



DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY
State of Washington

Addendum 5 to Quality Assurance Project Plan

Washington State Surface Water Monitoring Program for Pesticides in Salmonid Habitat for Two Index Watersheds

February 2012

Publication No. 03-03-104-Addendum 5

Publication Information

Addendum

This addendum is an addition to an original Quality Assurance Project Plan. The addendum is not a correction (errata) to the original plan.

This addendum is available on the Department of Ecology's website at www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0303104Addendum5.html

Ecology's Activity Tracker Code for this study is 03-501.

Original Publication

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Washington State Surface Water Monitoring program for Pesticides in Salmonid Habitat for Two Index Watersheds

Publication No. 03-03-104

The Quality Assurance Project Plan is available on the Department of Ecology's website at www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/03031104.html

Author and Contact Information

Paul D. Anderson
Environmental Assessment Program
Washington State Department of Ecology
Olympia, Washington 98504-7710

For more information contact:

Communications Consultant
Phone: 360-407-6834

Any use of product or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the author or the Department of Ecology.

*If you need this document in a format for the visually impaired, call 360-407-6834.
Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service.
Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.*

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
Environmental Assessment Program

February 24, 2012

TO: Jim Cowles, Washington State Department of Agriculture

THROUGH: Dale Norton, Unit Supervisor, Environmental Assessment Program
Will Kendra, Section Manager, Environmental Assessment Program

FROM: Paul D. Anderson, Environmental Assessment Program

SUBJECT: Addendum to Quality Assurance Project Plan for Washington State Surface Water Monitoring program for Pesticides in Salmonid Habitat for Two Index Watersheds
Activity Tracker Code: 03-501
Publication No: **03-03-104-Addendum 5**

This addendum documents several changes planned for the Pesticides in Salmonid Habitat Monitoring program 2012 sampling season. These changes include:

- Changing laboratory methods for analysis of carbamates
- Using an electronic device to record field notes
- Discontinuing use of the DH-81 depth integrating sampler at select sites
- Dropping one of two monitoring sites on Spring Creek
- Adding copper monitoring

A more detailed discussion of the planned changes follows.

In an effort to save time and money Manchester Environmental Laboratory (MEL) decided to move to direct injection of carbamate samples. Using the direct injection method reduces the sample volume needed for analysis and removes the need for extraction while still maintaining current detection limits and data quality.

In 2011, a Trimble Yuma rugged mobile tablet was tested for field data collection. This rugged tablet is a fully functional computer. An Access form was created for the sampling teams to use for data collection. Use of the Trimble Yuma reduces paper use, streamlines workflow from the field to the office, and minimizes transcription errors. The project has successfully tested and migrated to using a rugged mobile tablet for field data collection.

During the 2011 sampling season a study was conducted comparing DH-81 and grab-collected samples (Anderson, 2011). The purpose of this study was to determine if there was a difference in pesticide results between the two sampling methods. The results of the study showed that there was not a statistically significant difference in pesticide results. At the sites tested, water is well mixed and there are no significant water inputs upstream of the sampling sites (Sargeant, 2011). Based on the results of the study, the project will discontinue use of the DH-81 at the Indian Slough, Marion Drain, and downstream Big Ditch sites.

In addition to procedural changes, sampling at the upstream Spring Creek site will be discontinued as of 2012. Currently, two sites on Spring Creek (an upstream and downstream site) have similar land use and pesticide results. The upstream site will be discontinued to free up laboratory funds that will be used to add additional sampling parameters for 2012.

For the 2012 sampling season copper (total recoverable and dissolved), hardness, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), potassium (K), sulfate, chloride, and alkalinity will be added to the current suite of parameters. These parameters will be used to assess copper concentrations in the creeks and rivers that are a part of the original project. Hardness-based water quality standards will be calculated at each site. At a subset of sampling sites, the hardness-based water quality standards and Biotic Ligand Model (BLM) water quality standards will be compared. Additionally, the data collected for this study will help to inform data gaps in the Puget Sound toxics loading analysis.

The copper sampling is described in detail below.

cc: Kirk Cook, Washington State Department of Agriculture
Debby Sargeant, Project Manager, Environmental Assessment Program
Evan Newell, Eastern Washington Field Lead, Environmental Assessment Program
Bill Kammin, Ecology Quality Assurance Officer
Dean Momohara, Interim Director, Manchester Environmental Laboratory

Background and Project Description

A recently completed report by Ecology, *Control of Toxic Chemicals in Puget Sound: Assessment of Selected Toxic Chemicals in the Puget Sound Basin, 2007-2011* (Norton et al., 2011) identified urban lawn and garden use of copper as the potentially largest source of copper in the Puget Sound basin. To determine if elevated concentrations of copper are present in urban and agricultural settings, we will add copper to the pesticide monitoring program for the 2012 sampling season.

Currently, the State of Washington uses a hardness-based calculation to determine acute and chronic water quality criteria. In addition to sampling for total and dissolved copper, we will collect data to support use of the Biotic Ligand Model (BLM). The BLM is used to evaluate the availability and toxicity of metals like copper. It uses a number of different water quality variables to calculate the availability and toxicity of metals. The BLM results will be compared to the hardness-based criteria to determine if there is a difference in the watersheds tested. The overall goals of the copper sampling portion of this project will be to:

1. Assess whether elevated copper concentrations are present in urban and agricultural watersheds in the pesticide monitoring program.
2. Determine if measurable concentrations of copper exceed water quality standards.
3. Evaluate differences between hardness-based and BLM-derived water quality criteria.

Sampling of copper will occur during the 2012 monitoring season (March through September). Results from the copper sampling and the BLM will be presented in a report separate from the annual pesticide data summary report. Based on the 2012 monitoring results, we will evaluate the need to continue copper monitoring.

Schedule and Deliverables

Table 1. Proposed schedule for completing field and laboratory work, data entry into EIM, and a final report for copper study.

Field and laboratory work	Due date	Lead staff
Field work completed	September 2012	Paul D. Anderson
Laboratory analyses completed	December 2012	
Environmental Information System (EIM) database		
EIM user study ID	DSAR0010	
Product	Due date	Lead staff
EIM data loaded	July 2013	Paul D. Anderson
EIM quality assurance	August 2013	Amy Cook
EIM complete	September 2013	Paul D. Anderson
Final report		
Author lead / support staff	Paul D. Anderson/ Amy Cook	
Schedule		
Draft due to supervisor	April 2013	
Draft due to client/peer reviewer	May 2013	
Final (all reviews done) due to publications coordinator	June 2013	
Final report due on web	July 2013	

Budget

The total laboratory cost for the project is estimated at \$29,819.69. A breakdown of the projected cost is detailed in Table 2. Cost estimate includes a 50% discount for MEL.

Table 2. Estimated laboratory costs*.

	Analysis	Sample	Blank	Total	Price per Sample	Total
	Copper, Total Recoverable LL analysis	65	6	71	\$ 39.45	\$ 2,800.95
	Clean room prep per sample	65	6	71	\$ 31.15	\$ 2,211.65
	Total				\$ 70.60	\$ 5,012.60
	Copper, dissolved LL analysis	169	6	175	\$ 39.45	\$ 6,903.75
	Filter	169	6	175	\$ 28.03	\$ 4,095.25
	Clean room prep per sample	169	6	175	\$ 31.15	\$ 5,451.25
	Total				\$ 98.63	\$17,260.25
	Hardness	169	-	169	\$ 22.84	\$ 3,859.96
BLM Parameters	DOC	28	-	24	\$ 37.34	\$ 896.16
	Ca, Mg, Na, K ¹	28	-	24	\$ 71.63	\$ 1,719.12
	Sulfate	28	-	24	\$ 13.50	\$ 324.00
	Chloride	28	-	24	\$ 13.50	\$ 324.00
	Alkalinity	28	-	24	\$ 17.65	\$ 423.60
	pH ²	28	-	24	\$ -	\$ -
	Temperature ²	28	-	24	\$ -	\$ -
Total Cost					\$29,819.69	

*Costs include 50% discount for MEL

LL: low level

¹ Will be included in the total recoverable copper analysis

² Hydrolab measurement – no cost

Quality Objectives

Quality objectives for this study are to obtain data of sufficient quality and quantity so that the data can be used to evaluate the stated objective of the study. These objectives will be achieved through careful planning, sampling, and adherence to the procedures described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan and all associated addendums.

Laboratory

Ecology's MEL will perform the chemical analysis for this study. MEL is expected to meet all the quality control requirements of the analytical methods being used for this project.

Measurement quality objectives (MQOs) for this study are shown in Table 3. The recovery and precision objectives are the acceptance limits of the analytical methods. The lowest concentrations of interest are MEL's reporting limits.

Table 3. Measurement quality objectives.

Analysis	LCS (% recovery)	Duplicate Samples (RPD)	Matrix Spike (% recovery)	Matrix Spike Duplicates (RPD)	Lowest Concentration of Interest
Copper	75-125	±20	75-125	±20	0.1 ug/L
Hardness	85-115	±20	75-125	±20	1 mg/L
Ca, Mg, Na, K	85-115	±20	75-125	±20	0.1 ug/L
DOC	80-120	±20	75-125	±20	1 mg/L
Sulfate	90-110	±20	75-125	±20	0.5 mg/L
Chloride	90-110	±20	75-125	±20	0.1 mg/L
Alkalinity	80-120	±20	n/a	n/a	5 mg/L

n/a: not applicable

RPD: relative percent difference

Sampling Design

Copper

Thirteen sites will be sampled for dissolved copper and hardness. Eight of the 13 sampling sites are in Eastern Washington: Marion Drain, Sulphur Creek Wasteway, downstream Spring Creek, Mission Creek, Peshastin Creek, Entiat River, Wenatchee River, and Brender Creek. The remaining five sites are in Western Washington and discharge directly to Puget Sound: Longfellow Creek, Samish River, Brown Slough, downstream Big Ditch, and Indian Slough. In addition to dissolved copper, total recoverable copper will be included at the five Western Washington sites. Copper samples will be obtained every other week during the sample season beginning the second week of March. There will be a total of 13 sample events.

Biotic Ligand Model

Parameters associated with the BLM (dissolved organic carbon, calcium, sodium, magnesium, potassium, sulfate, chloride, and alkalinity) will be sampled once a month during the project sampling season. Four sites, one per watershed, will be sampled for the BLM parameters: Wenatchee River, Marion Drain, Longfellow Creek, and Samish River. Sites were chosen based on documented presence of salmonids or because the sites drain directly to a waterbody supporting species of endangered salmonids. Water quality standards calculated by the BLM will be compared to those generated by the hardness-based water quality standards.

Sampling Procedures

Collection of water samples for metals will follow Ecology Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) EAP029 *Collection and Field Processing of Metals Samples* (Ward, 2010).

Non-metal water samples will be collected by hand as simple grabs from mid-channel following the Ecology SOP EAP015 *Manually Obtaining Surface Water Samples* (Joy, 2006). Both total and dissolved metals will be collected. Samples for dissolved metals will be field filtered within 15 minutes of collection.

Water samples will be collected in appropriate sample containers (Table 4).

Table 4. Sample containers, preservation, and holding times.

Analysis	Minimum Sample Size	Container	Preservation	Holding Time
Total Rec. Copper	350 mL	500 mL HDPE bottle	HNO ₃ to pH<2; Cool to ≤6°C	6 months
Dissolved Copper	350 mL	500 mL HDPE bottle	Filter within 15 minutes of collection; then add HNO ₃ to pH<2; Cool to ≤6°C	6 months
Ca, Mg, Na, K	350 mL	500 mL HDPE bottle (combined in Total Rec. Copper bottle)	HNO ₃ to pH<2; Cool to ≤6°C	6 months
Hardness	100 mL	125 mL poly bottle	H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2; Cool to ≤6°C	6 months
DOC	50 mL	60 mL poly bottle; 0.45 um pore size filter	Filter in field with 0.45 um pore size filter; 1:1 HCl to pH<2; Cool to ≤6°C	28 days
Sulfate	100 mL	500 mL poly bottle (combined in same bottle)	Refrigerate, 0-6°C	28 days
Chloride				28 days
Alkalinity				14 days

HDPE: High density polyethylene

Laboratory Procedures

Project samples will be analyzed at MEL. Laboratory reporting limits and analytical methods are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Laboratory reporting limits and analytical methods.

Analysis	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method
Copper	0.1 ug/L	ICP/MS EPA 200.8
Ca, Mg, Na	50 ug/L	ICP/MS EPA 200.8
K	500 ug/L	ICP/MS EPA 200.8
Hardness	0.3 mg/L	SM2340B
DOC	1 mg/L	SM5310B
Sulfate	0.5 mg/L	EAP 300.0; SM4110C
Chloride	0.1 mg/L	EPA 300.0; SM4110C
Alkalinity	5 mg/L	EPA 310.2; SM2320B

ICP/MS: Inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry
SM: Standard Methods

Quality Control Procedures

Field

Transfer blanks and filter blanks will be analyzed for copper to assess potential for contamination arising from sample containers or handling. The transfer blank will be prepared by pouring MEL blank water into sample bottles in the field. MEL blank water will be filtered in the field to prepare the filter blanks. Blanks will be collected and analyzed once per quarter at selected sites.

Laboratory duplicates will be used in place of replicates to assess overall variability.

Laboratory

The laboratory quality control procedures routinely followed by MEL will be satisfactory for the purposes of this project. MEL will follow SOPs as described in the Manchester Environmental Laboratory Quality Assurance Manual (MEL, 2006).

The laboratory quality control samples that will be used for this project are listed in Table 6.

Table 6. Laboratory quality control samples.

Analysis	LCS	Method Blank	Analytical Duplicate	MS/MSD
Total Rec. Copper	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch
Dissolved Copper	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch
Hardness	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch
Ca, Mg, Na, K	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch
DOC	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	n/a
Sulfate	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	n/a
Chloride	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	n/a
Alkalinity	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	n/a

LCS: Laboratory control sample

MS/MSD: Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

In Table 6, analytical duplicates are samples split at the laboratory as opposed to replicate samples collected separately in the field. The field samplers will identify the samples that are to be analyzed in duplicate (split) by the laboratory.

Data Analysis and Report

The data collected for this study will be used in several different ways. The total recoverable copper data from the Western Washington sites will be used to assess whether elevated copper concentrations are present in urban and agricultural watersheds in the pesticide monitoring program. The dissolved copper data coupled with hardness data for both Eastern and Western Washington will be used to assess potential toxicity to salmonids and their prey base.

As a comparison to the hardness-based water quality standards the BLM will be used to calculate water quality standards. The BLM takes into account many mitigating parameters (hardness, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, DOC, sulfate, chloride, and alkalinity) when calculating the water quality standard instead of relying solely on hardness.

A paired t-test will be used to determine if there is a statistically significant difference between the hardness-based and the BLM-based water quality standards. The power of this comparison is estimated as follows: assuming that 28 sample pairs are tested for a two-sided difference at the 95% confidence level, this study can reasonably expect (at 80% power) to detect a difference in mean water quality standards which exceeds 0.55 standard deviations of the differences between pairs. This calculation was derived using R v.2.14.1 ©2011 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

A report will be written detailing the information discussed in the preceding paragraphs.

References

- Anderson, P. D., 2011. Addendum 4 to Quality Assurance Project Plan: Washington State Surface Water Monitoring Program for Pesticides in Salmonid Habitat for Two Index Watersheds. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 03-03-104Add4. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0303104ADD4.html
- Joy, J., 2006. Standard Operating Procedure for Manually Obtaining Surface Water Samples, Version 1.0. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. SOP Number 015. www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/quality.html
- MEL, 2006. Manchester Environmental Laboratory Quality Assurance Manual. Manchester Environmental Laboratory, Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester, WA.
- Norton, D., D. Serdar, J. Colton, R. Jack, and D. Lester, 2011. Control of Toxic Chemicals in Puget Sound: Assessment of Selected Toxic Chemicals in the Puget Sound Basin, 2007-2011. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 11-03-055. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/1103055.html
- Sargeant, D., 2011. Surface Water Monitoring Program for Pesticides in Salmon-Bearing Streams: DH-81 and Grab Sample Comparison Study. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 11-03-066. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/1103066.html
- Ward, W., 2010. Standard Operating Procedure for the Collection and Field Processing of Metals Samples, Version 1.4. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. SOP Number 029. www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/quality.html