



# Flood Control Assistance Account Program

## 2025-2027 Funding Guidelines

### Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program

Washington State Department of Ecology

Olympia, Washington

November 2024, Publication 24-06-023



## Publication Information

This document is available on the Department of Ecology's website at:  
<https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/summarypages/2406023.html>

### Cover photo credit

- Larry McCarter for Whatcom County, 2021

### Related Information

- [Flood Control Assistance Account Program Website](#)<sup>1</sup>
- [Flood Control Assistance Account Program 2025-2027 Application Instructions](#)<sup>2</sup>
- [RCW 86.26](#)<sup>3</sup> - *State Participation in Flood Control Maintenance*
- [Chapter 173-145 WAC](#)<sup>4</sup> - *Administration of the Flood Control Assistance Account Program*

## Contact Information

### Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program

P.O. Box 47600  
Olympia, WA 98504-7600  
Phone: 360-407-6600

**Website**<sup>5</sup>: [Washington State Department of Ecology](#)

## ADA Accessibility

To request an ADA accommodation, contact Ecology by phone at 360-407-6831 or email at [ecyadacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:ecyadacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov). For Washington Relay Service or TTY call 711 or 877-833-6341. Visit Ecology's website for more information.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/Payments-contracts-grants/Grants-loans/Find-a-grant-or-loan/Flood-control-assistance>

<sup>2</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/summarypages/2406024.html>

<sup>3</sup> <https://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=86.26>

<sup>4</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145>

<sup>5</sup> [www.ecology.wa.gov](http://www.ecology.wa.gov)


## Ecology Contacts

Headquarters Office	Contacts
<b>FCAAP Fund Coordinator</b>	Kayla Eicholtz 425-429-4545 <a href="mailto:Kayla.Eicholtz@ecy.wa.gov">Kayla.Eicholtz@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Floodplain Planner</b>	Amelia Petersen 360-480-3298 <a href="mailto:Amelia.Petersen@ecy.wa.gov">Amelia.Petersen@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Flood Policy Lead</b>	Jonathon Loos 425-725-0453 <a href="mailto:Jonathon.Loos@ecy.wa.gov">Jonathon.Loos@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Financial Managers</b>	Michele Boderck 360-764-6807 <a href="mailto:Michele.Boderck@ecy.wa.gov">Michele.Boderck@ecy.wa.gov</a>  Samantha Cote 564-233-9306 <a href="mailto:Samantha.Cote@ecy.wa.gov">Samantha.Cote@ecy.wa.gov</a>  Cindy James 360-280-8645 <a href="mailto:Cindy.James@ecy.wa.gov">Cindy.James@ecy.wa.gov</a>  Layne Slone 360-867-8171 <a href="mailto:Layne.Slone@ecy.wa.gov">Layne.Slone@ecy.wa.gov</a>

Regional Office	Project Manager
<b>Central Regional Office</b>	Sandra Floyd 509-571-5679 <a href="mailto:Sandra.Floyd@ecy.wa.gov">Sandra.Floyd@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Eastern Regional Office</b>	Jason Radtke 509-934-0838 <a href="mailto:Jason.Radtke@ecy.wa.gov">Jason.Radtke@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Northwest Regional Office</b>	Lisa Nelson 425-213-4843 <a href="mailto:Lisa.Nelson@ecy.wa.gov">Lisa.Nelson@ecy.wa.gov</a>
<b>Southwest Regional Office</b>	Matt Gerlach 360-584-2804 <a href="mailto:Matt.Gerlach@ecy.wa.gov">Matt.Gerlach@ecy.wa.gov</a>  Alex Rosen 360-810-0027 <a href="mailto:Alex.Rosen@ecy.wa.gov">Alex.Rosen@ecy.wa.gov</a>

# Department of Ecology's Regional Offices

## Map of Counties Served



<b>Southwest Region</b> 360-407-6300	<b>Northwest Region</b> 206-594-0000	<b>Central Region</b> 509-575-2490	<b>Eastern Region</b> 509-329-3400
---	---	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Region	Counties served	Mailing Address	Phone
<b>Southwest</b>	Clallam, Clark, Cowlitz, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Mason, Lewis, Pacific, Pierce, Skamania, Thurston, Wahkiakum	PO Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504	360-407-6300
<b>Northwest</b>	Island, King, Kitsap, San Juan, Skagit, Snohomish, Whatcom	PO Box 330316 Shoreline, WA 98133	206-594-0000
<b>Central</b>	Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Kittitas, Klickitat, Okanogan, Yakima	1250 W Alder St Union Gap, WA 98903	509-575-2490
<b>Eastern</b>	Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Lincoln, Pend Oreille, Spokane, Stevens, Walla Walla, Whitman	4601 N Monroe Spokane, WA 99205	509-329-3400
<b>Headquarters</b>	Across Washington	PO Box 46700 Olympia, WA 98504	360-407-6000

# Flood Control Assistance Account Program 2025-2027 Funding Guidelines

---

Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program  
Washington State Department of Ecology  
Olympia, WA

**November 2024 | Publication 24-06-023**



DEPARTMENT OF  
**ECOLOGY**  
State of Washington

# Table of Contents

<b>List of Figures and Tables</b> .....	<b>8</b>
Tables.....	8
<b>Chapter 1: Program Overview</b> .....	<b>9</b>
Statutory requirements.....	9
Administrative requirements .....	9
How to use these guidelines .....	10
<b>Chapter 2: 2025-2027 Funding Cycle</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Eligible applicants.....	11
Ineligible applicants .....	11
Eligible projects.....	11
Ineligible projects.....	13
Grant amounts .....	13
Grant match requirements.....	13
Public participation, diversity, equity, and inclusion .....	15
Funding cycle schedule .....	15
<b>Chapter 3: Grant Applications</b> .....	<b>17</b>
Planning projects.....	17
Emergency projects.....	17
SAW and EAGL accounts .....	18
EAGL modernization.....	18
<b>Chapter 4: Evaluations and Scoring</b> .....	<b>19</b>
Emergency projects.....	19
Planning projects.....	19
Integrated floodplain management .....	19
Elements of successful planning proposals .....	19
<b>Chapter 5: Grant Agreement and Management</b> .....	<b>21</b>
Grant agreement development.....	21
Tribal resolutions .....	21

Agreement dates and timelines .....	21
Grant amendments .....	22
Grant budget .....	22
Budget deviations .....	23
Grant reimbursements.....	23
Payment Requests / Progress Reports (PRPRs) .....	23
Administrative requirements of grant agreement, consultant contracts, and inter-local agreements .....	24
Historic and cultural resources review requirements .....	25
Deliverables .....	25
Education and outreach .....	26
Indirect rate or overhead .....	26
Light refreshments .....	26
Permits.....	27
Project signs .....	27
Quality Assurance Project Plans .....	27
Travel costs .....	28
Site visits .....	28
Grant close out.....	28
<b>Appendix A: Scoring Criteria for Planning Projects.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Appendix B: Frequently Asked Questions .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Appendix C: Historic and Cultural Resources Review .....</b>	<b>34</b>
Section 106 versus Executive Order 21-02 .....	34
Adopting another agency’s cultural resources review .....	35
Correspondence.....	36
Modification to cultural or historic resources or ground-disturbing activities .....	36
Area of Potential Effect.....	36
Changes to project design or project area .....	36
<b>Appendix D: Grant Agreement Definitions .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Appendix E: Additional Grant Resources .....</b>	<b>39</b>

# List of Figures and Tables

## Tables

Table 1. Timeline of FCAAP 25-27 funding cycle. ....	16
Table 2. Scoring criteria for planning projects.....	29



# Chapter 1: Program Overview

In 1984, the Washington State Legislature (Legislature) established the Flood Control Assistance Account Program (FCAAP). The Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology's) Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program administers the FCAAP grant program. The purpose of the FCAAP grant program is to provide support for local jurisdictions to advance strategies that reduce losses from flood hazards and achieve other floodplain management benefits.

## Statutory requirements

Statutory requirements applicable to the FCAAP grant program include:

- [RCW 86.26](#)<sup>6</sup> - *State Participation in Flood Control Maintenance*
- [RCW 86.26.007](#)<sup>7</sup> - *Flood control assistance account—Use.*
- [Chapter 173-145 WAC](#)<sup>8</sup> – *Administration of the Flood Control Assistance Account Program*
- [Senate Bill 5141](#)<sup>9</sup> - *Healthy Environment for All (HEAL) Act*
- [Governor's Executive Order 21-02](#)<sup>10</sup> - *Archaeological and Cultural Resources*

## Administrative requirements

Ecology's and FCAAP administrative policies provide the framework for the Funding Guidelines. Key policies include:

- [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#)<sup>11</sup>
- [Comprehensive Planning for Flood Hazard Management Guidebook \(2021\)](#)<sup>12</sup>
- Ecology's Grant Agreement Terms and Conditions
- Ecology's [Quality Assurance Project Plans \(QAPP\) Requirements](#)<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=86.26>

<sup>7</sup> <https://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=86.26.007>

<sup>8</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145>

<sup>9</sup> <http://lawfilesexext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2021-22/Pdf/Bills/Session%20Laws/Senate/5141-S2.SL.pdf?q=20210521101530>

<sup>10</sup> <https://governor.wa.gov/office-governor/office/official-actions/executive-orders>

<sup>11</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

<sup>12</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2106019.html>

<sup>13</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Scientific-services/Quality-assurance/Quality-assurance-for-NEP-grantees>

## How to use these guidelines

These funding guidelines provide information about the 2025-2027 FCAAP grant program eligibility requirements, grant application process, scoring criteria, evaluation process, funding decision process, grant agreement, and general requirements for this grant program.

All grant applicants and recipients are responsible for reading and understanding these guidelines along with the [\*Administrative Requirements for Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)\*](#)<sup>14</sup> (Publication No. 23-01-002) before entering into a grant agreement with Ecology.

---

<sup>14</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

## Chapter 2: 2025-2027 Funding Cycle

This chapter provides an overview of the 2025-2027 FCAAP grant funding program, including applicant and project eligibility, grant amounts, and the funding cycle schedule. Ecology manages the FCAAP grant program under a biennial funding cycle.

For the 2025-2027 biennium, Ecology anticipates the Legislature will appropriate approximately \$1.5 million for all FCAAP projects. Additional funding may be available depending on legislative appropriations and how many grant requests we receive.

Planning projects will be evaluated based on scoring criteria and higher scoring projects will be prioritized for funding. Emergency projects are not scored but must meet several eligibility requirements and funding is awarded on a first-come, first-served basis.

### Eligible applicants

The following jurisdictions and organizations may apply:

- Cities
- Towns
- Counties
- Federally-recognized Tribes\*
- Conservation districts
- Special purpose districts, such as flood control districts

### Ineligible applicants

The following organizations are not eligible to apply for FCAAP funding:

- Nonprofits
- State agencies
- Federal agencies
- Ports
- Private entities
- Local health jurisdictions

\*For inquiries about emergency funding eligibility for federally-recognized Tribes, please contact the FCAAP Fund Coordinator.

### Eligible projects

#### Planning projects

For the 2025-27 funding cycle, planning project proposals will be evaluated and ranked within the following three categories. Applicants must designate the most appropriate category for their proposal in the full application.

**Category 1** – Developing a new Comprehensive Flood Hazard Management Plan (CFHMP), including studies and activities leading to a new CFHMP.

**Category 2** – Updating an existing CFHMP, including studies and activities leading to an updated CFHMP.

**Category 3** – Completing activities identified within an existing CFHMP that are included in the list of eligible activities below.

The scope of activities eligible for planning project funding has been expanded this cycle to include flood hazard planning studies, mapping, permitting, and other activities identified within a community’s CFHMP.

Eligible flood planning project activities include:

- Developing and writing, or updating, a CFHMP. \*
- Planning work (e.g. watershed scale flood planning).
- Permitting work (e.g. for future projects, preparing permit applications, NFIP map revisions and applications).
- Feasibility and technical studies (e.g. hydraulic and hydrologic modeling, geomorphic study).
- Mapping projects, including detailed-level Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) delineations. (e.g. detailed level CMZ for ~10 river miles).
- Activities in support of project development (e.g. design).
- Community, tribal, and partner outreach and engagement efforts (e.g. workgroups, focus groups).
- Planning for future property acquisitions (e.g. engaging landowners, preparing acquisition strategies, appraisals for future acquisitions).
- Public flood risk communications (e.g. flood warning systems and public awareness programs).
- Match for federal projects that lead to CFHMPs. For example, Federal General Investigations or Environmental Restoration studies by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, FEMA RiskMAP studies, etc.

\*CFHMPs must be consistent with state regulations ([WAC 173-145](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145)).<sup>15</sup> For more information, see Ecology’s [Comprehensive Planning for Flood Hazard Management Guidebook](https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2106019.html)<sup>16</sup> that includes required and optional flood planning elements.

---

<sup>15</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145>

<sup>16</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2106019.html>

\*Applicants proposing to fund a new or updated CFHMP are encouraged to discuss their proposal with Ecology staff. In some cases, it can be advantageous to complete the CFHMP planning process over multiple FCAAP funding cycles. CFHMPs require significant public outreach and multiple draft revisions, and Ecology wants to ensure applicants have adequate time to be successful in their planning efforts.

## Emergency projects

The project area must be within jurisdictional boundaries of a community(ies) in the National Flood Insurance Program to receive FCAAP funding for emergency projects. Eligible emergency projects include:

- Emergency flood response, recovery, and preparation work related to a flood emergency declaration (e.g. flood debris clean up, public health and safety response, infrastructure repair).

## Ineligible projects

The following are ineligible projects for the 2025-2027 funding cycle but may be eligible in future funding cycles.

- Property acquisition projects. Planning and outreach for future acquisitions are eligible; however, property acquisition itself is not eligible.
- On-the-ground implementation and construction projects. The 2025-27 FCAAP funding cycle is focused on planning efforts.

## Grant amounts

For the 2025-2027 biennium Ecology anticipates the Legislature will appropriate approximately \$1.5 million for all FCAAP projects. Additional funding may be available depending on legislative appropriations and how many grant requests we receive.

For planning projects, the maximum award amount per county in each biennium is \$500,000 ([WAC 173-145](#)).<sup>17</sup> Ecology anticipates the award amount will not exceed \$250,000 for each planning project, though there could be more funds available if fewer applications are received. The average award amount for planning projects in the past two biennia is approximately \$212,000.

## Grant match requirements

The flood control assistance account is a separate account as defined by [RCW 86.26.007 – Flood control assistance account - Use](#).

---

<sup>17</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145>

- Recipients are required to provide match for FCAAP grants. Recipients must provide 25 percent match for competitive planning grants, and 20 percent match for emergency flood response grants.

Match can be shown in the form of local funds paying for goods and services, other grant funds, staff time spent working on a project, and in-kind costs from a third party.

There are three different types of match: cash; in-kind other; and in-kind interlocal. Read below for more information about match.

### **Cash Match**

Cash match includes any eligible project costs paid for directly by the Recipient that are not reimbursed by the Ecology grant or a third party. For more information, see p. 40 of the [\*Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)\*](#).<sup>18</sup>

### **Other Grants Used as Match**

If a Recipient wants to use a grant from another funding agency as match, the Recipient should check with the funding agency issuing the grant to ensure that it can be used as match for an Ecology grant. The following applies when using other grants to match an Ecology grant.

The scope of work on the matching grant must directly satisfy the portion of the scope of work on the Ecology grant where the work is contributed.

The date that the costs for the matching grant are incurred must fall within the effective dates of the Ecology grant.

The costs incurred under the matching grant must be eligible according to all criteria for the Ecology grant.

The matching fund source(s) cannot originate from the same funding source as the Ecology grant, the State Operating Budget - Flood Control Assistance Account.

The same funds, goods, or services cannot be used as match more than once.

### **In-Kind Match**

In-Kind match is a donated or volunteer service, goods, or property contributed by a **third party** without direct monetary compensation. In-kind match does not include eligible project costs paid directly by the Recipient, such as paid staff services, considered a cash expenditure by Ecology (see Cash Match section above). In-kind contributions must be fully documented and identified as separate expenditures on payment requests.

### **Ineligible In-Kind Contributions**

The following are examples of ineligible in-kind contributions for the FCAAP grant program:

- Donated, loaned, or purchased real or personal property.
- Contributions of overhead costs, per-diem, travel, and subsistence expenses.

---

<sup>18</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

- Contributed time from individuals receiving compensation through the grant, except when those individuals are off duty and contributing on their own time.
- Time spent at advisory groups or meetings that do not directly relate to the project.

## Public participation, diversity, equity, and inclusion

Per Ecology's [Comprehensive Planning for Flood Hazard Management Guidebook](#),<sup>19</sup> flooding disproportionately affects vulnerable populations. Not only are lower income individuals more likely to live in neighborhoods that are susceptible to flooding, but they are also significantly disadvantaged in recovering from flood damage (Sherwin, 2019). The public participation process needs to be proactive and include vulnerable and underrepresented communities to ensure their voices are heard in the development of the flood plan and the implementation of flood hazard reduction actions.

Applicants must describe how the project benefits overburdened communities in flood risk areas as part of the grant application. Ecology staff and/or other technical advisors evaluate, score, and rank planning projects based on the information provided by applicants in their proposals. For more information, see Criteria 2: Overburdened Communities in [Appendix A: Scoring Criteria for Planning Projects](#).

## Funding cycle schedule

In spring 2025, Ecology will notify planning project applicants if their proposals are chosen for funding. The FCAAP Fund Coordinator will notify emergency project applicants of funding decisions after proposals are submitted. The assigned Ecology Project Manager will contact the Recipient Project Manager to start the agreement negotiation process.

Once recipients are notified of the grant award, Ecology and Recipients have approximately three (3) months to negotiate and sign the grant agreement. Ecology may withdraw the grant award if: a Recipient is not responsive to communications; the Recipient does not move towards signing the grant agreement in a timely manner; or if the scope of work is not being completed in a timely manner.

The grant agreement effective start date for planning projects is July 1, 2025. The grant agreement effective start date for emergency projects is negotiable but cannot occur before July 1, 2025. All grant agreements expire on June 30, 2027, the end date of the biennium; this expiration date is non-negotiable.

---

<sup>19</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2106019.html>

Table 1. Timeline of FCAAP 25-27 funding cycle.

Date	FCAAP Funding Cycle Milestones and Expectations
November 12 & 13, 2024	Ecology hosts two virtual applicant workshops. Check the <a href="#">FCAAP website</a> <sup>20</sup> for more information.
December 31, 2024	Ecology encourages applicants to complete and submit a Notice of Intent to Apply form by Dec. 31. Ecology will use this form to support applicants in developing their projects via virtual meetings or written feedback.
January 1, 2025	Grant application period opens at 8:00 a.m. for planning projects.
February 27, 2025	Grant application period closes at 5:00 p.m. for planning projects.
March 2025	Evaluation period begins for planning projects.
May 2025	Ecology finalizes proposed funding list of planning projects.
July 1, 2025	<p>Washington State Legislature appropriates the 2025-27 biennium funding. Ecology notifies successful planning project applicants of funding and circulates award letters.</p> <p>Grant application period opens for emergency projects. Applicants for emergency projects must contact the FCAAP Fund Coordinator.</p> <p>Grant agreement start date. This date is negotiable but cannot be sooner than July 1, 2025, the start date of the biennium, to be eligible for grant reimbursement. Ecology and Recipients negotiate and finalize grant agreements then begin projects.</p>
June 30, 2027	Grant agreement expiration date. The scope of work and deliverables must be completed on or before June 30, 2027, the end date of the biennium, to be eligible for grant reimbursement.

---

<sup>20</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Grants-loans/Find-a-grant-or-loan/Flood-control-assistance>



## Chapter 3: Grant Applications

Grant applications must be submitted through Ecology’s Administration of Grants and Loans (EAGL) online system. Refer to the FCAAP 25-27 Application Instructions for more details.

The Additional Application Form (Word document) is required as part of the application for both planning and emergency projects. The Additional Application Form must be completed and uploaded in EAGL as part of the full application. This form is available on the [FCAAP website](#)<sup>21</sup> and is available in EAGL after a new application is initiated.

The funding opportunity for the planning grants in EAGL is SEAFCAAC-2527 (Shorelands and Environmental Assistance, Flood Control Assistance Account – Competitive, 2025-2027 biennium).

The funding opportunity for the emergency project grants in EAGL is SEAFCAAP-2527 (Shorelands and Environmental Assistance, Flood Control Assistance Account Program, 2025-2027 biennium).

### Planning projects

The planning grants (SEAFCAAC-2527) application period opens on Wednesday, January 1, 2025, at 8:00 a.m., and closes on Thursday, February 27, 2025, at 5:00 p.m.

Eligible applicants must submit complete grant applications through EAGL. The Additional Application Form (Word document) must be completed and uploaded in EAGL as part of the application. The FCAAP 25-27 Application Instructions and the Application Prep Tool for Planning Projects are guidance documents also available to help applicants answer the questions and walk through the application process.

Planning projects are evaluated and awarded based on scoring criteria and available funding. Ecology staff and/or other technical experts will evaluate, score, and rank projects for funding consideration. Ecology finalizes funding decisions and notifies successful applicants of funding award in July 2025.

### Emergency projects

Emergency grant (SEAFCAAP-2527) applications are accepted as soon as July 1, 2025, based on a first-come, first-served basis, and available funds.

Grant applications cannot be initiated without prior approval by the FCAAP Fund Coordinator. Eligible applicants must contact the FCAAP Fund Coordinator to initiate a grant application in EAGL. Applicants will be assigned a grant application number, and then will be able to complete and submit the application. The Additional Application Form (Word document) must be completed and uploaded in EAGL as part of the application. The FCAAP 25-27 Application

---

<sup>21</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Grants-loans/Find-a-grant-or-loan/Flood-control-assistance>

Instructions is a guidance document also available to help applicants walk through the application process.

Emergency projects are not competitively evaluated. The FCAAP Fund Coordinator determines project eligibility and final grant award amounts on a case-by-case basis.

Per [Chapter 173-145 WAC](#),<sup>22</sup> emergency projects must have an active emergency declaration; projects must protect public property and mitigate a hazardous condition for public health and safety; projects must be located in a jurisdiction which is a participating member of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); projects must meet or exceed NFIP standards; and emergency permissions and permits must be obtained.

## SAW and EAGL accounts

Applicants must have user accounts in both Secure Access Washington (SAW) and EAGL.

Applicants must:

1. Register for a **SAW** online services account by visiting the [Secure Access Washington website](#).<sup>23</sup>
2. Register for an **EAGL** account. After a SAW account is set up, please follow the instructions found on [Ecology's Grants and Loans webpage](#)<sup>24</sup> to request access to the EAGL system. New EAGL users should select the tab labeled "New EAGL users with a SAW account" or "New SAW and EAGL users," then follow the instructions.

Each staff member of an organization that will have a role in the grant (e.g., project manager, financial manager, and grant signatory) must each establish their own SAW account before applying for a grant. **Users cannot share SAW accounts with another person or organization.**

More information about EAGL can be found in the [EAGL External Users' Manual](#).<sup>25</sup>

## EAGL modernization

The Ecology Administration of Grants and Loans (EAGL) database modernization project is currently underway and expected to be completed by June 30, 2025. This has minimal impact on the grant application forms. Refer to the Application Instructions for more information or contact Ecology staff if you have any questions.

---

<sup>22</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-145>

<sup>23</sup> <http://secureaccess.wa.gov/>

<sup>24</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Grants-loans>

<sup>25</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/1701015.html>

# Chapter 4: Evaluations and Scoring

## Emergency projects

Emergency projects are not competitively evaluated or awarded. Instead, funding decisions are based on a first-come, first-served basis, and available funding.

## Planning projects

Planning projects are evaluated and awarded based on scored ranking and available funding. Ecology staff and other technical experts will use specific criteria to evaluate and score each application. Proposals will be ordered and ranked within one of three planning project categories. If more funding proposals are received than can be supported by available funding, Ecology will prioritize funding for high-scoring project proposals in each category.

Priority funding for the 2025-2027 biennium will be awarded to flood planning projects that benefit overburdened communities. Applicants must provide information describing how the community in the project area is overburdened, as well as how the project would benefit the community.

Overburdened communities are defined as “communities that experience disproportionate environmental harms and risks due to exposures, greater vulnerability to environmental hazards, or cumulative impacts from multiple stressors” (Washington State Environmental Justice Task Force Report, 2020).

Ecology finalizes the proposed project list and notifies applicants of funding decisions. For the scoring criteria, see [Appendix A: Scoring Criteria for Planning Projects](#).

## Integrated floodplain management

Comprehensive floodplain planning efforts fundamentally intersect a variety of interests and require collaboration between departments, governmental agencies, Tribes, organizations, and the public. Applicants are encouraged to consider an integrated floodplain management approach that considers landscape scale planning wherever possible.

## Elements of successful planning proposals

In general, a successful planning project proposal will:

- Address a documented flood-related hazard in a specific area.
- Describe how the project will support new or existing flood hazard planning efforts.
- Employ an integrated floodplain management approach that advances multiple objectives for risk reduction, community wellbeing, and environmental benefits.
- Describe how the project will benefit overburdened communities.
- Describe how the project supports the natural and beneficial functions of floodplains. For instance, through planning, mapping, data gathering, technical studies (e.g. channel migration zones), or permitting for future projects.

- Demonstrate how the project will consider climate change impacts.

Describe the collaboration, community support, and partner involvement elements.

- Explain why the project is a high priority to impacted communities.
- Describe your approach to integrated floodplain management.
- Document the partner engagement process, including approaches to public participation and diversity, equity, and inclusion.
- Document any support and outreach to affected parties.
- Provide a copy of existing plan(s) that support the project, if any, and the approach to collaborative planning efforts.

Show that grant funds will be spent efficiently and within the two-year timeline.

- Provide an accurate, detailed, and reasonable budget and project schedule.
- Plan your proposed scope of work and deliverables to be completed within the two-year grant timeline and within budget. We want your project to be successful.
- Show that the funding request is reasonable compared to the proposed benefit.
- Provide a spend down plan if possible. Show that the funds can be spent in a timely manner.

Illustrate that the project is ready to proceed.

- Include a well-defined scope of work that has goals, objectives, timelines, and measurable outcomes and deliverables.
- Document adequate staff capacity to manage the project.
- Provide details if a consultant will be hired to complete all or some tasks in the scope of work.

Ensure the application is easy to read and understand.

- Write in complete sentences.
- Give clear, concise answers to all questions.
- Make sure the application addresses all items identified in the evaluation criteria and scoring guide.

Helpful hints:

- Include maps, diagrams, and pictures of the project area and display past projects (if any exist).

# Chapter 5: Grant Agreement and Management

## Grant agreement development

Successful applicants will receive a grant award letter and be assigned Ecology Project and Financial Managers. The Ecology Project Manager will contact the Recipient Project Manager and begin the grant negotiation and agreement processes.

The Ecology Project and Financial Managers use information in the grant application as the basis for developing the grant agreement. Grant agreements include a detailed scope of work, measurable objectives, and accurate budgets. Applicants cannot make significant changes to the original scope of work once the application has been submitted and evaluated, or Ecology may withdraw or modify a funding offer. If applicable, Ecology will notify other relevant Ecology staff that may be involved in the project.

The Ecology Project Manager is the primary contact for technical assistance and day-to-day questions. The Project Manager ensures the Recipient complies with the scope of work; reviews expenditures for eligibility on payment requests; and works with the Financial Manager to resolve payment or eligibility issues if they arise.

The Ecology Financial Manager ensures expenditures comply with the grant agreement budget and other agency grant requirements. The Financial Manager may request additional information, including but not limited to consultant contracts, invoices, expenditure backup documentation, travel costs, and staffing plans.

When the agreement is ready for signature, the Ecology Financial Manager will route the agreement to the Recipient signatory/ies and the Shorelands and Environmental Assistance (SEA) Program Manager for electronic signatures using DocuSign. Please note, Ecology cannot send or receive hard copies. The Ecology Financial Manager will email a fully signed copy of the agreement back to the Recipient and upload a copy in EAGL.

## Tribal resolutions

When a tribal government is the applicant, Ecology's SEA Program requires a copy of a signed tribal council resolution for the grant application or agreement, prior to signing a grant agreement and amendment.

## Agreement dates and timelines

The grant agreement must be negotiated and signed by both parties within three (3) months of award notice. The period can be extended for cause and is subject to Ecology's approval. This is necessary and important because FCAAP grants are funded by the Legislature on a biennial basis and funding cannot be extended past the biennial deadline.

The *effective date* of the agreement is the earliest date on which eligible costs may be incurred. Unless explicitly stated by the Legislature in a budget appropriation, the effective date for grants is usually the beginning of the state fiscal year or biennium, which occurs July 1, 2025.

The *expiration date* (of an agreement or amendment) is the last date on which costs may be incurred and be considered eligible. To be eligible for reimbursement, work must occur on or before the expiration date of June 30, 2027. FCAAP grants cannot be extended past the June 30, 2027, expiration date.

Recipients may incur project costs within the grant agreement effective start and expiration dates. Recipients may incur eligible costs before the grant agreement is fully signed and as soon as the July 1, 2025, start date of the biennium, but Recipients do so at their own risk. Eligible expenditures cannot be reimbursed until the agreement is fully signed by Ecology's SEA Program Manager and the agreement is active in EAGL.

## Grant amendments

Modifications and changes to the grant agreement may become necessary. If or when an amendment is needed, the Recipient must submit the proposed changes in writing to the Ecology Project Manager. The Recipient and Ecology's Project and Financial Managers will negotiate changes and document the changes as an amendment to the grant agreement. All proposed project changes are subject to approval by Ecology.

Reasons for amendments may include:

- Budget changes or redistributions between tasks
- Scope of work changes
- Changes to deliverables or project outcomes

Either the Recipient or Ecology may initiate the amendment process. If Ecology approves the amendment request, the Ecology Project and Financial Managers prepare and negotiate the amendment with the Recipient.

When the amendment is ready for signature, the Ecology Financial Manager will route the amendment to the Recipient signatory/ies and the Shorelands and Environmental Assistance (SEA) Program Manager for electronic signatures using DocuSign. Please note, Ecology cannot send or receive hard copies. The Ecology Financial Manager will email a fully signed copy of the amendment back to the Recipient and upload a copy in EAGL.

## Grant budget

Recipients are responsible for tracking their grant budget and ensuring they do not exceed the overall grant budget and task budgets. Match is required for all FCAAP grants; therefore, match is included in the grant budget. Recipients must provide 25 percent match for planning grants, and 20 percent match for emergency flood response grants. To receive Ecology's share of the grant reimbursement, Recipients must submit the required match amount.

The Additional Application Form for planning and emergency projects includes a budget by task and a budget by element tables. The budget by task shows how much of the grant budget will be distributed in each scope of work task. The budget by element shows how much of the grant budget will be distributed between elements. Elements are the staff salaries and benefits, indirect/overhead, goods and services, equipment rental, and travel. The budget information

demonstrates how Recipients are allocating and managing the costs necessary for the project over the two-year grant timeline.

## Budget deviations

A 10 percent budget deviation is allowed between tasks (e.g., a Recipient may spend less funds on one task and more on another), but in no circumstance may the Recipient exceed the Total Eligible Cost (total amount of Ecology's share and Recipient's match). If the total of all budget deviations exceeds ten percent of the Total Eligible Cost, a formal amendment will be required. Recipients should contact the Ecology Project and Financial Managers if they have questions about budget deviations.

## Grant reimbursements

Grant funds are disbursed to Recipients on a cost-reimbursement basis. Recipients must submit reimbursement requests through the Payment Requests/Progress Reports (PRPRs) form in EAGL. To be eligible for reimbursement, work must occur within the effective start and expiration dates of the grant agreement. All expenditures must have backup documentation. The Ecology Project Manager and Financial Manager may request additional information for expenditures before approving PRPRs. Contact the Ecology Project and Financial Managers with any questions or concerns.

Recipients are responsible for submitting the full amount of match required to receive Ecology's total grant reimbursement. For more information, also see the Grant match requirements section in Chapter 2 of these Funding Guidelines.

## Payment Requests / Progress Reports (PRPRs)

Recipients are required to submit quarterly PRPRs in EAGL. Recipients must submit PRPRs a minimum of once a quarter even if there are no expenditures to report. The due date is 30 days after the end of the quarter. The quarterly PRPR due dates are as follows:

- The January-March PRPR is due April 30.
- The April-June PRPR is due July 30.
- The July-September PRPR is due October 30.
- The October-December PRPR is due January 30.

When ready to close the grant, Recipients have 30 days after the grant agreement expiration date to submit the final PRPR with the final deliverables.

The PRPR must conform to the budget and grant requirements. Progress Reports should include a status update for the scope of work in each task during the reporting period to meet goals, as well as any successes, problems, staff changes, and delays that affect the project.

Expenditures must be listed by each cost incurred, and by task. Backup documentation is required for each line item. It should be uploaded and appear in the same order as the expenditure line items. This documentation must clearly show how the expenditure line item is calculated. If an expenditure line-item cost is part of a larger cost, it is the Recipient's

responsibility to detail which cost(s) Ecology is reimbursing, and the source of funding for the other costs. Ecology's Financial Manager may require more backup documentation prior to approving the PRPR.

After a Recipient submits a complete PRPR with back-up documentation, the Ecology project team reviews the scope of work completed, deliverables submitted, and expenses reported, prior to approving and disbursing the grant reimbursement.

## Administrative requirements of grant agreement, consultant contracts, and inter-local agreements

The following is a list of administrative requirements of the grant agreement, including guidance for hiring consultants and entering into inter-local agreements with other agencies.

- **Administrative Requirements.** A complete list of the administrative requirements for Ecology grants is in the [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#).<sup>26</sup>
- **Agreement Terms and Conditions.** The grant agreement Terms and Conditions are non-negotiable requirements of the grant, whether in statute, regulations, Administrative Requirements, Funding Guidelines, or the agreement document. The Terms and Conditions will be sent to the Recipient for review prior to finalizing the grant agreement and appear in the fully signed agreement. Failure to accept the Terms and Conditions, or any attempt to alter them, may result in revocation of grant funding.
- **Consultant Contracts** are between grant Recipients and their primary or sub-contractors. Recipients must follow the local jurisdiction's procurement policy. If there is no recorded policy, then Recipients must follow the state's procurement policy.
- **Inter-local Agreements (ILAs)** are between entities within local governments (city or county), such as public works and community development. Inter-local agreements must be consistent with the terms of the grant agreement and [Chapter 39.34 RCW, Inter-local Cooperation Act](#).<sup>27</sup>
- **Interagency Agreements (IAAs)** are used between state agencies, or between state and federal agencies. Federally recognized Tribes, as sovereign governments, use interagency agreements with federal or state agencies. For more information, see [Chapter 39.34.080 RCW](#).<sup>28</sup>
- **Procuring Goods and Services.** The Recipient is responsible for procuring professional, personal, and other services using sound business judgment and administrative procedures consistent with applicable federal, state, and local laws, orders, regulations, and permits. This includes issuance of invitation of bids, requests for proposals, selection of contractors, award of sub-agreements, and other related procurement

---

<sup>26</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

<sup>27</sup> <https://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=39.34>

<sup>28</sup> <http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=39.34.080>



matters. The Recipient must follow procurement policies that follow state procurement procedures in [Chapter 39.26 RCW](#).<sup>29</sup>

Recipients are required to comply with the terms of the grant agreement, including but not limited to the General Terms and Conditions, the [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#),<sup>30</sup> and these Funding Guidelines.

The Office of Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises (OMWBE) has established voluntary goals for the participation of minority- and women-owned businesses in procurements made with Ecology funds. Each grant agreement will contain a condition regarding OMWBE. While participation is voluntary, Ecology requires reporting the level of participation on Form D: Contractor Participation Report and submitted with each PRPR.

## Historic and cultural resources review requirements

Projects may have the potential to significantly impact culturally or historically significant locations or artifacts. Projects that disturb soils from its natural state or impact buildings 45 years or older must comply with the applicable state or federal laws, such as the [Governor's Executive Order 21-02 Archaeological and Cultural Resources](#)<sup>31</sup> (GEO 21-02) and [Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act](#)<sup>32</sup> (Section 106).

If a project includes the scope of work described in GEO 21-02 or Section 106, a historic and cultural resources review may be required. Applicants should ensure compliance with state and federal cultural resource protection environments as part of the project work plan. All activities associated with site assessments for historic and cultural resources are grant eligible. See [Appendix C: Historic and Cultural Resources Review](#) for additional details on the process to comply with cultural resource protection requirements.

## Deliverables

Grant deliverables are shown in the signed grant agreement and are required to be submitted before grant reimbursement is approved. Recipients submit deliverables by uploading them in the general Uploads section of EAGL (not the PRPR uploads) and notify the Ecology Project Manager after they are uploaded. Completed scopes of work and submitted deliverables should be reported in the PRPR Progress Report form.

Planning grants have a Deliverable Due Dates (DDD) form, which identifies the same deliverables as the fully signed grant agreement/amendment. The DDD form does not get printed with the signed agreement/amendment. Instead, the DDD form has anticipated dates of when Recipients will submit completed grant deliverables to Ecology. The due dates on this form can be updated throughout the grant project; therefore, this avoids the need for a formal

---

<sup>29</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=39.26>

<sup>30</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

<sup>31</sup> [https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/exe\\_order/eo\\_21-02.pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/exe_order/eo_21-02.pdf)

<sup>32</sup> <https://www.gsa.gov/real-estate/historic-preservation/historic-preservation-policy-tools/legislation-policy-and-reports/section-106-national-historic-preservation-act-of-1966>

amendment. Recipients should review the due dates when submitting a PRPR. Only the Ecology Project and Financial Manager can edit this form, so Recipients should contact their Ecology Project Manager if any dates on the form needs updating.

## Education and outreach

Recipients must submit a final digital copy or a link to educational products developed under the grant, such as brochures, manuals, pamphlets, videos, audio files, curriculum, posters, media announcements, and web page links. Ecology logos are available from Ecology's Project Manager for use on publications.

If there are a significant number of people in the community (five percent or 1,000 people, whichever is less) in the community with Limited English Proficiency (LEP), Recipients must consider translating educational, enforcement, and public outreach materials for those identified populations. Any emergency communications or written notifications must comply with [RCW 38.52.070](#).<sup>33</sup> Recipients should consider including a notice advising LEP persons of free language assistance within any written materials.

## Indirect rate or overhead

Recipients may charge an overhead or indirect rate of up to 30 percent of salaries and benefits combined to cover overhead, or indirect rate costs. Indirect costs are defined as administrative costs not directly associated to a particular task of the project, such as: utilities, miscellaneous copying, telephone, insurance, janitorial services, records, storage, general office supplies, or items not directly attributable to the project yet are required to conduct business. The indirect rate is negotiated and is stated in the signed grant agreement. Indirect rate charges must be reported as a separate expenditure on payment requests. For more information on the indirect rate, see page 32 of the [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#).<sup>34</sup>

## Light refreshments

With prior approval by the Ecology Project and Financial Managers, costs for light refreshments (not meals) for meetings are eligible and must be adhere to the state of Washington's travel policy. Light refreshments include coffee and any other non-alcoholic beverage, such as tea, soft drinks, juice, or milk, and snacks served at a meeting or conference. Check with the Ecology Project Manager for Ecology's Light Refreshment Approval Form. Recipients must submit this form prior to the meeting, and it must be approved by the Ecology Project Manager. After the meeting, Recipients must submit the signed form, roster of attendees, and agenda to be eligible for reimbursement.

---

<sup>33</sup> <https://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=38.52.070>

<sup>34</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

## Permits

Recipients must acquire all required local, state, and federal permits for the project and submit a digital copy as a grant deliverable. Project permit fees are eligible for grant reimbursement.

## Project signs

For site-specific projects accessible to the public, Recipients must inform the public and affected parties by installing signs acknowledging state funding for the project. Ecology logos are available from Ecology's Project Manager for use on signage.

## Quality Assurance Project Plans

If projects involve the collection of environmental data or the analysis of existing data that will generate new results, they may trigger the need for preparation of a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). Ecology's Executive Policy 22-01 requires the consistent application of quality assurance principles to environmental data collection studies/activities conducted or funded by Ecology. Each environmental study conducted **must** have an approved QAPP. Project work cannot begin until Ecology's Shorelands and Environmental Assistance (SEA) Program Quality Assurance (QA) Coordinator or their designee either approves your QAPP or confirms that a QAPP is not required or gives an approval to begin work.

In general, a QAPP is required if your project will do any of the following activities:

- Generate new environmental data.
- Analyze existing environmental data.
- Model environmental conditions.

The QAPP describes the objectives of the study and the procedures to be followed to achieve those objectives. The QAPP is a product of a systematic planning process. The preparation of a QAPP helps focus and guide the planning process and promotes communication among those who contribute to the study. The approved plan provides direction to those who conduct the study and forms the basis for written reports on the outcomes.

A QAPP is intended to ensure projects that collect or analyze environmental data, as well as those that model elements of the environment, develop plans for field, laboratory, and analytical activities that meet quality standards appropriate to the goals and scope of the project. Applicants should include preparation of this document within the scope of work and budget when completing your application. Allow for five percent of the project cost to cover quality assurance planning and 12 weeks for drafting the approval of this document.

The earlier in your project you begin the QAPP process, the easier it will be. Consider the following when designing your project and applying for this grant:

- The cost of creating a QAPP. This should be reflected in your project budget.
- The time it will take to create and have your QAPP reviewed and approved by Ecology's SEA QA Coordinator. This should be reflected in your project timeline.

- How the QAPP review and approval process fits into your scope of work.

In determining the level of documentation needed for your QAPP, consider the four scenarios below. The level of documentation increases as you move down this list:

- Project uses existing data sources with established methods or protocols without modification.
- Project collects new data following an established method or protocol without modification.
- Project collects new data or uses existing data following an established method or protocol with modification.
- Project collects new data or uses existing data following a new or unique method or protocol.

If you are unsure whether your project requires preparation of a QAPP, please contact your regional Ecology Project Manager and see [Appendix E: Additional Grant Resources](#).

## Travel costs

With prior approval by the Ecology Project and Financial Managers, travel costs include mileage, meals, and overnight lodging and are eligible for reimbursement. Recipient staff, and primary and subcontractors must follow the Washington state-approved travel rates to be approved for reimbursement. For more information, contact your Ecology Financial Manager, and also see the [Office of Financial Management travel website](#).<sup>35</sup>

## Site visits

The Ecology Project and Financial Managers or other Ecology staff may conduct project site visits, including site visits through phone calls, to provide technical assistance and verify progress according to the purpose and scope of the project agreement. Site visits are documented through the EAGL Site Visit Report form.

## Grant close out

Recipients have 30 days after the grant agreement expiration date to submit the final PRPR, final grant deliverables, and Recipient Close Out Report (RCOR) in EAGL.

Please note: Ecology may not approve the final PRPR until the final deliverables and RCOR are submitted and approved by the Ecology Project and Financial Managers.

---

<sup>35</sup> <https://ofm.wa.gov/accounting/administrative-accounting-resources/travel>

## Appendix A: Scoring Criteria for Planning Projects

The 2025-2027 FCAAP planning project proposals are competitively evaluated. Ecology staff and/or other technical advisors will evaluate project proposals based on the information provided in the grant application. Each application will be scored using the following categories and criteria. **Each planning project may receive a maximum score of 65 points.**

Table 2. Scoring criteria for planning projects

Category	Criteria	Points Possible
1. Project description and outcomes	Describe the following: the flood hazard area and flood risk you intend to mitigate; the benefits of the planning effort and anticipated outcomes or deliverables; the outreach and engagement with local, state, and federal partners, as well as Tribes; and how the project benefits ESA-listed species, public health, and the environment.	20
2. Overburdened communities	Describe benefits to overburdened communities in flood risk areas.	20
3. Regulations and requirements	Describe local, state, federal, and other laws and requirements that will impact the planning work.	5
4. Schedule and readiness to proceed	Describe the project schedule; readiness to proceed with the scope of work as soon as funding is awarded; and ability and capacity to manage and complete the scope of work with current staff, project partners, or hired consultant(s).	10
5. Budget	Provide a detailed budget and describe how the required 25 percent match will be secured.	10
Total		65

## Appendix B: Frequently Asked Questions

1) **Does a Flood Control Zone District or County need to be the primary applicant for a CFHMP update?**

No, any eligible entity can be the primary applicant.

2) **What types of studies are eligible?**

Any study that supports a new or updated CFHMP is potentially eligible. A new study does not require an update to the CFHMP in the FCAAP 25-27 grant project scope of work. Contact Ecology if you have any questions.

3) **My current CFHMP is from 2001. Is it expired?**

Under current rules CFHMPs do not expire, but Ecology encourages communities to continually update their CFHMPs so they remain current with changing flood hazard conditions and community planning.

4) **I am updating my Hazard Mitigation Plan. Can I streamline efforts and combine the CFHMP and HMP into one document? Can I use FCAAP funding to assist with the process?**

FCAAP funds can be used to develop the CFHMP, not any other element of the HMP. Contact Ecology and/or the Washington Emergency Management Department for more guidance.

5) **Is match required?**

Yes, Recipients must provide 25 percent match for planning grants, and 20 percent match for emergency flood response grants. Applicants should demonstrate match in the grant application, and funded grant Recipients are required to provide match for FCAAP grants.

6) **I work at a consulting firm, and our clients are mostly small, rural towns and cities in Washington that likely won't have capacity for the scale of project that FCAAP wants. Would applications from small, rural towns and cities that have small-scale projects be competitive enough to compete for FCAAP funding?**

Proposals from small, rural cities and towns may score higher and be more competitive in the overburdened community criteria, however, proposals should realistically scope the project undertaking and budget to be completed within the 2-year biennium (or must include the work that can be completed in the 2-years if the bigger project will take longer).

Flood hazard planning for small, rural city or towns can benefit from coordination with county or flood control zone districts. Understanding the status of countywide flood planning that is completed or underway can offer a starting place for local planning. Many flood hazards are highly localized in nature and can be best addressed by local planning efforts.

7) **How would a CFHMP relate to a Tribal Hazard Mitigation Plan (THMP)? I am working on a THMP that includes a chapter on flooding, flood history, risks, impacts, and actions that can mitigate risks. What would a CFHMP include that is not included in a THMP? We want**

**to know how the Flood Plan relates to a THMP before we consider applying for funding, and so we are not duplicating work.**

A CFMHP has much more detail about the need for flood hazard management, such as describing the watershed, identifying types of flood hazards and specific problem areas, setting goals and objectives, and describing rules/regulations that apply within the watershed. These plans also evaluate potential mitigation actions and alternative solutions, as well as identify and consider potential impacts of mitigation actions on instream uses and resources. In addition, the plans describe how implementation of the mitigation actions will occur. The CFHMP would also require consideration of climate change impacts that a Hazard Mitigation Plan wouldn't necessarily include.

- 8) **If a county is the lead entity applying for funds to develop a CFHMP, does the CFHMP have to cover the entire land mass of the county? We aren't at a point where we would be able to develop a county or even a watershed-wide CFHMP, and we appreciate any suggestions on how best to organize this.**

A CFHMP doesn't have to cover the entire county boundary, however, we highly encourage applicants to take a holistic approach to creating/updating a CFHMP. You can build your CFHMP one area at a time, but we do recommend you address the entire portion of a watershed that lies within the county's jurisdiction. Then later you could apply to develop a plan for another drainage in the county and build the CFHMP over time until you've covered all your sub-watersheds.

As you scope your proposal, think about the project in terms of what you can realistically complete during the two-year grant period and the budget you would need. What final products or deliverables would you be able to submit to Ecology? Again, building one sub-watershed at a time is a common approach, but tackle the entire sub-watershed if possible. Consider focusing in on one reach when doing specific analyses or project ideas.

- 9) **The FCAAP Funding Guidelines indicate "There is a maximum award amount of \$500,000 per county in each biennium." Can you define "award amount" for us? Is that the Total Eligible Cost (Ecology Share + Recipient Share), or is that just the Ecology Share?**

This is the Ecology share.

- 10) **To focus our funding request on the tasks in our grant application, we are considering entering \$0 for Task 1-Grant Administration and Project Management. We want to pay for Task 1 costs ourselves, and not include them as match either. Is that ok, or would a \$0 entry for Task 1 raise red flags with Ecology?**

Ecology is ok with a \$0.00 for Task 1-Grant Administration and Project Management so Recipients can put all the grant resources into the project tasks. Applicants should be aware that Task 1 deliverables are still required. It would be helpful in the application if applicants explain the \$0.00 in Task 1 is for the mentioned reason, and that they would pay for all of Task 1 costs on their own.

- 11) **At our last planning committee meeting, we had several questions about the expectations for cities under this new flood plan. While the RCW stipulates that comprehensive flood management plans are binding on each jurisdiction within a county that adopts such a plan, it's our understanding that Ecology has not enforced this requirement. I would greatly appreciate the opportunity to speak with you to better understand Ecology's position on this requirement. We anticipate cities will continue to raise this item, so being able to share with them how Ecology interprets this element would be very helpful.**

Ecology doesn't have a role in "enforcing" the binding requirements of a CFHMP under RCW 86.12.210. Chapter 86.12 RCW is Flood Control by Counties, and Ecology is only mentioned twice in the entire chapter (both times in RCW 86.12.200 related to content and approval of CFHMPs). RCW 86.12.210 is clear in stating that the towns, cities, counties, special districts that are in the planning area are subject to the policies, standards, etc. of the CFHMP with the inclusion of a dispute resolution should one or more of the governmental entities in the planning area wish to arbitrate with the lead planning entity. Ecology isn't mentioned anywhere in RCW 86.12.210, which leads us to conclude the local planning entities must work together to make sure they agree to a plan that all parties can live with, and Ecology doesn't have the authority to "enforce" the provisions of a CFHMP on another entity.

It's worth noting that RCW 86.12.200 states, "The county legislative authority of any county may adopt a comprehensive flood control management plan for any drainage basin that is located wholly or partially within the county." These are optional plans that cover user-defined planning areas. There is no statutory requirement to prepare a CFHMP. If a County/City who is preparing a CFHMP wants to have higher standards or policies (e.g. so that they can get credit for their CRS participation), but another government in the planning area doesn't want to be required to adopt the same policies, why not wordsmith the language to soften the requirements that all governments in the planning area "must" do something and instead encourage governments to do something if they can/want to? As an example from the 2013 Pierce County Rivers Plan, the first land use policy is, "Floodplain Land Use policy #1 – Consistent Regulatory Standards – Pierce County supports consistency in flood hazard regulations across jurisdictions. Cities and towns should adopt policies and regulations that are consistent with Pierce County critical area regulations for flood hazard areas, and regulate according to the best available data, such as updated flood studies." This is a great example that highlights Pierce County has higher regulatory standards, and where possible other governments should enforce higher standards if they can. Several communities across Pierce County do have higher standards, but some governments just have the NFIP/WA state minimums, which is okay. The planning entities participating in the creation of a CFHMP should be able to write their plan to accommodate different governments preferences in how much or little they want to adopt higher standards.

- 12) **Can I use FCAAP funding to create an annex to a county's CFHMP or go through the process of adopting a county CFHMP? Which category would this fall under?**

Yes, FCAAP funding can be used to either create an annex or adopt a county's CFHMP. This would be a Category 1 Project if you have never had a CFHMP or Category 2 if you have.



**13) Do Tribes have to be members of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) to be eligible for competitive planning grants?**

No, federally-recognized Tribes don't have to be members of the NFIP to be eligible to apply for planning grants.

## Appendix C: Historic and Cultural Resources Review

This guidance provides information for projects funded by Ecology to meet the [Governor's Executive Order 21-02](#)<sup>36</sup> (GEO 21-02) and [Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act](#)<sup>37</sup> (Section 106) requirements.

Please note that the cultural resources review process is for government-to-government communication. Requirements of this process will not be met until Ecology has provided information to the Tribes and the [Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation \(DAHP\)](#)<sup>38</sup> about project activity.

Recipients must comply with all cultural resources review requirements prior to implementing any project that involves modification to historic or cultural resources or ground-disturbing activities.

Federal and state laws and rules require the funding agency (Ecology) to contact DAHP and affected Tribes regarding the proposed project activities. Any prior communication between the Recipient, the DAHP, and the Tribes is not sufficient to meet requirements.

Another agency's cultural resources review may be used to meet Ecology's requirements. To do this, Recipients should submit the review documents to Ecology's Project Manager for review and approval.

Any actions that result in modification to historic or cultural resources or ground-disturbing activities that occur prior to the completion of the cultural resources review process will not be eligible for reimbursement. Activities associated with cultural resources review are grant eligible subject to available funding. Any mitigation measures as an outcome of the process will be requirements of the agreement.

**Note:** Modification to historic or cultural resources or ground-disturbing activities can include removal or modification to above-ground resources, such as culturally modified trees and petroglyphs.

### Section 106 versus Executive Order 21-02

If your project has a federal partner (Corps, NOAA, etc.) and is using federal funds or will implement federal actions and decisions, the federal partner will be the lead on cultural resources review and will complete the Section 106 process of the National Historic Preservation Act. Ecology has delegated authority over ensuring Section 106 compliance when Recipients apply for grants under the FCAAP grant program.

**Note:** The federal partner and the Section 106 process supersedes the Governor's Executive Order 21-02 process described below.

---

<sup>36</sup> [https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/exe\\_order/eo\\_21-02.pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/exe_order/eo_21-02.pdf)

<sup>37</sup> <https://www.gsa.gov/real-estate/historic-preservation/historic-preservation-policy-tools/legislation-policy-and-reports/section-106-national-historic-preservation-act-of-1966>

<sup>38</sup> <https://dahp.wa.gov/>

If your project has no federal Partner, is not using federal funds, and will not implement federal actions, then cultural resources review will be conducted by your Ecology Project Manager and will utilize the Governor’s Executive Order 21-02 process as it is required for all state-funded capital projects. Ecology is the lead for ensuring compliance with the Governor’s Executive Order 21-02.

This process and reviews described above must be followed even if the Recipient has been working with Tribes on the project.

The Recipient must complete the Ecology Cultural Resources Review form (or conduct a site-specific survey). A site-specific survey is only required for areas where there is a high sensitivity and potential to discover cultural resources. If the project will alter a building that is 50 years or older, the Recipient must still complete an EZ-2 Form available from the DAHP website.

The EZ-2 form and Survey Coversheet can be downloaded from [DAHP’s website](#).<sup>39</sup> The [Ecology Cultural Resources Review form](#)<sup>40</sup> can be downloaded from Ecology’s website.

- The Recipient must create an Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP). An IDP does not need to be site-specific; however, it can be a general procedure for all projects implemented by the organization. **The IDP must be distributed and reviewed by all participating parties prior to any on-the-ground work so they are fully informed of the appropriate procedures.**
- The Recipient must send an electronic version of Ecology’s Cultural Resources Review form and/or the EZ-2 Form, any tribal communication, and identify the potentially interested Tribes to Ecology’s Project Manager.
- Ecology will initiate formal cultural resources consultation using the completed Ecology CR review form, EZ-2, and/or any surveys to affected Tribes and DAHP. The Tribes have an approximate 30-day comment period to initiate a more in-depth discussion about the project, submit any comments, or make an effect determination on the project. After the 30-day comment period, if there has not been a determination of impact by a Tribe, DAHP, or other interested party, Ecology will make an initial determination and send out a formal letter to the above parties. The Ecology Project Manager will let the Recipient Project Manager know when the project may proceed as planned.

## Adopting another agency’s cultural resources review

Can Ecology adopt another agency’s Section 106 review or GEO 21-02 review?

- For Section 106 Adoption:
  - The answer is *yes*, if your project is state funded.
  - Ecology can “adopt” Section 106 for state-funded projects that would normally go through the 21-02 cultural resource review process. Ecology has a review in place to verify the Section 106 documents are applicable. Please contact your Project Manager to verify a review can be adopted.

---

<sup>39</sup> <https://dahp.wa.gov>

<sup>40</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/ECY070537.html>

- If your project involves federal funds, Ecology may still use another agency’s documents when making its Preliminary and Final Determinations, which helps speed up cultural resource review.
- For Executive Order 21-02 Adoption:
  - The answer is yes if your project is state funded.
  - Ecology can adopt another state agency’s 21-02 process to meet cultural resources review requirements. Please contact your Project Manager to verify a review can be adopted.
  - The answer is *no* if your project is federally funded. However, Ecology may still use another agency’s documents when making its Preliminary and Final Determinations, which helps speed up cultural resource review.

## Correspondence

Ecology is responsible, as the funding agency, for contacting the DAHP, Tribes, and other interested parties to meet cultural resource review requirements.

## Modification to cultural or historic resources or ground-disturbing activities

Any work that impacts the soil or ground from its current conditions, including any work that goes below the surface of the ground, requires a cultural resources review.

## Area of Potential Effect

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) is the maximum geographic area where your project could potentially have an effect on historic properties, if any are present. The APE will vary with the type of project. To determine the APE, you must know the nature and full extent of your project. For example, the APE for a natural gas pipeline might include not only the actual pipeline trench, but also include the construction right-of-way, compressor stations, meter stations, staging areas, storage yards, access roads, and other ancillary facilities. The APE for a construction project will include the construction site but might also include the buildings in a downtown area adjacent to the construction where vibrations may cause foundations to crack.

## Changes to project design or project area

If there are any changes made to the project area or design after cultural resources review has been completed, review will have to be reinitiated or amended in order to capture the changes. For geo-tech work that occurs in the planning or design phases, ensuring your cultural review is completed early can not only help identify the appropriate locations from a subsurface perspective but can also help obtain valuable input early in the planning process about sensitive locations. A simple amendment to your documents in the construction phase will complete your cultural resource compliance, and generally will present no issues, as DAHP and the Tribes will already be familiar with your project.

## Eligibility

- All activities associated with cultural resources review are grant and loan eligible.
- Construction or BMP implementation that occurs prior to cultural resources review will not be eligible for reimbursement.

For questions, contact the Ecology Project Manager.

## Appendix D: Grant Agreement Definitions

**Administrative Requirements** refers to the [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#).<sup>41</sup> The Yellow Book provides instructions, explanations, requirements, definitions, and includes details on agreement language, costs, budgets, financial management, procurement, contracting, property management, closeout, and record keeping.

**(Consultant) Contract** is a signed contract between the Recipient and a hired contractor to complete the project scope of work. Recipients must follow the local jurisdiction's procurement policy. If there is no recorded policy, then Recipients must follow the state's procurement policy.

**Effective Dates** are the start and end dates of the grant which eligible costs may be incurred.

**Funding Guidelines** are Ecology's grant program guidelines that correlate to the biennium in which the project is funded.

**Grant** is the funding agreement between Ecology and the Recipient for the FCAAP project.

**Interagency Agreements** are used between state agencies, or between state and federal agencies. Federally recognized Tribes, as sovereign governments, use interagency agreements with federal or state agencies. For more information, see [Chapter 39.34.080 RCW](#).<sup>42</sup>

**Inter-local Agreements** are between entities within local governments (city or county), such as Department of Public Works and Department of Resource Management. Inter-local agreements must be consistent with the terms of the grant agreement and [Chapter 39.34 RCW, Inter-local Cooperation Act](#).<sup>43</sup>

**Project** means the project described in this agreement.

**Project Schedule** means the schedule for the project specified in the agreement.

**Scope of Work** means the tasks and activities constituting the project.

**Termination Date** means the effective date of Ecology's termination of the agreement.

**Total Eligible Cost** is the sum of all costs associated with the FCAAP project that have been determined to be eligible for Ecology grant funding. Total Eligible Cost includes Ecology's grant share and the required Recipient's match.

**Total Project Cost** or **Total Cost** is the sum of all costs associated with the project, including the Total Eligible Cost, costs *eligible* but not funded by the FCAAP grant, and costs *not eligible* for funding by the FCAAP grant.

---

<sup>41</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

<sup>42</sup> <http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=39.34.080>

<sup>43</sup> <https://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=39.34>

## Appendix E: Additional Grant Resources

### [Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants & Loans \(2023 Yellow Book\)](#).<sup>44</sup>

The Yellow Book establishes the administrative requirements for Recipients of all Ecology grants and loans, including the 2025-2027 FCAAP grant agreements. Topics include financial management, expenditure and progress reporting, contracting, and record retention.

[Comprehensive Planning for Flood Hazard Management Guidebook](#).<sup>45</sup> This Guidebook provides information about the required elements of the planning process and plan, as well as optional elements that a community may choose to undertake (e.g., pursuing higher regulatory standards than the base National Flood Insurance Program). FCAAP applicants are strongly encouraged to review the guidebook prior to commencing planning efforts.

[EAGL External Users' Manual](#) <sup>46</sup> for guidance using Ecology's EAGL online grant and loan system.

[Ecology's Grants and Loans Resources website](#) <sup>47</sup> for general Ecology grant and loans guidance, including EAGL training tools and resources.

**Environmental Data.** If grant and loan projects involve collecting and monitoring environmental data, Recipients may be required to create Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs) and enter information in Ecology's Environmental Information Management (EIM) database per Ecology's standards. Recipients are responsible for ensuring the QAPP and EIM processes are complete if Ecology determines either or both are required. Grant reimbursement may be withheld if these requirements are necessary and incomplete.

- **QAPP.** If grant projects involve *collecting* environmental data, Recipients are required to create QAPPs per Ecology's standards. For more information, see [Ecology's QAPP guidance website](#).<sup>48</sup>
- **EIM.** If grant projects involve environmental *monitoring* data, Recipients are required to submit data in the EIM online database per Ecology's standards. For more information, see [Ecology's EIM website](#).<sup>49</sup>

[FCAAP website](#) <sup>50</sup> for more information about Ecology's FCAAP grant program.

---

<sup>44</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2301002.html>

<sup>45</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/2106019.html>

<sup>46</sup> <https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/1701015.html>

<sup>47</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Grants-loans/Grant-loan-guidance>

<sup>48</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Scientific-services/Quality-assurance/Quality-assurance-for-NEP-grantees>

<sup>49</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/Research-Data/Data-resources/Environmental-Information-Management-database>

<sup>50</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Grants-loans/Find-a-grant-or-loan/Flood-control-assistance>