

		State of Washington Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office <b>WATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT</b>			substitute for OMB No. 2040-0057 and EPA form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) (last file update 12-95.)	
Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS)						
Transaction Code 1 <b>N</b> 2 <b>5</b>		NPDES # 3 <b>WA0031721</b> 11		yr/mo/day 12 <b>22/06/23</b> 17	Inspection Type 18 <b>C</b>	Inspector 19 <b>S</b>
Fac Type 20 <b>2</b>						
Remarks						
Inspection work days 67 <b>1.0</b> 69		Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating 70 <b>5</b>		BI 71 <b>N</b>	QA 72 <b>N</b>	-----Reserved----- 73    74    75    80
Section B: Facility Data						
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Washington Bulb Co., Inc. 16031 Beaver Marsh Road Mount Vernon, WA 98273				Entry Time/Date 8:30 AM 06/23/22		Permit Effective Date 01/01/20
				Exit Time / Date 9:30 AM 06/23/22		Permit Expiration Date 12/31/24
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) John Roozen, Vice President PH: 360-424-5533 Fax: 360-424-3113				Other Facility Data		
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number. Same						
Phone Number      Fax      Contacted? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No						
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated)						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permit	<input type="checkbox"/> Flow Measurement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operations&Maint.	<input type="checkbox"/> CSO/SSO (Sewer Overflow)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Records/Reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self-Monitoring Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sludge Handling/Disposal	<input type="checkbox"/> Pollution Prevention			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility Site Review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compliance Schedules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pretreatment	<input type="checkbox"/> Multimedia			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Effluent/Receiving water	<input type="checkbox"/> Laboratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Storm Water	<input type="checkbox"/> other			
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments						
<p>Arrived at 8:30am on June 23, 2022, weather sunny and warming. Met John Roosen in the parking lot at Washington Bulb. We traveled around the back to look at the pond discharge into ditch. Water in ditch at discharge point was almost stagnant with no apparent difference in water clarity between upstream and downstream.</p> <p>Traveled down gradient along roads, observing the irrigation district's system of culverts and check dams. Despite the very wet and cool spring we have experienced, virtually no water was leaving the irrigation district's system of ditches over their check dams. This stored water is utilized to irrigate neighboring fields via the capillary action of groundwater.</p> <p>We returned to Washington Bulb and observed the discharge of clear wash water into pond 2, which was dredged since the last time observed. Also observed the final discharge point / sample point at pond three. Pond 1 has accumulated significant sediment and is no longer in operation or needed due to reduced wash season.</p> <p>After observing the irrigation district's system of ditches and check dams, I recommended to John that future DMR's be submitted as "no discharge", as the water is not discharging to state waters, it is being held in the irrigation ditch and utilized to water farm fields.</p> <p>No photos were taken. Left the facility at 9:30am.</p>						
Name(s) and Signatures of Inspector(s) Robert Nolan		Agency/Office/Telephone WA Dept. of Ecology/NWRO/206-594-0166 PO Box 330316, Shoreline, WA 98133			Date 6/27/22	
Signature of Management Q A Reviewer Monika Kannadaguli		Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers WA Dept. of Ecology/206-594-0144 fax 206-366-7810			Date June 27, 2022 -	

**ANNOUNCED** Inspection

**INSTRUCTIONS****Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS)**

**Column 1: Transaction Code.** Use N, C, or D for New Change or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

**Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No.** Enter the facility's NPDES permit number. *(Use the Remarks columns to record State permit number, if necessary.)*

**Columns 12-17: Inspection Date.** Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 94/06/30 = June 30, 1994).

**Column 18: Inspection Type.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

A Performance Audit	L Enforcement Case Support	2 IU Sampling Inspection
B Compliance Biomonitoring	M Multimedia	3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection
C Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	P Pretreatment Compliance Inspection	4 IU Toxics Inspection
D Diagnostic	R Reconnaissance	5 IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
E Corps of Engineers Inspection	S Compliance Sampling	6 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with pretreatment
F Pretreatment Follow-up	U IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit	7 IU Toxics with Pretreatment
G Pretreatment Audit	X Toxics Inspection	
I Industrial User (IU) Inspection	Z Sludge	

**Column 19: Inspector Code.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the *lead agency* in the inspection.

C - Contractor or Other Inspectors ( <i>Specify in Remarks Columns</i> )	N - NEIC Inspectors
E - Corps of Engineers	R - EPA Regional Inspector
J - Joint EPA/State Inspectors - EPA Lead	S - State Inspector
	T - Joint State/EPA Inspectors - State Lead

**Column 20: Facility Type.** Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- 1 - Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2 - Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3 - Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4 - Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office

**Columns 21-66: Remarks.** These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

**Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days.** Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

**Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating.** Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

**Column 71: Biomonitoring Information.** Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

**Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection.** Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

**Columns 73-80:** These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

**Section B: Facility Data**

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, and other updates to the record).

**Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection**

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection. The heading marked "Multimedia" may indicate medias such as CAA, RCRA, and TSCA. The heading marked "Other" may indicate activities such as SPCC, BMPs, and concerns that are not covered elsewhere.

**Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments**

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.