

Aquatic Plant Technical Assistance Implementation Plan -1996 Field Season

Introduction

The Aquatic Plant Technical Assistance Program was created to provide technical expertise within Ecology, other agencies, local governments, lakes groups, and the general public regarding aquatic plant ecology, taxonomy, and management. The three main goals identified for this program are to:

- provide technical assistance and education on aquatic plant identification and management;
- evaluate plant community structures and the existence or potential for aquatic plant related problems in selected waterbodies; and
- assist with evaluating Freshwater Aquatic Weed Program grant applications.

This implementation plan addresses the first two goals. The plan details the three to four month field season. The remainder of the year will be spent researching and planning data collection, updating the database and herbarium collection, evaluating grant applications for Freshwater Aquatic Weed Program money, conducting special projects such as assisting with the aquatic plant identification manual contract, and providing off-season technical assistance.

Flexibility is an integral part of this plan. Changes will occur if high priority requests for assistance are received from citizens or local government officials. (High priority requests are those in which the perceived problem is likely caused by an exotic invasive species. In these cases the waterbody will be included as part of Task 3 "Survey New Lakes." In other cases it will be visited as time allows.) If new, small exotic invasive plant populations are found, the work schedule will be rearranged, and some site visits may be omitted, to provide time for plant population assessment and to alert local government officials and citizens to the potential problems posed by the plant.

Details of methods used during field surveys are described in a Quality Assurance Project Plan (Parsons, 1995a). In general, plant samples are gathered at sites throughout the lake and identified. Non-native invasive plants and rare plant populations are located with a Global Positioning System unit. Concurrent with the survey effort, contacts will be made with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife biologists, local weed board personnel, and interested citizens to discuss aquatic plant issues. In addition, the following elements will be collected:

- a) Special attention will be paid to looking for herbivorous aquatic insects, especially for the weevil *Euhrychiopsis lecontei* which is known to cause damage to *M. spicatum*. This information will be passed on to researchers at the University of Washington working to determine the extent of this weevil's population in the state.
- c) Myriophyllum spicatum samples will continue to be collected for DNA analysis. This summer researchers at Portland State University, The U.S. Department of Agriculture's Aquatic Research lab at Davis, California, and a Rensselaer Polytechnique Institute in New York have requested plant material or seeds.
- d) Water and sediment data will be collected for as many lakes as possible (based on logistical and budgetary constraints) for the following analyses:
 - · open water alkalinity using a field test kit, and
 - sediment penetrability measured in the field.

Tasks for the 1996 field season are described in the following five sections. The tasks are in general order of priority. However, the actual field visits will combine items from different categories in these lists based on geographical proximity of the waterbodies.

Task 1 - Revisit Selected Lakes with Invasive Plants

Return to the following waterbodies where invasive plant populations were discovered during previous surveys. Activities on each waterbody from previous field seasons are discussed in other reports (Parsons, 1995b; Parsons, 1996).

- Wapato Lake, Chelan County (Myriophyllum spicatum Eurasian water-milfoil). Locate and assess this year's growth of M. spicatum. If mechanical control methods are still a feasible option then proceed with either hand pulling the plants or covering them with bottom barrier.
- <u>Leland Lake, Jefferson County (Egeria densa Brazilian elodea)</u>. Record the plant community boundaries with a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. Contact the lake association members to discuss the current extent of the plant's spread. (The community is currently assessing control method options.)
- Spencer Lake, Mason County (*Lythrum salicaria* purple loosestrife). Hand pull any live plants from this small patch. Determine if the Department of Fish and Wildlife has included this site in their herbicide spraying program.
- <u>Chehalis River, Lewis, and Thurston Counties (Myriophyllum aquaticum parrot feather)</u>. Survey part of the river with personnel from the Lewis and Thurston County Noxious Weed Control boards. Compare the distribution to that of last year.

- <u>Pipe/Lucerne Lakes, King County (Hydrilla verticillata hydrilla)</u>. Observe this year's plant growth, collect specimens for the herbarium collection, assist with mapping plant locations if necessary. Also, resurvey Sawyer Lake and Meridian Lake to check for presence of hydrilla (divers surveyed these lakes last year).
- <u>Black Lake, Pacific County (E. densa)</u>. Revisit this lake to compare the plant population to levels of 1994.
- Whitestone Lake, Okanogan County (M. spicatum). Several scattered patches of Eurasian watermilfoil were discovered in 1994. During 1995 the patches were more widely distributed. Check the level of population expansion this year.
- <u>Clear Lake, Pierce County (M. spicatum)</u>. Remnant patches of Eurasian watermilfoil were left after a whole lake herbicide application. Check for spread of these patches.
- Goodwin Lake, Snohomish County (M. spicatum). Revisit the lake to compare sizes of three Eurasian watermilfoil patches to observations from 1995.

Task 2 - Revisit Other Selected Lakes

Revisit lakes that appear to have a high likelihood of non-native plant invasion. These lakes are located near lakes with known populations of non-native invasive plants, and receive moderate to high recreational use. They were surveyed during previous years. However, they should be rechecked periodically to detect new populations of invasive plants at an early stage.

Spectacle Lake, Okanogan County Davis Lake, Pend Oreille County Campbell Lake, Skagit County Heart Lake, Skagit County Diamond Lake, Pend Oreille County Tanwax Lake, Pierce County Crocker Lake, Jefferson County Conconully Lake, Okanogan County

Task 3 - Surveys of New Lakes

Conduct field surveys in selected lakes that were not surveyed during previous years. Concentrate on areas of high public use and locations close to known infestations of exotic invasive plants. Also, concentrate on lakes in areas designated for data collection under Ecology's five year basin rotation system. (This year this includes Island/Snohomish, Okanogan, Crab Creek, Esquatzel, and South Puget Sound Water Quality Management Areas (WQMA)). General areas of focus and candidate waterbodies are listed below.

• Survey lakes in Clallam and Grays Harbor Counties. This part of the state has not been included in previous year's studies.

Lake Pleasant Ozette Lake Beaver Lake Crescent Lake Lake Sutherland Lake Aberdeen Quinault Lake Lake Sylvia

• Survey lakes on the Kitsap Peninsula that have not been surveyed yet to look for spread of *E. densa* and *M. spicatum* from Long Lake to nearby waterbodies. Also, the information will be useful for citizens working to organize a county lake program.

Island Lake Horseshoe Lake Tiger Lake Mission Lake

- Survey Reservoirs along the Snake River to check if *Myriophyllum spicatum* is moving up this river system from the Columbia River via recreational boaters.
- Survey lakes in Whatcom County to check for spread of *Myriophyllum spicatum* from Lake Whatcom:

Wiser Lake Terrell Lake

- Check sloughs along the lower Columbia River for the spread of *M. aquaticum*. This plant has been in the Skamokawa area since 1984.
- Survey lakes in northern Pend Oreille and Stevens Counties to check for *M. spicatum* spreading from the Pend Oreille and Columbia Rivers:

Sullivan Lake Browns Lake Mill Pond Cedar Lake

• Verify suspected milfoil sightings not investigated last year. Potential sites include:

Shoecraft Lake, Snohomish County Banks Lake, Grant County Spirit Lake, Skamania County Domke Lake, Chelan County Stevens Lake, Snohomish County Moses Lake, Grant County Winchester Wasteway, Grant County

Conduct surveys at lakes from the WQMA's scheduled for data collection during 1996. The following lakes are targeted, based on their proximity to waterbodies with exotic invasive species and recreational use.

Island/Snohomish WQMA

Goss Lake Deer Lake Lone Lake Crockett Lake

South Puget Sound WQMA

Silver Lake Harts Lake
Rapjohn Lake Spanaway Lake

Crab Creek WQMA

Sun Lakes area, to augment water quality data to be collected this year. Include:

Dry Falls Lake
Blue Lake
Alkali Lake
Lenore Lake
Deep Lake

Okanogan WQMA

Sidley Lake Bonaparte Lake Leader Lake Omak Lake

Crawfish Lake

Other lakes or regions will be added if requested or as time allows, or some may be deleted if higher priorities are identified.

Task 4 - Confirm Rare Plant Sightings

Return to lakes where listed rare plant populations need to be confirmed. Last summer the plants in question did not flower, so identification to species was not possible. This includes:

Isabella Lake, Mason County
Elbow Lake, Thurston County
Mason Lake, Mason County

Task 5 - Conduct In-Depth Community Analyses

Continue the more in-depth plant community analysis on Evergreen and Quincy Lakes (Grant County). During the 1995 field season data were gathered to create plant community maps (see Parsons, 1996). These transects will be revisited to look for changes in plant community boundaries on a year to year basis. Also, sediment samples will be collected for percent organic matter and density analysis to augment the data collected last year. Additional lakes may be added to this mapping project if time allows.

Schedule

The attached calendar contains a proposed schedule (Figure 1). All dates are tentative, subject to change based on weather, level of plant maturity, and the addition of other projects.

AUGUST

SEPTEMBE

OCTOB

Schedule. Figure 1.

Budget

Cost estimates are as follows:

Lodging and per diem - approx. 40 days, average \$55/day	\$2,200
Travel - approx. 6,500 miles at average \$0.11/mile	\$ 750
Laboratory	
DNA analysis (estimated)	\$1,500
water - alkalinity	
\$150 for a kit to process 100 samples	
send 10 duplicate samples to the lab at \$20/sample	\$ 350
sediment - organic matter and density	
approx. 20 samples at \$31/sample	\$ 620

Contacts

Jenifer Parsons

Washington State Department of Ecology

Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services

Ambient Monitoring Section

(360) 407-6679

For additional copies of this publication, please contact Ecology's Publications Distribution Office at (360) 407-7472 and refer to publication number 96 328.

The Department of Ecology is an equal opportunity agency and does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, color, disability, age, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, disabled veteran's status, Vietnam Era veteran's status, or sexual orientation.

If you have special accommodation needs or require this document in alternative format, please contact Kim Douglas at (360) 407-6677 (voice) or (360) 407-6006 (TDD).

References

- Parsons, J., 1995a. <u>Aquatic Plant Technical Assistance Implementation Plan 1995 Field Season</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA, Publication No. 95-334.
- Parsons, J., 1995b. <u>Aquatic Plant Technical Assistance 1994 Activity Report</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA, Publication No. 95-331.
- Parsons, J., 1996. <u>Aquatic Plant Technical Assistance Program 1995 Activity Report.</u>
 Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA in press.