

November 2013

Addendum #1 to Quality Assurance Project Plan

Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products

November 2013 Publication No. 12-07-025a

Publication Information

Addendum

This addendum is on the Department of Ecology's website at <u>https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1207025a.html</u>

This addendum is an addition to an original Quality Assurance Project Plan. It is not a correction (errata) to the original plan.

Original Publication

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products

Publication No. 12-07-025

The Quality Assurance Project Plan is available on the Department of Ecology's website at <u>https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/summarypages/1207025.html</u>

Author of this Addendum

Saskia van Bergen Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Washington State Department of Ecology Olympia, Washington 98504-7710

> Any use of product or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the author or the Department of Ecology.

If you need this document in a format for the visually impaired, call 360-407-6834. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

Addendum #1 to Quality Assurance Project Plan

Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products

November 2013

Approved by:

Signature:	Date:
Joshua Grice, Client, W2R Program	
Signature:	Date:
Saskia van Bergen, Author/Project Manager, HWTR-HQ	
Signature:	Date:
Ken Zarker, Author Supervisor, HWTR-HQ	
Signature:	Date:
William R. Kammin, Ecology Quality Assurance Officer	

Signatures are not available on the Internet version. HWTR-HQ: Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Program W2R: Waste 2 Resources Program

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction

November 15, 2013 **TO:** Joshua Grice, Client Waste 2 Resources Program

FROM: Saskia van Bergen Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Program

SUBJECT: Addendum #1 to Quality Assurance Project Plan: Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products

PROJECT CODE: NEPBD

PUBLICATION NO: 12-07-025a

Overview

In 2012, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) initiated a study with the objectives to:

- Determine compliance with the Washington State ban on the PBDE class of flame retardants.
- Assess the levels of flame retardants in general consumer and children's products.
- Determine compliance with the state's Children's Safe Product Act (CSPA) reporting requirements for flame retardants that appear on the Chemicals of High Concern to Children (CHCC) list.

A portable XRF instrument was used to screen various consumer products for the presence of bromine and chlorine. A subset of these samples was analyzed for the initial compounds of interest identified in the **Quality Assurance Project Plan: Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products** (Ecology, 2012) listed in Table 1. This subset of samples included all samples showing the presence of substantial amounts of bromine and chlorine and a random selection of some of the samples where bromine and chlorine were not detected. The analyses were conducted by Ecology's Manchester Environmental Laboratory (MEL) using modified EPA methods as there are no standard approved methods for most of these analytes.

Analytes	CAS Number
PBDEs	
Pentabromodiphenyl ether mix	32534-81-9
Octabromodiphenyl ether mix	32536-52-0
Decabromodiphenyl ether	1163-19-5

Table 1 Analytes of interest in the Original QAPP

Decabromodiphenyl ethane	84852-53-9
Chlorinated phosphates	
TCEP	115-96-8
ТСРР	13674-84-5
TDCPP	13674-87-8
Non-halogenated phosphates	
RDP	115-86-6
TPP	125997-21-9

Early in 2013 through the CSPA reporting requirements, Ecology was notified that a product sampled for this study contained another flame retardant on the CHCC list. This flame retardant, tetrabromobisphenol A, was not part of the original group of analytes selected for analysis. As part of the effort to determine compliance and assess levels of all pertinent flame retardants, three additional flame retardants were identified for analysis. These new flame retardants include hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD), tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), and 2,2-bis(chloromethyl)propane-1,3-diyl-tetrakis(2-choroethyl)bis(phosphate) (V6). All three flame retardants contain either chlorine or bromine and may be present in the earlier samples sent for analysis. Stapleton et al. (2011, 2012) showed the presence of V6 in both consumer's and children's products.

This addendum describes two substantive changes to the original QAPP:

- 1) A contract laboratory will measure concentrations of three additional flame retardants in 60 archived samples from the original QAPP sampling event.
- 2) The contract lab will analyze the 60 archived product samples for the chlorinated phosphate and triphenyl phosphate flame retardant compounds already analyzed by MEL to confirm the ability to measure these analytes.

Quality objectives for the chlorinated phosphates and triphenyl phosphate are described in the original Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP).

Experimental Design

60 samples from the original project were selected for both the analysis of the added target chemicals in Table 2 and the reanalysis of the analytes in Table 3. Samples that had XRF results reporting chlorine or bromine were selected as well as those with preliminary results reporting TCEP, which is a large impurity in "V6" (Stapleton 2011). The remaining selected samples were chosen based on the original reported results in order to test samples with a range of analyte concentrations or if the product was identified to have a California Technical Bulletin 117 label (California, 2000). This label indicates the sample may have met California flammability testing requirements through chemical addition.

The three additional target chemicals proposed for testing and recommended practical quantitation limits (PQLs) are listed in Table 2. Flame retardants are commonly used in products at the percent levels (Stapleton et al., 2011), which is two orders of magnitude above the PQLs.

Table 2. Analytes of Interest

Analytes	CAS Number	PQL (ppm+)
V6 - 2,2-bis(chloromethyl)propane-1,3-diyl-tetrakis(2- chloroethyl)bis(phosphate	38051-10-4	100++
HBCD – Hexabromocyclododecane	3194-55-6 25637-99-4	100++
TBBPA- Tetrabromobisphenol A	79-94-7	100++

+ppm = parts per million of analyte in sample by weight

⁺⁺ No PQL exists for these compounds; the PQL for PBDEs is used as a surrogate.

Table 2	Amolytan	from the	Ominimal		to ha	how we have	here	a accord	laboratory
Table 5.	Analytes	monn me	Offginal	VALL		measureu	Uy i	a second	laboratory

Analytes	CAS Number	PQL (ppm+)				
Chlorinated phosphates						
TCEP	115-96-8	100++				
TCPP	13674-84-5	100++				
TDCPP	13674-87-8	100++				
Non-halogenated phosphates						
TPP	125997-21-9	100++				

+ppm = parts per million of analyte in sample by weight

⁺⁺ No PQL exists for these compounds; the PQL for PBDEs is used as a surrogate.

The analytical results from the reanalysis of the analytes in Table 3 will be compared to the original MEL data by calculating Relative Percent Difference and, if applicable, sorting the samples by matrix type.

Analytical Laboratory

MEL will send samples to ALS Canada Ltd for extraction and analysis. Previous work has shown V6 can be analyzed by LC/MSMS (Stapleton 2012). ALS will base their work on the laboratory methods and estimated reporting limits described in Table 3 for the three new target analytes.

Analyte	Digestion Method	Instrumentation	Method	RL+ (ppm)++
V6	3540C	LC/MSMS+++	EPA 1694	10.0
HBCD	3540C	LC/MSMS+++	EPA 1694	10.0
TBBPA	3540C	LC/MSMS+++	EPA 1694	10.0

Table 4. Laboratory Methods and Reporting Limits

+RL = Reporting Limit

++ppm = parts per million of analyte in sample by weight +++ LC/MSMS = Liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy/mass spectroscopy

ALS is planning to use a different standard extraction method yet similar analytical method to confirm the analytical results reported by MEL described in Table 4. Both laboratories will perform a total extraction of the sample, rather than by leaching. MEL based their procedure on EPA Method SW-846 3546 (Microwave) while ALS will perform a soxhlate extraction.

Analyte	Digestion Method	Instrumentation	Method	RL+ (ppm)++
TCEP	3540C	GC/MS+++	EPA 8270	10.0
ТСРР	3540C	GC/MS+++	EPA 8270	10.0
TDCPP	3540C	GC/MS+++	EPA 8270	10.0
TPP	3540C	GC/MS+++	EPA 8270	10.0

Table 5. Laboratory Methods and Reporting Limits

+RL = Reporting Limit

++ppm = parts per million of analyte in sample by weight

+++ GC/MS = Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy

Quality Objectives

Quality objectives for this project are to obtain data of sufficient quality to determine the amount of flame retardant compounds in a representative subsample of general consumer and children's products. Details are listed in the original QAPP (Ecology, 2012). Additional measurement quality objectives (MQOs) are listed in Table 5.

 Table 6. MQOs for Laboratory Analyses

Analyte	Laboratory	Matrix+	Duplicates+	Method	Surrogate
	Control	Spikes	(RPD) ++	Blanks	Recovery
	Samples (LCS)	(recovery)		(ppm) +++	(recovery)
	(recovery)				
V6	70-130%	70-130%	$\pm 25\%$	< 1.0	30-150%
HBCD	70-130%	70-130%	$\pm 25\%$	< 1.0	30-150%
TBBPA	70-130%	70-130%	± 25%	< 1.0	30-150%

⁺ Matrix spike duplicates and split duplicates

++RPD = Relative Percent Difference

+++ ppm = parts per million

Quality Control Procedures

Table 6 displays the laboratory QC tests planned for the analysis. Laboratory QC tests will consist of laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory duplicates, and method blanks. Final flame retardant results will be corrected for surrogate recovery.

Table 7. Quality Control Tests

Analyte	LCS	Matrix	Matrix spike	Laboratory	Method	Surrogates
		spike	Duplicates	Duplicates	Blanks	
V6	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	Every sample
HBCD	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	Every sample
TBBPA	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	1/batch	Every sample

LCS: laboratory control sample Batch: 20 or fewer samples

Data Management

All data management procedures including data verification and validation and data quality evaluation will follow those stated in the original QA Project Plan (Ecology, 2012).

Report

The final report is described in the original QAPP. Additional items added from this addendum will include:

- Determination of the levels of the three additional flame retardants found in the samples analyzed.
- A comparison of MEL and ALS results.

References

State of California (California), 2000. Department of Consumer Affairs, Bureau of Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation, Technical Bulletin 117, Requirements, Test Procedure and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Resilient Filling Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture, 8 pages, <u>http://www.bhfti.ca.gov/industry/117.pdf</u>.

Stapleton, Heather, Susan Klosterhaus, Alex Keller, P. Lee Ferguson, Saskia van Bergen, Ellen Cooper, Thomas F. Webster and Arlene Blum, 2011. *Identification of Flame Retardants in Polyurethane Foam Collected from Baby Products*, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, pages 5323-5331.

Stapleton, Heather, Smriti Sharma, Gordon Getzinger, P. Lee Ferguson, Michelle Gabriel, Thomas F. Webster and Arlene Blum, 2012. *Novel and High Volume Use Flame Retardants in US Couches Reflective of the 2005 PentaBDE Phase Out*, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, pages 13432-13439.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2012. Quality Assurance Project Plan: Flame Retardants in General Consumer and Children's Products, Publication number 12-07-025, 29 pages, <u>https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/publications/1207025.pdf</u>.