7	45.4	21.1	18.4
	Maximum	88.4	47.4	21.5	18.9

Notes: (1) Data is presented as the average of duplicates.

Table 4. Greenacres Gage (Barker Road) Total PCB Measurements 2014 to 2018

		Total PCB Concentration (pq/L)			
	Sample Collection Date	Uncensored	3x Blank Censored	5x Blank Censored	10x Blank Censored
1	8/12/2014	53.6	21.8	12.9	11.1
2	8/14/2014	70.0	9.2	9.2	9.2
3	8/16/2014	49.0	9.6	9.6	9.6
4	8/18/2014	94.7	41.2	39.4	25.4
5	8/20/2014	55.2	8.7	8.7	8.7
6	(1) 8/22/2014	61.5	9.3	4.2	3.6
7	(2) 8/24/2014	49.4	7.1	7.1	7.1
8	8/18/2015	95.2	4.6	4.6	4.6
9	8/19/2015	80.0	6.6	6.6	6.6
10	8/20/2015	100.0	20.5	19.5	15.4
11	8/21/2015	181.0	108.1	63.2	10.3
12	(1) 8/22/2015	133.2	26.0	6.1	6.1
13	(1) 4/19/2016	36.8	8.6	6.1	6.1
14	8/5/2018	60.7	6.1	6.1	6.1
15	8/6/2018	48.7	8.3	6.5	6.5
16	8/7/2018	97.0	59.8	49.0	39.5
17	(2) 8/8/2018	48.9	5.1	5.1	5.1
18	(1) 8/4/2018	81.0	32.2	21.2	16.9
	Minimum	36.8	4.6	4.2	3.6
	Geometric Mean	71.2	13.8	10.7	8.9
	Arithmetic Mean	77.5	21.8	15.8	11.0
	95% Percentile	140.4	67.1	51.1	27.5
	99% Percentile	172.9	99.9	60.8	37.1
	Maximum	181.0	108.1	63.2	39.5

Notes:

- (1) Data is presented as the average of duplicates or replicates as applicable.
- (2) Grab sample and composite were collected the same day. Composite measurement is excluded from data set.

5. Evaluation of Existing Treatment and Highest Attainable Condition:

Treatment System Influent and Effluent PCB Water Quality Data

Table 5 and Table 6 summarize the District's influent and effluent PCB concentrations from year 2012 through the first quarter of 2019. In accordance with its discharge permit, treatment system influent and effluent samples are collected and analyzed quarterly using EPA Method 1668C. Values for congeners with a "U" qualifier (not detected at the concentration listed) or "J" qualifier (sample concentration is less than the lowest point on the calibration curve) were given a value of zero. Each of the uncensored congener measurements were censored using a 3x, 5x, or 10x blank censor factor. Values below the censor factor multiplied by the blank measurement were given a value of zero. The tables provide the results for all three blank censor factors.

Table 5. Treatment System Influent Total PCB Measurements 2012 to 2019

	Sample Collection Date	Total PCB Concentration (pq/L)			
		Uncensored	3x Blank Censored	5x Blank Censored	10x Blank Censored
1	1/10/2012	5,835	5,835	5,835	4,456
2	3/16/2012	4,208	4,165	4,165	3,724
3	4/24/2012	2,798	2,798	2,798	2,566
4	6/19/2012	1,684	1,684	1,684	1,446
5	8/21/2012	5,041	4,762	2,686	1,910
6	4/17/2013	4,080	4,080	3,707	3,194
7	1/18/2013	3,881	3,792	3,792	3,620
8	3/25/2013	4,019	3,987	3,281	2,594
9	4/25/2013	5,446	5,296	5,093	4,160
10	7/2/2013	5,268	4,519	4,480	4,267
11	4/23/2016	3,748	3,733	3,733	3,706
12	7/2/2016	3,974	3,955	3,955	3,661
13	8/25/2016	4,131	4,110	4,110	3,755
14	10/21/2016	9,195	9,195	9,195	9,195
15	2/16/2017	3,370	3,370	3,370	3,370
16	4/20/2017	5,034	5,034	5,034	5,001
17	6/21/2017	5,095	5,079	5,048	4,656
18	11/8/2017	4,498	3,603	3,297	2,828
19	1/5/2018	6,817	6,817	6,817	6,817
20	3/13/2018	3,266	3,266	3,266	3,266
21	5/14/2018	4,934	4,934	4,934	4,934
22	8/28/2018	4,064	4,064	4,064	4,064
23	10/16/2018	2,913	2,913	2,913	2,698
24	1/11/2019	4,644	4,644	4,308	4,308
25	3/22/2019	2,747	2,747	2,747	2,747
	Minimum	1,684	1,684	1,684	1,446
	Geometric Mean	4,203	4,117	3,943	3,620
	Arithmetic Mean	4,428	4,335	4,173	3,878
	95% Percentile	6,620	6,620	6,620	6,453
	99% Percentile	8,624	8,624	8,624	8,624
	Maximum	9,195	9,195	9,195	9,195

Table 6. Treatment System Effluent PCB Measurements 2012 to 2019

	Sample Collection Date	Total PCB Concentration (pq/L)			
		Uncensored	3x Blank Censored	5x Blank Censored	10x Blank Censored
1	1/31/2012	456	260	260	90
2	4/17/2012	76	66	66	66
3	7/10/2012	154	74	74	74
4	10/9/2012	330	124	124	124
5	1/16/2013	175	160	160	160
6	4/17/2013	412	106	83	83
7	7/24/2013	651	503	491	443
8	10/21/2013	541	440	425	425
9	1/21/2014	713	588	588	571
10	4/16/2014	1,116	1,085	1,009	971
11	7/17/2014	224	188	188	188
12	11/7/2014	426	220	217	200
13	1/29/2015	1,010	740	575	562
14	4/16/2015	837	636	599	599
15	7/27/2015	522	331	301	259
16	10/22/2015	324	160	159	134
17	2/1/2016	356	332	332	332
18	4/19/2016	285	264	264	264
19	7/2/2016	270	259	259	259
20	10/21/2016	260	257	257	257
21	4/20/2017	443	342	319	292
22	11/8/2017	267	113	94	94
23	2/9/2018	268	268	268	268
24	5/4/2018	146	75	75	75
25	8/13/2018	152	152	152	152
26	10/16/2018	0	0	0	0
27	12/6/2018	33	33	33	33
28	1/28/2019	175	123	78	21
	Minimum	0	0	0	0
	Geometric Mean	307	216	205	183
	Arithmetic Mean	379	282	266	250
	95% Percentile	950	704	595	589
	99% Percentile	1,087	992	899	871
	Maximum	1,116	1,085	1,009	971

The table below summarizes the percent removal through the treatment plant based on the geometric and arithmetic means of the influent and effluent total PCB measurements. The performance is presented for all three blank censor factors.

Table 7. Removal of Total PCBs through the Treatment System 2012 to 2019

	Uncensored	3x Blank Censored	5x Blank Censored	10x Blank Censored
<i>Total PCB Concentration, Geometric Mean pg/L</i>				
Influent	4203	4117	3943	3620
Effluent	307	216	205	183
Percent Removal	92.7%	94.7%	94.8%	95.0%
<i>Total PCB Concentration, Arithmetic Mean pg/L</i>				
Arithmetic Mean	4428	4335	4173	3878
Arithmetic Mean	379	282	266	250
Percent Removal	91.4%	93.5%	93.6%	93.6%

Highest Attainable (Interim Effluent) Condition (HAC)

For discharger-specific water quality standards variances, 40 CFR 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A) requires the State to identify the “highest attainable interim criterion”; or “the interim effluent condition that reflects the greatest pollutant reduction achievable”; or “if no additional feasible pollutant control technology can be identified, the interim criterion or interim effluent condition that reflects the greatest pollutant reduction achievable with the pollutant control technologies installed at the time the State adopts the water quality standards variance, and the adoption and implementation of a Pollutant Minimization Program.”

The data presented in Table 6 has been analyzed using the procedures outlined in Appendix E of the U.S. EPA’s Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (U.S. EPA 1991) and in the Washington State Department of Ecology’s Permit Writer’s Manual (Ecology 2018) for determination of performance-based effluent limits. These procedures assume a lognormal distribution of the data and 95th percentile probability to determine the highest average monthly occurrence and 99th percentile probability for the maximum day occurrence. The calculations for determining these values from the data set are summarized in Table 8. The highest attainable interim effluent condition for total PCBs would be 993 pg/L on a monthly average basis, based on using a 10x blank censor factor on the existing treatment system effluent data. The highest attainable interim effluent condition would be reevaluated every five years using the additional performance data collected during the evaluation period. Performance is expected to improve through implementation of the pollution minimization plan and optimization of the treatment system during the evaluation period.

Table 8. Derivation of Performance-Based Effluent Total PCB Criteria

	Sample Collection Date	Total PCB Concentration (pg/L)		
		LogNormal 3x Blank Censored	LogNormal 5x Blank Censored	LogNormal 10x Blank Censored
1	1/31/2012	5.6	5.6	4.5
2	4/17/2012	4.2	4.2	4.2
3	7/10/2012	4.3	4.3	4.3
4	10/9/2012	4.8	4.8	4.8
5	1/16/2013	5.1	5.1	5.1
6	4/17/2013	4.7	4.4	4.4
7	7/24/2013	6.2	6.2	6.1
8	10/21/2013	6.1	6.1	6.1
9	1/21/2014	6.4	6.4	6.3
10	4/16/2014	7.0	6.9	6.9
11	7/17/2014	5.2	5.2	5.2
12	11/7/2014	5.4	5.4	5.3
13	1/29/2015	6.6	6.4	6.3
14	4/16/2015	6.5	6.4	6.4
15	7/27/2015	5.8	5.7	5.6
16	10/22/2015	5.1	5.1	4.9
17	2/1/2016	5.8	5.8	5.8
18	4/19/2016	5.6	5.6	5.6
19	7/2/2016	5.6	5.6	5.6
20	10/21/2016	5.6	5.6	5.6
21	4/20/2017	5.8	5.8	5.7
22	11/8/2017	4.7	4.5	4.5
23	2/9/2018	5.6	5.6	5.6
24	5/4/2018	4.3	4.3	4.3
25	8/13/2018	5.0	5.0	5.0
26	10/16/2018	-	-	-
27	12/6/2018	3.5	3.5	3.5
28	1/28/2019	4.8	4.4	3.0
	LogNormal Transformed Mean	5.4	5.3	5.2
	LogNormal Transformed Variance	0.67	0.67	0.83
	Number of Samples Per Month (4x/year)	0.33	0.33	0.33
	Distribution Mean E(X)	302	286	276
	Distribution Variance V(X)	85966	78572	98714
	LogNormal Monthly Average MEANn	5.04	4.98	4.83
	LogNormal Monthly Average Variance σ^2 VARn	1.34	1.36	1.58
	Monthly Average 95%ile Probability	1037	987	993
	Daily Maximum 99%ile Probability	1,442	1,377	1,519

6. **A description and schedule of actions that the discharger(s) proposes to ensure the underlying water quality standard(s) are met or the highest attainable use is attained within the variance period. Dischargers are also required to submit a schedule for development and implementation of a pollutant minimization plan for the subject pollutant(s).**

The District has developed a list and schedule of actions that we will take to reach the Highest Attainable Condition (HAC) and make progress toward meeting water quality standards in the Water Reclamation Facility effluent. These actions are included in the Pollutant Minimization Plan that has been attached to this variance request.

7. **Any additional information the department deems necessary to evaluate the application.**

- A. Environmental Justice**

Environmental Justice is “the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies” according to EPA.

The District will ensure environmental justice for all customers and impacted people by continuing the public information program, allowing all citizens the opportunity to comment and provide input into the future implementation of the actions taken in the Pollutant Minimization Plan. Any changes to District policies, bylaws, or utility rates will be done with the proper public notification and will be applied equitable and fairly to all District customers and with ample opportunity to participate and be involved through public meetings, and receiving public comments.

The District has and will continue to inform the public of the issues and implications of PCBs in the wastewater through newsletters, publications and discussions in public meetings. Meetings are properly advertised and anyone from the public is welcome and encouraged to attend to be involved in the discussions regarding PCBs.

References

- Ayanda, O. S. 2014. "Occurrence, fate and treatment methods of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins and furans: A mini review." *Journal of Material Sciences* 2 (4): 14-21.
- Baghour, M. 2017. "Algal Degradation of Organic Pollutants." In *Handbook of Ecomaterials*, by et al. L.M.T. Martínez. Springer International Publishing.
- Bond, B. et al. 2015. "Biodegradation of Polychlorinated Biphenyls Using a Moving-Bed Biofilm Reactor." *Journal of Clean Soil Air Water* 43 (7): 1078-1083.
- Century West Engineering Corporation. 2019. "Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District Toxics Management Plan Update." Spokane Valley, WA.
- Chavez F. P., Felipe Gordillo, Carlos Jerez. 2004. "Growth of polychlorinated-biphenyl-degrading bacteria in the presence of biphenyl and chlorobiphenyls generates oxidative stress and massive accumulation of inorganic polyphosphate." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 3064-3072.
- Chavez F. P., Felipe Gordillo, Carlos Jerez. 2004. "Growth of Polychlorinated-Biphenyl-Degrading Bacteria in the Presence of Biphenyl and Chlorobiphenyls Generates Oxidative Stress and Massive Accumulation Oxidative Stress and Massive Accumulation of Inorganic Polyphosphate." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 3064-3072.
- Chavez, F. P. et al. 2006. "Adaptive responses and cellular behaviour of biphenyl-degrading bacteria toward polychlorinated biphenyls." *Biotechnology Advances* (Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Chile,) 24 (3): 309-320.
- Chavez, F. P. et al. 2006. "Adaptive responses and cellular behaviour of biphenyl-degrading bacteria toward polychlorinated biphenyls." *Biotechnology Advances* 24 (3): 309-320.
- Des Ligneris, E., Ludovic F. Dumée, and Lingxue Kong. 2018. "Nanofiber-based materials for persistent organic pollutants in water remediation by adsorption." *Applied Sciences* 8 (2): 166.
- Dong, B., Han-Yu Chen, Yang Yang, Qun-biao He, Xiao-Hu Dai. 2015. "Biodegradation of polychlorinated biphenyls using a moving-bed biofilm reactor." *Journal of Clean Soil Air Water* 43 (7): 1078-1083.
- Ecology. 2018. *Water Quality Program Permit Writer's Manual*. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), Water Quality Program.
- Fava F., di Gioia D., Cinti S., Marchetti L., Quattroni G. 1994. "Degradation and dechlorination of low-chlorinated biphenyls by a three-membered bacterial co-culture." *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 41: 117-123.
- Furukawa K., Tonomura K., Kamibayashi A. 1978. "Effect of chlorine substitution on the biodegradability of polychlorinated biphenyls." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 35 (2): 223-7.
- Ghosh U., Josh Gomez-Eyles, Carmen Yupanqui, Huan Xia, Barbara Beckingham. 2012. *Activated Biochars with Iron for In-Situ Sequestration of Organics, Metals, and Carbon*. SERDP Project ER-2136, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Alexandria, VA: SERDP/ESTCP.
- Ghosh, U., A. Scott Weber, James N. Jensen, John R. Smith. 1999. "Granular activated carbon and biological activated carbon treatment of dissolved and sorbed polychlorinated biphenyls." *Water Environment Research* 71 (2): 232-240.
- Gomes, H., Celia Dias-Ferreira, Alexandra B. Ribeiro. 2013. "Overview of in situ and ex situ remediation technologies for PCB-contaminated soils and sediments and obstacles for full-scale application." *Science of the Total Environment* 237-260.
- Inland Empire Paper Company. 2018. "PCB Best Management Practices Update." NPDES Permit No. WA-000082-5 Permit Condition S6.B., Spokane, WA.
- Jing, R., Soliver Fusi and Birthe V. Kjellerup. 2018. "Remediation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in contaminated soils and sediment: State of knowledge and perspectives." *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 6 (79).
- Kastanek, F., Petr Kastanek, Katerina Demnerova, Yvette Maleterova. 2004. "Decontamination of wastewater contaminated by polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)." *Water Science and Technology* 50 (2): 131-138.
- Kjellerup, B., and Edwards, S. 2013. *Application of Biofilm Covered Activated Carbon Particles as a Microbial Inoculum Delivery System for Enhanced Bioaugmentation of PCBs in Contaminated Sediment*. Technical Report, SERDP Project ER-2135, Alexandria, VA : Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP).
- Leber, Bud. 2019. "Kaiser Aluminum Groundwater PCB Treatment Strategies." *Spokane River Forum*. Spokane, WA.
- Li X, Peng P, Zhanga S, Man R, Sheng G, Fu J. 2009. "Removal of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans by three coagulants in simulated coagulation processes for drinking water treatment." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 180-185.
- Li X., Peng P., Zhanga S., Man R., Sheng G., Fu J. 2009. "Removal of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans by three coagulants in simulated coagulation processes for drinking water treatment." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 180-185.
- Lynn, S. G., David J. Price, Wesley J. Birge, Susan S. Kilham. 2007. "Effect of nutrient availability on the uptake of PCB congener 2,2',6,6'-tetrachlorobiphenyl by a diatom (*Stephanodiscus minutulus*) and transfer to a zooplankton (*Daphnia pulicaria*)." *Aquatic Toxicology* 83 (1): 24-32.
- Master E. R., Lai V. W., Kuipers B., Cullen W. R., Mohn W. W. 2002. "Sequential anaerobic-aerobic treatment of soil contaminated by weathered Aroclor 1260." *Environmental Science and Technology* 36: 100-103.
- Master E. R., Lai VW, Kuipers B, Cullen WR, Mohn WW. 2002. "Sequential anaerobic-aerobic treatment of soil contaminated by weathered Aroclor 1260." *Environmental Science and Technology* 36: 100-103.

- Novakova H., Vosahlikova M., Pazlarova J., Mackova M., Burkhard J., Demnerova K. 2002. "PCB metabolism by *Pseudomonas* sp. P2." *Intern Biodeterior Biodegrad* 50: 47–54.
- Novakova H., Vosahlikova M., Pazlarova J., Mackova M., Burkhard J., Demnerova K. 2002. "PCB metabolism by *Pseudomonas* sp. P2." *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation* 50: 47–54.
- Rahuman, M., Luigi Pistone, Ferruccio Trifirò, Stanislav Miertus. 2000. *Destruction Technologies for Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)*. Trieste, Italy: International Centre for Science and High Technology United Nations Industrial Development Organization (ICS-UNIDO).
- Rodenburg L. A., Songyan Du, Donna E. Fennell, and Gregory J. Cavallo. 2010. "Evidence for widespread dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyls in groundwater, landfills, and wastewater collection systems." *Environmental Science and Technology* 44 (19): 7534–7540.
- Rodriguez, C., et al. 2008. "Dioxins, furans, and PCBs in recycled water for indirect potable reuse." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 5 (5): 356-367.
- Rodriguez, C., et al. 2008. "Dioxins, Furans, and PCBs in Recycled Water for Indirect Potable Reuse." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 5 (5): 356-367.
- Roninska, A. 2015. "Enhancement of the Coagulation Process with Powder Activated Carbon in PCB and Heavy Metal Ions Removal from Drinking Water." *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology*. Rhodes, Greece: Department of Chemistry, Water and Wastewater Technology, University of Czestochowa .
- Rosinska, A. 2017. "Influence of selected coagulants of indicator and dioxin-like PCB removal from drinking water." *Ecological Chemistry and Engineering* 24 (1): 41-51.
- Rosinska, Agata. 2017. "Influence of selected coagulants of indicator and dioxin-like PCB removal from drinking water." *Ecological Chemistry and Engineering* 24 (1): 41-51.
- Sahni, M., Wright C. Finney, Bruce R. Locke. 2005. "Degradation of aqueous phase Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) using pulsed corona discharges." *Journal of Advanced Oxidation Technologies* 8 (1): 105–111.
- Shui W. Y., Mackay D. 1986. "A critical review of aqueous solubilities, vapor pressures, Henry's Law constants, and octanol–water partition coefficients of the polychlorinated biphenyls." *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 15 (2): 911-29.
- Taylor, J. S., S. J. Duranceau, W. M. Barret, and J. F. Goigel. 1989. "Assessment of potable water membrane applications and research needs." *AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association*.
- Taylor, J.S., S.J. Duranceau, W.M. Barret, and J.F. Goigel. 1989. "Assessment of Potable Water Membrane Applications and Research Needs." *AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association*.
- U.S. EPA. 1976. *Assessment of Wastewater Management, Treatment Technology, and Associated Costs for Abatement of PCB Concentrations in Industrial Effluents*. Office of Toxic Substances, Washington D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency .
- U.S. EPA. 2010. *Chlorinated Biphenyl Congeners in Water, Soil, Sediment, Biosolids, and Tissue by HRGC/HRMS, EPA-820-R-10-005*. Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Engineering and Analysis Division, Washington DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- U.S. EPA. 1991. *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, EPA/505/2-90-001*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Water Enforcement and Permits.
- Urbaniak, M. 2013. "Biodegradation of PCDDs/PCDFs and PCBs." *Biodegradation - Engineering and Technology, Rolando Chamy and Francisca Rosenkranz, IntechOpen*.
- Yu D. N., Maria Cristina A.Macawile, Leonila C. Abella, Susan M.Gallardo. 2011. "Degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls in aqueous solutions after UV-peroxide treatment: Focus on toxicity of effluent to primary producers." *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 74 (6): 1607-1614.
- Zhang, H. et al. 2015. "Biodegradation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) by the novel identified cyanobacterium *Anabaena* PD-1." *PLoS One* 10 (7).

LIBERTY LAKE SEWER AND WATER DISTRICT

POLLUTANT MINIMIZATION PLAN



Prepared by:



11707 E Montgomery Drive
Spokane Valley, Washington 99206
(509) 838-3810

April 2019

LIBERTY LAKE SEWER AND WATER DISTRICT

POLLUTANT MINIMIZATION PLAN

Prepared by:



11707 E Montgomery Drive
Spokane Valley, Washington 99206
(509) 838-3810

April 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Introduction
- Plan Requirements
- Plan Objectives and Goals
- Identification of Toxics Sources
- Actions to Achieve Toxics Reduction
- Plan Implementation Schedule
- Annual Review and Adjustments

INTRODUCTION

Through numerous studies conducted by the Washington Department of Ecology of the water quality in the Spokane River, a number of toxic chemicals have been found at levels that may pose risks to human health and our environment. Of these toxic chemicals this Plan will focus on polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polybrominated diethyl ethers (PBDEs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans / dioxins (PCDFs / PCDDs).

PCB manufacturing began in 1929. Nearly all of the PCBs used in the U.S. were manufactured by the Monsanto Chemical Company. They were widely used in electrical, heat transfer, and hydraulic equipment; as plasticizers in paints, plastics, and rubber products. They were also added to paint pigments, dyes, and carbonless copy paper. PCBs were manufactured and known under a number of trade names, the most common being Aroclor.

PCBs are found as 209 individual chemical compounds called congeners. Congeners having an equal number of chlorine substitutes are categorized as homologs. There are a total of 10 homologs. Because of their risks to human health and the environment the U.S. Congress banned the production of PCBs in 1979. Because PCBs do not readily break down they can be found throughout the environment.

In 2018 The Washington State Department of Ecology established a water quality standard for Total PCB's of 7 Picograms/Liter. Currently there is no treatment technology known to be available that would allow the District to treat the effluent to this standard or is there a testing method that can report PCB's to that level.

The District has determined that the best course of action is to take two approaches in dealing with the future low levels of PCBs that will be allowed in their WRF effluent; 1. Submit an application for a variance to the water quality standard, and 2. Evaluate the feasibility of removing the discharge from the river entirely. As part of the variance option this Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP) was developed to outline actions that will be taken by the District to reduce PCB's in their Reclamation Plant effluent and reach the Highest Attainable Condition (HAC) and make progress toward meeting the water quality standard.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS

The requirement for a PMP is contained within Washington Administrative Code; WAC 173-201A-420(3)(e) as stated below:

“(e) A description and schedule of actions that the discharger(s) proposes to ensure the underlying water quality standard(s) are met or the highest attainable use is attained within the variance period. Dischargers are also required to submit a schedule for development and implementation of a pollutant minimization plan for the subject pollutant(s).”

To reduce the introduction of toxic chemicals into the Spokane River the Department of Ecology published the “Spokane River Toxics Reduction Strategy,” August 2012. A Toxics Task Force was established with State and Federal agencies, municipal and industrial dischargers, tribal members, and other stakeholders. The Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District is an active member of the Task Force.

The District plans to take certain actions targeting reduction in PCB concentrations in their Reclamation Facility effluent discharge to the Spokane River. These actions can generally be classified into two categories: 1. Reductions in PCB concentrations from the wastewater collection system, and 2. Reduction of PCB concentrations in the facility effluent through treatment. This plan which will be updated and revised as these actions are implemented, their effectiveness is better quantified, and as required by the Water Quality Variance.

PLAN OBJECTIVES AND GOALS

The objectives of this plan are to identify feasible and reasonable actions that the District can implement that will result in reductions in PCB concentrations in the District Water Reclaimed Facility effluent that is discharged to the Spokane River.

The goal for the plan is to implement those actions and others identified through the Variance period to achieve the Highest Attainable Condition and the water quality standard if possible.

IDENTIFICATION OF TOXICS SOURCES

The District began testing for PCBs, PBDEs, PCDFs (*Furans*), and PCDDs (*Dioxins*) in December 2011. Bimonthly sampling of the influent and quarterly sampling of the effluent of the treatment facility have been taken since that time. All sampling and testing was accomplished in conformance with the approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). Samples are tested and analyzed by Pacific Rim Laboratories, of Surrey, B.C. Test reports are sent to the District, have been provided to WSDOE with annual updates to the Toxics Management Plans, and are submitted with this variance request. A summary of influent and effluent test results and calculated loadings and removal rates are also provided with this submittal. Sampling and testing was done within the District's collection system in 2103, 2014, 2015, and 2016. From this testing it was determined that higher PCB concentrations were found in older residential areas.

PCB congeners found in the District's collection system were compared to those found in products tested by the City of Spokane resulting in the following conclusions and recommendations:

- PVC pipe contains a number of PCB congeners with a total PCB concentration of approximately 2 µg/kg. The District has miles of PVC pipe in its sewer collection system and some in its water distribution system. If PCBs are capable of leaching out of the pipe into solution this could be a source of PCBs in the District's wastewater. However, tests showed relatively high levels of PCB congener #209 in PVC pipe where this congener is nearly absent in the tests results from the District's collection system.
- Hand soap, laundry soap, dish soap, shampoo, and toothpaste all had various levels of PCBs. Although it is difficult to determine the effects that these products have in the District's collection system it is certainly safe to assume that all of those products are in the waste stream and are a source of PCBs.
- Green utility locate paint and white traffic paints that were tested also showed high levels of congener #209 so are also unlikely contributors to the PCBs found in the Districts sample locations. These products would be more commonly found in stormwater.

- Dust Guard, a dust palliative used on gravel roadways was found to have higher levels of congener #50. This congener was also absent in most test results from the District's collection system.

Other products tested which included asphalt products, crack sealer, hydroseed, motor oils and lubricants would be more prevalent in stormwater. Although it is possible for some of those products to enter into the District's collection system, given the locations that higher levels of PCBs were found their overall impact on PCB test results is likely less than that of the personal care products.

FURTHER ACTIONS BASED ON CONCLUSIONS (RECOMMENDATIONS)

PCB testing since 2016 has been restricted to the Water Reclamation Plant influent and effluent. Based on the data shown, the PCB sources within the collection system will be very difficult to identify until more data on PCB levels in products are better known and therefore future testing in these areas will not aid in identifying specific PCB sources. The District recently upgraded its Water Reclamation Facility with the addition of chemical addition and membrane filtration which is expected to improve PCB removal from the waste water, we believe that this action will show the most measurable progress that is currently achievable. Testing the removal of PCBs through the treatment process before the plant upgrade will provide a base line for determining that measurable progress. Determining the effectiveness of treatment on PCB removal will be necessary to optimize the operation of the facility and evaluate what actions if any can be taken to increase PCB removal through the treatment process.

ACTIONS TO ACHIEVE TOXICS REDUCTION

1. Actions to Reduce PCB Concentrations Entering the District Facility:

Although the conclusion reached from previous source testing the District did within the collection system was that there was no clear identifiable source that could be controlled, the District will continue to take actions that may result in reductions to PCBs that enter the system. Those actions include:

The District previously assembled a list of commercial customers. The commercial activity of each customer was evaluated based on its potential to discharge products that contain PCBs. Testing was completed on some of the customers wastewater where the commercial activity may result in high levels of PCBs entering the waste stream. That testing was inconclusive with no apparent source of high levels of PCBs.

The District will continue to evaluate existing and future customer activity in the collection system to determine if certain activities might be a source of high concentrations of PCBs. The District reviews plans for every new commercial customer that will connect to the sewer collection system. This review will include an evaluation of the customer's activities and the potential for those activities to result in high concentrations of PCBs entering the collection system. The District will then discuss alternatives of disposal of any waste stream that contains those high levels.

Stormwater

Previous studies and reports concluded that the District's wastewater collection system experiences very low infiltration and inflow (*I & I*). The source of PCBs entering a sewer collection system from storm water is typically direct connections of street runoff or roof drains. There are no such connections known to exist in the District's system and the small amount of I&I is believed to come from ground water infiltration or

from Liberty Lake which would likely contain low concentration levels of PCBs. As a result of these low levels of I & I and the probable source, this plan will not focus on the impacts of stormwater at this time.

Contaminated Soils and Sediments

Since it has been determined that very little stormwater enters the wastewater collection system, the source of PCBs in the District's sewer from contaminated soils and sediments is limited. Some potential sources are listed below:

- Soils and sediments entering during or as a result of new construction.
- Sediments entering manholes that are near the lake.
- Contaminated gravel road surfacing entering manholes (*particularly in the Dreamwood Bay area*).
- Street sweepings.
- Sediments removed from stormwater basins or drywells.
- Soils from car washes.
- PCBs entering the drinking water system from areas that infiltrate PCB contaminated stormwater.
- Water runoff carrying sediment to manhole lids that are located in low elevation areas.

To identify the potential for contaminated soils or sediments entering the facility the District will monitor construction activities during its routine inspections of pipe installations. Manholes adjacent to the lake will be inspected for susceptibility to silt migration as will manholes in graveled roadways that have had years of dust control through applications of oil. The City and County have been contacted regarding the disposition of street sweepings and sediments removed from stormwater inlets and drywells. Neither agency disposes of these in the sewer system. Car wash facilities will be inspected for proper maintenance and operation of pretreatment systems.

Manholes located outside of paved roadways will be inspected for potential silt intrusion.

Commercial Customer Activities

Using the list of commercial customers, the District has surveyed each customer that has a potential of being a PCB discharge source. This list was ranked as "high", "moderate", or "low" based on their potential for discharging PCBs from their commercial activity. Generally the activities identified would indicate that the most likely PCB sources from these businesses would include; used motor oil, paints, dyes, and sediments/oils removed in car washes. Many of these customers have installed oil/water separators; however, these are only effective if properly maintained. The District reviewed the product disposal and maintenance (*oil/water separators*) practices and BMPs of each business, discussed the obligations that each business has with regards to eliminating toxics from their waste stream in accordance with District resolution and provided guidance on what steps need to be taken to eliminate improper discharges. These actions will continue with existing and future customers that fall under this category of activities.

Public Education

The District will also continue to include information regarding sources of PCBs the effects they have on the treatment plant and the river in its newsletter to our customers. We will continue to discuss these same issues in Public Board meetings and encourage the City of Liberty Lake to undertake these same steps.

Product Procurement Practices

The District has reviewed our procurement practices with regards to products that are suspected of containing PCBs. We will investigate alternative products that contain no or less than 5 ppm levels of PCBs and adjust our purchases when and if appropriate. We will also discuss procurement practices with the City of Liberty Lake and Spokane County Parks and encourage them to participate in coordinated procurement practices and BMPs that are effective in eliminating PCBs from their discharge if they exist.

Changes to TSCA

The District will support efforts to change TSCA requirements that currently allow products at levels that are over 7 billion times the water quality standard. These actions will include writing letters of support and continued work on efforts of the Toxics Task Force to achieve regulatory changes through EPA.

2. Actions to Reduce PCBs in the Water Reclamation Facility's effluent through treatment.

From test results of the facility's influent and effluent, it is apparent that the treatment process is very effective in removing PCBs from wastewater before it enters the Spokane River. Removal rates are provided in this submittal. The plant expansion and upgrade that the District completed in 2007 (additional biological treatment) and the facility improvements completed in 2018 (chemical and membrane addition) are believed to have significantly improved the PCB removal efficiency of the plant.

The District has begun the facility optimization process for the new membrane filtration system added to the facility. This optimization will include changes and adjustments to the operation of the facility to determine the most optimal treatment operation that results in the best PCB removal while still complying with other NPDES permit requirements.

The District will continue quarterly sampling and testing of the influent and effluent to help better quantify the PCBs levels out of the plant and the effectiveness of the steps taken to reduce toxics introduced into the collection system.

3. Actions to Reduce or Eliminate Effluent Discharge to the River

The District will complete a feasibility study to determine if removal or reduction of effluent discharge to the river by reuse can be achieved at a reasonable cost.

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE


The District will continue implementation of this Pollutant Minimization Plan through on the following schedule:

CONTINUED DISCHARGE WITH VARIANCE	
<i>ACTION</i>	<i>SCHEDULE</i>
Customer Source Evaluation	Continuous
Public Education (Newsletter, Meetings)	Continuous
Review & Adjust Procurement Practices	Continuous
Testing at Treatment Facility	Quarterly
TSCA/Regulatory Changes	2020-2025
Treatment Facility Optimization	2019-2021
Effectiveness Evaluation	2021-2022
Additional Action Determination	2023
Implementation of Additional Actions	2024-2039

REDUCE OR ELIMINATE DISCHARGE THROUGH REUSE	
<i>ACTION</i>	<i>SCHEDULE</i>
Reuse Feasibility Analysis (wetland restoration)	2019-2020
Evaluation of Reuse Alternatives	2020-2022
Agreement Development/Approvals	2022-2023
Reuse Planning	2023-2024
Reuse Phase 1 Design Funding Acquisition	2024-2025
Reuse Phase 1 Design	2025-2026
Reuse Phase 1 Construction Funding Acquisition	2026-2027
Reuse Phase 1 Construction	2027-2028
Reuse Phase 2 Design Funding Acquisition	2030-2032
Reuse Phase 2 Design	2032-2033
Reuse Phase 2 Construction Funding Acquisition	2033-2034
Reuse Phase 2 Construction	2034-2035
Reuse Phase 3 Design Funding Acquisition	2038-2039
Reuse Phase 3 Design	2039-2040
Reuse Phase 3 Construction Funding Acquisition	2041-2042
Reuse Phase 3 Construction	2043-2044
Final Reuse Development and Implementation	2045-2049

ANNUAL REVIEW AND ADJUSTMENT


The District will review the additional data and information gathered through the activities described above annually. Summaries, conclusions, and recommendations for changes to the plan will be developed. The District will confer with WSDOE regarding adjustments to planned activities and a plan update will be completed when appropriate or required.




EFF OUTFALL
 LLSWD WWTF
 WA-0045144

PIPE ANCHOR DETAIL
 4 REQUIRED
 NTS 3/6


NOTE: SCALE
 HORIZ 1" = 10'
 VERT 1" = 10'



No. Date By Ckd. Appr.				Revision			Approved By:	Drawn By: LBM Designed By: JWG Checked By: JWG Approved By: JWG	Date: 5/7 Date: 5/7 Date: 5/7 Date: 5/7
FEDERAL CEMENTS & CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS									



POST CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS
 THESE DRAWINGS REPRESENT THE CONSTRUCTED WORK AS NEAR AS CAN BE DETERMINED. THEY WERE PREPARED FROM INFORMATION OBTAINED DURING THE CONSTRUCTION AND INSPECTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND ARE NOT TO BE USED AS A GENERAL REPRESENTATION OF THE CONSTRUCTED WORK.



mk MICHAEL A. KENNEDY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Scale: 1"=10'
 Horiz 1"=50'
 Vert 1"=10'

LIBERTY LAKE SEWER DISTRICT
 WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES
 EFFLUENT LINE STA 0+25 TO STA 4+00
 PLAN AND PROFILE

Sheet **6**
 of 8
 File No: 5196-24



**Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report
City of Liberty Lake**

March 2021

Report date: April 1, 2021

Submitted to:

Liberty Lake WRF
N. 2218 Harvard Road
Liberty Lake, WA 99019

5013 Pacific Hwy East
Suite 20
Tacoma, WA 98424

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Acute and chronic toxicity tests were conducted using effluent samples collected from the City of Liberty Lake WWTP in March 2021. Bioassays were conducted using the test organisms *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (*Ceriodaphnia*) and *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow). Testing was performed at Rainier Environmental Laboratory located in Tacoma, Washington.

2.0 METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection and Transport

Three 24-hour effluent samples were collected into 10-liter (L) LDPE cubitainers by Liberty Lake Sewer and Water personnel. The samples were packed in coolers containing ice and shipped to Rainier Environmental overnight. Appropriate chain-of-custody procedures were employed during collection and transport.

2.2 Sample Receipt

Upon arrival at Rainier Environmental, coolers were opened, samples inspected, and the contents compared with the chain-of-custody forms. Receipt temperature was measured and recorded on the chain-of-custody form for each sample. Standard water quality parameters were measured and recorded on a sample check-in sheet (Appendix F). Samples were stored at 4°C in the dark until use.

2.3 Test Methods

Acute toxicity tests were conducted using *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnow according to procedures presented by USEPA (2002a) and test conditions are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The chronic toxicity tests were conducted according to USEPA (2002b) procedures for *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnow. Conditions for the chronic tests are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 1. Summary of conditions for the 48h *Ceriodaphnia* acute survival test.

Test initiation date and time	3/16/2021; 1340h
Test termination date and time	3/18/2021; 1335h
Test organism	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>
Test organism source	In-house cultures
Test organism age	< 24 hours
Test duration	48 hours
Feeding	50:50 mixture YTC:algal suspension during organism holding time. No feeding during test.
Test chamber	30 mL plastic cup
Test solution volume	15 mL
Test temperature	20 ± 1°C
Dilution water	Moderately Hard Synthetic Water
Test concentrations (% sample)	100, 45, 25, 12.5, 6.25, control
Number of organisms/chamber	5
Number of replicates	4
Photoperiod	16 hours light/8 hours dark
Aeration	None
Test protocol	EPA-821-R-02-012
Test acceptability criterion for controls	≥ 90% survival
Reference toxicant	Copper sulfate

Table 2. Summary of conditions for the 96h fathead minnow acute survival test.

Test initiation date and time	3/16/2021; 1030h
Test termination date and time	3/20/2021; 1030h
Test organism	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>
Test organism source	Aquatic BioSystems; Fort Collins, CO
Test organism age	4 days post hatch
Test duration	96 hours with solution renewal at 48 hours
Feeding	<i>Artemia nauplii</i> during holding time and 2 hours prior to solution renewal
Test chamber	250 mL plastic cup
Test solution volume	200 mL
Test temperature	20 ± 1°C
Dilution water	Moderately Hard Synthetic Water
Test concentrations (% sample)	100, 45, 25, 12.5, 6.25, control
Number of organisms/chamber	10
Number of replicates	4
Photoperiod	16 hours light/8 hours dark
Aeration	None
Test protocol	EPA-821-R-02-012
Test acceptability criterion for controls	≥ 90% survival
Reference toxicant	Sodium chloride

Table 3. Summary of conditions for the 7-day *Ceriodaphnia* survival and reproduction test.

Test initiation date and time	3/16/2021; 1245h
Test termination date and time	3/23/2021; 1230h
Test organism	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>
Test organism source	In-house cultures
Test organism age	< 24 hours
Test duration	7 days
Feeding	1:1 mixture YTC:algal suspension daily
Test chamber	30 mL plastic cup
Test solution volume	15 mL
Test temperature	25 ± 1°C
Dilution water	Diluted mineral water
Test concentrations (% sample)	100, 45, 25, 12.5, 5, control
Number of organisms/chamber	1
Number of replicates	10
Photoperiod	16 hours light/8 hours dark
Aeration	None
Test protocol	EPA-821-R-02-013
Test acceptability criteria for controls	≥ 80% survival; ≥ 15 neonates per surviving adult
Reference toxicant	Sodium chloride

Table 4. Summary of conditions for the fathead minnow 7-day survival and growth test.

Test initiation date and time	3/16/2021; 1230h
Test termination date and time	3/23/2021; 1230h
Test organism	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>
Test organism source	Aquatic BioSystems; Fort Collins, CO
Test organism age	< 24 hours
Feeding	<i>Artemia nauplii</i> , twice daily
Test chamber	500 mL plastic cup
Test solution volume	250 mL
Test temperature	25 ± 1°C
Dilution water	Moderately hard synthetic water
Test concentrations (% sample)	100, 45, 25, 12.5, 5, control
Number of organisms/chamber	10
Number of replicates	4
Photoperiod	16 hours light/8 hours dark
Aeration	None
Test protocol	EPA-821-R-02-013
Test acceptability criteria for controls	≥ 80% survival; average dry weight ≥ 0.25 mg
Reference toxicant	Sodium chloride

3.0 RESULTS

Details of standard water quality measurements conducted upon receipt of samples are provided in Table 5.

Table 5. Sample information.

Sample ID	#1 of 3	#2 of 3	#3 of 3
Rainier Log-In No.	21-036	21-037	21-038
Collection date and time	3/15/2021; 1000h	3/17/2021; 1030h	3/19/2021; 1015h
Receipt date and time	3/16/2021; 1000h	3/18/2021; 1550h	3/20/2021; 0950h
Receipt temperature (°C)	5.2	3.4	4.1
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	7.3	6.5	6.8
pH	6.74	6.88	6.86
Conductivity (µS/cm)	526	476	483
Hardness (mg/L CaCO ₃)	136	112	120
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	52	52	56
Total Chlorine (mg/L)	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
Total Ammonia (mg/L)	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0

Survival was evaluated in the acute toxicity tests after 48 and 96 hours of exposure for the *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnows, respectively. Results are summarized in Table 6. There was 100 percent survival in the *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnow acute tests in the 100 percent effluent concentration. There was no difference in organism response between the acute critical effluent concentration (ACEC) of 45 percent sample and the control for either test.

Table 6. Summary of results for the *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnow acute toxicity tests.

Species	Concentration (%)	Percent Survival	NOEC ^a (% effluent)	LOEC ^b (% effluent)	LC ₅₀ ^c (% effluent)
<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	0.0	100	100	>100	>100
	6.25	100			
	12.5	100			
	25.0	100			
	45.0	100			
	100	100			
Fathead minnow	0.0	100	100	>100	>100
	6.25	100			
	12.5	100			
	25.0	100			
	45.0	100			
	100	100			

^a No Observed Effect Concentration, ^b Lowest Observed Effect Concentration, ^c Predicted lethal concentration for 50% of test organisms

Results for the chronic toxicity tests are summarized in Table 7. Chronic tests involved a 7-day static-renewal exposure to the effluent for *Ceriodaphnia* and fathead minnows. The endpoints from these tests were *Ceriodaphnia* survival and reproduction and fathead minnow survival and growth (evaluated on the basis of dry weight divided by initial count for biomass and final count for dry weight) at the end of the 7-day exposure.

In both chronic tests, there was no significant difference in organism response between the ACEC of 45 percent sample or the chronic critical effluent concentration (CCEC) of 5 percent sample and the control.

Individual statistical summaries for all tests, copies of the laboratory bench sheets, control QC plots, sample check-in sheet and chains of custody are provided in Appendices A through G.

Table 7. Summary of results for the chronic toxicity tests.

Species	Endpoint	NOEC ^a (% effluent)	LOEC ^b (% effluent)
<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	Survival	100	>100
	Reproduction	100	>100
Fathead minnow	Survival	100	>100
	Biomass	100	>100
	Dry Weight	100	>100

^a No Observed Effect Concentration, ^b Lowest Observed Effect Concentration

4.0 QA/QC

Samples were received in good condition and within the temperature range specified by WDOE (2016). The toxicity tests met all acceptability criteria for performance of control organisms. There were no deviations from the protocols and all water quality parameters remained within the ranges specified in the corresponding test methods throughout the tests.

Results for the reference toxicant tests used to monitor laboratory performance and test organism sensitivity are summarized in Table 8. The results for the reference toxicant tests fell within the acceptable range of mean ± two standard deviations of historical test results. The coefficients of variation (CV) for the tests are also shown in the table. Dilution water control mean and control CV for *Ceriodaphnia* reproduction were also within two standard deviations of

the historical mean (Appendix E). Dilution water control mean and control CV for fathead minnow growth for was within two standard deviations of the historical mean. Based on the reference toxicant and control results, test organisms appeared to be of an appropriate degree of sensitivity.

Table 8. Reference toxicant test results.

Species	Endpoint	Date initiated	LC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀	Acceptable Range	CV (%)
<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	48h survival	3/16/2021	19.0 µg/L copper	11.1 - 26.9 µg/L	24.8
	7d survival	3/16/2021	2.14 g/L NaCl	0.97 - 2.26 g/L	23.4
	7d reproduction	3/16/2021	1.49 g/L NaCl	0.69 - 2.12 g/L	32.4
Fathead minnow	96h survival	3/16/2021	6.38 g/L NaCl	5.37 - 8.46 g/L	12.1
	7d survival	3/16/2021	1.28 g/L NaCl	1.24 - 11.3 g/L	73.8
	7d growth	3/16/2021	1.05 g/L NaCl	0.86 - 11.5 g/L	91.1

REFERENCES

- Tidepool Scientific Software. 2000-2007. CETIS Comprehensive Environmental Toxicity Information System Software, Version 1.6.3revG.
- USEPA. 2002a. Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, Fifth Edition. EPA-821-R-02-012. Pp.51-52, 55-56.
- USEPA. 2002b. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition. EPA-821-R-02-013. Pp. 141-196, 53-111.
- WDOE. 2016. Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria. Washington State Department of Ecology. Water Quality Program. Publication number: WQ-R-95-80, Revised June 2016.

Appendix A
***Ceriodaphnia dubia* Acute Toxicity Test**
Statistical Summaries and Raw Bench Sheets

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 13:13 (p 1 of 1)
 Test Code: 2103-026 | 05-8588-8821

Ceriodaphnia 48-h Acute Survival Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Batch ID: 05-6515-2551	Test Type: Survival (48h)	Analyst: Eric Tollefson
Start Date: 16 Mar-21 13:40	Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-012 (2002)	Diluent: Mod-Hard Synthetic Water
Ending Date: 18 Mar-21 13:35	Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia	Brine:
Duration: 48h	Source: In-House Culture	Age: <24h
Sample ID: 20-2560-8445	Code: 21-036	Client: Liberty Lake
Sample Date: 15 Mar-21 10:00	Material: POTW Effluent	Project:
Receive Date: 16 Mar-21 10:00	Source: Liberty Lake (WA0045144)	
Sample Age: 28h (5.2 °C)	Station:	

Comparison Summary

Analysis ID	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	PMSD	TU	Method
03-0578-2911	48h Survival Rate	100	>100	NA	5.0%	1	Steel Many-One Rank Sum Test

Test Acceptability

Analysis ID	Endpoint	Attribute	Test Stat	TAC Limits	Overlap	Decision
03-0578-2911	48h Survival Rate	Control Resp	1	0.9 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria

48h Survival Rate Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
6.25		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12.5		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
25		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
45		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
100		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

48h Survival Rate Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	1	1	1	1
6.25		1	1	1	1
12.5		1	1	1	1
25		1	1	1	1
45		1	1	1	1
100		1	1	1	1

48h Survival Rate Binomials

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
6.25		5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
12.5		5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
25		5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
45		5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
100		5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5

Freshwater Acute
48 Hour Toxicity Test Data Sheet

Client: LEBERRY LAKE
 Sample ID: # 1 of 3
 Test # 2103-026
 Log-In # 21-036

Start Date & Time: 3/16/2021 1340
 End Date & Time: 3/18/2021 1335
 Test Organism: Gambusia affinis

Rep. #	Conc. or %	Cont. #	Number of Live Organisms			Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			pH (units)			Cond (uohm-cm)			Temperature (°C)			Mean Percent Survival	
			0	24	48	0	24	48	0	24	48	0	24	48					
1	CON	9	5	5	5	8.3	8.0	7.8	7.83	7.91	7.95	302	371	306	19.9	20.1	19.9		
2		15	5	5	5														
3		3	5	5	5														
4		19	5	5	5														
1	6.25	14	5	5	5	8.1	7.9	7.7	7.78	7.87	7.86	319	321	321	19.5	20.1	19.9		
2		21	5	5	5														
3		1	5	5	5														
4		10	5	5	5														
1	12.5	20	5	5	5	8.2	8.4	7.9	7.70	7.84	7.80	336	339	341	19.5	20.0	19.7		
2		23	5	5	5														
3		8	5	5	5														
4		3	5	5	5														
1	25	13	5	5	5	7.6	8.0	7.9	7.57	7.82	7.78	365	362	368	19.5	20.1	19.9		
2		18	5	5	5														
3		11	5	5	5														
4		4	5	5	5														
1	45	15	5	5	5	7.9	8.1	7.8	7.36	7.74	7.75	423	421	436	19.5	20.0	20.0		
2		22	5	5	5														
3		5	5	5	5														
4		34	5	5	5														
1	100	7	5	5	5	9.0	8.2	7.9	7.02	7.57	7.52	539	536	543	19.3	20.1	20.0		
2		6	5	5	5														
3		12	5	5	5														
4		17	5	5	5														

Technician Initials: PT PT

Dilution Water Batch #: MHSM 01B
 Test Chamber: RM2

Animal Source: In-house cultures
 Date Received: QA Check:

Sample Description: _____

Comments: 0 hrs:
 24 hrs:
 48 hrs:

Rainier Environmental
 Washington Laboratory
 5013 Pacific Hwy. E. Suite 20
 Tacoma, WA 98424

Appendix B
Fathead Minnow Acute Toxicity Test
Statistical Summaries and Raw Bench Sheets

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 13:19 (p 1 of 1)
 Test Code: 2103-028 | 11-7453-3274

Fathead Minnow 96-h Acute Survival Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Batch ID: 01-6349-2926	Test Type: Survival (96h)	Analyst: Eric Tollefson
Start Date: 16 Mar-21 10:30	Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-012 (2002)	Diluent: Mod-Hard Synthetic Water
Ending Date: 20 Mar-21 10:30	Species: Pimephales promelas	Brine:
Duration: 96h	Source: Aquatic Biosystems, CO	Age: 4d
Sample ID: 20-2560-8445	Code: 21-036	Client: Liberty Lake
Sample Date: 15 Mar-21 10:00	Material: POTW Effluent	Project:
Receive Date: 16 Mar-21 10:00	Source: Liberty Lake (WA0045144)	
Sample Age: 25h (5.2 °C)	Station:	

Comparison Summary

Analysis ID	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	PMSD	TU	Method
18-6422-2280	96h Survival Rate	100	>100	NA	2.5%	1	Steel Many-One Rank Sum Test

Test Acceptability

Analysis ID	Endpoint	Attribute	Test Stat	TAC Limits	Overlap	Decision
18-6422-2280	96h Survival Rate	Control Resp	1	0.9 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria

96h Survival Rate Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
6.25		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12.5		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
25		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
45		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
100		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

96h Survival Rate Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	1	1	1	1
6.25		1	1	1	1
12.5		1	1	1	1
25		1	1	1	1
45		1	1	1	1
100		1	1	1	1

96h Survival Rate Binomials

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
6.25		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
12.5		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
25		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
45		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
100		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10

Rainier Environmental
 5013 Pacific Hwy. E., Suite 20
 Tacoma, WA 98424

96 Hour Toxicity Test Data Sheet
 Freshwater 96-hr Acute with Renewal

Client: Liberty Lake
 Sample ID: # 1 of 3
 Test #: 2103-028
 Rainier Check-In #: 21-036

Start Date & Time: 3/16/2021 1030
 End Date & Time: 3/20/2021 1030
 Test Organism: Pimephales promelas

Sample Conc. or (%)	D.O. (mg/L)						pH (mg/L)					
	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.		
CON	0	24	48	48	72	96	0	24	48	48	72	96
6-25	8.3	8.0	7.9	8.1	7.9	7.6	7.83	7.91	7.94	7.84	7.90	7.82
12.5	8.1	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.0	7.8	7.78	7.81	7.90	7.81	7.91	7.84
25	8.2	8.4	8.0	8.0	8.1	7.9	7.70	7.84	7.81	7.70	7.87	7.73
45	7.6	8.0	7.9	8.2	8.0	7.8	7.57	7.82	7.85	7.58	7.81	7.75
100	7.9	8.1	7.7	7.9	7.8	7.6	7.36	7.79	7.81	7.52	7.74	7.74
	8.0	8.2	7.9	8.1	7.8	7.7	7.02	7.57	7.68	7.11	7.63	7.71

Sample Conc. or (%)	Conductivity μ S/cm						Test Temperature (°C)					
	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.	Init.	Fin.		
CON	0	24	48	48	72	96	0	24	48	48	72	96
6-25	302	301	300	298	299	297	19.9	20.1	20.3	20.0	20.1	19.8
12.5	319	321	324	312	316	315	19.5	20.1	20.2	20.1	20.1	19.8
25	336	338	341	328	331	327	19.5	20.6	20.4	20.0	20.0	20.0
45	365	362	348	354	355	352	19.5	20.1	20.3	20.3	20.2	19.9
100	423	421	426	384	397	396	19.5	20.6	20.3	20.4	20.2	20.0
	539	536	541	511	507	506	19.3	20.1	20.3	20.4	20.1	20.0

Sample Conc. or (%)	Rep #	Cont #	Number of Live Organisms					
			0	24	48	72	96	
CON	1	21	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	6	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	12	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	15	10	10	10	10	10	
6-25	1	11	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	2	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	20	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	1	10	10	10	10	10	
12.5	1	16	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	16	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	7	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	19	10	10	10	10	10	
25	1	9	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	22	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	3	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	24	10	10	10	10	10	
45	1	8	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	13	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	4	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	23	10	10	10	10	10	
100	1	14	10	10	10	10	10	
	2	5	10	10	10	10	10	
	3	17	10	10	10	10	10	
	4	18	10	10	10	10	10	

Tech. Initials: SP SP SP ST ST
 Sample Used: 21-036 21-036
 Dilution Water Batch #: MHSM 018
 Test Chamber: KM12

Comments: _____
 Animal Source: A18S
 Date Received: 3/16/2021
 Date of Hatch: 3/12/2021
 QA Check: ST
 48-Hr. Feeding: ✓

Appendix C
***Ceriodaphnia dubia* Survival and Reproduction Chronic Test**
Statistical Summaries and Raw Bench Sheets

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 12:39 (p 1 of 2)
 Test Code: 2103-025 | 03-3240-2239

Ceriodaphnia 7-d Survival and Reproduction Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Batch ID: 04-1269-6013	Test Type: Reproduction-Survival (7d)	Analyst: Eric Tollefson
Start Date: 16 Mar-21 12:45	Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)	Diluent: Perrier Water
Ending Date: 23 Mar-21 12:30	Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia	Brine:
Duration: 7d	Source: In-House Culture	Age: <24h
Sample ID: 20-2560-8445	Code: 2103-025	Client: Liberty Lake
Sample Date: 15 Mar-21 10:00	Material: POTW Effluent	Project:
Receive Date: 16 Mar-21 10:00	Source: Liberty Lake (WA0045144)	
Sample Age: 27h (5.2 °C)	Station:	

Comparison Summary

Analysis ID	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	PMSD	TU	Method
08-6247-4946	7d Survival Rate	100	>100	NA	NA	1	Fisher Exact/Bonferroni-Holm Test
10-6181-2751	Reproduction	100	>100	NA	38.1%	1	Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test

Test Acceptability

Analysis ID	Endpoint	Attribute	Test Stat	TAC Limits	Overlap	Decision
08-6247-4946	7d Survival Rate	Control Resp	1	0.8 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria
10-6181-2751	Reproduction	Control Resp	17.3	15 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria
10-6181-2751	Reproduction	PMSD	0.3811	0.13 - 0.47	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria

7d Survival Rate Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	10	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
5		10	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12.5		10	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
25		10	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
45		10	0.9	0.7819	1	0	1	0.1	0.3162	35.14%	10.0%
100		10	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

Reproduction Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	10	17.3	14.84	19.76	4	26	2.082	6.584	38.06%	0.0%
5		10	17.7	15.32	20.08	6	27	2.011	6.36	35.93%	-2.31%
12.5		10	19.7	18.64	20.76	16	26	0.895	2.83	14.37%	-13.87%
25		10	18.7	17.18	20.22	13	26	1.291	4.084	21.84%	-8.09%
45		10	18.2	15.62	20.78	0	23	2.185	6.909	37.96%	-5.2%
100		10	13.2	9.606	16.79	0	25	3.043	9.624	72.91%	23.7%

7d Survival Rate Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Dilution Water	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
12.5		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
25		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
45		0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
100		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Reproduction Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Dilution Water	11	18	15	24	17	24	26	16	4	18
5		20	23	19	16	20	27	19	19	8	6
12.5		20	21	19	17	19	26	22	18	16	19
25		20	22	15	20	22	26	17	13	14	18
45		0	16	23	22	19	23	23	18	17	21
100		10	0	3	15	25	24	19	22	0	14

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 12:39 (p 2 of 2)
Test Code: 2103-025 | 03-3240-2239

Ceriodaphnia 7-d Survival and Reproduction Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

7d Survival Rate Binomials

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Dilution Water	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
5		1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
12.5		1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
25		1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
45		0/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
100		1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1

Rainier Environmental
Washington Laboratory

Client: LIBERTY LAKE
Sample ID: #1 of 3
Test No: 2103-025
Log-In#: 21-036 21-037

Initial and Final Chemistries

Seven Day Chronic Freshwater Bioassay
Start Date & Time: 3/16/2021 1245
Stop Date & Time: 3/23/2021 1230
Test Species: Caridaphnia dubia
21-038

Conc. or % <i>COV</i>	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.99	7.99	7.85	7.93	7.85	7.91	7.82	7.91	7.92	7.90	7.83	7.95	7.79	7.92
DO (mg/l)	8.0	7.5	7.7	7.2	7.8	8.0	7.6	8.1	7.5	7.9	7.2	8.0	7.5	8.1
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	186	189	175	190	177	187	182	193	190	203	183	196	195	193
Temperature (°C)	25.1	25.1	25.0	25.1	24.8	25.2	25.0	25.1	25.2	25.1	25.3	25.1	25.0	25.2
5	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.96	7.96	7.85	7.94	7.82	7.90	7.84	7.91	7.81	7.90	7.85	7.92	7.75	7.94
DO (mg/l)	8.1	7.5	7.8	7.8	7.9	8.1	7.8	8.1	7.6	8.0	7.7	8.0	7.8	7.5
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	197	204	195	195	195	203	197	207	198	208	194	204	193	199
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.1	24.9	25.1	24.7	25.2	24.8	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.0	25.1	25.2	25.2
12.5	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.76	7.94	7.79	7.91	7.78	7.87	7.81	7.85	7.78	7.88	7.79	7.97	7.72	8.0
DO (mg/l)	8.0	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.6	8.0	7.8	8.1	7.8	7.7
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	221	234	218	231	217	224	218	229	221	224	216	221	213	234
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.1	24.9	25.1	24.8	25.2	24.9	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.4	25.2
25	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.58	7.92	7.63	7.90	7.55	7.88	7.61	7.82	7.62	7.84	7.65	8.01	7.62	8.00
DO (mg/l)	8.0	7.5	7.9	7.4	7.9	8.0	7.9	8.0	7.8	7.9	7.8	8.1	7.9	7.8
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	268	279	263	273	264	271	259	272	264	271	256	265	265	272
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.1	25.2	25.1	25.0	25.2	24.9	25.1	25.0	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.2
45	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.35	7.99	7.37	7.89	7.33	7.85	7.38	7.78	7.40	7.81	7.38	7.92	7.43	7.88
DO (mg/l)	8.0	7.2	7.9	7.3	7.8	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.8	7.7	8.0	8.0	7.9
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	359	366	355	363	351	360	355	365	362	372	354	365	364	371
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.1	25.3	25.1	25.1	25.2	25.2	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.4	25.1	25.3	25.2
100	Days													
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6	
	init.	final												
pH	7.00	7.82	7.11	7.85	7.07	7.86	7.11	7.74	7.07	7.78	7.02	7.85	7.05	7.95
DO (mg/l)	8.1	7.1	8.0	7.3	7.8	7.6	7.8	8.0	7.6	7.9	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.8
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	544	538	542	547	545	548	542	547	561	565	543	557	532	547
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.1	25.5	25.1	25.3	25.2	25.5	25.1	25.1	25.1	25.7	25.1	25.5	25.2
Tech. Initials	ET													

Dilution Water Batch #: B:2 003
Test Chamber: VNR

QA Check: ET

Sample Description: _____
Animal Source: In-house cultures Date Received: _____ Date of Hatch: _____
Comments: _____

Appendix D
Fathead Minnow Survival and Growth Chronic Test
Statistical Summaries and Raw Bench Sheets

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 13:07 (p 1 of 2)
 Test Code: 2103-027 | 18-8220-4984

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Batch ID: 08-6766-2659	Test Type: Growth-Survival (7d)	Analyst: Eric Tollefson
Start Date: 16 Mar-21 12:30	Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)	Diluent: Mod-Hard Synthetic Water
Ending Date: 23 Mar-21 12:30	Species: Pimephales promelas	Brine:
Duration: 7d 0h	Source: Aquatic Biosystems, CO	Age: <24h
Sample ID: 20-2560-8445	Code: 21-036	Client: Liberty Lake
Sample Date: 15 Mar-21 10:00	Material: POTW Effluent	Project:
Receive Date: 16 Mar-21 10:00	Source: Liberty Lake (WA0045144)	
Sample Age: 27h (5.2 °C)	Station:	

Comparison Summary

Analysis ID	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	PMSD	TU	Method
07-1386-6731	7d Survival Rate	100	>100	NA	5.6%	1	Steel Many-One Rank Sum Test
08-4724-4973	Mean Dry Biomass-mg	100	>100	NA	18.7%	1	Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test
12-1531-5875	Mean Dry Weight-mg	100	>100	NA	17.1%	1	Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test

Test Acceptability

Analysis ID	Endpoint	Attribute	Test Stat	TAC Limits	Overlap	Decision
07-1386-6731	7d Survival Rate	Control Resp	1	0.8 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria
08-4724-4973	Mean Dry Biomass-mg	Control Resp	0.6888	0.25 - NL	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria
08-4724-4973	Mean Dry Biomass-mg	PMSD	0.1866	0.12 - 0.3	Yes	Passes Acceptability Criteria

7d Survival Rate Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
5		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12.5		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
25		4	0.975	0.9563	0.9937	0.9	1	0.025	0.05	5.13%	2.5%
45		4	0.975	0.9563	0.9937	0.9	1	0.025	0.05	5.13%	2.5%
100		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

Mean Dry Biomass-mg Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	4	0.6888	0.6607	0.7168	0.577	0.737	0.03758	0.07515	10.91%	0.0%
5		4	0.6425	0.6304	0.6546	0.595	0.666	0.01617	0.03234	5.03%	6.72%
12.5		4	0.6318	0.5896	0.6739	0.492	0.747	0.05651	0.113	17.89%	8.28%
25		4	0.577	0.5449	0.6091	0.481	0.669	0.04292	0.08584	14.88%	16.23%
45		4	0.5808	0.5544	0.6071	0.486	0.654	0.03522	0.07044	12.13%	15.68%
100		4	0.6283	0.61	0.6465	0.58	0.684	0.0245	0.04901	7.8%	8.78%

Mean Dry Weight-mg Summary

C-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Dilution Water	4	0.6888	0.6607	0.7168	0.577	0.737	0.03758	0.07515	10.91%	0.0%
5		4	0.6425	0.6304	0.6546	0.595	0.666	0.01617	0.03234	5.03%	6.72%
12.5		4	0.6318	0.5896	0.6739	0.492	0.747	0.05651	0.113	17.89%	8.28%
25		4	0.5904	0.5649	0.6159	0.532	0.669	0.03414	0.06828	11.57%	14.29%
45		4	0.5943	0.5764	0.6121	0.54	0.654	0.02388	0.04775	8.04%	13.72%
100		4	0.6283	0.61	0.6465	0.58	0.684	0.0245	0.04901	7.8%	8.78%

CETIS Summary Report

Report Date: 31 Mar-21 13:07 (p 2 of 2)
 Test Code: 2103-027 | 18-8220-4984

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

7d Survival Rate Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	1	1	1	1
5		1	1	1	1
12.5		1	1	1	1
25		0.9	1	1	1
45		1	0.9	1	1
100		1	1	1	1

Mean Dry Biomass-mg Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	0.577	0.737	0.728	0.713
5		0.595	0.65	0.659	0.666
12.5		0.492	0.593	0.747	0.695
25		0.481	0.532	0.626	0.669
45		0.579	0.486	0.654	0.604
100		0.595	0.58	0.654	0.684

Mean Dry Weight-mg Detail

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	0.577	0.737	0.728	0.713
5		0.595	0.65	0.659	0.666
12.5		0.492	0.593	0.747	0.695
25		0.5344	0.532	0.626	0.669
45		0.579	0.54	0.654	0.604
100		0.595	0.58	0.654	0.684

7d Survival Rate Binomials

C-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4
0	Dilution Water	10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
5		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
12.5		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
25		9/10	10/10	10/10	10/10
45		10/10	9/10	10/10	10/10
100		10/10	10/10	10/10	10/10

Rainier Environmental
Washington Laboratory

Client: Liberty Lake
Sample ID: #1 of 3
Test No: 2103-027
Log-In#: 21-036 21-037

Initial and Final Chemistries

Seven Day Chronic Freshwater Bioassay
Start Date & Time: 3/16/2021 1230
Stop Date & Time: 3/23/2021 1230
Test Species: Pimephales promelas
21-038

Conc. or (%) CON	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
pH	7.86	7.72	7.70	7.56	7.88	7.65	7.91	7.42	7.85	7.38	8.13	8.10	8.11	8.07	
DO (mg/l)	7.9	6.8	7.9	6.2	7.2	6.1	7.6	6.0	8.0	7.3	7.3	6.9	7.6	7.1	
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	317	310	277	290	304	295	298	308	301	306	323	319	332	330	
Temperature (°C)	25.0	25.0	25.2	25.5	25.6	25.2	24.8	25.0	25.0	25.1	25.4	25.1	24.9	24.9	
5.0	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
	pH	7.77	7.67	7.61	7.59	7.84	7.62	7.90	7.44	7.94	7.39	8.09	8.06	8.09	8.01
	DO (mg/l)	8.0	6.6	8.0	6.0	7.5	5.8	7.7	5.8	7.6	7.0	7.3	6.8	7.7	7.0
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	329	320	291	293	314	316	311	319	313	318	336	334	339	337	
Temperature (°C)	24.9	25.0	25.3	25.6	25.1	25.0	25.0	25.1	25.1	25.2	25.4	25.2	24.9	25.1	
12.5	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
	pH	7.60	7.68	7.54	7.56	7.73	7.61	7.74	7.48	7.72	7.42	8.03	8.01	8.01	7.96
	DO (mg/l)	8.0	6.8	8.0	6.0	7.4	6.2	7.8	5.9	7.8	6.9	7.1	6.9	7.4	6.8
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	344	337	306	311	329	334	336	334	331	341	357	356	357	351	
Temperature (°C)	25.1	25.0	25.3	25.7	25.2	25.2	24.7	25.0	25.0	25.2	25.3	25.1	24.8	25.1	
25	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
	pH	7.45	7.63	7.41	7.58	7.69	7.61	7.60	7.51	7.48	7.47	7.91	7.90	7.91	7.85
	DO (mg/l)	8.0	6.7	7.9	6.2	7.4	6.3	7.8	5.7	7.9	6.8	7.0	7.0	7.4	6.9
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	369	361	337	341	356	355	344	342	347	349	396	393	391	387	
Temperature (°C)	25.3	24.7	25.4	25.8	25.3	25.1	24.8	25.1	25.0	25.1	25.3	25.0	24.8	25.0	
45	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
	pH	7.24	7.63	7.23	7.57	7.44	7.58	7.38	7.48	7.27	7.46	7.72	7.86	7.71	7.82
	DO (mg/l)	8.1	6.7	8.0	6.1	7.5	6.2	7.7	5.9	7.9	6.5	7.4	6.7	7.7	6.8
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	413	402	377	387	381	383	378	368	392	387	468	458	465	452	
Temperature (°C)	25.5	24.8	25.5	25.9	25.3	25.2	24.8	25.1	25.3	25.0	25.4	25.0	25.0	25.0	
100	Days														
	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		
	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	init.	final	
	pH	6.84	7.49	6.87	7.51	6.99	6.99	6.95	7.44	7.01	7.47	7.40	7.54	7.35	7.47
	DO (mg/l)	8.2	6.7	8.2	6.6	8.1	6.1	7.9	5.7	7.6	6.7	7.3	6.8	8.0	6.9
Cond. (µmhos-cm)	534	519	511	519	513	514	518	512	507	501	613	609	607	602	
Temperature (°C)	25.7	24.9	25.5	25.9	25.3	25.2	25.1	25.1	25.4	25.0	25.5	25.0	25.0	25.0	
Tech. Initials	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	AF	

Dilution Water Batch #: MHSN D18
Test Chamber: VW12

QA Check: AF

Sample Description: _____
Animal Source: AFS Date Received: 3/16/21 Date of Hatch: 3/15/21
Comments: _____

Rainier Environmental
 Washington Laboratory
 5013 Pacific Hwy. E., Suite 20
 Tacoma, WA 98424

Raw Data Sheet
 Fathead Minnow
 (*Pimephales promelas*)
 Larval Survival

Client Name: Liberty Lake

Test No.: 2103-027

Sample ID: #1 of 3

Rep.	Conc. or (%)	Cont.	Days								Mean % Survival
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	CON	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2		24	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3		7	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		18	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1	5.0	6	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2		17	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3		1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		14	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1	12.5	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2		16	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3		3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		19	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1	25	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	
2		21	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3		2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		15	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1	45	13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2		9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
3		4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		22	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1	100	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2		5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3		20	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4		23	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1											
2											
3											
4											
1											
2											
3											
4											
Tech Initials			JK	JK	JK	JK	JK	JK	JK	JK	

Feeding Times: 0 10:00 1 15:45 2 15:45 3 15:30 4 14:30 5 14:00 6 15:45

Comments: _____ QA Check: JK

Rainier Environmental
 Washington Laboratory
 5013 Pacific Hwy. E., Suite 20
 Tacoma, WA 98424

Raw Data Sheet
 Fish Weights
 Seven Day Chronic Bioassay

Client: Liberty Lake

Test No: 2103-021

Sample ID: #1 of 3

Species: Pimephales promelas

rep #	Conc. or (%)	cont #	pan wt. (gm)	pan + fish (gm)	fish wt. (mg)	# fish	avg. per fish (mg)	avg. per conc. (mg)
1	CON	11	0.04236	0.04813		10		
2		24	0.04535	0.05272		10		
3		7	0.04344	0.05072		10		
4		18	0.04340	0.05053		10		
1	5.0	6	0.04312	0.04907		10		
2		17	0.04370	0.05020		10		
3		1	0.04536	0.05195		10		
4		14	0.04536	0.05202		10		
1	12.5	8	0.04494	0.04986		10		
2		16	0.04604	0.05197		10		
3		3	0.04478	0.05225		10		
4		19	0.04342	0.05037		10		
1	25	10	0.04187	0.04668		9		
2		21	0.04455	0.04987		10		
3		2	0.04526	0.05152		10		
4		15	0.04427	0.05096		10		
1	45	13	0.04432	0.05011		10		
2		9	0.04396	0.04882		9		
3		4	0.04712	0.05366		10		
4		22	0.04360	0.04964		10		
1	100	12	0.04369	0.04964		10		
2		5	0.04360	0.04940		10		
3		20	0.04436	0.05090		10		
4		23	0.04554	0.05238		10		
1								
2								
3								
4								
Technician Initials:			<u>JS</u>	<u>JS</u>				

Date/Time in: 3/23/2021 1230 Oven temp. (°C): 62.5
 Date/Time out: 3/25/2021 1230 Oven temp. (°C): 62.0

QA Check: ✓

Appendix E
Control QC Plots


Ceriodaphnia 7-d Survival and Reproduction Test

All Matching Labs

Test Type: Reproduction-Survival (7d)
 Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)

Organism: Ceriodaphnia dubia (Water Flea)
 Endpoint: Reproduction

Material: POTW Effluent
 Source: All SampleID Sources



Mean: 26.1 Count: 20 -1s Warning Limit: 20.58 -2s Action Limit: 16.23
 Sigma: NA CV: 26.80% +1s Warning Limit: 33.1 +2s Action Limit: 41.97

Quality Control Data

Point	Year	Month	Day	QC Data	Delta	Sigma	Warning	Action	Test ID	Analysis ID	Laboratory
1	2020	Feb	4	37.3	11.2	1.503	(+)		01-9768-1589		Rainier Environmental Laborator
2			11	29.5	3.398	0.5153			10-2329-6005		Rainier Environmental Laborator
3			25	37.2	11.1	1.492	(+)		15-0121-5688		Rainier Environmental Laborator
4		Mar	24	27.6	1.498	0.235			08-5081-9560		Rainier Environmental Laborator
5		Aug	11	25.4	-0.7017	-0.1147			09-3026-8151		Rainier Environmental Laborator
6			18	23.5	-2.602	-0.4421			04-1902-1586		Rainier Environmental Laborator
7			25	28.6	2.498	0.3849			15-2358-1049		Rainier Environmental Laborator
8		Sep	1	33	6.898	0.9874			09-1065-7146		Rainier Environmental Laborator
9		Oct	6	25.5	-0.6017	-0.0982			13-1500-8871		Rainier Environmental Laborator
10			6	24.9	-1.202	-0.1985			06-5259-3897		Rainier Environmental Laborator
11			13	35	8.898	1.235	(+)		20-4134-4983		Rainier Environmental Laborator
12		Nov	17	29.5	3.398	0.5153			10-9295-6781		Rainier Environmental Laborator
13			17	29.6	3.498	0.5296			20-5205-6367		Rainier Environmental Laborator
14		Dec	15	25.2	-0.9017	-0.148			00-7207-4836		Rainier Environmental Laborator
15			15	28.6	2.498	0.3849			15-6273-0495		Rainier Environmental Laborator
16	2021	Feb	2	17.7	-8.402	-1.636	(-)		12-9710-3034		Rainier Environmental Laborator
17			2	19.9	-6.202	-1.142	(-)		15-4009-0441		Rainier Environmental Laborator
18			9	20.6	-5.502	-0.9967			10-3509-5406		Rainier Environmental Laborator
19			9	21.9	-4.202	-0.739			06-5353-2037		Rainier Environmental Laborator
20		Mar	9	15.3	-10.8	-2.249	(-)	(-)	18-4938-8936		Rainier Environmental Laborator
21			16	17.3	-8.802	-1.732	(-)		03-3240-2239		Rainier Environmental Laborator


Ceriodaphnia 7-d Survival and Reproduction Test

All Matching Labs

Test Type: Reproduction-Survival (7d)
 Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)

Organism: Ceriodaphnia dubia (Water Flea)
 Endpoint: Reproduction

Material: POTW Effluent
 Source: All SampleID Sources



Mean: 13.78 Count: 20 -1s Warning Limit: 8.314 -2s Action Limit: 5.018
 Sigma: NA CV: 65.70% +1s Warning Limit: 22.83 +2s Action Limit: 37.83

Quality Control Data

Point	Year	Month	Day	QC Data	Delta	Sigma	Warning	Action	Test ID	Analysis ID	Laboratory
1	2020	Feb	4	13.61	-0.167	-0.02415			01-9768-1589		Rainier Environmental Laborator
2			11	14.49	0.713	0.09992			10-2329-6005		Rainier Environmental Laborator
3			25	9.634	-4.143	-0.7083			15-0121-5688		Rainier Environmental Laborator
4		Mar	24	36.2	22.42	1.913	(+)		08-5081-9560		Rainier Environmental Laborator
5		Aug	11	11.62	-2.157	-0.3372			09-3026-8151		Rainier Environmental Laborator
6			18	13.64	-0.137	-0.01979			04-1902-1586		Rainier Environmental Laborator
7			25	20.13	6.353	0.7509			15-2358-1049		Rainier Environmental Laborator
8		Sep	1	9.583	-4.194	-0.7188			09-1065-7146		Rainier Environmental Laborator
9		Oct	6	13.62	-0.157	-0.02269			13-1500-8871		Rainier Environmental Laborator
10			6	11.11	-2.667	-0.426			06-5259-3897		Rainier Environmental Laborator
11			13	3.81	-9.967	-2.545	(-)	(-)	20-4134-4983		Rainier Environmental Laborator
12		Nov	17	15.84	2.063	0.2763			10-9295-6781		Rainier Environmental Laborator
13			17	31.78	18	1.655	(+)		20-5205-6367		Rainier Environmental Laborator
14		Dec	15	14.22	0.443	0.06267			00-7207-4836		Rainier Environmental Laborator
15			15	8.753	-5.024	-0.8982			15-6273-0495		Rainier Environmental Laborator
16	2021	Feb	2	14.11	0.333	0.04729			12-9710-3034		Rainier Environmental Laborator
17			2	14.69	0.913	0.1271			15-4009-0441		Rainier Environmental Laborator
18			9	15.38	1.603	0.218			10-3509-5406		Rainier Environmental Laborator
19			9	8.461	-5.316	-0.9654			06-5353-2037		Rainier Environmental Laborator
20		Mar	9	29.88	16.1	1.533	(+)		18-4938-8936		Rainier Environmental Laborator
21			16	38.06	24.28	2.012	(+)	(+)	03-3240-2239		Rainier Environmental Laborator

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test


Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Test Type: Growth-Survival (7d)
 Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)

Organism: Pimephales promelas (Fathead Minn
 Endpoint: Mean Dry Biomass-mg

Material: All Materials
 Source: All SampleID Sources

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test



Mean: 0.5475 Count: 20 -1s Warning Limit: 0.4713 -2s Action Limit: 0.4058
 Sigma: NA CV: 16.20% +1s Warning Limit: 0.636 +2s Action Limit: 0.7387

Quality Control Data

Point	Year	Month	Day	QC Data	Delta	Sigma	Warning	Action	Test ID	Analysis ID
1	2020	Aug	18	0.5042	-0.0433	-0.5499			21-4188-3306	
2			25	0.4472	-0.1003	-1.351	(-)		01-1040-8290	
3		Sep	1	0.4408	-0.1067	-1.447	(-)		11-8812-7386	
4			1	0.4955	-0.052	-0.6661			03-6764-1737	
5		Oct	6	0.517	-0.0305	-0.3826			12-4358-4779	
6			6	0.5045	-0.043	-0.546			05-4200-0800	
7			13	0.4495	-0.098	-1.317	(-)		20-0571-0579	
8		Nov	17	0.4815	-0.066	-0.8575			20-2638-4281	
9			17	0.5717	0.0242	0.2888			07-4765-2708	
10			24	0.5657	0.0182	0.2183			11-6139-6969	
11		Dec	15	0.5705	0.023	0.2748			06-9636-4201	
12			15	0.5818	0.0343	0.4057			16-5006-4222	
13			15	0.4887	-0.0588	-0.7584			01-5777-8180	
14	2021	Feb	2	0.6565	0.109	1.212	(+)		15-3840-4948	
15			2	0.6432	0.0957	1.075	(+)		04-4001-3168	
16			9	0.6753	0.1278	1.401	(+)		10-5469-1179	
17			9	0.6912	0.1437	1.556	(+)		01-1694-1711	
18			17	0.4858	-0.0617	-0.7981			02-6915-8146	
19		Mar	9	0.6083	0.0608	0.703			03-5780-2768	
20			16	0.6888	0.1413	1.533	(+)		18-8220-4984	
21			16	0.6703	0.1228	1.351	(+)		00-5123-9627	

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test

Rainier Environmental Laboratory

Test Type: Growth-Survival (7d)

Organism: Pimephales promelas (Fathead Minn


Material: All Materials

Protocol: EPA/821/R-02-013 (2002)

Endpoint: Mean Dry Biomass-mg

Source: All SampleID Sources

Fathead Minnow 7-d Larval Survival and Growth Test



Mean: 12.29

Count: 20

-1s Warning Limit: 8.669

-2s Action Limit: 6.113

Sigma: NA

CV: 41.80%

+1s Warning Limit: 17.43

+2s Action Limit: 24.72

Quality Control Data

Point	Year	Month	Day	QC Data	Delta	Sigma	Warning	Action	Test ID	Analysis ID
1	2020	Aug	18	11.54	-0.7526	-0.1809			21-4188-3306	
2			25	20.46	8.167	1.459	(+)		01-1040-8290	
3		Sep	1	12.66	0.3674	0.0843			11-8812-7386	
4			1	13.55	1.257	0.2788			03-6764-1737	
5		Oct	6	13.44	1.147	0.2555			12-4358-4779	
6			6	20.32	8.027	1.439	(+)		05-4200-0800	
7			13	9.231	-3.062	-0.82			20-0571-0579	
8		Nov	17	14.16	1.867	0.4049			20-2638-4281	
9			17	10.58	-1.713	-0.4295			07-4765-2708	
10			24	18.22	5.927	1.127	(+)		11-6139-6969	
11		Dec	15	12.03	-0.2626	-0.06183			06-9636-4201	
12			15	16.28	3.987	0.8043			16-5006-4222	
13			15	13.93	1.637	0.358			01-5777-8180	
14	2021	Feb	2	7.616	-4.677	-1.371	(-)		15-3840-4948	
15			2	4.731	-7.562	-2.734	(-)	(-)	04-4001-3168	
16			9	14.17	1.877	0.4069			10-5469-1179	
17			9	15.33	3.037	0.6322			01-1694-1711	
18			17	7.999	-4.294	-1.23	(-)		02-6915-8146	
19		Mar	9	12.05	-0.2426	-0.05707			03-5780-2768	
20			16	10.91	-1.383	-0.3416			18-8220-4984	
21			16	19.46	7.167	1.315	(+)		00-5123-9627	

Appendix F
Sample Check-in Sheet

Client: Liberty Lake WPT

Tests Performed: Cd-c ; Cd-g ; Pb-c ; Pb-g
Test ID No(s): 2103-025 ; 2103-026 ; 2103-027 ; 2103-028

Sample Description:

Sample ID:	#1 of 3	#2 of 3	#3 of 3
Log-in No. (20-xxxx):	21-036	21-037	21-038
Sample Collection Date & Time:	3/15/21 1000	3/17/21 1030	3/18/21 1015
Sample Receipt Date & Time:	3/16/21 1000	3/18/21 1550	3/20/21 0950
Check-in Temperature (°C)	5.2	3.4	4.1
Temperature OK?	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N
DO (mg/L)	7.3	6.5	6.8
pH (units)	6.74	6.88	6.86
Conductivity (µS/cm)	526	476	483
Salinity (ppt)	0.2		
Tit. Vol / Sam. Vol. / Alkalinity (mg/L)*	1.3 / 125 / 52	1.3 / 125 / 52	1.4 / 125 / 56
Tit. Vol. / Sam. Vol. / Hardness (mg/L)*	3.4 / 125 / 136	2.8 / 125 / 112	3.0 / 125 / 120
Total Chlorine (mg/L)	<0.03	<0.03	0.03
Total Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	4.0	4.0	4.0
Technician Initials	YJ	YJ	YJ

* = mg/L as CaCO₃, ° = Measured for freshwater samples only, NA = Not Applicable.

NM = Not Measured

Freshwater Tests:

Control/Dilution Water Source: test type: PP-2 8.2 (DMW) MHW Other: 015
Alkalinity: 60 Hardness: 96
Control/Dilution Water Source: test type: CD-C 8.2 (DMW) MHW Other:
Alkalinity: 60 Hardness: 92
Additional Control? Y N = Alkalinity: Hardness:

Marine Tests:

Control/Dilution Water Source: test type: ART SW NAT SW Alkalinity: Salinity:
Control/Dilution Water Source: test type: ART SW NAT SW Alkalinity: Salinity:
Additional Control? Y N = Alkalinity: Salinity:
Sample Salted w/ artificial salt? Y N If yes, what ppt? test type:
Sample Salted w/ brine? Y N If yes, what ppt? test type:

Comments: Temperature for grab sample must be 0-20°C if received within 1 hour of collection time, 0-12°C if effluent received within 4 hours of collection time, and 0-6°C for all other samples.

COC Complete? Y or N

1 Y 2 Y 3 Y

Filtration? Y (N)

Pore Size:

Organisms or Debris:

Aeration? Y (N)

Length of Time:

Final DO:

Final pH:

Hardness Adjustment? Y (N)
If adjusted, please see worksheet for details.

Sub-samples for additional chemistry:

QC Check: Y

Appendix G
Chain-of-Custody Forms

Sample Collection By: DAN GROGG CLIENT OPERATOR LSWUD

Report to: Company <u>LIBERTY LAKE WRF</u> Address <u>N. 2218 HARVARD RD.</u> City/State/zip <u>LIBERTY LAKE WA 99199</u> Contact <u>DAN GROGG</u> Phone <u>509-370-1453</u> Email <u>dnagg@libertylake.org</u>	Invoice To: Company <u>LIBERTY LAKE Sewer & Water Dist</u> Address <u>2210 E MISSOURI AVE</u> City/State/zip <u>LIBERTY LAKE WA 99199</u> Contact <u>TRICIA RITVEIT</u> Phone <u>509-922-5443</u> Email <u>triteit@libertylake.org</u>
--	---

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	CONTAINER TYPE	NO. OF CONTAINERS	COMMENTS	ANALYSES REQUIRED	Receipt Temperature (°C)
1 # 1 of 3	3-15-21	1000 AM	URINE	POCK	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACUTE CERIODADIA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACUTE FATHEAD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHRONIC CERIODADIA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHRONIC FATHEAD	5.2
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								

PROJECT INFORMATION Client: <u>LSWUD</u> PO No.: <u>21133</u> Shipped Via: <u>Fed Ex</u>		SAMPLE RECEIPT Total No. of Containers: <u>1</u> Received Good Condition? <u>Y</u> Matches Test Schedule? <u>Y</u>		RELINQUISHED BY (CLIENT) Signature: <u>[Signature]</u> Printed Name: <u>DAN D. GROGG</u> Date: <u>3-15-21</u>		RELINQUISHED BY (COURIER) Signature: _____ Printed Name: _____ Date: _____	
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/COMMENTS:				RECEIVED BY (COURIER) Signature: _____ Printed Name: _____ Date: _____		RECEIVED BY (LABORATORY) Signature: <u>[Signature]</u> Printed Name: <u>ERIC TOLLESON</u> Date: <u>3/16/21</u>	

April 1, 2021

Dan Grogg
Liberty Lake WRF
N. 2218 Harvard Road
Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Subject: March 2021 Bioassay Test

Dear Dan,

Enclosed is a report for acute and chronic toxicity tests conducted on a samples collected from the Liberty Lake in March 2021 using the test species *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (*Ceriodaphnia*) and *Pimephales promelas* (*fathead minnows*). Test procedures followed EPA and Washington Department of Ecology testing guidelines.

There was no statistically significant difference between the control and the acute critical effluent concentration (ACEC) of 45 percent sample or the chronic critical effluent concentration (CCEC) of 5 percent sample.

A computer disk containing the statistical analyses and a copy of the report is enclosed. Please forward the disk to Department of Ecology. Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Eric Tollefson
Laboratory Manager
Rainier Environmental

TestID	TestGroup	ControlCode	Rep	Pos	#Exposed	1dSurvival	2dSurvival	3dSurvival	4dSurvival	5dSurvival
1882204984	0	D	1	7	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	0	D	2	24	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	0	D	3	1	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	0	D	4	20	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	5		1	19	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	5		2	17	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	5		3	3	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	5		4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	12.5		1	21	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	12.5		2	16	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	12.5		3	8	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	12.5		4	13	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	25		1	18	10	10	10	10	9	9
1882204984	25		2	22	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	25		3	5	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	25		4	12	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	45		1	6	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	45		2	11	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	45		3	4	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	45		4	9	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	100		1	15	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	100		2	23	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	100		3	2	10	10	10	10	10	10
1882204984	100		4	14	10	10	10	10	10	10

6dSurvival	7dSurvival	TotalWgt	TareWgt	PanCount
10	10	48.13000107	42.36000061	10
10	10	52.72000122	45.34999847	10
10	10	50.72000122	43.43999863	10
10	10	50.52999878	43.40000153	10
10	10	49.06999969	43.11999893	10
10	10	50.20000076	43.70000076	10
10	10	51.95000076	45.36000061	10
10	10	52.02000046	45.36000061	10
10	10	49.86000061	44.93999863	10
10	10	51.97000122	46.04000092	10
10	10	52.25	44.77999878	10
10	10	50.36999893	43.41999817	10
9	9	46.68000031	41.86999893	9
10	10	49.86999893	44.54999924	10
10	10	51.52000046	45.25999832	10
10	10	50.95999908	44.27000046	10
10	10	50.11000061	44.31999969	10
10	9	48.81999969	43.95999908	9
10	10	53.65999985	47.11999893	10
10	10	49.63999939	43.59999847	10
10	10	49.63999939	43.68999863	10
10	10	49.40000153	43.59999847	10
10	10	50.90000153	44.36000061	10
10	10	52.38000107	45.54000092	10

TestID	TestGroup	ControlCode	Rep	Pos	#Exposed	1dSurvival	2dSurvival	3dSurvival	4dSurvival	5dSurvival
332402239	0 D		1	29	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		3	22	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		4	43	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		5	60	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		6	19	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		7	20	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		8	12	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		9	49	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	0 D		10	9	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		1	6	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		2	18	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		3	33	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		4	58	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		5	11	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		6	41	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		7	37	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		8	51	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		9	27	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	5		10	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		1	15	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		2	42	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		3	59	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		4	25	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		5	45	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		6	13	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		7	31	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		8	53	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		9	39	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	12.5		10	48	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		1	46	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		2	55	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		3	21	1	1	1	1	1	1

332402239	25		4	32	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		5	56	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		6	38	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		7	16	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		8	40	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		9	50	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	25		10	57	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0
332402239	45		2	7	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		3	8	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		4	30	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		5	54	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		6	26	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		7	23	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		8	34	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		9	36	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	45		10	52	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		1	10	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		2	24	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		3	47	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		4	5	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		5	17	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		7	28	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		8	44	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		9	35	1	1	1	1	1	1
332402239	100		10	14	1	1	1	1	1	1

6dSurvival	7dSurvival	Neonates	Male
1	1	11	FALSE
1	1	18	FALSE
1	1	15	FALSE
1	1	24	FALSE
1	1	17	FALSE
1	1	24	FALSE
1	1	26	FALSE
1	1	16	FALSE
1	1	4	FALSE
1	1	18	FALSE
1	1	20	FALSE
1	1	23	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	16	FALSE
1	1	20	FALSE
1	1	27	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	8	FALSE
1	1	6	FALSE
1	1	20	FALSE
1	1	21	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	17	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	26	FALSE
1	1	22	FALSE
1	1	18	FALSE
1	1	16	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	20	FALSE
1	1	22	FALSE
1	1	15	FALSE

1	1	20	FALSE
1	1	22	FALSE
1	1	26	FALSE
1	1	17	FALSE
1	1	13	FALSE
1	1	14	FALSE
1	1	18	FALSE
0	0	0	FALSE
1	1	16	FALSE
1	1	23	FALSE
1	1	22	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	23	FALSE
1	1	23	FALSE
1	1	18	FALSE
1	1	17	FALSE
1	1	21	FALSE
1	1	10	FALSE
1	1	0	FALSE
1	1	3	FALSE
1	1	15	FALSE
1	1	25	FALSE
1	1	24	FALSE
1	1	19	FALSE
1	1	22	FALSE
1	1	0	FALSE
1	1	14	FALSE

TestID	TestGroup	ControlCode	Rep	Pos	#Exposed	24hSurvival	48hSurvival
585888821	0	D	1	21	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	2	8	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	3	12	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	4	7	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		1	22	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		2	6	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		3	4	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		4	20	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		1	9	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		2	5	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		3	1	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		4	2	5	5	5
585888821	25		1	17	5	5	5
585888821	25		2	10	5	5	5
585888821	25		3	15	5	5	5
585888821	25		4	24	5	5	5
585888821	45		1	14	5	5	5
585888821	45		2	13	5	5	5
585888821	45		3	18	5	5	5
585888821	45		4	16	5	5	5
585888821	100		1	11	5	5	5
585888821	100		2	23	5	5	5
585888821	100		3	3	5	5	5
585888821	100		4	19	5	5	5

TestID	TestGroup	ControlCode	Rep	Pos	#Exposed	24hSurvival	48hSurvival
585888821	0	D	1	21	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	2	8	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	3	12	5	5	5
585888821	0	D	4	7	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		1	22	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		2	6	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		3	4	5	5	5
585888821	6.25		4	20	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		1	9	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		2	5	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		3	1	5	5	5
585888821	12.5		4	2	5	5	5
585888821	25		1	17	5	5	5
585888821	25		2	10	5	5	5
585888821	25		3	15	5	5	5
585888821	25		4	24	5	5	5
585888821	45		1	14	5	5	5
585888821	45		2	13	5	5	5
585888821	45		3	18	5	5	5
585888821	45		4	16	5	5	5
585888821	100		1	11	5	5	5
585888821	100		2	23	5	5	5
585888821	100		3	3	5	5	5
585888821	100		4	19	5	5	5