

Segment No. 10-23-13

STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF FCOLOGY

7272 Cleanwater Lane, LU-11 • Olympia, Washington 98504-6811 • (206) 753-2353

M E M O R A N D U M January 2, 1987

To: Jon Neel

From: Pat Crawford P.C.

Subject: Dillenbaugh Creek Survey

ABSTRACT

A water quality survey was performed on the Dillenbaugh Creek drainage during both high— and low flows. Water quality was found to be impacted by agricultural and industrial activities. Two unpermitted industrial discharges were discovered on a small tributary located at river mile (r.m.) 2.3. One or more unidentified sources were found to be discharging into a Chehalis storm sewer causing serious pollution in the lower reaches of the Dillenbaugh drainage.

INTRODUCTION

The Southwest Regional Office (SWRO) requested a survey be conducted on specific portions of the Dillenbaugh Creek drainage during both high— and low-flow conditions. Three industries have NPDES permits for discharging non-contact cooling water into the drainage. They are: American Crossarm and Conduit, National Fruit Canning Company, and Northwest Rubber Compounders. Pittsburg Paint and Glass also has a permit application pending.

This survey request included the following objectives:

- 1. Locate unknown point discharges in the area of the streamwalk, quantify their impacts, and attempt to identify their sources.
- 2. Quantify the impact of each NPDES permitted discharge.
- 3. Assess land use and attempt to identify potential non-point sources of pollution.

 Compile beneficial-use information and identify the season of use.

Site Description

Dillenbaugh Creek (stream length 8.4 miles) is a small tributary of the Chehalis River with a drainage area of approximately 17.6 square miles (Figure 1). The elevation change between the origin and its confluence with the Chehalis River is about 330 feet. The land use in the drainage is primarily rural and agricultural except for industrial development between r.m. 0.4 to 0.9 and r.m. 3.5 and 4.3.

Berwick Creek (stream length 7.6 miles) is Dillenbaugh's major tributary. The elevation change between its origin and the confluence with Dillenbaugh Creek is about 380 feet. It drains rural and residential areas and represents about a third of the total Dillenbaugh Creek drainage.

"Dillenbaugh Tributary" (DT) is a small, unnamed stream that flows through the northwest end of the industrial park adjacent to Bishop Road. Little is known about the stream length and origin.

Background

Dillenbaugh Creek has had a history of water quality problems. Only once during the seven visits made by investigators from the Water Quality Investigations Section did dissolved oxygen levels exceed 6.0 mg/L at the mouth of the creek (Johnson, 1982; Joy, 1984). Class A standards (Table 1) were never met during any of their visits. During the last three years, the creek has endured a number of manure and miscellaneous spills (SWRO, 1983-1986). Despite the poor water quality, the upper reaches of the Dillenbaugh drainage are still used for spawning by coho salmon, steelhead, and cutthroat trout (WDF, 1986).

METHODS

Between May 19 and June 24, 1986, a water quality survey was conducted on the Dillenbaugh Creek drainage. The survey included a visual evaluation of land use and drainage characteristics, streamwalks, and water quality sampling. Streamwalks were conducted on: the main stem of the Dillenbaugh from r.m. 0.2 to 1.0 and 3.5 to 4.7, the DT from r.m. 0.4 to 0.9 passing through the industrial area east of Bishop Road, and the ditches that flow into the tributary and are located adjacent to the railroad tracks between Bishop and Sturdevant Roads.

Main-channel sampling stations are designated by r.m. number; discharges (flows from springs, pipes, seeps, etc.) are identified by the r.m. number followed by a "D" and an "L" or "R", signifying a left- or right-bank location. Berwick Creek main-channel stations are designated by the r.m. preceded by a "B." Discharges into Berwick Creek are identified using the same method as for Dillenbaugh Creek. Complete station descriptions are found in Table 2.

High-flow samples were collected May 19 - 21, 1986. The low-flow portion of the survey was performed June 3, 23, and 24, 1986 (Figure 2; Table 2).

Flow measurements were made using a Marsh-McBirney magnetic flow meter at selected main-channel stations. When physical conditions allowed, small discharges were measured by recording the time required to fill a container of known volume (a 500 mL bottle or a 4-liter bucket), or estimated when actual measurement was not possible. Temperature, conductivity, and pH measurements were taken in the field. Samples for dissolved oxygen (D.O.) were fixed in the field and subsequently analyzed at the Tumwater field laboratory. Samples for chemical oxygen demand (COD), fecal coliform (F.C.), pH, conductivity, chloride, turbidity, and nutrients were stored in the dark or ice and returned to Olympia. The samples were transported to the Manchester laboratory on the day following collection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the following discussion, both land-use observations and analytical results are evaluated simultaneously.

Dillenbaugh Creek above River Mile 4.6

Above r.m. 4.6, Dillenbaugh Creek passes through two farms of significance; one with 20 to 30 head of cattle, and one dairy farm. Homes and hobby farms with one to six animals are sparsely scattered along the creek. A large portion of the upper drainage of the creek was wooded, primarily with conifers.

Station 4.6 was chosen as an upstream reference site. Elevated FC concentrations were found during wet-weather/high-flow, probably indicating agricultural runoff. The high concentration detected during low flow (June 24) (Table 3) implies that a constant source such as barn drainings or a failing septic system may be present. Only one residence borders the creek for a considerable distance upstream.

Dillenbaugh Creek between River Mile 4.6 and 3.2

The area between the Jackson Highway (r.m. 4.6) and the industrial park (r.m. 4.3) of Dillenbaugh Creek consisted of unoccupied fenced fields (probably used for pasture).

From r.m. 4.3 to r.m. 3.5, the creek passes through the industrial area. No significant discharges were encountered on the streamwalk through this area. Flow measurements made on May 18, 1986, further confirm this. A small spring (3.6LD) was located, but its flow was negligible. Three roadside ditches were observed with a small amount of runoff. A four-inch pipe traversed the creek at r.m. 4.1. Debris coming down the creek during high flows could damage this pipe, resulting in a discharge into the creek. The pipe appeared to connect Pittsburg Paint and Glass (PPG) with the main industrial park. Whether it was in active use is unknown. Except for FC, parameters tested indicated that little degradation had occurred between upstream (r.m. 4.6) and downstream (r.m. 3.4) stations. Chemical loading between these stations increased only about 10 percent on May 19 (Table 4a). Bacteria levels were high both upstream (3,400 org/100 mL) and downstream (11,000 org/100 mL), but the sources were not found.

Between r.m. 3.5 and its confluence with Berwick Creek (r.m. 3.2), the stream passes by three homes, under I-5 and a county road, and through about 1,000 feet of pasture.

Reach between River Mile 3.2 and 1.7

Berwick Creek enters Dillenbaugh Creek at the left bank at r.m. 3.2. Origins for both creeks are located with 1.5 miles of each other, with a common ridge between them. An unnamed tributary "Dillenbaugh Tributary" (DT) enters the main stem at r.m. 2.3.

Berwick Creek

Reach Above River Mile 2.7

One farm with approximately 20 sheep was found on Berwick Creek above r.m. 2.7, but the area was primarily rural-residential.

Water quality in the creek above station B2.7, the reference site, exhibited similar conditions as the upper Dillenbaugh. Fecal coliform bacteria were somewhat elevated at low flow (Table 3).

Reach between River Mile 2.7 and Confluence with Dillenbaugh Creek

Between r.m. 2.7 and 0.5, Berwick Creek is bordered by a series of fields (possibly pasture), an area of about 15 homes, the I-5 freeway culvert, unused fields between the freeway and LaBree Road, and dairy farm pastures. There appears to be an unidentified FC source present between B2.7 and B0.5 during high-flow conditions. Total inorganic nitrogen (TIN) and total phosphorus (TP) loads also increased between these points during high-flow conditions.

Barnyard runoff into a ditch adjacent to LaBree Road is potentially the most significant discharge found entering Berwick Creek (10 feet downstream from station B0.5). Both FC (31,000 org/100 mL) and COD (60 mg/L) concentrations indicate a severe problem. Because the dilution was high and the source volume low, the observed receiving water impacts from this source were not significant. Periodic increases in the discharge related to manure-handling practices could cause substantial impact during both high and low flows.

Dillenbaugh Tributary (DT)

The DT drainage receives NPDES permitted non-contact cooling water discharges from Northwest Rubber Compounders and National Fruit Canning Company at r.m. DTO.4. However, neither company was discharging at the time of this survey.

Headwaters to River Mile DTO.4

The upstream reference station DT1.4 has the best water quality of the stations sampled, meeting all Class A standards (Table 1).

An FC source is present between DT1.4 and DT0.9. TIN concentrations doubled. No obvious sources for these increases were observed. However, this portion of the drainage is residential and septic system failure may be responsible.

Other failed septic systems are suspected along the ditch represented by station DTO.88DL. Chloride, nutrient, and FC concentrations were elevated.

Between r.m. 0.88 and 0.4 (DT), chloride and nutrient concentrations increased by more than 50 percent. No active discharges were observed, but several potential sources were located. These include a five-inch pipe at r.m. 0.55 (left bank), a new ditch and four-inch pipe originating at an apartment complex at r.m. 0.55, a four-inch pipe in a field

at r.m. 0.60 (left bank), and a ditch with red residues at r.m. 0.65 (left bank). Origins of the left-bank drains were not identified because of their remoteness from buildings.

Reach Between DTO.4 and Dillenbaugh Confluence

Discharges from two unpermitted industries, Quali-cast Corporation and Central Reddi-mix Corporation were found entering the Dillenbaugh tributary immediately below station DTO.4 from a roadside ditch on the east side of the Bishop Road culvert. Water in the ditch at the intersection of Chase and Sturdevant Roads (adjacent to Quali-cast Corporation) flows into the Dillenbaugh tributary via a series of ditches. These industrial flows constitute a significant portion of the total flow in the ditch. The ditch waters (DTO.4LD) caused the temperature in the tributary 50 feet downstream from the discharge to fail Class A temperature standards on June 3 and to nearly fail on June 23.

Quali-cast Corporation

Water in the ditch adjacent to the Quali-cast property (station QCC) was found to have an elevated temperature during both visits made to the site. Also during the June 3 visit, water was trickling from a four-inch pipe on the east sided of the Quali-cast building. An employee of National Fruit Canning Company indicated that the ditch steamed frequently during the winter months. Quali-cast is not operating under an NPDES permit. A plant inspection is necessary to identify the exact location(s) of warm water discharge(s).

Central Reddi-mix Corporation

The small discharge (station CEMCO) is located approximately 0.25 mile upstream from station DTO.4LD. Water was found percolating through a cement barrier. The source appeared to be a washwater collection pond. During one visit, the pH of the discharge was 10, but dilution and buffering capacity of water in the ditch reduced the pH to 7.4 at station DTO.4LD. The pH was found to be 7 during a follow-up visit on June 23. This industry was not operating under an NPDES permit.

Elevated nutrient and FC concentrations were also found in the ditch and may indicate septic system contribution.

Water quality at DTO.1 seemed to be impacted by an unidentified FC source. Loads from DTO.4LD are insufficient to account for the increase. Chloride concentrations nearly doubled and FC concentrations

increased four-fold. Only one home is within 150 feet of the creek in this area, and about 80 percent of land bordering the creek is fenced pasture which appears to be used. Farming activities or a failed septic system could be responsible for water quality problems originating in this segment. Although sewer hookups are available in some areas of this drainage, many occupants have chosen not to be connected (Chehalis Engineering Department, 1986).

During high flow (May 21), this tributary contributes between 10 and 20 percent of the total load of most constituents in the drainage while contributing about 10 percent of the flow.

Station 1.7

D.O. levels at station 1.7 below the confluences of both Berwick Creek and "Dillenbaugh Tributary" were below Class A standards on all samplings and below the 5.0 mg/L criterion for freshwater aquatic life as determined by EPA (1976) on three of the four visits. Three of the four times this site was sampled, the FC concentrations exceeded 100 org/100 mL. Poor agricultural practices and failed septic systems were the most probable cause of these violations.

During the low-flow survey, water movement was barely discernible at station 1.7. The creek channel is deeper and wider than the upstream portions of the drainage.

$\frac{\text{Reach between River Mile 1.7 and the Confluence with the Chehalis}}{\text{River}}$

A ditch draining a cattle feedlot (1.7LD) is located ten feet down-stream from station 1.7 (Table 2). During our visit the flow was small compared to the creek. However, the FC, COD, and ammonia (NH₃-N) concentrations were the highest observed in the drainage. This problem deserves immediate attention.

At r.m. 0.55, a 30-inch Chehalis storm sewer enters the creek. The pipe, the John Street storm sewer, (0.55RD) is under water during high flows. On June 5 the pipe was visible, but not flowing. Residues of oil and grease were observed in the soil in close proximity to the end of the pipe. When the site was sampled on June 23, a flow of about 0.4 cfs was measured. No measurable rainfall had been recorded for three days (National Weather Service, 1986) (Table 5), and other storm drains were dry. Flow from this drain appeared to be intermittent. A fairly continuous layer of oil and clumps of lubricating grease were observed floating out of the storm drain. FC concentrations had to be

calculated from downstream data because the undiluted value was so high. FC were estimated to be 20,000 org/100 mL at the discharge. sample was collected for polynuclear aromatics and halogenated hydrocarbon analysis. Results indicated relatively low concentrations of combustion-type byproducts (Appendix A). This discharge was reported to SWRO and subsequent investigations and samples by Gary Bailey revealed that American Crossarm and Conduit (NPDES permit for non-contact cooling water), Consolidated Dairy Products, Houston Auto Parts, Auto Motive, Inc., and a broken city of Chehalis sanitary sewer line were discharging water and wastewater into the storm sewer. The most noteworthy contributor, American Crossarm and Conduit, was the source of pentachlorophenol. A later sample (August 14, 1986) of material trapped within a boom placed at the end of the storm sewer was analyzed at 0.17 percent (1,700 mg/L) pentachlorophenol (Bailey, SWRO, personal communication, 1986). Measures have been taken by SWRO to eliminate all contributing discharges.

Station 0.51 is a main-channel station located just downstream from the John Street storm sewer outlet. FC levels increased by a factor of 65, and the D.O. concentration dropped by a factor of 3.5 when compared to upstream values. COD and total phosphorus, ammonia, and chloride loading was up 70, 300, and 26 percent, respectively (Table 4B).

At r.m. 0.32, Dillenbaugh Creek splits during high flows. On May 20, water quality in the alternative channel was poor, similar to the main channel. It carried 12 percent of Dillenbaugh's total flow to the Chehalis River. It should be noted that on the previous day (May 19), this channel was dry. The maximum rainfall that could have fallen between visits as recorded in Centralia was 0.99 inch (National Weather Service, 1986) (Table 6).

Water quality at the mouth of the creek (station 0.1) violated one or more Class A standards during the five visits. D.O. concentrations never exceeded the minimum 5 mg/L criterion recommended by EPA (1976). FC exceeded Class A levels on three of the five visits. More than 10 acres of woodwaste fill material are located adjacent to the creek between r.m. 0.4 and 0.7. Leachate from this acreage probably compounds the poor water quality in this reach (Schermer, 1976).

CONCLUSIONS

In general, illegal discharges, failing septic systems, and animal management practices appear to be major problems throughout the drainage. Animals usually have access to the stream. The organic and nutrient loading from farming activities were found to be lowering

D.O. levels in the lower, slower-moving portion of the drainage where gradient change in the creek does not promote adequate reaeration. Because of reduced velocity, deposition of suspended organic material also occurs, further reducing D.O. concentrations.

Two industries were found to be discharging small quantities of water into the Dillenbaugh drainage. Water with elevated temperatures appeared to be emanating from the Quali-cast Corporation property. This caused water quality downstream from station DTO.4LD to fail Class A temperature standards. Failing septic systems in the "Dillenbaugh Tributary" and the Berwick Creek sub-drainage appear to be the most likely reason for the bacteria contamination.

The dairy feedlot operation near r.m. 1.7 was the most significant agricultural source observed.

The primary source of pollution in the lower portion of the drainage was originating from the John Street storm sewer. This drain has been shown to be impacted by several businesses. Follow-up monitoring is recommended to determine if actions taken by the SWRO have corrected the problems.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- o Inform local health authorities regarding suspected septic system failures.
- o Conduct a follow-up investigation on the John Street storm sewer.
- o Pursue animal waste problems on Berwick and Dillenbaugh Creeks.
- o Address illegal discharges in the drainage.
- o Identify the contents of the four-inch pipe traversing the creek at the Pittsburg Paint and Glass facility.

PC:cp

Attachments

cc: Norm Glenn Lynn Singleton

REFERENCES

- Environmental Protection Agency, 1976. "Quality Criteria for Water," Washington, DC. EPA-440/9-76-0231. 501 pp.
- Frazier, J., 1986. Wash. St. Dept. Fisheries, personal communication, June 1986.
- Heid, B., 1986. Chehalis Engineering Department, personal communication, October 1986.
- Johnson, A. and S. Prescott, 1982. "Chehalis river water quality data collected July-September, 1982," memorandum to H. Steeley, Southwest Regional Office, Ecology, November 16, 1982. Wash. St. Dept. Ecol., 2 pp.
- Joy, J., 1984. "Evaluation of the conditions contributing to the dissolved oxygen problem in the Chehalis River between Chehalis and Centralia," memorandum to J. Neel, Southwest Regional Office, Ecology, October 29, 1984. Wash. St. Dept. Ecol., 51 pp.
- National Weather Service, 1986. Daily precipitation records.
- Schermer, E.D. and J.B. Phipps, 1976. A Study of Woodwaste Leachate. Grays Harbor College, Aberdeen, WA. 63 pp.
- Southwest Regional Office, 19880-1986. Lewis County complaint file.

Table 1. Class A (excellent) water quality standards (WAC 173-201-045) and characteristic uses.

Characteristic Uses:

Water supply, wildlife habitat; livestock watering; general recreation and aesthetic enjoyment; commerce and navigation; fish reproduction, migration, rearing, and harvesting.

Water Quality Criteria

Fecal coliform:

Geometric mean not to exceed 100 organisms/100 mLs with not more than 10 percent of samples exceeding 200 organisms/100 mLs.

Dissolved oxygen:

Shall exceed 8 mg/L.

Total dissolved gas:

Shall not exceed 110 percent saturation.

Temperature:

Shall not exceed 18°C due to human activity. Increases shall not, at any time, exceed t= 28/(T+7); or where temperature exceeds 18°C naturally, no increase greater than 0.3°C . t = allowable temperature increase across dilution zone, and T = highest temperature outside the dilution zone. Increases from non-point sources shall not exceed 2.8°C .

pH:

Shall be within the range of 6.5 to 8.5, with man-caused variation within a range of less than 0.5 unit.

Toxic, radioactive, or deleterious materials:

Shall be below concentrations of public health significance, or which may cause acute or chronic toxic conditions to the aquatic biota, or which may adversely affect any water use.

Aesthetic values:

Shall not be impaired by the presence of materials or their effects, excluding those of natural origin, which offend the senses of sight, smell, touch, or taste.

Table 2. Station descriptions for the May and June survey of the Dillenbaugh Creek drainage.

Station	River Mile	Station Description
4.6	4.6	Dillenbaugh Creek - Downstream side of Jackson Highway bridge
3.6LD	3.6	Spring on left bank of Dillenbaugh Creek
3.48LD	3.48	Ditch 50 feet southeast of Dillenbaugh Creek northeast of Bishop Road
3.5LD	3.5	Field runoff into Dillenbaugh Creek on the left bank
3.4	3.4	Dillenbaugh Creek - Either at culvert under Hamilton Road just northwest of the LaBree Road bridge over Interstate 5 (3.4) or 500 feet downstream from this culvert (3.3)
B2.7		Berwick Creek - 50 feet upstream from the Jackson Highway culvert
во.5		Berwick Creek - Downstream side of LaBree Road culvert
BO.5LD	****	Ditch on the left bank of Berwick Creek five feet downstream from station ${\tt B0.5}$
DT1.4	12.00 Mari	Tributary to Dillenbaugh Creek with same name - Upstream side of Jackson Highway culvert
DT0.9		Tributary to Dillenbaugh Creek with same name - Downstream side of Ribelin Street culvert approximately 0.9 mile from its confluence with the main channel located across from house at 142 Ribelin Road
DT0.89LD		Small stram entering the Dillenbaugh Tributary at Ribelin Road - Sampled at Ribelin Road culvert 150 feet south of station DTO.9
DT0.4		Tributary to Dillenbaugh Creek with same name - 25 feet from the upstream side of the culvert under Bishop Road 0.4 r.m. from confluence with the main stem of Dillenbaugh Creek
DTO.4LD	deriver teams	Ditch entering the left bank of the Dillenbaugh Tributary (DT) just below the sampling site for station DTO.4
QCC	was state	Ditch on Chase Road adjacent to Quali-cast Corporation
CEMCO	Name above	Seepage from pond on Central Reddi-mix Cement Company's property about 200 yards east of Bishop Road on the south side of the railroad tracks

Table 2 - continued.

Station Symbol	River Mile	Station Description
DT0.1		Tributary (DT) to Dillenbaugh Creek with same name - Upstream side of the culvert under Kelly Road about 0.7 mile southeast of the exit 76 Interstate 5 interchange. NOTE: The confluence with Dillenbaugh is at r.m. 2.3
2.1RD	2.1	Ditch 0.15 mile southeast on Interstate Avenue from the exit 76 Interstate 5 interchange
1.7LD	1.7	Ditch 10 feet downstream from station 1.7
1.7	1.7	Dillenbaugh Creek - 25 feet downstream from remnants of old county road bridge 150 feet west of exit 76
0.95	0.95	Dillenbaugh Creek - Main channel under the GN-NP railroad trestle
0.55RD	0.55	30-inch John Street storm sewer
0.51	0.51	Dillenbaugh Creek - Just downstream from the discharge from the John Street storm sewer
0.5RD	0.5	Small discharge originating in grasses adjacent ot the woodwaste fill located about mid-way between the GP, NP, UP railroad tracks and the CMSP&P railroad tracks
0.3R&L	0.3	Dillenbaugh Creek - Area of upwelling (from submerged pipe?) between the Interstate 5 bridge for the north-bound lanes and the north-bound exit 77 bridge
0.2A	0.2	Overflow channel for Dillenbaugh Creek - 50 feet downstream from the Frontage Road bridge ("A" designates alternate channel)
0.1	0.1	Dillenbaugh Creek - 50 feet downstream from the state highway 6 bridge

Table 3. Field data and laboratory results for samples taken from the Dillenbaugh drainage - May and June, 1986.

			F	ield Da	ta				Laboratory Data												
Station Number	River Míle	Date	Time	Flow (cfs)	Temperature (°C)	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	% Saturation	рн (S.U.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Turbidity (NTU's)	Fecal Coliform (#/100 mL)	COD (mg/L)	C1 (mg/L)	NO3-N (mg/L)	NO2-N (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	0-Р04-Р (шв/L)	T-P (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)
4.6	4.6	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/23 6/24	1215 1540 1120	4.3	13.0 11.0 16.0	6.4 7.3	56 48 78	10.2 10.6 9.0	96 96 90	7.3 6.8 7.1	56 45 78	4 10 4	100 1400 2400	13 26 23	2.5 3.0 2.9	0.19 0.45 0.15	0.01 0.01 <0.01	0.03 0.04 0.02	0.03 0.04 0.06	0.05 0.07 0.09	nion made
3.6LD	3.6	5/19 5/20 5/21	 1250		10.7	 5.8	 60	 4.7	 42	 6.0	 60	 43	 300	 16	3.0	0.13	 <0.01	0.06	0.01	0.05	
3.5LD	3.5	5/19 5/20 5/21	 1450	100 000 100 000 100 000	 16.7		 106										gan day			200 200 One 600	460 Valor
3.48LD	3.48	5/19 5/20 5/21	 1510		 19.7		122	 10.1	 110	8.1	 149	 180	 490	 26	3.1	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.18	and the
3.4	3.4	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/23 6/24	1100 1720 1020 0950	4.66 27.6 27.3 1.29	12.6 9.4 15.5	6.3 6.1 7.3	60 43 99	10.2 10.4 8.9	95 91 89	7.3 6.8 7.1	59 42 70	4 10 6	140 380 11,000	13 23 27	2.7 2.4 3.9	0.19 0.50 0.35	0.01 0.01 0.02	0.02 0.03 0.16	0.03 0.02 0.08	0.05 0.06 0.15	and the
B2.7		5/19 5/20 5/21 6/23 6/24	1300 1730 1135	2.2	13.6 15.5	6.4 7.3	48 67	10.1 9.5	97 95	7.3 7.0	46 70	4 4	71 300	13 23	2.3	0.18 0.17	<0.01 <0.01	0.01 0.02	0.02	0.03	man term man term man term have debut
B0.5		5/19 5/20 5/21 6/23 6/24	1140 1730 1050 1245	3.1 14.0 12.4 	13.5 10.2 16.8	6.2 7.3	55 47 75	10.0 10.3 8.2	95 91 84	7.0 7.2 6.7	52 52 78	5 13 7	510 1000 350	16 23 23	2.9 2.7 4.1	0.22 0.45 0.34	0.01 0.01 0.01	0.03 0.04 0.05	0.03 0.03 0.07	0.05 0.08 0.11	100 AM
BO.5LD		5/19 5/20 5/21	 1600	 0.001	 21.0	 	 152	 12.6	 140	7.2	185	 28	31,000	 66	 8.7	 0.84	0.08	0.47	0.19	0.67	
DT1.4		5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	1520 1225	0.067	14.5 14.8	7.7	 47 50	9.5 9.2	 93 90	7.4	 47 49	 4 4	3 14	16 12	2.5	0.11	<0.01 <0.01	0.01	0.01 0.02	0.05	Main Addr Main Addr Main Addr Main Addr Main Addr Main Addr

Table 3 continued.

]	Field Da	ata										Labor	atory I)a t a				
				~											Labora	acory 1	ala				
Station Number	River Mile	Date	Time	Flow (cfs)	Temperature (°C)	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	% Saturation	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Turbidity (NTU's)	Fecal Coliform (#/100 mL)	COD (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	NO3-N (mg/L)	NO ₂ -N (mg/L)	NH ₃ -N (mg/L)	0-P04-P (mg/L)	T-P (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)
DT0.9	Name and	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	1730 1155		15.9 14.8	7.6 6.4	50 57	8.7 8.8	87 86	7.4 7.1	48 53	8 10	700 870	 22 27	2.9	0.22	0.01	0.04	0.02 0.05	0.06	
DTO.89LD	~-	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03	 1640 1540		 15.0 17.9	7.3	78 260	7.8 1.3	 77 14	6.8 7.2	 92 259	 38 10	 3700 1900	 36 31	 4.2 40	0.14 0.06	0.01	 0.08 0.54	0.04 0.20	0.16 0.36	
DT0.4		5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	 1135 0950		14.5 14.6	7.3	110 125	8.1 8.0	 79 79	7.5 7.2	 110 122	10 17	 700 370	22 23	6.2 7.2	0.34 0.35	0.02	0.06	0.07 0.12	0.14 0.13	sales state and state sales state gate state gate state
QCC	Mg 4-9	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	 1800 1120	 0.015	 24.6 28.8	8.2 7.1	108 84	8.6 8.7	 102 111	8.4 8.4	 42,900 94	3	150	16 19	7.9	0.63	<0.01 <0.01	0.01	 <0.01 <0.01	<0.01 0.01	
CEMCO	~~	5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	 1310 1100	0.006 0.003	 19.2 17.2	10.0		9.8 11.7	105 120	10.1	189 145	10	Buga Balah Anda Albi Anda Albi Anda Albi Walah Albi Walah Albi	170 145	 4.2 4.7	0.22	0.02	0.09	0.01 0.01	 0.33 0.04	
DTO.4LD		5/19 5/20 5/21 6/03 6/23 6/24	1200 1000	0.037	19.0 17.2	7.4	142 132	9.3 7.6	99 78	7.5	143 124	6 11	1000 220	16 31	8.1	0.39	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.08	

			Field Da	ita					Laboratory Data											
Station Number	River Míle	Date	Flow (cfs)		(э.с.) нд	g 2	D.O. (mg/L)	% Saturation	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Turbidity (NTU's)	Fecal Coliform (#/100 mL)	COD (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	NO3-N (mg/L)	NO ₂ -N (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	0-PO4-P (mg/L)	T-P (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)
DTO.1		5/19 142 5/20 174 5/21 162 6/03 110 6/23 093 6/24	40 2.45 20 00 0.27	16.7 6 12.4 - 15.1 6 15.5 6	5.2	 87 130	10.2 9.2 8.6 5.7	104 86 85 57	7.1 7.2 7.5 7.1	107 106 130 131	8 32 10 9	1100 2400 3000 870	16 36 25 31	5.1 7.2 7.1 7.0	0.28 0.21 0.20 0.18	0.02 0.04 0.02 0.02	0.08 0.13 0.03 0.36	0.05 0.09 0.05 0.07	0.07 0.13 0.12 0.11	
2.1RD	2.1	5/19 5/20 17: 5/21	50 1.27								 	daine skinn Aljon kalan Ulipa dham				600 600 600 600		AND 100	case need	Man steel
1.7		5/19 10 5/20 16. 5/21 6/05 12: 6/23 6/24 10	25 20	12.9	6.0 6.7	74 64 106 100	4.3 7.1 1.9 	41 67 20 13	6.7 6.7 6.8 6.3	69 62 97 102	5 12 6 6	160 5200 34 500	23 23 31 31	3.9 3.4 5.0 5.2	0.19 0.48 0.02 0.01	0.01 0.02 <0.01 <0.01	0.05 0.06 0.03 0.04	0.04 0.06 0.04 0.05	0.08 0.12 0.17 0.15	Marie Sales Marie Africa Marie Africa Marie Africa Marie Africa Marie Africa
1.7LD	1.7	5/19 5/20 16- 5/21		19.0	6.7	780 	 7.7 	82 	7.4 	 794 	40 	213,000 	220 	140 	0.24	0.07	2.7	0.23	2.0	and the
0.95	0.95	6/23 16 6/24		22.4	5.4	114	5.4 	62	6.7	100	6	69 	19 	5.5	0.22	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.11	100 MM
0.55RD	0.55	6/23 14 6/24	30 0.5	19.5	4.9 	201	3.2	34 	6.9	201	13	>6000 	54 	11.0	0.38	0.10	1.7	0.79	1.5	16
0.51	0.51	6/23 15 6/24		20.8	5.3	125 	1.6	18	6.7 	114	5 	4500 	27 	5.7	0.14	0.01	0.17	0.07	0.15	7
0.5RD	0.5	5/19 5/20 13 5/21	20 0.03		 5.7 	138 													phon AME same Pater vand MAE	
0.3R	0.3	5/19 5/20 16 5/21 6/05 11	000		 6.8	76 105	4.6 	44 		100 Mar. 100 Mar. 100 Mar.							day and	Auric 2000 Auric 2000 Auric 2000 Auric 2000		

Table 3 continued.

			F	ield Da	ata										Labora	atory D	ata				
Station Number	River Mile	Date	Time	Flow (cfs)	Temperature (°C)	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	% Saturation	рн (s.u.)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Turbidity (NTU's)	Fecal Coliform (#/100 mL)	COD (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	NO3-N (mg/L)	NO2-N (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	0-PO ₄ -P (mg/L)	T-P (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)
0.3L	0.3	5/19 5/20 5/21	1540	 	13.7	5.9	58	 4.6 	 44 			non den						alor sides Alon 6001	200 Mar 200 Mar 200 Mar		
0.2A	0.2	6/05 5/19 5/20 5/21	1200 1450 	2.9	17.4 13.7	6.1	104 98 	5.5	 53	6.7	93	9	1130	30	4.7	0.34	0.02	0.09	0.06	0.12	the test
0.1	0.1	5/20 5/21 6/05 6/23	0915 1030 0850 0815 0820	11.8 22.0 3.3 2.3 1.9	13.2 10.4 17.9 21.2 19.0	6.4 6.0 6.3 6.7 6.5	87 88 97 128 114	4.2 4.4 3.2 2.6 1.7	40 39 33 28 18	6.7 6.7 7.0 6.7 6.6	84 81 166 123 123	5 8 4 5 5	88 2500 14 480 500	20 23 4 35 27	4.0 4.2 4.6 6.0 6.0	0.14 0.21 0.13 0.03 0.03	0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.01 <0.01	0.03 0.03 0.07 0.18 0.24	0.05 0.07 0.06 0.12 0.11	0.07 0.11 0.10 0.17 0.16	stan state althouses gree name while other althouses althouses althouses althouses

Table 4A. Dillenbaugh Creek constituent loads in pounds/day (5/19/86) (during stable high-flow conditions).

Station Number	Flow	NO ₃ -N	NO ₂ -N	NH ₃ -N	TIN	Т-Р	C1.	COD	FC ¹ /
4.6	4.3	4.4	0.2	0.7	5.3	1.2	58	300	1.1
3.3	4.7	4.8	0.2	0.8	5.8	1.3	68	330	1.6
B2.7	2.2	2.1	Militar Militar	0.1	2.2	0.4	27	150	0.4
B0.5	3.1	3.7	0.2	0.5	4.3	0.8	48	270	3.9
DT0.1	0.69	1.0	0.1	0.3	1.4	0.3	19	60	1.9
0.1	11.8	8.9	0.6	1.9	11.0	4.5	250	1300	2.6

Table 4B. Dillenbaugh Creek constituent loads in pounds/day (during dry-weather/low-flow conditions).

Station Number	Date	Flow	NO ₃ -N	NO ₂ -N	NH ₃ -N	TIN	Т-Р	C1.	COD	FC ¹ /
3.4	6/24	1.3	2.4	0.1	1.1	3.6	1.0	27	160	35
B0.5	6/24	$1.0^{\frac{2}{}}$	1.8	<0.1	0.3	2.1	0.6	22	150	0.8
0.95	6/23	$1.9^{3/}$	2.3	0.1	0.7	3.1	1.1	56	190	0.4
0.55DR	6/23	0.4	0.8	0.2	3.7	4.7	3.2	24	120	26
0.51	6/23	$2.3\frac{4}{}$	1.7	0.1	2.1	3.9	1.9	71	330	27
0.1	6/23 6/24	2.3 1.9	0.4 0.3	0.1 <0.1	2.2 2.4	2.7 2.7	2.1 1.6	74 61	430 280	2.4

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Fecal}$ colonies per day times 10^{10}

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Flow}$ estimated from previous day (plus or minus 20 percent)

 $^{^3\}mathrm{Flow}$ estimated from flows at stations 0.55DR and 0.51

⁴Flow from station 0.1 was used for calculations

Table 5. Daily precipitation at Centralia Weather Station (inches) - 1986.

Day of Month May	June
	*
1 0.01	
2 0.44	*
3 0.03	*
4 0.09	*
5 0.35	*
6 0.19	0.12
7 0.08	*
8 T	*
9 0.12	*
10 0.35	*
11 0.09	*
12 0.22	*
13 0.57	*
14 T	0.23
15 *	T
16 T	0.02
17 *	0.41
18 0.12	0.32
19 0.35	0.02
20 0.64	0.69
21 0.08	*
22 *	*
23 *	*
24 0.03	*
25 *	*
26 T	*
27 *	*
28 *	T
29 *	0.05
30 *	*
31 *	

T = trace

NOTE: Measurements are taken at 1800 hours.

^{* =} none measured

ANDREA BEATTY RINKER Director



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

For Chicken Mr. . Markey William Bridge Server Server 12 12 12 12 12 12

MEMORANDUM

July 30, 1986

T0:

Pat Crawford

FROM:

Dick Huntamer, Chemist

SUBJECT:

Organic Analysis of Dillenbaugh

Creek Water Sample, Lewis County

One water sample, collected on June 24, 1986, was received at the Manchester Environmental Laboratory on June 24, 1986, extracted July 1, 1986 and analyzed July 17, 1986. Detection limits are higher than normal due to the small sample size. No pentachlorophenol or 2 or 4 methylphenols (cresols) were detected. Results for the hydrocarbon identification will be sent when completed.

The results of the analyses are attached.

Lab Number

267544 .55RSS

Attachment DH/cm

Labor	atory	Name:	MANOHESTER LAB
Case	No:		DOE-412

Sample Mumber E267544

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET (Page 2)

SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS

GPC Cleanup ___ Yes X No LOW Concentration: Date Extracted/Prepared: 07/01/86 Separatory Funnel Extraction ___ Yes Continuous Liquid-Liquid Extraction ____Yes Date Amalyzed: 07/17/86 Dil Factor: 12.500 Percent Moisture: (Decanted) UG/L CAS Number UG/L CAS Number 108-95-2 130. U 83-32-9 Acenaphthene (27. J bis(2-Chlorcethyl)Ether . 130. U 51-28-5 2.4-Dinitrophenol 111-44-4 95-57-8 2-Chlorophenol 130. U 100-02-7 Dibenzofuran 130. U 541-73-1 1,3-Dichlorobenzene . . . 130. U 132-64-9 1,4-Dichlorobenzene . . . 130. U 2.4-Dinitrotoluene . . . 130. U 121-14-2 106-46-7 100-51-6 Benzyl Alcohol 130. U 606-20-2 2,6-Dimitrotoluene 130. U 95-50-1 1.2-Dichlorobenzene . . . 130. U 84-66-2 Diethylphthalate 130. U 2-Methylphenol 130. U 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 130. U 95-48-7 7005-72-3 39638-32-9 bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether 130. U 86-73-7 Fluorene 130. U 105-44-5 4-Methylphenol 130. U 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline 630. U 4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenol 630. U 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-Di-n-Propylamine 130. U 534-52-1 85-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1) 130. U 67-72-1 Hexachloroethane 130. U 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene 130. U 101-55-3 4-Browophenyl-phenylether 78-59-1 Isophorone 130. U 118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene . . . 130. U Pentachlorophenol . . . 630, U 88-75-5 2-Nitrophenol 130. U 87-86-5 2,4-Dimethylphenol . . . 130. U 85-01-8 Phenanthrene (140.) 105-67-9 Anthracene 130. U 65-85-0 Benzoic Acid 630. U 120-12-7 111-91-1 bis(2-Chloroethoxy) Methane 130. U 84-74-2 Di-n-Butylphthalate . . . 130. BU 206-44-0 120-83-2 2.4-Dichloropherol . . . 130. U 120-82-1 1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene . . 130. U 129-00-0 Pyrene (100. 91-20-3 Naphthalene 130. U 85-68-7 Butylbenzylphthalate . . . 130. U 106-47-8 4-Chloroaniline 130. U 91-94-1 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine . . 250. U Benzo(a) Anthracene . . . 130. U 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene . . . 130. U 56-55-3 59-50-7 4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol . 130. U 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate 310. B 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene . . . 130. U 218-01-9 Chrysene 130. U 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 130. U 117-84-0 Di-n-Octyl Phthalate . . . 130. U 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol . . 130. U 205-99-2 Benzo(b)Fluoranthene . . . 130. U 88-06-2 95-95-4 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol . . 630. U 207-08-9 Benzo(k)Fluoranthene . . . 130. U 91-58-7 50-32-8 Benzo(a) Pyrene 130. U 2-Chloronaphthalene . . . 130. U 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline 630. U 193-39-5 Indero (1, 2, 3-cd) Pyrene . . 130. U 131-11-3 Dimethyl Phthalate . . . 130. U 53-70-3 Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene . . 130. U 8-26-805 Aceriaphthylene 130. U 191-24-2 Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene . . . 130. U 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline 630. U 2-FLUOROPHENOL (SURR. STD.) 97% D5-PHENOL (SURR. STD.) . . D5-NITROBENZENE (SURR. STD.) 75% 2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (SURR. STD 84% D10-PYREME (SURR. STD.) . 104% D14-TERPHENYL (SURR. STD.) 75%

Laborato	ry Name:	MANCHESTER LAB
Case No:		DOE-412

Sample Number EBN6181W

ORSANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET (Page 2)

SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS

Concentrati	iori:	LOW		GPC Clear	nup Yes X No	
Date Extra	cted/Prepared:	07/01/86		Separato	ry Funnel Extraction Yes	
Date Amaly:	red:	07/17/86		Continuo	us Liquid-Liquid Extraction _	Yes
Conc Factor	r:	4.000000				
Percent No:	isture: (Decan	ted)				
						r 100 - 21
CRS Number			UG/L	CAS Number		UG/L
108-95-2	Phenol		2.50	83-32-9	Acenaphthere	2.50
111-44-4	bis(2-Chlorce	•	2.5U	51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	13. U
95-57-8	2-Chloropheno		2.50	100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	13. U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorob		2.50	132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	2.5U
106-45-7	1,4-Dichlorob		2.50	121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	2.50
100-51-6	Berizyl Alcoho		2.50	606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	2.50
9 5-50-1	1,2-Dichlorob		2.50	8 4-56-2	Diethylphthalate	2.50
9 5- 4 8-7	2-Methylpherio	1	2.5U	7005-72-3	4—Chlorophenyl—phenylether	2.50
39638-32-9	bis(2-Chloroi	sopropyl)Ether	2.50	86-73-7	Fluorene	2.50
106-44-5	4-Methylpheno	1	2.50	100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	13. U
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-Di-	n-Propylamine	2.50	534-52-1	4,6-Dimitro-2-Methylphenol	13. U
67-72-1	Hexachloroeth	ane	2.50	86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	0.72EJ
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene		2.50	101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	2.50
78-5 9- 1	Isophorone .		2.50	118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	2. 5 U
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol		2. 5 U	87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	13. U
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylp		2.5U	85-01-8	Phenanthrene	2.5U
65-85-0	Benzoic Acid		13. U	120-12-7	Anthracene	2.50
111-91-1		thoxy) Methanie	2.50	84-74-2	Di-m-Butylphthalate	0.33RJ
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorop	-	2.50	206-44-0	Fluoranthene	2.5U
120-82-1		noberizene	2.50	129-00-0	Pyrene	2,50
91-20-3	Naphthalene		2.50	85-6 8-7	Butylbenzylphthalate	2.50
106-47-8		ne	2.50	91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	5.00
87-68-3	Hexachlorobut		2.50	5 6-55-3	Benzo(a)Anthracene	2.50
59-50-7		thylphenol .	2.50	117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	1.8BJ
91-57-6		halene	2.50	218-01-9	Chrysene	2.50
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyc		2.5U	117-84-0	Di-m-Octyl Phthalate	2.50
88-06-2	•	rophenol	2.50	205-99-2	Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	2.50
95-95-4		propheriol	13. U	207-08-9	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	2.50
20 50 4 91-58-7	• •	halene	2.5U	50-32-8	Benzo(a) Pyrene	2.50
88-74-4		ж	13. U	193-39-5	Indeno(1, 2, 3-cd) Pyrene	2.50
131-11-3		alate	2.50	53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	2,50
208-96-8	•	æ	2.5U	191-24-2	Benzo(q, h, i) Perylene	2.5U
99-09-2		æ æ	13. U	131-64-6	2-FLUOROPHENOL (SURR. STD.)	103%
33-03-6	3-RIGIOMILIII	7C	10. 0		D5-PHENOL (SURR. STD.)	76%
					D5-NITROBENZENE (SURR. STD.)	92%
						1.00
					2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (SURR. STD.)	100%
						103%
					D14-TERPHENYL (SURR, STD.)	(4 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

RUJECT: DILLEND Augh CRY AUGRATORY: Manchest	COMP1	LED BY	: 41	Y J VX	speri	AO 📐	TE:	7-d	8-8
ABORATORI. (Triunchista	KEATEN	יונט טוי				UN1	C:		***************************************
NBA RACTIOM: SAMPLE # :	267544								
	1/7								
575 1,7-dimethyl- 137-1 nazhthaline	300 0								
2.				-			}		
3.									
1 .									
5.		The state of the s	A PO Company and a Company of the Co	and the second s				a graph a garage	
· 5.								1	
7 .									
8.	!	-							
9.									
10.					-		,		

ACID/BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS

PROJE LABOF	COMPILED BY: MBlaunich REVIEWED BY: 500									DATE: 3-24-86				
-							- T							
	SAMPLE # :	378	094	378	195	3780	76							
	UNITS :	1	Ky	_			R							
<u>60.</u>	Di-n-octyl phthalate	14	Odn	140	04	1600	M							
61.	Benzo(b)fluoranthene					4	1							
<u>62.</u>	Benso(k)fluoranthene													
63.	Benzo(a)pyrene	-												
64.	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene													
<u>65.</u>	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene													
			/	1			. T		Ţ	I				

Value If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value.

66.

Benzo(g,h,i)perylene

Indicates compound was analyzed for but not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U (e.g.10U) based on necessary concentration dilution actions (This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit). The footnotes should read U: Compound was analyzed for for but not detected. The number is the minimum attainable detection limit for the sample.

Indicates an estimated value. The flag is used either when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds where a 1:1 response is assumed or when the mass spectral data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria but the result is less than the specified detection limit but greater than zero. (e.g. 10J)

B This flag is used when the analyte is found in the blank as well as a sample. It indicates possible/probable blank contamination and warns the data user to take appropriate action.