

# Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 and Amended Final Intended Use Plan FY 2009

Replaces Revised Draft Intended Use Plan dated April 20, 2009 (Publication 09-10-015)

June 11, 2009 Publication no. 09-10-048

### **Publication and Contact Information**

This report is available on the Department of Ecology's Web site at www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0910048.html

For more information contact:

Water Quality Program P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Phone: 360-407-6502

Washington State Department of Ecology - http://www.ecy.wa.gov

0	Headquarters, Olympia	360-407-6000
0	Northwest Regional Office, Bellevue	425-649-7000
0	Southwest Regional Office, Olympia	360-407-6300
0	Central Regional Office, Yakima	509-575-2490
0	Eastern Regional Office, Spokane	509-329-3400

To ask about the availability of this document in a format for the visually impaired, call the Water Quality Program at 360-407-6502. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

# Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund

### Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 and Amended Final Intended Use Plan FY 2009

Water Quality Program Washington State Department of Ecology Olympia, Washington 98504-7600 This page is purposely left blank

### **Table of Contents**

Page

Introduction	1
Authority to Administer the Base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund	2
Mission and Goals	2
Sources and Uses of Funds and Demand	4
Criteria and Methods for Distribution of Funds (Application Cycle)	6
Uses and Terms of Loans	9
Assurances and Certifications	13
Criteria and Method for Distribution of Funds	14
Distribution of Funds	16
Allocation of Funds among Projects	17
Descriptions of Projects Proposed for Funding	17
Proposed Base Revolving Fund Payment Schedule	17
Response to Comments	17
Water Quality Performance Measures	29
Growth Management Act Compliance	29

### Attachments

1.	<b>List of Projects</b>	<b>Considered</b> and	<b>Proposed</b> for	or Funding

- 2. Project Summaries
- 3. Proposed Schedule of Payments from EPA to the State of Washington
- 4. Estimated Schedule of Binding Commitments

This page is purposely left blank

### Introduction

This Final Intended Use Plan FY 2010 (Final IUP) replaces the Revised Draft Intended Use Plan, issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) on April 20, 2009. It is also an amendment to the Final Intended Use Plan FY 2009 (Publication No. 08-10-034). An amendment to the Final IUP FY 2009 is needed because Ecology intends to sign funding agreements (binding commitments) for the State Fiscal Year 2010 funding cycle before the actual start of the state fiscal year, which is July 1, 2009.

This Final IUP describes how the state of Washington will use monies available to the Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund (Revolving Fund), including funds appropriated by Congress under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act), for the state fiscal year (SFY) 2010 funding cycle.

Enacted on February 17, 2009, due to a national economic crisis, the Recovery Act is intended to stabilize the economy through job creation, provide investments needed to increase economic efficiency by spurring technological advances in science and health, and invest in infrastructure that will provide long-term economic benefits.

A discussion of how Ecology will administer Revolving Funds and Recovery Act Funds is included in this Final IUP. In an effort to draw a clear distinction between the existing Revolving Fund program and new Recovery Act Funds, the existing Revolving Fund program is referenced as the base Revolving Fund program and the Recovery Act Fund is referenced as Recovery Fund.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has two capitalization grants available for Washington State. One capitalization grant is for the Recovery Fund for federal fiscal year (FFY) 2009, totaling \$68,151,900. The other capitalization grant is for the base Revolving Fund for FFY 2009, totaling \$11,833,668. Ecology applied to the EPA for the Recovery Fund capitalization grant on May 4, 2009. Based on direction from the EPA, Ecology will apply for the Revolving Fund FFY 2009 capitalization grant during June 2009.

The mechanism used to administer the Recovery Fund is the same as the base Revolving Fund. Recovery Funds carry the same federal requirements as the base Revolving Fund plus a few more, including a Davis-Bacon wage requirement, a buy-American clause, and a reporting requirement to track job creation resulting from funded projects.

To best meet the intent of the Recovery Act, and in coordination with the EPA, Ecology decided to reopen the SFY 2010 application cycle, opening February 18, 2009, and closing March 13, 2009. Ecology received an additional 119 applications to add to the Draft IUP, bringing the total number of funding requests for the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund to 147 combined.

One noteworthy difference between the first and second phases of the application cycle is the duration of the public comment and review periods. The public comment and review period for the first phase of the application cycle was 30 days. Thirty days is the standard timeframe for the base Revolving Fund program, but not required by the Clean Water Act.

To meet the objective of the Recovery Act to expedite implementation of the Recovery Fund, the public comment period for the second phase of the application cycle was shortened to 15 days. The decision to shorten the public comment and review period was made in consultation with the Washington State

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 1 of 34 Attorney General's Office. Ecology adopted an emergency rule on April 15, 2009, allowing flexibility in the application and award process to meet the intent and provisions of the Recovery Act.

# Authority to administer the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund

The following provides Ecology the mechanism to administer the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund:

- Title VI of the Clean Water Act
- The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

The following provides the authority for Ecology to administer the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund:

• Chapter 90.50A RCW Water Pollution Control Facilities – Federal Capitalization Grants

The following provides the framework and criteria for Ecology to administer the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund:

- Chapter 173-98 WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund
- Chapter 173-95A WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Centennial Clean Water Program
- Chapter 70.146 RCW, Water Pollution Control Facilities Financing
- Program and agency policy and guidelines

### **Mission and goals**

Ecology's mission is to protect, preserve, and enhance Washington's environment, and promote the wise management of our air, land and water.

To achieve this mission, the goals of the Water Quality Program are to prevent and clean up water pollution and to help communities make sustainable choices that reduce and prevent water quality problems.

The program also aims to provide water quality partners with technical and financial assistance and to produce useful water quality information for the public and our partners.

Ecology recognizes that the goal of the Recovery Act is to fund eligible projects that create jobs, promote economic recovery, and generate long-term benefits from infrastructure investment. In the Recovery Act, states are called upon to accomplish goals that may not have been priorities in base Revolving Fund programs. Some priorities and activities that may not practically be attainable within the timeframes associated with the Recovery Fund may be pursued using funds available through the base Revolving Fund.

Ecology is committed to using the Recovery Fund capitalization grant to provide financial assistance to eligible water quality projects that will proceed quickly to construction, create jobs, and further the water quality objectives of the Clean Water Act.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 2 of 34 The Recovery Act requires that recipients of Recovery Funds be under a construction contract or under construction by February 16, 2010. To ensure this deadline is met, Ecology's goal is to negotiate and sign loan agreements (binding commitments) with potential Recovery Fund recipients by November 1, 2009.

#### A. Short-term goals

- 1. Continue to work with Ecology's Water Quality Financial Assistance Advisory Council for critical input on the development of environmental benefits, performance measures, hardship criteria, rulemaking, and credit worthiness criteria.
- 2. Continue to maximize limited state and federal grant and loan programs by integrating the Revolving Fund with the Centennial Clean Water Program (Centennial), the federal Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Program (Section 319), and other state programs managed by Ecology, such as the Stormwater Grant Program.
- 3. Ensure the base Revolving Fund is sustainable for future projects.
- 4. Use the Recovery Fund for principal forgiveness loans for hardship construction projects and Green Project Reserves.
- 5. Strive to use fifty percent of the Recovery Fund for water quality projects before June 17, 2009. This date reflects the goal in the Recovery Act of 120 days after the enactment of the Recovery Act.
- 6. Provide technical assistance and program education to potential loan recipients.
- 7. Continue working with the EPA to implement the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund in developing the SFY 2011 IUP and capitalization grant agreements.
- 8. Participate in an EPA-sponsored project for measuring environmental benefits Core Measurements for Projects.
- 9. Assist the Governor's office and the EPA with Recovery Fund reporting requirements.
- 10. Work with the Puget Sound Partnership to ensure that project proposals identified for funding advance the goals of the Governor's Puget Sound Initiative.
- 11. Work with clients, stakeholders, and other federal and state agencies to develop an approach to manage the new federal requirements, such as Davis-Bacon and the buy-American clause.

#### B. Long-term goals

- 1. Integrate, to the greatest extent possible, the base Revolving Fund with the Centennial and Section 319 funds and other state programs to maximize limited state and federal grant and loan funds.
- 2. Provide financial assistance to communities to help them achieve compliance with state and federal water pollution control requirements; implement nonpoint source pollution control programs; and develop and implement estuary conservation and management programs.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 3 of 34

- 3. Provide technical assistance to communities to help them comply with federal and state environmental requirements.
- 4. Protect public health and water quality to achieve overall improvement and protection of the environment.
- 5. Encourage public bodies to develop and implement projects that will prevent water quality degradation, including wetland protection projects.
- 6. Offer financial assistance to communities with financial barriers that have a negative impact on their ability to meet required public health and water quality standards.
- 7. Provide the type and amount of financial assistance most advantageous to communities, while maintaining the revolving nature, financial integrity, and long-term health of the base Revolving Fund.
- 8. Work with the Puget Sound Partnership to ensure that project proposals identified for funding advance the long-term goals of the Governor's Puget Sound Initiative.
- 9. Monitor Recovery Fund projects to ensure that progress is consistent with the intent of the Recovery Act.

### Sources and uses of funds and demand

To distribute base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds to public bodies, Ecology must obtain appropriation authority from the Washington State Legislature through the state 2009-11 Biennial Capital Budget. The Washington State Legislature passed a final budget and the Governor approved it on May 15, 2009.

If, for any reason, the actual capitalized grant amount awarded to Ecology by the EPA for the base Revolving Fund is greater than the estimated capitalized grant amount used in this Final IUP, Ecology will offer the additional funds to eligible projects on the Final IUP in descending, ranked order.

If, for any reason, the actual capitalized grant amount awarded to Ecology by the EPA for the base Revolving Fund is less than the estimated capitalized grant amount used in this Final IUP, fewer projects and/or less funding may be offered than anticipated.

If, for any reason, the actual capitalized grant amount awarded to Ecology by the EPA for Recovery Funds is greater than the estimated capitalized grant amount used in this Final IUP, Ecology will offer the additional funds to eligible projects on the Final IUP in descending, ranked order with the stipulation that the project next in line for funding must be ready to proceed pursuant to the Recovery Act. If a project is not ready to proceed according to the Recovery Act, it will be bypassed, and Ecology will offer funds to the next eligible project ready to proceed pursuant to the Recovery Act.

If, for any reason, the actual capitalized grant amount awarded to Ecology by the EPA for Recovery Funds is less than the estimated capitalized grant amount used in this Final IUP, fewer projects and/or less funding may be offered than anticipated.

Ecology will track the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund separately and report on the final distribution decisions of all funding in its annual report to the EPA.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 4 of 34

### A. Estimated available funding

### 1. <u>Estimated Base Revolving Fund:</u>

The total base Revolving Fund available for projects on this Final IUP is \$58,134,649 as illustrated in the following table:

Anticipated Capitalization Grant from the EPA	\$11,833,668
20-Percent Match to Anticipated Federal Grant	\$2,366,734
Projected Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 09	\$38,172,610
Projected Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 08	(\$33,229,348)
Actual Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 08	\$32,319,198
Interest Earned on Investments for SFY 08	\$1,801,642
Deobligated Funds (unused money from the previous funding cycle)	\$5,343,492
Less 4% for Administration from Anticipated Federal Grant	(\$473,347)
Total Base Revolving Funds Available for Projects	\$58,134,649

#### 2. <u>Estimated Recovery Fund:</u>

The total Recovery Fund available for projects on this Final IUP is \$65,425,824 as illustrated in the following:

Anticipated Capitalization Grant from the EPA	\$68,151,900
20-Percent Match to Anticipated Federal Grant	N/A
Projected Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 09	N/A
Projected Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 08	N/A
Actual Principal and Interest Repayments for SFY 08	N/A
Interest Earned on Investments for FY 08	N/A
Deobligated Funds (unused money from the previous funding cycle)	N/A
Less 4% for Administration	(\$2,726,076)
Total Recovery Funds Available for Projects	\$65,425,824

#### B. Funding categories:

1.	Base Revolving Fund category split:	
	Estimated amount available for projects	\$58,134,649
	Facilities - 80 % of estimated available for projects: Activities - 20% of estimated available for projects:	\$46,507,719 \$11,626,930
2.	Recovery Fund category split:	
	Estimated amount available for projects	\$65,425,824
	Green Project Reserves - 20% of total Recovery Funds: Hardship Construction - 50% of total Recovery Funds:: Non hardship construction up to	\$13,630,380 \$34,075,950 \$17,719,494

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 5 of 34

#### C. Demand for funds

The FY 2010 application cycle consisted of two phases. During phase one, September 1 – October 31, 2008, Ecology accepted project proposals for its three major funding programs: Centennial, Section 319, and the base Revolving Fund. Phase two of the FY 2010 application cycle was February 18 – March 13, 2009. During phase two, Ecology accepted project proposals for the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund. The request for project funding far exceeded the available funds.

1. <u>Base Revolving Fund:</u>

In the first phase of the application cycle, September 1- October 31, 2009, the demand for base Revolving Funds exceeded the funds available. Ecology received 27 eligible applications and one prior commitment from public bodies requesting over \$249 million.

2. <u>Recovery Fund:</u>

In the second phase of the SFY 2010 application cycle, February 18 - March 13, 2009, demand for Recovery Funds exceeded the funds available. Ecology received 119 applications from public bodies requesting \$445,558,359. Some of those requests were not eligible for funding and are not included in this IUP.

# Criteria and methods for distribution of funds (application cycle)

### A. Project list

The list of projects proposed for funding in this Final IUP will help improve and protect Washington State's surface and ground water by implementing actions identified in Washington's Water Quality Management Plan to Control Nonpoint Sources of Pollution, Appendix A, the Puget Sound Conservation and Recovery Plan, and the Lower Columbia River Estuary Management Plan. Activities include:

- 1. Addressing 303(d)-listed waters and impacted beneficial uses.
- 2. Correcting combined sewer overflows.
- 3. Assisting Ecology with development and implementation of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) to receiving waters.
- 4. Upgrading existing wastewater treatment facilities to meet National Permit Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements.
- 5. Constructing new wastewater treatment facilities to protect water quality.
- 6. Meeting the intent of the Recovery Act.

Ecology conducted a comprehensive outreach campaign to alert potential borrowers of available Recovery Funds and the reopening of the SFY 2010 funding cycle.

On February 13, 2009, notices were sent to the Water Quality mailing list (over 2,200 local contacts). Emails were distributed, staff briefed financial assistance advisory councils, conducted one application workshop outreach at a centralized location, attended statewide council and workgroup meetings, and

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 6 of 34 maintained the agency's Web site to include updated, comprehensive information about economic recovery efforts as it became available. The Web site can be found at:

### http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/funding.html

For the first phase of the application cycle, Ecology conducted a comprehensive outreach campaign that included mailing notifications to over 2,200 contacts and email notifications to announce four application workshops held across the state. Staff conducted outreach at several statewide conferences, meetings, technical workgroups, and information-sharing sessions whenever possible.

The state's efforts to reach out to communities with high priority water quality projects resulted in over \$700 million in project proposals for the base Revolving Fund and Recovery Funds combined.

The attached project list includes projects that are offered funding, totaling \$123,560,473 using base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds. All project proposals included in this Final IUP have been evaluated through the base Revolving Fund prioritization process.

#### **B.** Additional subsidization

The Recovery Act requires that not less than 50 percent of assistance is provided is in the form of additional subsidies, which could include principal-forgiveness loans. It is the opinion of the Washington State Attorney General's Office that Ecology currently has the authority to make principal forgiveness loans pursuant to Chapter 90.50A RCW, "Water Pollution Control Facilities-Federal Capitalization Grants." In addition, language in the Capital Budget allows Ecology to provide principal forgiveness. Ecology is proposing principal forgiveness loans to hardship communities and for Green Project Reserves, in an amount that would meet or exceed the 50 percent Recovery Act requirement. Ecology expects to provide at least 60 percent of the Recovery Fund in additional subsidies.

#### C. Green Project Reserves

The Recovery Act requires that, to the extent there are sufficient eligible project applications, not less than 20 percent of the Recovery Funds provided for projects must be used for Green Project Reserves, which include stormwater green infrastructure, water efficiency, energy efficiency, and other environmentally innovative activities.

The request for Green Project Reserves far exceeded the 20 percent requirement. The following table shows the breakdown by category:

Green Project Reserves Category	Total number of eligible proposals: Phase one of application cycle	Total number of eligible proposals: Phase two of application cycle	Total eligible loan amount requested	Total Recovery Funds offered
Water Efficiency	0	9	\$39,504,087	\$2,763,000
Energy Efficiency	0	14	\$26,712,486	\$2,443,852
Green Infrastructure	5	31	\$50,911,445	\$6,377,028
Environmentally Innovative	1	11	\$14,296,175	\$2,046,500
Totals	6	65	\$131,424,193	\$13,630,380

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 7 of 34 The attached project list shows that at least 20 percent of the total Recovery Fund is for projects or portions of projects meeting one or more of the specific objectives required by the Green Project Reserve provision. Although demand far exceeds the minimum 20 percent Green Project Reserve requirement, if there is a problem meeting this requirement during this funding cycle, Ecology will open a special application opportunity to solicit new project proposals for Green Project Reserves within180 days after the Recovery Act was enacted, which was on February 17, 2009.

#### D. Preference for expeditious activities and bypass authority

The Recovery Act requires that priority be given to projects that will be ready to proceed to construction within 12 months of the date of enactment. To meet this requirement, Ecology adopted an emergency rule to address bypass authority and readiness to proceed. An excerpt of the rule follows. The full version of Chapter 173-98 WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund is available at: <u>http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-98-600</u>

Ecology's emergency rule that address Ecology's bypass authority follows:

WAC 173-98-980, Implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009:

#### (3) Bypass authority.

(a) The department will use the water quality based rating criteria that it uses for all revolving fund projects to rate and rank eligible projects, and develop a final offer and applicant list and the intended use plan (IUP). Please refer to Part 2 "How to apply for funding" of this chapter for an overview of the funding cycle process.

(b) The recovery act requires that the department give preference to projects that can be started and completed quickly.

(c) The department, in consultation with the EPA, may bypass higher priority projects in selecting projects for funding if necessary to meet the intent of the recovery act.

(4) Readiness to proceed and starting a project.

(a) In consultation with the EPA, the department may bypass projects on the draft and final funding list and IUP to give first preference to projects that can be initiated or are ready to proceed to construction by June 17, 2009.

(b) In consultation with the EPA, the department may bypass projects on the draft and final funding list and IUP to give second preference to projects that are initiated, under contract for construction, or under construction (if a construction project) by February 16, 2010. To ensure that funding agreements are fully executed by February 16, 2010, the department will review the status of projects no later than November 17, 2009, to determine if the readiness-to-proceed milestones that are included in the funding application, funding agreement, or other related documents that were used by the department in determining readiness to proceed are met. If reasonable progress is not made and the department determines that a project will likely not result in a fully executed funding agreement and be under a contract for construction, or under construction (if a construction project) by February 16, 2010, the department may terminate the funding offer or financial assistance agreement and fund the next eligible project on the list ready to proceed to construction.

#### E. Avoidance of re-allotment of Recovery Funds

In order to meet the requirements of the Recovery Act for the timely use of funds, Ecology will regularly review data and progress report submittals of funded projects to identify timeline issues. Ecology intends to work with the EPA to resolve any issues that arise from this review.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 8 of 34 Ecology will include special conditions in its funding agreements (binding commitments) to ensure that recipients make adequate progress toward meeting the intent of the Recovery Act.

Ecology adopted emergency rules to provide the framework on how Ecology will meet this requirement. Please see WAC 173-98-980(4), which were referenced in the previous section of this Final IUP under "Preference for Expeditious Activities and Bypass Authority."

Ecology understands that the EPA may re-allot capitalization grant funds from states that fail to meet requirements on use of Recovery Funds.

If Washington State is eligible to receive additional funds made available by a re-allotment of Recovery Funds, Ecology will provide the EPA with a list of projects from its IUP that are immediately ready to proceed to construction.

### Uses and terms of loans

### A. Uses

To implement provisions in the Recovery Act, Ecology amended Chapter 173-98 WAC, "Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund," through the emergency rulemaking process. The rule was adopted on April 15, 2009. Details of the uses of base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds are contained in the emergency rule.

More information on the use of base Revolving Funds can be found in program guidelines (revised August 2008), and the <u>Operating Agreement</u> (dated July 1, 2008.)

- 1. Base Revolving Funds can be used to support projects in two funding categories:
  - Water pollution control facilities.
  - Nonpoint source pollution control and comprehensive estuary conservation and management.
- 2. <u>Recovery Funds can be used to support projects in three funding categories:</u>
  - Hardship water pollution control facilities construction.
  - Green Project Reserves, including stormwater green infrastructure, water and energy efficiencies, and innovative green projects.
  - Non hardship facilities construction projects.
- 3. <u>Base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds can be used for the following purposes:</u>

Base Revolving Funds	Recovery Funds	
a. To make loans below market interest rates	<ul> <li>To make loans below market interest rates</li></ul>	
to applicants in order to finance the	to applicants in order to finance the	
planning, design, implementation,	construction of facilities and Green Project	
development, and construction of facilities	Reserves as defined by Chapter 173-98-	
and activities.	980 WAC.	

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 9 of 34

Base Revolving Funds		Recovery Funds		
b.	To buy or refinance debt obligations for construction of water pollution control facilities incurred after March 7, 1985.	<ul> <li>b. To refinance debt obligations or pay for construction costs of water pollution control facilities that were incurred on or after October 1, 2008.</li> </ul>		
c.	To finance reasonable costs incurred by Ecology in administering the Revolving Fund program.	c. To finance reasonable costs incurred by Ecology in administering the Recovery Fund program.		
d.	To provide security or a source of revenue for Revolving Fund-issued bonds.	d. To provide additional loan subsidies in the form of principal forgiveness		
e.	To guarantee or purchase insurance for local obligations to improve credit rating.			

#### B. Terms

1. <u>Interest Rates</u>: Ecology bases interest rates for non hardship base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund projects on the average market interest rate for tax exempt municipal bonds (as published in the *Bond Buyer's Index*). Pursuant to Chapter 173-98 WAC, "Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund," Ecology calculated the average market rate before the opening of the first application phase of the funding cycle. The interest rate was calculated based on the daily market interest rate for the period from sixty days before the start of the application cycle to thirty days before the start of the application cycle. The rates are determined based on repayment time. The rates are based on a percent of the market rate for tax-exempt municipal bonds.

For SFY 2010, the following interest rate and repayment terms are offered to applicants:

Repayment Period	Interest Rate	
Up to five years:	1.5%	
Over five years but no more than 20 years:	2.9%	

- 2. <u>Recovery Fund principal forgiveness and interest rates for Green Projects Reserves:</u> Ecology is proposing principal forgiveness loans for eligible project costs that meet the criteria of Recovery Fund Green Project Reserves where 50 percent of the loan principal may be forgiven. That portion of the loan principal not forgiven will carry the same interest rate as non-hardship base Revolving Fund loans.
- 3. <u>Hardship interest rates</u>: Base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund applicants may be considered for financial hardship terms for the existing residential population at the time of application if their proposed projects have a service area population of 25,000 or below and would cause user charges to be two percent or higher than the median household income. Table 1 is used to determine the financial hardship interest rates for hardship construction loans. If Ecology determines that financial hardship exists, it may structure base Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund loan agreements with terms that reduce the financial impact to the rate payer. These terms could include a combination of lengthening the repayment period to 20 years and reducing the interest rate.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 10 of 34 4. <u>Recovery Fund - principal forgiveness for hardship construction projects:</u> The same method for determining hardship for interest rates is used for determining the amount of principal forgiveness allowed for hardship construction projects funded with Recovery Funds. However, the results of the hardship calculation are applied to a different continuum used specifically for Recovery Fund principal forgiveness as seen below in Table 2. Note the differences between Tables 1 and 2, especially the last two columns:

Sewer User Fee divided by MHI	Below 2.0%	2.0% and above, but Below 3.0%	3.0% and above, but below 5.0%	5.0% and above
Hardship Designation	Non-Hardship Low sewer user rates in relation to MHI (Not funded with grant dollars)	Mode <sub>r</sub> ate Hardship	Elevated Hardship	Severe Hardship Very high sewer user rates in relation to median household income(MHI)
Loan hardship- Funding Continuum	Loan at 60% of market rate 2.9% interest rate	Loan at 40% of market rate 1.9% interest rate	Loan at 20% of market rate 1% interest rate	Loan at 0% interest

### Table 1 - Hardship Construction Loan Interest Rate Continuum:

#### Table 2 - Principal Forgiveness Loan Continuum:

Sewer User Fee divided by MHI	Below 2.0%	2.0% and above, but Below 3.0%	3.0% and above, but below 4.0%	4.0% and above
Hardship Designation	Non-Hardship	Moderate Hardship	Elevated Hardship	Severe Hardship Very high sewer user rates in relation to median household income(MHI)
Principal	Not eligible for	50 percent principal	75 percent	100 percent principal
Forgiveness	principal	forgiveness loan	principal	forgiveness loan
Loan hardship-	forgiveness loan	(ceiling amounts	forgiveness loan	(ceiling amounts apply)
Funding	(ceiling amounts	apply)	(ceiling amounts	
Continuum	apply)		apply)	

**Table 3 – Proposed hardship projects:** List of proposed Financial Hardship Projects for base Revolving

 Funds and Recovery Funds

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title	Revolving Fund Loan Proposed	Recovery Funding Standard Loan Proposed	Recovery Fund Forgivable Principle Proposed	Interest Rate	Term In Years
FP10013	Airway Heights, City of	Water Reclamation and Recharge Project	\$166,707	\$8,859,747	\$14,114,871	0.6%	20
SA10030	Bremerton Public Works and Utilities	Gorst Sewerage Project	\$568,350	\$520,987	\$3,620,213	1.4%	20
SA10031	Bremerton, City of	Gorst Septic System Replacement Project	\$110,000	\$0	\$1,283,000	2.9%	20
SA10054	Raymond, City of and City of South Bend	Willapa Regional Wastewater Facilities	\$1,618,500	\$0	\$2,531,500	1.1%	20
FP10047	Wilbur, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,876,901	\$0	\$0	1.4%	20
FP10063	Sequim, City of	Water Reclamation Facility Upgrade & Expansion	\$5,540,0000	\$0	\$0	2.1%	20
FP10001	Uniontown, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$435,100	\$0	\$2,305,600	1.1%	20
SA10068	Rock Island, City of	Rock Island Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,821,595	\$0	\$3,420,405	1.8%	20
FP10027	Kittitas County Water District #6	Vantage Sewer Lift Station Replacement	\$357,044	\$0	\$0	2.5%	20
SA10118	Cowlitz County	Ryderwood Infiltration and Inflow Removal	\$0	\$741,250	\$2,223,750	1%	20

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 12 of 34

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title	Revolving Fund Loan Proposed	Recovery Funding Standard Loan Proposed	Recovery Fund Forgivable Principle Proposed	Interest Rate	Term In Years
SA10037	Kittitas, City of	WWTF Improvements Project	\$0	\$482,453	\$259,782	2.2%	20
SA10119	Mason County	Belfair Wastewater and Water Reclamation Facilities	\$0	\$1,575,057	\$4,316,829	2.8%	20
Totals:           Grand Total:         \$108,609,641			\$62,354,197	\$12,179,494	\$34,075,950		

Interest rates shown on Table 3 above are a result of blending the hardship continuum interest rate for the existing residential portion of the project with the interest rate applied to the growth portion of the project. One exception is the city of Bremerton (SA10031). The interest rate shown is for the design portion of the project. Ecology does not provide hardship assistance for facilities design.

The growth portion of a project is not eligible for the reduced hardship interest rates.

For example, if the total project cost for facilities construction is \$1,011,500 and the applicant demonstrates \$890,120 moderate hardship for existing residential need and \$121,380 for growth, the weighted interest rate would be 1.2 percent. That interest rate is based on the following calculation:

Weighted interest rate = ((\$890,120)(1%) + (\$121,380)(2.9%)) /\$1,011,500 = 1.2%

### **Assurances and certifications**

The necessary assurances and certifications required by Title VI of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Water Quality Act of 1987, and the EPA have been included in the "Operating Agreement" between the state of Washington and the EPA. The following excerpt refers to the base Revolving Fund program.

There is no match requirement for Recovery Funds.

Page five of the "Operating Agreement" states, "The Washington State Treasurer, as directed by legislative appropriation, will transfer into the Fund a matching capital contribution of either cash or a LOC, which equals 20 percent of each Federal grant payment [base Revolving Fund] on or before the date which Washington State receives the federal grant payment. When Washington State uses a LOC to effect its matching capital contribution, it shall deposit cash from that LOC equal to 20 percent of each cash draw from a capitalization grant payment into the Fund's account on or before the date of the cash draw."

A certification process has been established by the Governor's Office to ensure that Ecology meets the project certification requirement intent of section 1607(a)(2) of the Recovery Act,

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 13 of 34

### Criteria and method for distribution of funds

The following approach was used to develop the proposed distribution of base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds to public bodies for high priority water quality projects.

### A. Applications for funding

Due to the enactment of the Recovery Act, Ecology reopened its SFY application cycle to solicit new project proposals to add to the Draft IUP, which was published on January 15, 2009. The Revised Draft IUP was published on April 20, 2009, and replaced the Draft IUP. This Final IUP, published on June 11, 2009, replaces the Revised Draft IUP.

For the first phase of the application cycle, Ecology staff sent stakeholders and clients standard information about the base Revolving Fund program, upcoming workshops, and the application period for base Revolving Fund assistance. During September 2008 Ecology held four public workshops statewide in the following locations: Everett, Lacey, Spokane, and Ellensburg. At the workshops, staff presented information on the Revolving Fund program and the application process.

Before the opening of the second phase of the application cycle, Ecology staff mailed stakeholders and clients announcements through the U.S. Postal Service and sent emails announcing the reopening of the application cycle. In addition, Ecology held one public workshop in Ellensburg, Washington. At the workshop, staff presented information on the base Revolving Fund and the Recovery Fund and how Ecology intended to manage the funds to meet the intent of the Clean Water Act and the Recovery Act.

Ecology held one public meeting to discuss the preparation of the Draft IUP for the first phase of the application cycle. The public meeting was held at the following location:

Location:	Pierce County Library Processing & Administrative Center 3005 112th Street East Tacoma, Washington 98446
Date:	Tuesday, February 3, 2009
Time:	Beginning at 10:00 a.m.

Ecology held one public meeting to discuss the preparation of the Revised Draft IUP for the second phase of the application cycle. The public meeting was held at the following location:

Location:	Pierce County Library Processing & Administrative Center 3005 112th Street East Tacoma, Washington 98446
Date:	April 24, 2009
Time:	Beginning at 10:00 a.m.

Based on information provided in the applications received in the first and second phases of the application cycle, projects were evaluated and prioritized.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 14 of 34 For more information about the application and project evaluation process, visit one of the links below:

The FY 2010 application guidance:

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/ecy070327.html

The Revolving Fund emergency rule: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/wac17398/wac17398.html

Fiscal Year List Development Process Web page: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/StimFunding/FedStimFundsSRF.html

#### **B.** Project evaluation

Ecology used a new evaluation process for the SFY 2010 funding cycle. This process was developed with input from Ecology's external group, the Water Quality Program Financial Assistance Council (Council). The Council is comprised of representatives from many stakeholder groups which include grant and loan recipients. In addition, cities, towns, conservation districts, interested parties, and other stakeholders contributed advice and guidance during the development of the new evaluation process.

In the revised system, evaluators assigned points for answers provided by applicants to the following eight questions:

Question	Application Questions	Points
Number		Available
1	Scope of Work	Up to 250
2	Proposed Budget	Up to 150
3	Water Quality and Public Health Improvements	Up to 250
4	State and Federal Requirements	Up to 100
5	Project Team	Up to 50
6	Project Development and Local Support	Up to100
7	Readiness to Proceed	Up to 50
8	Ratepayer Impact	50
	Total Points:	1000

Ecology evaluated the proposals, assigned points, and used the points to develop a statewide priority list in numerical order. At their discretion, other state agency staff involved in water pollution control and public health provided funding recommendations to Ecology evaluators.

Refinance projects for the base Revolving Fund are a lower priority than new projects. If there are any funds remaining after funding new projects, refinance may be considered. The refinance application is a shorter and simpler form with basic questions about the project and the applicant's financial capability to pay for the project with and without refinancing assistance.

To determine the priority for funding refinance projects, applicants are ranked using the same hardship criteria used for other wastewater treatment projects with the most financially-distressed communities receiving highest priority (see Table 1).

The refinance evaluation process was not used for the SFY 2010 funding cycle because no refinance applications were submitted. Refinance projects using Recovery Funds are only eligible for costs that were incurred on or after October 1, 2008.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 15 of 34

## **Distribution of funds**

Pursuant to state rule, base Revolving Funds and Recovery Funds are distributed according to the following categories:

- 1. <u>Base Revolving Fund</u>:
  - Eighty percent of the fund is to be used for water pollution control facilities.
  - Twenty percent of the fund is reserved for nonpoint source pollution control and for comprehensive estuary conservation and management.
  - Unless the demand for funds is limited, not more than 50- percent of each funding category allocation can be awarded to any one applicant.
  - If requests for base Revolving Fund assistance in one category do not result in the offer of all available funds, any remaining funds are transferred to the other category.
  - Base Revolving Fund loans may be provided for up to 100 percent of the total eligible project cost.

Base Revolving Fund loan offers, identified on this Final Intended Use Plan (IUP), will be effective for up to six months from the date of the final offer. All base Revolving Fund loan offers that do not result in a signed loan agreement within the effective offer period will be offered in priority order first to applicants who did not receive all funds requested and if any funds remain after that, then offered in priority order to other applicants on the Final IUP who did not receive funding offers.

- 2. <u>Recovery Fund</u>.
  - Not more than fifty percent of each Recovery Fund category will be available to any one applicant unless there is a limited demand for Recovery Funds.
  - Recovery Fund ceiling amounts include all amounts applied for or awarded under water quality grant programs administered by Ecology.
  - Grants applied for or awarded under Ecology's grant programs may be reduced to ensure that applicants do not receive more funding than the Recovery Fund ceiling amounts allow pursuant to WAC 173-98-980.
  - Further, Recovery Funds applicants cannot receive more Recovery Funding per applicant, in any combination of the Recovery Fund categories, than the maximum amount allowed for the water pollution control facilities category under WAC 173-98-520 (1)(a).
  - The Recovery Funds ceiling amounts provided in this section may be less than the ceiling amounts provided in WAC 173-98-520 (1)(a).
  - If there is a limited demand for Recovery Funds in any of the Recovery Funds categories, the unused Recovery Funds may be shifted to another Recovery Funds category, provided that:
    - Not less than fifty percent of the Recovery Funds are used to provide additional subsidies in the form of principal forgiveness loans; and
    - Not less than twenty percent is used for Green Project Reserves.
  - Ecology may increase the funding ceiling amounts proportionately if necessary to fully utilize the Recovery Funds as set forth in the Recovery Act.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 16 of 34

## Allocation of funds among projects

This Final IUP contains a list of all public bodies with projects that were considered for funding and those that are offered funding for the SFY 2010 funding cycle. This list was established based on the total amount of funds available for each category and after the eligible projects had been evaluated and prioritized. The list of public bodies considered for funding and those with projects offered funding are included in Attachments 1 and 2.

## Descriptions of projects proposed for funding

All projects considered and proposed for funding are described in Attachment 2.

## Proposed base Revolving Fund payment schedule

The proposed schedule of payments from the EPA to the state of Washington is shown on Attachment 3.

### **Response to comments**

Public comments received during both phases of the FY 2010 application cycle, as well as list corrections identified by Ecology, are summarized in this section.

During the public review and comment period for the Original Draft List (phase one), Ecology received six comment letters on the FY 2010 Draft List. For the public comment and review period on the Revised Draft List, Ecology received 16 comment letters. Comments are summarized first and responses follow.

Public comments received on the original Draft List (phase one):

### 1. <u>Airway Heights, City of, Mr. Albert Tripp, City Manager, FP10013/Airway Heights</u> <u>Water Reclamation Project</u>

<u>Comment</u>: In anticipation of state or federal stimulus funding, Mr. Tripp indicated that this project is ready to proceed and get funds working as soon as funds are appropriated.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology acknowledges the City's readiness to proceed status for this project.

### 2. <u>Arlington, City of, Mr. James Kelly, Public Works Director, FP10064/Wastewater</u> <u>Treatment Plant Upgrades and Expansion</u>

<u>Comment</u>: In anticipation of state or federal stimulus funding, Mr. Kelly indicated that this project rated highly and has already gone to bid and is ready to proceed and get funds working as soon as funds are appropriated.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology acknowledges the City's readiness to proceed status for this project.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 17 of 34

### 3. Kittitas Conservation Trust, Mr. David Gerth, Executive Director

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Gerth provided information in support of project FP10019, Cle Elum River Restoration, and also included a letter of support from the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology acknowledges the support for the project and the importance of community support for water quality improvement and protection projects. However, Ecology, as a rule, does not use such information after the application period in relation to the project rating and ranking.

### 4. PC Trask & Associates, Mr. Phil Trask,

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Trask commends Ecology's Water Quality Program on having an effective grant program and helpful and accessible staff.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology appreciates the compliment and acknowledgement of the application, rating, and list development process.

### 5. <u>Robert Hager, Citizen</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Hager provided information in support of project FP10025, North Shore Hood Canal Pollution Identification and Correction Project.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology acknowledges the support for the project and recognizes the importance of public support for water quality improvement and protection projects. However, Ecology as a rule, does not use such information after the application period in relation to the project rating and ranking.

### 6. <u>South Yakima Conservation District, Mr. Dirk Van Slageren, Chair, Board of</u> <u>Supervisors, FP10017/Lower Yakima River Nutrient Reduction</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Van Slageren objected to the rating of the project proposal indicating that the benefits of the project were greater than the project score indicates. He indicated that based on past successful grant proposals, the scoring of this proposal appeared inconsistent. Mr. Van Slageren also concludes that because this project was not funded that "reducing nutrients from agriculture in the lower Yakima Basin is a very low priority for the Department of Ecology".

<u>Response</u>: Ecology understands the frustration resulting when an important project does not get funded. Ecology's uses a systematic statewide competitive application and rating and ranking process that involves two evaluators from two Ecology regions to score each project, with the possibility of a third evaluator if the primary evaluator scores deviate by more than ten percent. The process clearly lays out the scoring criteria for applicants, and Ecology staff is available to assist in providing applicants with guidance and technical support though the process. Ecology also provides the evaluator comments to applicants to provide constructive input on why

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 18 of 34 applications scored as they did, and to provide the applicant with information that can be used for applying during the next funding cycle opportunity.

This project was rated and ranked objectively based on its own merits. Although it did not score well enough to receive funding for the FY 2010 funding cycle, this in no way reflects Ecology's stance on the importance of reducing nutrients in the lower Yakima Basin. Ecology staff is available to meet with the applicant to discuss the project and provide additional input and guidance on improving the project proposal for the next funding cycle.

Public comments received on the Revised Draft List (phase two):

### 1. <u>Airway Heights, City of, Mr. Albert Tripp, City Manager, FP10015/South Central</u> <u>Septic System Elimination Project</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Tripp commented he was disappointed the City's project was not proposed to receive loan or grant funding. Mr. Tripp also stated that if funds become available the City would like to be considered for funding.

<u>Response</u>: Any funds that are deobligated will be reoffered to other applicants on the final list. Funds would generally be offered to applicants in priority order. The City of Airway Heights's project may be considered for funding if funds become available.

### 2. <u>Bremerton, Port of, Mr. Tim Thomson, Interim Chief Executive Officer,</u> <u>SA10095/Kitsap Sustainable Energy & Economic Development (SEED)</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Thomson commented that if any Recovery Act projects are unable to use funds offered to an applicant, the Port is ready to implement its project and can utilize funds that may become available.

<u>Response</u>: Any Recovery Act funds that are deobligated will be reoffered to other applicants on the final list. Funds would generally be offered to applicants in priority order. The Port of Bremerton's project may be considered for funding if funds become available.

### 3. <u>Cathlamet, Town of, The Honorable Richard Swart, Mayor, SA10056/WWTP</u> <u>Relocation Conveyance Infrastructure Project</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mayor Swart commented that the Town Council voted to respectfully decline the proposed Revolving Fund loan. The Council was pleased the project scored well but the Town needs to pursue ways to finance the project where a reasonable cost per customer can be achieved.

<u>Response</u>: Funds proposed for the project have been offered to other applicants of the Final List and IUP.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 19 of 34

### 4. <u>Clark County Public Works, Mr. Ron Wierenga, Clean Water Program Manager,</u> <u>SA10071/Vancouver Lake Nutrient Criteria and Loading Assessment</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Wierenga commented that the County's project was proposed for funding on the Draft List but not on the Revised Draft List. It is his understanding that new applications would not be accepted for Centennial and Section 319 funding during the second application period.

<u>Response</u>: Mr. Wierenga is correct that Ecology did not accept new Centennial and Section 319 applications during the second application period. Ecology only accepted applications for Revolving Fund and Recovery Funds. After the applications were evaluated they were incorporated in ranked order into the draft list and funds were allocated based on priority and funding program eligibility. This included proposed Centennial funding for two new nonpoint activity projects eligible for funding with both Revolving Fund and Recovery Fund, and also eligible for Centennial activity hardship for on-site septic repair and replacement. In this Final List and Final IUP, these projects were no supplemental applications for nonpoint activity projects funded with Centennial. Ecology encourages the County to apply for funding during the upcoming FY 2011 funding cycle.

### 5. <u>Cowlitz County, Mr. Ken Cachelin, Utilities Manager, SA10118/Ryderwood</u> <u>Infiltration and Inflow Removal</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Cachelin commented that the County was pleased to learn that the project is proposed for a Recovery Fund forgivable principal loan and a Centennial Clean Water Program loan. Mr. Cachelin also noted that the County has been offered a \$1 million grant from the Community Development Block Grant Program. Therefore, the County requests that the portion of funding proposed under the Centennial Program be eliminated or reduced.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology conducted a revised financial hardship analysis for the project based the County's information that it anticipates receiving the \$1 million Community Development Block Grant for the project. Based on that analysis the Recovery Fund forgivable principal loan was adjusted from \$2,786,250 to \$2,223,750. The Centennial loan amount was replaced with a Recovery Fund loan and adjusted from \$1,178,750 to \$741,250 with a one percent interest rate and a 20-year term.

### 6. <u>Hoquiam, City of, Mr. Brian Shay, City Administrator, SA10022/Hoquiam Lagoon</u> <u>Modifications and Biosolids Management</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Shay requested a formal written answer as to why the project was ruled ineligible.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology determined the City's project was ineligible to compete for Step 3 (Construction) funding because the project's engineering and environmental prerequisites including Step 1 (Planning) and Step 2 (Design) must first be completed by the City and approved by Ecology demonstrating readiness to proceed. Ecology regional engineering staff did not receive any communication from the City or their consultant regarding the project specifics prior to submittal of applications, so could not help with meeting the prerequisites.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 20 of 34 Ecology staff is available to work with the City to ensure these prerequisites are addressed for the upcoming FY 2011 funding cycle.

### 7. <u>Jefferson County Board of Commissioners, Mr. David Sullivan, Chair, Mr. Phil</u> <u>Johnson, Member, Mr. John Austin, Member, Did not apply for funding/Port Hadlock</u> <u>Wastewater</u>

<u>Comment</u>: The Board commented that its design was not complete and consequently knew they were not eligible to compete for Step 3 (Construction) funding. They were pleased to see that other projects lacking project prerequisites were deemed ineligible and were not evaluated, which reduced administrative expenditures. The County appreciates the FY 2008 grant from the Reclaimed Water Grant Program to help finance Step 2 (Design) of the project. Further, the County intends to apply for funds to complete Step 2 during the FY 2011 application period.

<u>Response</u>: An applicant needs to complete engineering and environmental project prerequisites to be eligible to compete for funding consideration. Ecology agrees that not evaluating and scoring ineligible projects saves valuable staff time that can be used for other program priorities. Ecology looks forward to receiving an application for funding consideration during the FY 2011 funding cycle.

### 8. Katy Isaksen and Associates, Ms. Katy Isaksen, general comment

<u>Comment</u>: Ms. Isaksen thanked Ecology staff for their efforts in developing the complex Revised Draft List with multiple funding sources.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology appreciates feedback from clients, stakeholders, and interested parties. Ecology has made a concerted effort over the last 12 years to integrate water quality grant and loan funds through a single application and award process to maximize limited funds and help address the highest priority statewide needs.

### 9. <u>Richland, City of, Mr. Peter Rogalsky, P.E., Public Works Director, SA0081/WWTP</u> <u>Aeration Basin Energy Improvements</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Rogalsky commented that City staff has several comments and questions regarding the Revised Draft List. Mr. Rogalsky also stated that City staff believes the proposed funding on the Revised Draft List is not consistent with Recovery Act priorities.

a. In the "Green Category" 16 projects were submitted and scored high enough before the final cutoff of funding. It appears that two projects (Pierce County-Septic Repair Grant and Loan and Island County-On Site Sewage Repair Financial Assistance Program) were moved from the original Draft List to the supplemental [Revised] Draft List and into the "Green Category".

Question - Why were financial assistance programs shifted to the "Green Category" funding? These programs do not appear to fit the four stated focus areas for the Green Category.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 21 of 34 Question - Do these projects qualify as shovel-ready and will they result in near term increases in retrofit activities? If these funds simply expand an ongoing program over time it doesn't appear that they will result in an economic stimulating effect.

b. There were another two projects that appeared to move from the original Draft List to the supplement [Revised] Draft List (Whatcom County Water Quality Improvement Loan Program and King County-Venema Natural Drainage System).

Same questions and comments above apply.

c. In the supplemental application, the "Green Category" was added to meet the 20 percent monies set-aside for these types of projects. Four sub categories were identified Infrastructure, Energy Efficiency, Water Efficiency, and Environmentally Innovative Activities. Sixteen projects were submitted in the "Green Category". Of the 16 projects submitted, 12 were activity or facility projects and only two were energy efficiency projects.

Question - Of the 14 projects funded, please explain why none of the projects funded were energy efficiency, water efficiency, or environmentally innovative projects, even though there were two projects submitted that were energy efficiency projects and had sufficient points to be funded.

d. Twelve west side "Green Category" projects were funded for a total cost of \$25,186,368. Two east side projects were funded for a total cost of \$3,267,505.

Question - Does Ecology evaluate the geographic distribution of these program funds? What are the results of that review?

The City believes that its project fully qualifies as a Green Project and more fully addresses the objectives of both the federal act [Recovery Act] and Ecology's water quality goals. It appears that Ecology's selections have not adequately addressed the federal act [Recovery Act] and should be reconsidered.

#### Response:

a. Ecology reviewed all applications that were received during the FY 2010 application period (September 1 - October 31, 2008). Ecology decided not to exclude any projects that would meet the green project reserve test, even if supplemental information was not submitted. This was done to ensure fairness to the original applicants that had categorically qualified green reserve projects or project components. Island County and Whatcom County both submitted supplemental applications; Pierce County's on-site program did not but still rated high enough to receive green funding. Although, since the revised Draft List was published, Pierce County has decided not to accept Recovery Act funds and reverted back to traditional funding as proposed on the original Draft List.

The EPA confirmed that on-site repair clearly fits into the green project reserve program. Under the category of environmentally innovative projects "decentralized wastewater treatment solutions to existing deficient or failing on-site systems" is specifically stated as an

> Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 22 of 34

example of an environmentally innovative project. Although Washington State has supported local loan programs for on-site repair/replacement for a number of years, and it is accepted by the EPA, Ecology could not categorically exclude those applications from the green project list.

Ecology defined shovel ready as projects meeting certain timelines for environmental reviews, permits, and other required federal certifications, and essentially ready to bid. This definition has been accepted by the EPA. We understand the ambiguous nature of what shovel ready means. It may not mean putting a shovel in the dirt in the strict sense, but rather it means projects that are ready to proceed. All the on-site repair projects offered Recovery Act funding are ready to proceed and committed to meeting the federal requirements.

 b. Whatcom County reapplied during the phase two application period and Seattle Public Utilities applied during the phase two application period for the Venema Natural Drainage Systems construction project that was also linked to a grant offer for the Venema Creek Natural Drainage System – Benefits Analysis. Whatcom County is targeted to receive Recovery Act funds for its on-site repair program and Seattle Public Utilities declined loan funding for its construction project and will not be offered Centennial funds for its associated monitoring project.

Ecology received 71 eligible projects that qualified under the Green Project reserve category: six projects were deemed eligible from the FY 2010 application period (phase one) and 65 projects deemed eligible during the supplemental application period (phase two). From those, only seven projects were initially offered green project funds on the revised Draft List based on funds available and project priority. However, based on the Green Reserve funding offer now declined by the City of Vancouver (SA10075) based on meeting the tight federal timelines, the freed up funding is distributed in priority order to additional eligible Green Reserve projects down the priority list.

On the Final List and IUP Ecology is offering Green Reserve funds to nine projects. Three are categorized as environmentally innovative, three are categorized as green infrastructure, two are categorized as energy efficiency, and one is categorized as water efficiency. One of the Green Reserve projects now being offered funding is the City of Richland's/SA10081/WWTP Aeration Basin Energy Improvements.

- c. Of the nine projects now offered Green Reserve funding, seven are on the west side of the state and two are on the east side of the state. In addition, several east side activity projects, eligible under the base Revolving Fund 20 percent nonpoint category, have been offered loan funding.
- d. Based on the Final List and Final IUP, approximately 53 percent of the total grant and loan funds available are being offered to west side applicants and 47 percent of the total grant and loan funds to east side applicants.

### 10. <u>Roslyn, City of, Mr. James Martinez, Acting City Manager, SA10060/Sewer System</u> <u>Improvements</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Martinez commented that the City was pleased with being proposed for a Revolving Fund loan. However, due to financial constraints the City declines the proposed loan.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology recognizes the financial constraints the City is experiencing. Funds proposed for the project have been offered to other applicants on the Final List and IUP.

### 11. <u>Seattle Public Utilities, Ms. Nancy Ahern, Director, Utility Systems Management</u> Branch, SA10051/Venema Natural Drainage System

<u>Comment</u>: Ms. Ahern commented that due to financial constraints, the Utility declines the proposed Revolving Fund loan.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology recognizes the financial constraints the Utility is experiencing. Funds proposed for the project have been offered to other applicants on the Final List and IUP.

### 12. <u>Skykomish, Town of, The Honorable Charlotte Mackner, Mayor, SA10058/Skykomish</u> <u>Wastewater Facilities Project Phase 2A Sewer System</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mayor Mackner commented that the Legislature and the Governor's office intend to provide additional grant funding for the wastewater project. Consequently the Town would no longer be eligible for hardship funding. In addition, the Mayor asked that the proposed Recovery Act funding shown on the Revised Draft List be replaced with a standard Revolving Fund loan of \$750,000

<u>Response</u>: Recovery Act funding shown on the Revised Draft List has been replaced with a \$750,000 Revolving Fund loan with a 2.9 percent interest rate and a 20-year term.

### 13. <u>Snohomish, City of, Mr. Larry Bauman, City Manager, SA10034/Wastewater Force</u> <u>Main and Pump Station to Everett</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Bauman commented that the Financial Hardship Analysis conducted for the Water Quality Program grants is an unsuitable and unfair formula. The formula currently used by the Department of Ecology is flawed in two areas:

1) **Modify the Formula**: The formula uses *median household income (MHI)* to determine whether a community meets financial hardship. This skews the results to benefit small rural areas. This is clearly recognizable in the results of the FY 2010 grant awards. The list of grant winners are the City of Airway Heights, Town of Skykomish, City of Raymond, Town of Wilbur, Town of Uniontown, Town of Cathlamet, the City of Rock Island, and a few others.

The analysis should consider actual rates paid and approved for utility customers instead of merely considering debt ratio. Current grant review does not view the actual rates

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 24 of 34 approved by the utility. The Department may also want to consider calculating the local cost-of-living into the formula. The creation of the program by the Washington State Legislature and the Department of Ecology was developed to address statewide issues, both urban and rural, and both eastern and western. It appears that over half the grant funding went to Eastern Washington, which has a minor portion of the state's population.

We believe the results created by this formula are in contrast to the objectives of the Growth Management Act because it creates inherent inequities for grant-funded projects. It provides our State infrastructure subsidies in rural areas at a disproportionate ratio and penalizes those applicants in higher-cost areas of Western Washington.

I would also point out and question that the relative values of the funding prioritization system may not sufficiently emphasize the importance of funding projects with state and federal requirements. This criterion receives only 100 (10 percent) of the possible 1,000 points, and I believe this weighted value will not adequately prioritize projects for those utilities facing regulatory problems or legal requirements for improvements.

2) Modify the "All-or-Nothing" Funding Approach: The method for allocating the funding is to fund a small list of winners at all or a large percentage of their request. This may be justified to ensure that those few selected projects are moved forward toward construction. But in reality most projects have other sources of funding, whether they are rate payers, other grants and loans, private investments or growth charges. Many do not need such a large share of Ecology funding in order to proceed.

Funding a larger share of the projects would help ensure that a greater portion of projects that benefit our environment and our state are completed. This would provide a greater multiplier for Ecology's program funds and would likely extend the employment benefit into more regions of the state and for more contractors and subcontractors.

#### Response:

a. In the award of water quality grants and loans, Ecology has traditionally recognized the financial impact of the construction costs of water pollution control facilities on the existing residential ratepayer at the time of application. In reviewing and determining financial need, Ecology has used the community's median household income (MHI) as it relates to a percentage of sewer user fees. Ecology also accepts scientific surveys to determine (MHI) if an applicant thinks the service area is different from the census derived figures. Ecology makes every attempt to assist the most financially challenged communities with lower interest rate loans and some limited grant support to help reduce the impact to the residential user.

It has been a challenge for Ecology and other agencies to meet the increasing demands by local governments for limited state and federal grant and loan funds to address the high costs for environmental infrastructure. In addition, Ecology is mandated by the EPA and the federal Clean Water Act to manage its State Revolving Fund in perpetuity. This requires Ecology to set loan rates and terms to ensure the fund is financially viable for the long term, and also meet the financial needs of communities with limited capacity to afford a water

> Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 25 of 34

pollution control project. Ecology is also working with less grant funds appropriated by the Legislature. For the 2009-11 biennium Ecology will only have \$20 million in competitive statewide grant funds for the state to meet both water pollution control infrastructure projects and to address nonpoint source problems.

The current method Ecology uses to determine whether the construction of a water pollution control facility will create a financial burden on ratepayers was updated and revised in rule in July 2007 for the Centennial Clean Water Program (Chapter 173.95A WAC, Uses and Limitations of Centennial Clean Water Funds) and the State Revolving Fund Loan Program (Chapter 173.98 WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund).

The updated method for determining hardship was developed with advice and guidance from Ecology's Water Quality Program Financial Assistance Council (Financial Assistance Council) that is comprised of representatives from cities, towns, counties, sewer districts, state agencies (e.g. Puget Sound Partnership, Department of Health, Conservation Commission), and the US EPA. Other clients and stakeholders also provided advice and guidance in developing the hardship analysis methodology. During our next rule revision, Ecology will evaluate the option suggested of including the local cost-of-living in the hardship analysis and other criteria suggested by other clients and stakeholders.

The goals and objectives of the water quality grant and loan programs are primarily directed at the improvement and protection of water quality statewide and may not directly meet all of the objectives of the Growth Management Act. The current system has not been structured to penalize or reward any specific geographic area of the state but to meet the highest water quality need. The percentage of funds awarded varies from year to year but the majority of funds are traditionally awarded to Westside applicants. During this funding cycle approximately 53 percent of the total grant and loan funds available are being offered to Westside applicants and 47 percent of the total grant and loan funds to Eastside applicants.

While it is true that only 10 percent of the points are directly tied to state and Federal requirements, scores given in many other application questions, such as points for scope of work or water quality benefit, reflect the value of funding projects that also lead to permit compliance. Ecology's grant and loan rating system has evolved over time and uses evaluation criterion established in statute along with other criteria to ensure that the highest priority projects are identified for investing in the environment that will result in water quality improvement and protection outcomes.

The weighting of value for each rating criterion has also changed overtime and Ecology continues to strike the right balance. We appreciate the rating and ranking recommendations and will consider point value adjustments for questions in Part 2 of the funding application in consultation with the Financial Assistance Council prior to the FY 2011 application period.

b. The current ceiling amounts and maximum amounts for any one grant or loan recipient are established in rule. A thorough public participation process was conducted prior to adopting the ceiling limits in rule in July 2007. Funding ceiling limits and maximum amounts

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 26 of 34 available were established in rule to help ensure that Ecology can offer funding to as many projects as possible. In addition, Ecology is also trying to fully support the financial needs of the community's project to encourage timely use of funds and achieve environmental benefits as soon as possible. It is Ecology's experience that many local governments will not proceed unless a large portion of the project is funded. If smaller amounts are awarded, many local governments tend to delay a project until other state or federal funds are obtained. While many projects have access to other sources of funding this is often not the case for projects in small rural communities targeted by Ecology's hardship criteria.

Ensuring that projects representing good investments of state money have complete funding packages helps ensure that the environmental, economic, and infrastructure benefits of these projects are realized as quickly as possible. This is especially true for the FY 2010 funding cycle with the emphasis on quick project starts and job creation introduced by federal stimulus funding.

### 14. <u>Soos Creek Water and Sewer District, Mr. Ron Speer, SA10048/Lift Station II</u> <u>Replacement</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Speer commented that the District appreciates all of the time and effort that was put forth for the FY 2010 funding cycle. Although disappointed that its project was not proposed for funding, the District will consider alternative funding options discussed in its April 3, 2009, letter.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology thanks Mr. Speer for recognizing the effort Ecology staff put forth for the FY 2010 funding cycle. Ecology encourages the District to apply for funding during the FY 2011 funding cycle.

### 15. <u>Walla Walla County, Mr. Randy Glaeser, Director/County Engineer, SA10039/Green</u> <u>Infrastructure for Improved Stormwater Quality</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mr. Glaeser commented that the County was pleased to have been selected for proposed loan funding from the Revolving Fund program. However, due to financial constraints the County declines the proposed Revolving Fund loan.

<u>Response</u>: Ecology recognizes the financial constraints the County is experiencing. Funds proposed for the project have been offered to another applicant on the final list.

### 16. <u>Wilbur, Town of The Honorable Robert Wyborney, Mayor, FP10047/Wilbur</u> <u>Wastewater Treatment Project</u>

<u>Comment</u>: Mayor Wyborney was pleased to see that the Town's project is proposed to receive Recovery Act funding. However, the Mayor was concerned with meeting the requirement that Recovery Act Step 4 (design/construct) projects must have the design approved by Ecology by September 17, 2009. The Mayor requested that the Recovery Act funds proposed on the revised draft list be replaced with a Revolving Fund hardship loan and a Centennial hardship grant.

> Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 27 of 34

<u>Response</u>: Proposed Recovery Act funding shown on the Revised Draft List has been replaced with \$1,876,901 Revolving Fund hardship loan with a 1.4 percent interest rate and 20-year term and a \$2,712,099 Centennial hardship grant.

### Department of Ecology updates and revisions

The following provides a summary of updates and corrections made by Ecology staff during reviews of the Draft List and Revised Draft List in preparation for the Final List, and based on information requested by Ecology or provided by applicants that affected proposed funding or information accuracy.

Ecology sent written requests to all applicants that were proposed to receive funding on the Revised Draft List and Revised Draft IUP to respond during the comment period as to the applicant's intent to accept funding for the proposed project and ability to move expeditiously forward with implementation. Ecology received written responses back from all of the applicants proposed for funding with intent to accept funding and proceed rapidly, with the exception of several applicants that declined funding as noted in the comments below.

### 1. Arlington, City of, FP10064/Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion

During the second application period the city of Arlington submitted additional information in support of its project. This information was evaluated by Ecology staff and resulted in an adjustment to the project score with an increase from 872.5 points to 875 points.

### 2. Gig Harbor, City of, SA10017/WWTP Outfall Improvements

During the public review and comment period Ecology staff noted that one evaluator's score was not included for calculating the total points for the city of Gig Harbor's project, SA10017. This error was corrected, and the score has been increased from 416 points to 856, based on the average of the two evaluator scores, and the ranking changed from 155 to 26.

### 3. Kittitas, City of, SA10037/WWTF Improvements Project

The Centennial loan funds proposed for the City's project on the draft list are being replaced with Recovery Act loan funds that became available based on other local governments declining funding offers.

### 4. Rock Island, Town of, SA10086/Rock Island Wastewater Treatment Facility

The city of Rock Island requested Ecology reconsider its determination of the City's financial hardship based on project information contained in the site specific facility plan and design documents. As a result, Ecology completed a re-evaluation of the proposed project and made an adjustment to the existing residential need figures and adjusted the hardship funding offer based on this revision.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 28 of 34

### 5. <u>City of Vancouver, SA10075/Burnt Bridge Greenway Expansion and Riparian</u> <u>Restoration Project</u>

The city of Vancouver was identified for Recovery Act funding under the Green Project Reserves category in the amount of \$5,200,000 on the Revised Draft List and Revised Draft IUP. In reviewing the timing requirements for the Recovery Act funding, the City determined that it could not meet the timelines for completing design and permitting of the project. The City provided Ecology with notification that it would not accept the proposed Recovery Act funding, but would accept a base Revolving Fund loan for wetland acquisition and preservation at a reduced request of \$1,100,000 and proceed with the project while pursuing other funding options.

The Recovery Act funding made available was streamed in priority order to additional eligible Green Reserve projects. Ecology contacted these applicants to verify commitments to accept funding and the Recovery Act conditions and requirements. Recovery Act funding was proposed to: LOTT Alliance ranked 51, City of Westport ranked 54, City of Tacoma ranked 59, Kittitas County Public Health Department ranked 68, and City of Richland ranked 71. Seattle Public Utilities, project ranked 60, was contacted regarding the availability of funding; however it declined consideration for partial funding based on its current financial conditions.

### Water Quality Performance Measures

Ecology agrees to complete and submit an environmental benefits reporting worksheet for projects that receive a loan for the SFY 2010 funding cycle. Ecology will enter project information into the database the day Ecology's Water Quality Program Manager signs the loan agreement.

### **Growth Management Act Compliance**

To be eligible for loan funding, certain applicants with facilities projects must be in compliance with the Washington's Growth Management Act (GMA). For Ecology's purposes, applicants must comply with the requirements for comprehensive planning and development regulations (see RCW 36.70A, *Growth Management-Planning by Selected Counties and Cities*, and RCW 70.146.070, *Water Pollution Control Facilities Financing*). Ecology may make exceptions in situations involving a public health need or a significant environmental degradation.

Ecology includes the base Revolving Fund in this prohibition because:

- The state's match for the federal funds comes from the Water Quality Account (source of the Centennial fund).
- There is a need for consistency between the funding programs.
- Ecology must comply with legislative intent and the statutory requirements of the GMA.

Any public body required to comply with the GMA must certify its compliance with the applicable GMA requirements at the time a loan or grant agreement is signed, unless exceptional situations exist. The public body certifies its compliance by signing the loan agreement.

The GMA does not affect activity project applications, such as watershed planning, water quality monitoring, public information and education, etc. Facilities projects proposed by public bodies not planning under the GMA and facilities projects proposed by special districts (such as sewer districts or public utility districts) are also unaffected.

Final Intended Use Plan SFY 2010 Page 29 of 34 GMA compliance impacts the program in several ways:

- 1. GMA compliance status may have an impact on the priority evaluation of proposed facilities projects, because facilities projects in areas out of compliance with the GMA may not be ready to proceed.
- 2. Ecology coordinates with the Washington State Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development to help ensure that the applicants are in compliance when the financial assistance agreement is signed. Revolving Fund loan offers are effective for six months from the publish date of the Final IUP. If GMA compliance is achieved during that time period, the agreement may be signed.
- 3. Ecology exceptions do not relieve applicants of their responsibilities to comply with the GMA requirements. However, under certain circumstances Ecology will make temporary exceptions to the GMA compliance requirement if the proposed projects are required to address a "serious public health need" or a "significant environmental degradation." Such determinations based on designations proposed by applicants are scrutinized very carefully and determinations are made on a case-by-case basis. For details on the processes for determining these situations, see Chapter 173-98-710 WAC, *Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Fund*.

All public bodies proposed for funding on this Final IUP are or will be in compliance with the GMA before receiving funding.

Attachment 1

List of Projects Considered and Proposed for Funding

This page is purposely left blank

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	
Appl	icant Name:	Spokane Co	ounty					Application N	umber:	FP10C0	04094
Proje	ect Title: Reg	gional Water	Reclamation Facility	y	P	roject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)			
N/A	N/A - New Wastewater Treatment Facility		12/01/10 - 12/31/13 37 Months	\$16,225,000	\$16,225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	1, 3
Appl	icant Name:	Airway Hei	ghts, City of					Application N	umber:	FP100	013
Proje	ect Title: Wa	ter Reclama	tion and Recharge Pr	oject	P	roject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)		I	
1	N/A - New Wastewater Treatment Facility	N/A - New Wastewater Treatment N/A 03/20/09-09/20/11 \$30,470,004 \$166,70		\$166,707	\$8,859,747	\$14,114,871	\$0	20	0.6%	2, 3, 4, 6, 11	
Appl	icant Name:	Bremerton	Public Works and Ut	ilities				Application N	umber:	SA10	030
Proje	ect Title: Go	rst Sewerage	e Project		P	roject Category:	New Interceptors (Cate	egory IVB)		I	
2	WA-00292-8	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	04/15/09-08/31/10 16 Months	\$4,709,550	\$568,350	\$520,987	\$3,620,213	\$0	20	1.4%	2, 3, 11, 13
Appl	icant Name:	Skykomish	, Town of					Application N	umber:	SA10	058
Proje	ect Title: Sky	komish Wa	stewater Facilities Pr	oject: Phase 2A	P	roject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	itation (Category	IIIB)	1	
3	N/A	N/A	07/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/09 6 Months	\$1,617,296		\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	2, 3

Rank	Permit Number	Effluent Limits	Time Frame	Funds Requested	Revolving Fund Offere		Standard Loan Offered	L	overy Funding Forgivable incipal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Loan Term (yrs)	Loan Interest Rate	Notes
	icant Name: ct Title: Sew	-	y of Improvements			Pr	roject Category:		*	Application N itation (Category		SA10	060
4	WA-004468-7	7 BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	05/01/09 - 09/01/09 4 Months	\$820,000	\$	50	\$0	-	\$0	\$0			15
Appli	icant Name:	Pierce Cou	nty							Application N	umber:	FP10	023
Proje	ct Title: Sep	tic Repair C	Grant and Loan Proje	ct		Pr	oject Category:	Indiv	idual/Decentraliz	ed Sewage Treat	ment (C	ategory V	/II-L)
5	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-06/01/14 60 Months	\$500,000	\$500,00	00	\$0	)	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	3
Appli	icant Name:	Bremerton	Public Works and U	tilities						Application N	umber:	SA10	031
Proje	ct Title: Gor	st Septic Sy	stem Replacement P	roject		Pr	oject Category:	New	<b>Collector Sewers</b>	(Category IVA)			
6	WA-00292-8	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	04/15/09-08/31/10 16 Months	\$1,393,000	\$110,00	00	\$0	)	\$1,283,000	\$0	20	2.9%	3, 11, 13
Appli	icant Name:	Raymond a	nd South Bend cities	5						Application N	umber:	SA10	054
Proje	ct Title:   Wil	lapa Regior	nal Wastwater Facilit	ies		Pr	oject Category:	New	Interceptors (Cate	egory IVB)			
7	WA-004104-1	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/01/09-07/31/12 36 Months	\$4,150,000	\$1,618,50	00	\$0	)	\$2,531,500	\$0	20	1.1%	2, 3, 11, 13
Appli	icant Name:	Wilbur, To	wn of							Application N	umber:	FP10	047
Proje	ect Title:   Was	stewater Tre	eatment Project			Pr	oject Category:	Secon	ndary Treatment (	Category I)			
8	WA-004492-0	) BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	06/01/09-06/01/10 12 Months	\$1,876,901	\$1,876,90	)1	\$0	)	\$0	\$0	20	1.4%	2

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Tullix	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	itotes
Appl	icant Name:	Sequim, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	FP10	063
Proje	ect Title: Wa	ter Reclama	tion Facility Upgrade	& Expansion		Pr	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)		1	
9	WA-002234-	.9 BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/24/08-07/01/10 24 Months	\$5,540,000	\$5,540,00	00	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.1%	2, 3, 11
Appl	icant Name:	Uniontown	, Town of						Application N	umber:	<b>FP10</b>	001
Proje	ect Title: Wa	stewater Tre	eatment Plant Upgrad	le		Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)		1	
10	ST-5371	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/10 30 Months	\$2,740,700	\$435,10	00	\$0	\$2,305,600	\$0	20	1.1%	2, 3, 11, 13
Appl	icant Name:	Cathlamet,	Town of						Application N	umber:	SA10	056
Proje	ect Title: W	<b>NTP Relocat</b>	ion Conveyance Infra	astructure Proje	ect	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (				
11	WA-002266-	7 BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 75 mg/l	01/01/10-09/01/09 12 Months	\$2,289,000	\$	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			15
Appl	icant Name:	Rock Island	d, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	068
Proje	ect Title: Ro	ck Island Wa	astewater Treatment	Facility		Pr	oject Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)			
12	N/A	N/A	05/01/09 <b>-</b> 11/01/09 6 Months	\$5,242,000	\$1,821,59	95	\$0	\$3,420,405	\$0	20	1.8%	2, 3, 11
Appl	icant Name:	Olympia, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	087
		<b>,</b>	tment of Stormwater	Runoff at Yaug	ger Park	Pr	oject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil				
13	N/A	N/A	11/01/08-12/30/11 36 Months	\$3,670,000	Ę	50	\$1,835,000	\$1,835,000	\$3,670,000	20	2.9%	11, 12

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving	,		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kulik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offer		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Totes
Appl	icant Name: K	ittitas Co.	Water District #6						Application N	umber:	FP100	027
Proje	ect Title: Vanta	age Sewer	Lift Station Replace	ment		Pr	coject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)		•	
14	WA-005047-5	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	09/01/09-09/01/10 12 Months	\$357,044	\$357,04	44	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.5%	2,3
Appl	icant Name: S	helton, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	FP100	021
Proje	ect Title: Golds	sborough	Creek Sanitary Sewe	er Improvement	Project	Pr	coject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	itation (Category	IIIB)		
15	WA-002334-5	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	09/01/07 <b>-</b> 09/01/10 38 Months	\$1,321,210	\$1,321,21	10	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	3, 8
Appl	icant Name: A	rlington,	City of						Application N	umber:	FP100	064
Proje	ect Title:   Waste	ewater Tre	eatment Plant Upgrad	de and Expansio	on	Pr	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)		I	
16	WA-002256-0	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	10/20/08 <b>-</b> 12/15/10 27 Months	\$15,007,660	\$9,467,66	60	\$5,540,000	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	3
Appl	icant Name: E	verett Pub	olic Works						Application N	umber:	SA10	041
Proje	ect Title: Bond	Street Co	mbined Sewer Overf	flow Control Fa	cilities	Pr	oject Category:	CSO Correction (Categ	gory V)			
17	WA-002449-0	BOD: 25 mg/l TSS: 60 mg/l	07/01/09 <b>-</b> 10/01/11 27 Months	\$23,631,780	\$6,249,65	52	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	3,7
Appl	icant Name: B	ridgeport,	City of						Application N	umber:	FP100	014
Proje	ect Title: Bridg	eport Was	stewater System Faci	lity Plan		Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)			
18	WA-002406-6	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/01/09-06/30/11 24 Months	\$71,000	Ş	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Naiik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Notes
		Goldendal Klickitat	e, City of River Sewerline Rep	lacement Proje	ct	Pr	oject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	Application N itation (Category		FP100	002
19	WA-002112-2	BOD: 10 mg/l TSS: 15 mg/l	03/01/10-09/01/12 30 Months	\$1,966,980	\$	60	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: C	Cowlitz Co	ounty						Application N	umber:	SA10	118
Proje	ect Title: Ryde	rwood Inf	iltration and Inflow	Removal		Pr	oject Category:	Infiltration/Inflow (Ca	itegory IIIA)			
20	WA-003869-5	BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 75 mg/l	04/01/09 <b>-</b> 10/31/10 19 Months	\$3,965,000	\$	50	\$741,250	\$2,223,750	\$0	20	1.0%	2, 3, 11
Appl	icant Name:   K	Cittitas, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	SA10	037
Proje	ect Title:   WW]	<b>F</b> Improv	ements Project			Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	(Category I)			
21	WA-002125-3	BOD: 15 mg/l TSS: 10 mg/l	04/15/09 - 12/01/09 8 Months	\$742,235	\$	50	\$482,453	\$259,782	\$0	20	2.2%	2, 3, 11, 14
Appl	icant Name: N	Aason Cou	inty						Application N	umber:	SA10	119
Proje	ect Title: Belfa	ir Wastew	ater and Water Recla	mation Facilitie	es	Pr	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)			
22	N/A - New Wastewater Treatment Facility	N/A	07/01/09-07/01/11 24 Months	\$10,878,498	\$	50	\$1,575,057	\$4,316,829	\$0	20	2.8%	7,14
Appl	icant Name: L	ake Steve	ns Sewer District						Application N	umber:	FP100	060
Proje	ect Title: Sunn	yside Was	stewater Treatment F	acility (Constru	ction)	Pr	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)			
23	WA-002089-3	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/01/08 <b>-</b> 12/01/12 41 Months	\$42,918,586	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9	

Rank	Permit Number	Effluent Limits	Time Frame	Funds Requested	Revolving Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Recovery Funding Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve	Loan Term (yrs)	Loan Interest Rate	Notes
Appl	icant Name: I	 Ridgefield,	, City of			Offered	rincipal Offered	Amount Application N	, ,	FP10	067
Proje	ect Title: Wast	tewater Tre	eatment Plant Improv	vements	P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)		1	
24	WA-002327-2	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	09/01/09-04/30/11 20 Months	\$1,750,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: 0	Camas, Cit	y of					Application N	umber:	SA10	061
Proje	ect Title: Phas	e II Waste	water Facilities Impr	ovements	P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)			
25	WA-002024-9	BOD: 20 mg/l TSS: 20 mg/l	08/01/09-04/01/11 20 Months	\$6,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	Alderwood	Water and Wastewa	ter District				Application N	umber:	FP10	018
		ic Point Wa	astewater Treatment	Facility Upgrad	le Project P	roject Category:	Advanced Treatment (				
26	WA-002082-6	BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 30 mg/l	03/01/08-05/01/11 38 Months	\$79,907,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	Sultan, Cit	y of					Application N	umber:	SA10	027
Proje	ect Title: Cent	rifuge Proj	ject		P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)		1	
27	WA-002330-2	BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 30 mg/l	01/22/09 <b>-</b> 10/30/09 9 Months	\$275,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: I	sland Co.	Health Department					Application N	umber:	SA10	085
Proje	ect Title: On-S	Site Repair	Financial Assistance	e Program	Р	roject Category:	Individual/Decentraliz	ed Sewage Treatr	nent (C	ategory V	/II-L)
28	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 07/01/12 36 Months	\$300,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$300,000	20	2.9%	11

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving	r		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kalik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offer		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Notes
Appl	icant Name: T	own of Ca	athlamet						Application N	umber:	FP10	062
Proje	ect Title: Wast	ewater Tre	eatment Plant Reloca	tion Project (De	esign)	Pr	coject Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
29	WA-002266-7	BOD: 45 mg/1 TSS: 75 mg/1	07/01/09-08/01/10 12 Months	\$831,500		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: V	ancouver,	, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	075
Proje	ect Title: Burn	t Bridge C	reek Greenway Expa	nsion & Riparia	an Restor.	Pr	coject Category:	Hydromodification (C	ategory VII-K)			
30	N/A	N/A	05/01/09-01/01/11 20 Months	\$6,600,000	\$1,100,0	00	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	16
Appl	icant Name: V	Vhatcom C	County						Application N	umber:	SA10	084
		com Cour	ity On-Site Repair Lo	oan Program		Pr	oject Category:	Individual/Decentraliz			ategory V	/II-L)
31	N/A	N/A	05/01/09-05/01/12 36 Months	\$1,250,000		\$0	\$625,000	\$625,000	\$1,250,000	20	2.9%	11, 12
Appl	icant Name: S	helton, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	FP10	022
Proje	ect Title: Satel	lite Water	Reclamation Plant C	Construction Pro	oject	Pr	coject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)		1	
32	WA-002334-5	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	01/01/08-07/31/10 30 Months	\$2,522,111		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	pplicant Name: Spokane County						Application N	umber:	SA10	073		
Proje	ect Title: 2009	Septic Tar	nk Elimination Progr	am		Pr	coject Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)			
33	N/A	N/A	04/15/09-07/15/10 15 Months	\$13,700,000		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving	J		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kulik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offer		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Totes
	icant Name: L	•							Application N	umber:	SA10	062
Proje	ct Title: West	Longview	Sewer Lagoons Div	ersion		Pr	oject Category: N	New Interceptors (Cate	egory IVB)			
34	WA-003731-1	BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 75 mg/l	11/01/09 <b>-</b> 11/01/10 12 Months	\$9,839,500		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: R	itzville, C	ity of						Application N	umber:	SA10	076
Proje	ct Title: Waste	ewater Tre	eatment Lagoon Reha	abilitation and	Upgrade	Pr	oject Category: S	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)			
35	ST-8028	BOD: 45 mg/1 TSS: 45 mg/1	05/01/09-07/01/10 14 Months	\$8,017,000		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: C	lark Cour	nty						Application N	umber:	SA10	086
			e Creek Habitat Prote	ection and Enha	ncement	Pr	oject Category: I	Hydromodification (Ca				
36	N/A	N/A	03/01/09-09/30/10 18 Months	\$852,578		\$0	\$426,289	\$426,289	\$852,578	20	2.9%	11, 12
Appl	icant Name: L	OTT Allia	ance						Application N	umber:	SA10	063
Proje	ct Title: Desch	utes Park	way to Tumwater Re	eclaimed Water	Pipeline	Pr	oject Category: N	New Interceptors (Cate	egory IVB)			
37	N/A	N/A	12/01/08-02/01/10 14 Months	\$2,763,000		\$0	\$1,381,500	\$1,381,500	\$2,763,000	20	2.9%	3, 11, 12
Appl	icant Name: W	/estport, (	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	055
Proje	ct Title: Energ	y Efficier	ncy, Headworks, and	Solids Handlin	g	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)			
38	WA-002092-3	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	10/01/09-08/01/10 10 Months	\$2,159,000		\$0	\$298,000	\$298,000	\$596,000	20	2.9%	9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kulik	Number	Limits	Time Trance	Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	i votes
Appl	licant Name:	Albion, To	wn of					Application N	umber:	FP10	042
Proje	ect Title: Wast	ewater Co	llection System Impr	ovements	P	roject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	itation (Category	IIIB)		
39	WA-002260-8	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	10/01/08 - 12/31/09 14 Months	\$411,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: I	La Center,	City of					Application N	umber:	FP10	007
Proje	ect Title: La C	enter Wast	ewater Treatment Pla	ant Upgrade	P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)		1	
40	WA-002323-0	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	04/01/09 - 10/01/10 18 Months	\$3,602,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:	Facoma, Ci	ty of					Application N	umber:	SA10	100
Proje	ect Title: City	of Tacoma	Stormwater Treatme	nt Retrofit Proj	ect P	roject Category:	Urban (Category VII-D	))			
41	N/A	N/A	03/01/09 - 10/31/09 8 Months	\$1,854,450	\$0	\$927,225	\$927,225	\$1,854,450	20	2.9%	9
Appl	licant Name: S	Seattle Pub	lic Utilities					Application N	umber:	SA10	051
Proje	ect Title: Vene	ema Natura	al Drainage System		P	roject Category:	Urban (Category VII-D	)			
42	N/A	N/A	03/01/09 <b>-</b> 11/30/13 32 Months	\$4,741,986	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			15
Appl	licant Name:	Soos Creek	Water and Sewer Di	strict				Application N	umber:	SA10	046
	oject Title: Lift Station 5B West Forcemain Replacement				P	roject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil				
43	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 - 11/01/09 5 Months	\$1,106,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Runk	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	i voites
Appl	licant Name: Y	′akima, Ci	ty of					Application N	umber:	SA10	025
Proje	ect Title: Yakiı	ma POTW	Upgrades		P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	(Category I)			
44	WA-002402-3	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	04/01/09-04/01/10 12 Months	\$4,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: K	King Co. D	NR, Wastewater Trea	atment Div.				Application N	umber:	FP10	057
Proje	ect Title: Kent	/Auburn C	Conveyance System I	mprovements -	Phase A P	roject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	itation (Category	IIIB)		
45	WAG-50314	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	10/01/09-08/01/10 10 Months	\$1,594,944	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: K	Cittitas Co.	. Public Health Depa	rtment				Application N	umber:	SA10	110
Proje			Sub-Basin Septic Sys		P	roject Category:	Individual/Decentraliz			ategory V	/II-L)
46	N/A	N/A	05/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/31/09 7 Months	\$517,500	\$0	\$248,250	\$248,250	\$496,500	20	2.9%	5
Appl	licant Name: C	Cusick, To	wn of					Application N	umber:	SA10	014
	ect Title: Cusio	ck Wastew	ater System		P	roject Category:	Infiltration/Inflow (Ca	tegory IIIA)			
47	ST-9025	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 10/31/09 5 Months	\$477,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: S	nohomish	, City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	034
Proje	ect Title: Phase	e 1 CSO R	eduction Facilities		Р	roject Category:	CSO Correction (Categ	gory V)			
48	WA-002954-8	BOD: 25 mg/l TSS: 37 mg/l	06/01/09-03/01/11 21 Months	\$5,726,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			Recovery Funding		Loan Term	Loan Interest	Notes
	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	ed	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	(yrs)	Rate	
Appl	icant Name: R	ichland, (	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	081
Proje	ct Title: WWI		n Basin Energy Impr	ovements		Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
49	WA-002041-9	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/10-06/01/10 15 Months	\$2,500,000	Ş	50	\$923,926	\$923,926	\$1,847,852	20	2.9%	9
Appl	icant Name: S	eattle Pub	lic Utilities						Application N	umber:	SA10	053
Proje	ct Title: Balla	rd Green	Streets			Pr	oject Category:	CSO Correction (Cate	gory V)			
50	N/A	N/A	03/01/09 <b>-</b> 05/31/09 36 Months	\$1,546,524	\$1,546,524		\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	5
		OTT Allia	ance atment Plant Improve	monte Decign		D.	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment	Application N	umber:	FP10	078
rroje	WA-003706-1	BOD:	atment Flant Improve	ments Design		r I	oject Category:	Auvanceu Treatment				
51	WA-003700-1	30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	01/01/09-01/01/12 36 Months	\$4,314,209	Ş	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: K	itsap Cou	nty						Application N	umber:	SA10	093
Proje	ct Title: South	n Central (	Conveyance Main Re	placement		Pr	coject Category:	Sewer System Rehabi	litation (Category	IIIB)	1	
52	N/A	N/A	04/30/09-05/01/12 36 Months	\$5,000,000	Ş	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	pokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	111
Proje	ct Title: West	Broadway	V SURGE			Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-	D)			
53	N/A	N/A	03/01/08-06/01/11 27 Months	\$382,000	\$382,00	00	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	5

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			Recovery	Funding		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kalik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	d	Standard Loan Offered		vable l Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	THORES
Appli	icant Name:	Walla Wall	a County							Application N	umber:	SA10	039
Proje	ct Title: Gre	een Infrastru	acture for Improved S	Stormwater Qua	ality	Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Cate	gory VII-D	<b>)</b> )			
54	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-01/01/10 12 Months	\$209,099	\$	0	\$0		\$0	\$0			5
Appli	icant Name:	Skagit Co.	Planning and Develo	pment Services	5					Application N	umber:	SA10	072
Proje	ct Title: Ska	agit County	Local Loan Fund			Pr	oject Category:	Individual/	Decentraliz	ed Sewage Treatr	nent (C	ategory V	TI-L)
55	N/A	N/A	03/01/10 - 12/01/14 48 Months	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,00	0	\$0		\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	5
Appli	icant Name:	Airway He	ights, City of							Application N	umber:	FP100	)15
Proje	ct Title: Sou	uth-Central	Septic System Elimir	ation Project		Pr	oject Category:	New Collec	tor Sewers	(Category IVA)		I	
56	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-01/01/10 7 Months	\$498,000	\$	0	\$0		\$0	\$0			9, 10
Appli	icant Name:	Spokane Co	ounty Conservation I	District						Application N	umber:	SA10	079
Proje	ct Title: SR	F Direct See	d Equipment Loan P	rogram		Pr	oject Category:	Agricultura	l Cropland	(Category VII-A)		I	
57	N/A	N/A	05/01/09-07/31/13 50 Months	\$6,000,000	\$	0	\$0		\$0	\$0			15
Appli	licant Name: Bremerton, City of								Application N	umber:	SA10	029	
Proje	ct Title: Bre	emerton Stor	mwater Retrofit/Low	/ Impact Develo	opment	Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Cate	gory VII-D	))			
58	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-07/01/14 60 Months	\$1,303,456	\$1,303,45	6	\$0		\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
NallK	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	d	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	INDICES
Appl		Spokane C	<u> </u>						Application N	umber:	SA10	074
Proje	ct Title:   Was	stewater Co	nveyance System an	d Outfall		Pro	oject Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)	T	1	
59	N/A	N/A	03/01/09-08/01/11 30 Months	\$21,150,000	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	Yakima, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	SA10	067
Proje	ct Title: Lind	coln Avenu	e Grade Separation S	Storm Drainage		Pro	ject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	)			
60	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-07/01/10 12 Months	\$1,214,000	\$1,214,000	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	9
Appl	icant Name:	Spokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	112
	ct Title: Lind	coln Street	SURGE			Pro	ject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	))			
61	N/A	N/A	06/15/09-05/01/12 32 Months	\$1,173,000	\$1,173,000	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	9
Appl	icant Name:	Bremerton,	Port of						Application N	umber:	SA10	095
Proje	ct Title: Kits	ap Sustain	able Energy & Econo	mic Developme	ent (SEED)	Pro	ject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	)			
62	N/A	N/A	05/31/09-06/01/12 36 Months	\$1,498,972	\$1,498,972	2	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	9
Appl	icant Name:	Spokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	113
Proje	ct Title: Trea	atment Plar	nt Headworks			Pro	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	Category I)			
63	WA-002447-3	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	04/06/09 <b>-</b> 11/01/10 18 Months	\$7,920,000	\$(	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit Number	Effluent Limits	Time Frame	Funds Requested	Revolving Fund Offer		Standard Loan Offered	Recovery Fur Forgivat Principal O	ole	Green Reserve Amount	Loan Term (yrs)	Loan Interest Rate	Notes
		Mason Cou en Infrastru	inty icture Stormwater Ti	eatment Project	ts	Pı	roject Category:	Urban (Catego	ry VII-E	Application No	umber:	SA10	103
64	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-06/30/11 24 Months	\$560,000	:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0			9
Applic	ant Name:	Pierce Cou	nty							Application N	umber:	SA10	047
Projec	t Title: Pier	ce County	Intergrated Water Re	source Manage	ment	Pı	roject Category:	Urban (Categor	ry VII-E	<u>))</u>			
66	N/A	N/A	04/01/09-06/30/11 26 Months	\$3,774,750	\$908,9	78	\$0		\$0	\$0	20	2.9%	9
Applic	ant Name:	Spokane C	o. Conservation Dist	rict						Application N	umber:	SA10	080
Projec	t Title: Dire	ect Seed BM	1P Project			Pı	roject Category:	Agricultural C	ropland	(Category VII-A)		I	
67	N/A	N/A	10/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/31/13 50 Months	\$447,175	:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0			9
Applic	ant Name:	King Co. D	NR, Wastewater Tre	atment Div.						Application N	umber:	FP10	058
Projec			eatment Plant - Solid		Facilities	Pı	roject Category:	Advanced Trea	tment (	Category II)			
68	WA-003204-2	BOD: 30 mg/l TSS: 30 mg/l	01/01/09-01/31/12 37 Months	\$10,000,000	:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0			9
Applic	ant Name:	Battle Grou	ind, City of							Application N	umber:	SA10	050
Projec	t Title: Batt	le Ground	Lagoon Decommissi	ong		Pı	roject Category:	Secondary Trea	atment (	(Category I)			
69	N/A	N/A	02/01/10-02/01/11 12 Months	\$2,800,000		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Turrik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	ed	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	
Appl	licant Name: N	laches-Sel	ah Irrigation District						Application N	umber:	SA10	083
Proje	ect Title: Pipin	g of No 3	and Lower No 2 Late	rals		Pr	oject Category:	Agricultural Cropland	(Category VII-A)			1
70	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-04/01/12 36 Months	\$6,952,700	\$	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: K	ing Co. D	NR, Wastewater Trea	atment Div.					Application N	umber:	<b>FP10</b>	056
Proje	ect Title: Brigh	itwater Pla	ant - Influent Pump S	station		Pr	oject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)		1	
71	WA-003204-2	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/12 42 Months	\$10,000,000	\$	60	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:   K	ing Co. D	NR, Water and Land	Resources Div.					Application N	umber:	SA10	108
Proje	ect Title: Vash	on-Maury	Island Marine Recov	very Area On-S	ite Sewage	Pr	oject Category:	Individual/Decentraliz	zed Sewage Treat	nent (C	ategory V	/II-L)
72	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-06/30/13 48 Months	\$1,000,000	\$	60	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: A	sotin Cou	inty						Application N	umber:	SA10	082
Proje	ect Title: Phase	e II Storm	water Permit Implem	entation		Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	))		1	
73	WA-R04650-0, WA-R04650-1	N/A	07/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/31/11 30 Months	\$1,427,425	\$	60	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name: K	ing Coun	ty						Application N	umber:	SA10	106
Proje	ect Title: Taylo	or Creek V	Vetland Improvemen	t		Pr	oject Category:	Hydromodification (C	ategory VII-K)			
74	N/A	N/A	06/30/09-06/30/11 24 Months	\$155,160	\$	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit Number	Effluent Limits	Time Frame	Funds Requested	Revolving Fund Offere	d	Standard Loan Offered	Recovery Funding Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Loan Term (yrs)	Loan Interest Rate	Notes
	cant Name: Y ct Title: Yakin		ty of Green Riparian Disc	chage Alternativ	ve	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	Application N (Category I)	umber:	SA10	023
75	WA-002402-3	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/09 - 04/01/12 30 Months	\$1,000,000	\$		\$0 \$0		\$0			9
Appli	cant Name: K	itsap Cou	nty						Application N	umber:	SA10	097
Projec	ct Title: Centr	al Kitsap	Wastewater Treatme	nt Pant Upgrad	e	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
76	N/A	N/A	04/30/09-05/01/12 36 Months	\$20,000,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appli	cant Name: W	Vashougal	, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	078
Projec	ct Title: E Stre	et Improv	vements Project			Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-	D)			
77	N/A	N/A	01/01/10 - 10/01/10 10 Months	\$2,086,171	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appli	cant Name: S	pokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	116
Projec	t Title: Haze	- l's Creek l	Low Impact Demonst	ration Project		Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-	 D)			
78	N/A	N/A	04/01/09 <b>-</b> 08/31/11 33 Months	\$799,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appli	cant Name: E	dmonds, (	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	019
Projec	ct Title: Waste	ewater Tre	eatment Plant Energy	v Efficient Upgr	ade	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
79	WA-002405-8	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	04/01/09 <b>-</b> 11/30/09 8 Months	\$1,173,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kulik	Numbe			Requested	Fund Offere		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Totes
Appl	icant Name	e: Seattle Pub	lic Utilities						Application N	umber:	SA10	052
Proje	ect Title: S	South Park Pur	np Station and Wate	r Quality Facili	ty	Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-	D)			
80	N/A	N/A	09/01/09 - 12/31/11 30 Months	\$8,785,137	5	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name	e: Cross Valle	ey Water District						Application N	umber:	FP10	033
Proje	ect Title: S	Sole Source Aq	uifer Ambient Water	r Quality Samp	ling	Pr	oject Category:	Ground Water - Unkr	own Source (Cate	gory VI	І-Е)	
81	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-06/01/11 24 Months	\$145,221	4	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name	e: South Yaki	ma Conservation Dis	strict					Application N	umber:	SA10	096
			anaerobic digester d	airy nutrients a	t the source	Pr	oject Category:	Agricultural Animals				
82	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 07/31/11 24 Months	\$1,053,000	5	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name	e: Moses Lake	e, City of					·	Application N	umber:	SA10	077
Proje	ect Title: S	Sewer Lining -	Larson and Wheeler	Areas		Pr	oject Category:	New Collector Sewer	s (Category IVA)			
83	ST-8024	4 BOD: 10 mg/l TSS: 15 mg/l	06/01/09-02/28/10 8 Months	\$2,500,000	4	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name	e: Wenatchee	, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	013
Proje	ect Title: I	inden Tree Pa	rk Storwmater Drain	age Improveme	ents	Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-	D)			
84	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-09/30/09 3 Months	\$650,000	4	50	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving	<b>7</b>		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offer		Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	
Appl	licant Name:	Kennewick	c, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	070
Proje	ect Title: Ken	newick We	ellhead Protection Pro	oject		Pr	oject Category:	Ground Water - Unkno	own Source (Cate	gory VI	[-E)	
85	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-07/31/10 12 Months	\$2,200,000		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:	College Pla	ice, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	040
Proje	ect Title: Aera	tion System	m Controls and Blow	er Replacement	t Project	Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	(Category I)			
86	WA-002065-6	BOD: 15 mg/l TSS: 15 mg/l	04/01/09 <b>-</b> 05/31/10 14 Months	\$505,500	:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:	Гасота, Ci	ty of						Application N	umber:	SA10	102
Proje	ect Title: Tacc	ma Centra	1 Treatment Plant DA	FT Project		Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	(Category I)			
87	WA-003708-7	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/01/09-07/01/11 24 Months	\$4,675,890	:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:	Spokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	115
		Albi Rain (	Garden Demonstratio	n Project		Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-D				
88	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-09/01/10 15 Months	\$187,000	:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	licant Name:	Poulsbo, C	ity of						Application N	umber:	SA10	001
Proje	ect Title: Cen	tral Poulsb	o Sewer I&I Reductio	on		Pr	roject Category:	Infiltration/Inflow (Ca	tegory IIIA)			
89	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/09 6 Months	\$536,000	:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kulik	Number	Limits	Time Trance	Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Totes
Appl	icant Name:	Quincy, Cit	ty of					Application N	umber:	SA10	091
Proje	ect Title: Wate	er Reclama	tion and Industrial R	leuse Utility - P	hase 2 P	roject Category:	Advanced Treatment (	Category II)			
90	N/A	N/A	04/01/09-04/01/10 12 Months	\$4,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	Cashmere,	City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	003
Proje	ect Title: City	of Cashme	ere Wastewater Lagoo	on Bio-Solid Re	moval P	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)			
91	WA-004478-4	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 75 mg/l	04/01/09-02/01/10 10 Months	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	Kennewick	, City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	069
			eatment Plant Upgrad	les	Р	roject Category:	Secondary Treatment (				
92	N/A	BOD: 45 mg/l TSS: 45 mg/l	02/01/09-02/01/10 12 Months	\$1,091,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	Kenmore, C	City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	033
Proje	ect Title: Ken	nore City I	Hall		P	roject Category:	Urban (Category VII-D	))			
93	N/A	N/A	04/01/09-04/01/10 12 Months	\$234,895	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:	King Co. D	NR, Water and Land	<b>Resources</b> Div	•			Application N	umber:	SA10	107
Proje	ect Title: Vasł	ion Island	Center Park and Ride	e Drainage Imp	provement P	roject Category:	Urban (Category VII-D	))			
94	N/A	N/A	05/01/09 <b>-</b> 07/01/09 2 Months	\$262,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			Re	ecovery Funding		Loan	Loan	Notes
Kalik	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	d	Standard Loan Offered		Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	THORES
			rd, City of					1		Application N	umber:	SA10	088
Proje	ect Title: Cedar	Heights	Junior High Sidewal	ks		Pr	oject Category:	Urba	an (Category VII-D)	)	1		
95	N/A	N/A	05/01/10-11/01/10 6 Months	\$171,000	\$(	0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: K	ing Co. D	NR, Wastewater Trea	atment Div						Application N	umber:	SA10	020
Proje	ect Title: West	Point Wa	ste to Energy			Pr	oject Category:	Adv	vanced Treatment (C	Category II)			
96	WA-002918-1	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	01/01/10-01/01/12 24 Months	\$6,500,000	\$(	0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	pokane, C	City of							Application N	umber:	SA10	117
Proje	ect Title: City I	Hall Fixtu	re Retrofit			Pr	oject Category:	Urba	an (Category VII-D)	)			
97	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 10/01/10 16 Months	\$281,000	\$(	0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: T	acoma, Ci	ty of							Application N	umber:	SA10	098
Proje	ect Title: Tacor	na Centra	l Treatment Plant En	ergy Managem	ent Facility	Pr	oject Category:	Seco	ondary Treatment (O	Category I)		1	
98	WA-003708-7	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	07/01/09-01/01/11 18 Months	\$9,141,511	\$(	0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: K	ing Co. D	NR, Water and Land	Resources Div.						Application N	umber:	SA10	109
Proje	ect Title: IT Inf	rastructu	re for Multi-Jurisdict	ional Data Mar	agement	Pr	oject Category:	Urba	an (Category VII-D)	)			
99	N/A	N/A	07/01/09-06/30/11 24 Months	\$286,114	\$(	0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Runk	Number	Limits	Time Trance	Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loar Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	TTOLES
		'akima, Ci	•					Application N	umber:	SA10	024
Proje	ect Title: Yaki	ma POTW	Green Cogeneration	Project		Project Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
100	WA-002402-3	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/09-04/01/12 30 Months	\$4,686,442	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: V	Valla Wall	a, City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	066
Proje	ect Title: Divis	sion Street	Sewer Replacement	Project		Project Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)			
101	WA-002462-7	BOD: 15 mg/l TSS: 15 mg/l	06/01/09 - 10/01/09 5 Months	\$2,162,052	\$0	\$0	) \$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name:   V	Valla Wall	a, City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	065
Proje	ect Title: Nort	h Walla W	alla Sewer Replacem	ent Project		Project Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)			
102	WA-002462-7	BOD: 15 mg/1 TSS: 15 mg/1	06/01/09 - 10/01/09 5 Months	\$2,034,477	\$0	\$0	) \$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: V	Valla Wall	a, City of					Application N	umber:	SA10	064
Proje	ect Title: Oak	Street and	Alleyways Sewer Re	placement Proj	ect	Project Category:	New Collector Sewers	(Category IVA)		1	
103	WA-002462-7	BOD: 15 mg/1 TSS: 15 mg/1	06/01/09-09/01/09 4 Months	\$996,045	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	pangle, To	own of					Application N	umber:	SA10	036
Proje	ect Title:   Wast	e Activate	d Sludge Storage Tar	ık		Project Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
104	WA-004547-1	BOD: 10 mg/l TSS: 15 mg/l	07/01/09 <b>-</b> 09/01/09 2 Months	\$173,000	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving			<b>Recovery Funding</b>		Loan	Loan	Notes
Tullix	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offere	d	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Term (yrs)	Interest Rate	Totes
	icant Name: M								Application N	umber:	SA10	094
Proje	ect Title: Johns	Prairie O	perations Facility an	d Sustainability	y Center	Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	D)	1	1	
105	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-08/01/10 13 Months	\$1,425,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: G	ig Harbo	r, City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	017
Proje	ect Title:   WWT	P Outfall	Improvements			Pr	oject Category:	Secondary Treatment	(Category I)			
106	WA-002395-7	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/10 18 Months	\$7,166,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	an Juan C	o. Health Communit	y Services					Application N	umber:	SA10	005
		-	te Failure Repair	<u>,                                     </u>		Pr	oject Category:	Individual/Decentraliz	**		ategory V	/II-L)
107	N/A	N/A	09/01/09 <b>-</b> 09/01/14 60 Months	\$300,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: S	pokane, C	City of						Application N	umber:	SA10	114
Proje	ect Title: Neva	-Wood LI	D Parking Lot			Pr	oject Category:	Urban (Category VII-I	))		1	
108	N/A	N/A	06/01/09-09/01/11 27 Months	\$164,000	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9
Appl	icant Name: E	verett, Cit	y of						Application N	umber:	SA10	042
Proje	ect Title: BNSI		rd - Sewer Protection	Project		Pr	oject Category:	Sewer System Rehabil	itation (Category	IIIB)		
109	WA-002449-0	BOD: 25 mg/1 TSS: 60 mg/1	04/27/09-08/27/09 4 Months	\$1,039,110	\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			9

Rank	Permit	Effluent	Time Frame	Funds	Revolving		<b>Recovery Funding</b>	Loan	Loan Interest Notes
Tullix	Number	Limits		Requested	Fund Offered	Standard Loan Offered	Forgivable Principal Offered	Green Reserve Amount	Rate Rotes
Appli	icant Name:	Goldendal	e, City of					Application Number:	SA10104
Proje	ct Title: Alga	e and Was	tewater-to-Biofuels		I	Project Category:	Agricultural Cropland	(Category VII-A)	
110	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 11/30/11 30 Months	\$2,511,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	9
Appli	icant Name: I	Poulsbo, C	ity of					Application Number:	SA10002
Proje	ct Title: Mest	ford Street	LID Improvements		I	Project Category:	Urban (Category VII-D	)	
111	N/A	N/A	06/01/09 <b>-</b> 12/01/09 6 Months	\$90,595	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	9
Appli	icant Name: V	Vest Soun	d Utility District					Application Number:	SA10004
Proje	ct Title: Wast	tewater Tro	eatment Facility Wal	l Replacement	I	Project Category:	Secondary Treatment (	Category I)	<u> </u>
112	WA-002034-6	BOD: 30 mg/1 TSS: 30 mg/1	08/01/09 - 11/01/09 3 Months	\$170,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	9
·I			Totals:	\$529,207,285 \$5	58,134,649 \$24	,534,684 \$40	,891,140 \$13,630,3	380	· · · · · ·

#### Footnotes:

- Spokane County applied for and was offered a Revolving Fund loan for this regional wastewater facility in FY 2004. The County was under contract to receive \$73,400,000 in Revolving Fund loan for this Design-Build project. After delays in finalizing the Spokane River Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and thus final facility design, Ecology split the funding commitment into two parts, a \$8,500,000 loan agreement for planning and preconstruction, and a commitment for \$64,900,000 under a Memorandum of Agreement, with funding spread evenly over four (4) funding cycle years at \$16,225,000 per year, for facility construction under the design-build-operate method per Chapter 70.150 RCW. Ecology will not sign a funding agreement with Spokane County for the \$64,900,000 until final EPA approval of the TMDL and Ecology approval of a final facility plan.
- 2. The applicant's project is eligible for financial hardship consideration and may receive Centennial grant or Recovery Fund forgivable principal loan in combination with low interest standard Revolving Fund or Recovery Fund loan assistance in accordance with Chapter 173.98 WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund, and Chapter 173.95A WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Centennial Clean Water Fund. Interest rates for hardship projects are calculated based on a reduced rate for existing residential need blended with the standard rate for the portion of the project identified for growth.
- 3. In order to be eligible to sign a funding agreement with Ecology for facility construction, the applicant must be in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 36.70A RCW, "Growth Management—Planning by Selected Counties."
- 4. The city of Airway Heights is eligible for hardship assistance. The City was determined to be eligible for the maximum \$5 million hardship Centennial grant and low interest loan in the FY 2009 Water Quality Combined Final Offer and Applicant List. Ecology provided the City with only a \$2,923,104 hardship grant in FY 2009 due to limited funding available to the facility hardship category. Based on the City's total hardship eligibility of \$21,155,482, Ecology is proposing to provide the maximum allowable hardship funding with a combination of Recovery Act dollars of \$13,256,430 in forgivable principal loan and \$858,441 in Centennial grant. The City is also proposed for a combination of Recovery Act standard loan of \$8,859,747 and Revolving Fund loan of \$166,707 with 0.6% interest rate and 20 year term on this FY 2010 list based on rule (Chapter 173.98 WAC) and subject to legislative appropriation of funds. The loan amount is less than requested due to category and total ceiling limitations identified in rule (Chapter 173.98 WAC).
- 5. The project is designated a nonpoint activity under the Revolving Fund program and funded from the 20 percent nonpoint activity loan category in accordance with Chapter 173.98 WAC.
- 6. Funds offered are less than requested because, by rule, an applicant cannot receive more than half of the funds available in the Revolving Fund facility category.

- 7. Funds offered are less than requested because this is the last priority project that can be funded based on available dollars for the Revolving Fund facility or activity category, available Centennial grant dollars, or available Recovery Act dollars.
- 8. The applicant requested financial hardship consideration for their project, however Ecology has determined that financial hardship criteria were not met based on data submitted and funding program rules.
- 9. The applicant requested loan funding; however after higher priority projects were proposed for funding, no loan funds remain available.
- 10. The proposed project included design and construction elements that did not meet Ecology's facility planning and approval requirements for readiness to proceed. The project is eligible to compete for planning elements only.
- 11. Projects funded with Recovery Act monies must meet all federal requirements for environmental review and federal cross cutters, and Recovery Act funding conditions including prevailing wage rates (Davis-Bacon), American iron, steel, and manufactured goods, and reporting requirements.
- 12. The project is qualified under the Green Project Reserve category of the Recovery Act fund and is eligible for a 50 percent forgivable principal loan.
- 13. This is a Step 4 Design and Construction project submitted under the Supplemental Application Period and in order to be eligible for funding, complete and approvable design and specification documents must be submitted to Ecology by September 17, 2009.
- 14. The project had a tie score with an adjacent project on the priority list and the project that received a higher score on Question 3 of the application received the higher priority position on the list.
- 15. Funding proposed on the revised draft list was declined by the applicant. The funding was offered to other applicants on the list.
- 16. Revolving Fund funding proposed on the revised draft list was reduced \$300,000 at the request of the applicant and the applicant declined the proposed ARRA funding. The funding was offered to other applicants on the list.

This page purposely left blank

# Attachment 2

**Project Summaries** 

This page is intentionally left blank.

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title							
FP10C04094	Spokane County	<b>Regional Water Reclamation Facility</b>							
(MGD) (annual Spokane, com to achieve com on the waste le	al average) regional water reclamation facility at a lo monly referred to as the former Stockyards. The re- npliance upon commencement of operation with National States (States States	nt(s), the design and construction of portions of an 8 million gallons per day ocation east of Freya Street and south of Boone Avenue in the city of gional water reclamation facility will be planned, designed, and constructed tional Pollution Discharge Elimination Standard effluent limitations based I Lake Spokane Dissolved Oxygen Total Maximum Daily Load Water							
FP10013	Airway Heights, City of	Water Reclamation and Recharge Project							
Green Project	Reserve Water Efficiency								
system improv water to local funding reques	vements to transport wastewater to the new treatmen customers. Construction of an aquifer recharge systemeters	m wastewater from the City of Airway Heights. Construction of collection t facilities. Construction of infrastructure improvements to provide reuse em to percolate reclaimed water to a stressed West Plains aquifer. The tion of this phase has been funded and construction will begin in the Spring to complete the project.							
SA10030	Bremerton Public Works and Utilities	Gorst Sewerage Project							
	the design and construction of trunk sewers, pump s Jrban Growth Area.	stations, and appurtenances to develop a sanitary sewer collection system							
SA10058	SA10058 Skykomish, Town of Skykomish Wastewater Facilities Project: Phase 2A								
pipelines, side	Phase 2A of the Town's sewer system is a continuation of the wastewater treatment and collection system project and includes small diameter pipelines, side sewer connections, pump stations, new septic tanks, abandonment of existing tanks and a new secondary treatment plant prior to discharge to a drain field.								
SA10060	Roslyn, City of	Sewer System Improvements							
	ay pipes that were installed near the turn of the 20th	torm lines with new dedicated PVC sewer pipes. The existing sewer pipes Century and contribute significant quantities of infiltration and inflow to							
FP10023	Pierce County	Septic Repair Grant and Loan Project							
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative								
Continue and expand Pierce County's financial assistance program for homeowners to repair or replace failing and antiquated on-site sewage systems. The program priorities are to resolve sources of sewage that pose a health or water quality risk to shellfish growing areas, 303(d) listed waterbodies, Puget Sound, or other surface water threats.									
1 0	uthorities include: the Puget Sound Partnership Acti te management plans; and Kitsap County's on-siteld	on Area Profile Tables in the North Central Action Area; Pierce and Kitsap lentification and Correction program.							
SA10031	Bremerton Public Works and Utilities	Gorst Septic System Replacement Project							
	design and construction of abandonment of existing ewer laterals on private property within the Gorst U	septic systems and replacement with low-pressure sewers, grinder pumps GA.							
SA10054	Raymond and South Bend cities	Willapa Regional Wastwater Facilities							
regional facili	South Bend and Raymond will construct a regional WWTP at Raymond's WWTP site. Conveyance infrastructure to transport flows to the egional facility will include new force mains, upgrades to pump station, and a new Willapa River crossing. The regional WWTP will mprove water quality in the Willapa River and Estuary.								

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title		
FP10047	Wilbur, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Project		
The Town of Wilbur proposes to replace its existing wastewater lagoons with an extended aeration secondary treatment plant. The proposed facility will improve seasonal effluent discharges to Goose Creek and will also discharge to constructed wetlands to comply with the Town's NPDES permit and a Consent Decree.				
FP10063	Sequim, City of	Water Reclamation Facility Upgrade & Expansion		
The Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) Expansion includes a modified equalization basin, a compartmentalized aeration basin designed to remove nitrogen, a new secondary clarifier, installation of new effluent cloth filters, and an upgrade to the UV disinfection system. These improvements increase the volume and reliability of Class A reclaimed water production.				
FP10001	Uniontown, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade		
Town of Uniontown Wastewater Rehabilitation Project - Lining Existing lagoons and constructing wetlands for effluent polishing: stopping the contamination of groundwater beneath the lagoons.				
SA10056	Cathlamet, Town of	WWTP Relocation Conveyance Infrastructure Project		
Cathlamet will construct a pump station and forcemain to the Town's new 0.383 MGD WWTP upland of the Columbia River floodplain. A new effluent pipeline will be constructed to convey treated wastewater to the existing WWTP outfall. This funding request is only for the pump station and two conveyance lines.				
SA10068	Rock Island, City of	Rock Island Wastewater Treatment Facility		
A wastewater collection system, part of new wastewater treatment facility for the City of Rock Island. The system will serve the corporate limits and a portion of the UGA. The facilities are designed for the capacity to expand. This proposal addresses critical local issues, including public health, environment, and economy.				
SA10087	Olympia, City of	Enhanced Treatment of Stormwater Runoff at Yauger Park		
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure			
This project will develop the southern 10 acres of city owned park land as green infrastructure. Low impact development features include: a water quality treatment wetland, bio-retention ponds, a 5000 square foot rain garden and biofiltration swales, a new parking lot with porous pavement, eith smaller rain gardens, and water harvesting and re-use for irrigation. The project area will be lowered 3 feet to add 12-14 acre feet of storage for stormwater runoff and provide enhanced treatment for 840 acre feet of runoff per year. This project implements action item Urban 2, which promotes low impact development, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
FP10027	Kittitas Co. Water District #6	Vantage Sewer Lift Station Replacement		
Kittitas County Water District #6 (Vantage) will replace an aging and obsolete sewage lift station at their waste water treatment plant adjacent to the Columbia River. A direct discharge into the Columbia River is likely if the existing system fails. Vantage is a small community with limited resources.				
FP10021	Shelton, City of	Goldsborough Creek Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project		
The Goldsborough Creek Sanitary Sewer Improvements project consists of constructing a new pump station, and gravity sewer and force main pipelines. The new pump station will facilitate wastewater flow from south Shelton and the existing exposed sanitary sewer pipelines in the Goldsborough Creek streambed will be abandoned.				
FP10064	Arlington, City of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion		
The City of Arlington is upgrading its wastewater treatment plant to produce effluent of reclaimed water quality. This will enable the plant's discharge to meet the Stillaguamish River TMDL requirements, improve Puget Sound water quality, support Port Susan's shellfish bed restoration, and expand water management opportunities in the Stillaguamish basin.				
SA10041	Everett Public Works	Bond Street Combined Sewer Overflow Control Facilities		
The Bond Street CSO Control Facilities project would construct a combined sewer treatment facility consisting of an underground 1,000,000 gallon retention treatment basin and an above ground structure for screening, disinfection, pumps, and odor control. CSOs would be diverted from Port Gardner Bay outfalls to the retention treatment basin.				

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title		
FP10014	Bridgeport, City of	Bridgeport Wastewater System Facility Plan		
The City of Bridgeport mechanical wastewater treatment plant is operating at or above design capacity and upgrades must be made in order to maintain adequate capacity. In 2005, a Capacity Evaluation was performed which was driven by Condition S.4 of the state wastewater permit. This report was written to be considered as an addendum to the 2001 approved General Sewer Plan for the City. The next step in increasing the City's wastewater capacity is to incorporate the information from the Capacity Study into a Facility Plan. Increasing capacity will improve treatment and help minimize the impact on the Columbia River which is home to seasonal salmon runs. This application is for planning money to complete a Facility Plan, analyzing treatment plant improvement alternatives.				
FP10002	Goldendale, City of	Little Klickitat River Sewerline Replacement Project		
Little Klickitat Sewerline Replacement Project. This project will consist of the replacement of 10,150 lineal feet of sewerline and one pump station. High inflow rates which have resulted in past discharge violations (2006) have been determined to be caused from deteriorated sewerlines within the floodplain of the Little Klickitat River.				
SA10118	Cowlitz County	Ryderwood Infiltration and Inflow Removal		
This project will complete the replacement of defective sewer collection system, to significantly reduce the amount of infiltration and inflow (I&I), in the 81% LMI Community of Ryderwood. I&I adversely impacts WWTP performance by increasing the amount of influent flow to the WWTP and by diluting the wasteload in the influent wastewater.				
SA10037	Kittitas, City of	WWTF Improvements Project		
The City of Kittitas is proposing to replace the existing comminutor at the WWTF headworks with a fine screen, replace the existing influent pumps with new more reliable pumps, and add instrumentation and programming to provide ORP control at the SBR basins.				
SA10119	Mason County	Belfair Wastewater and Water Reclamation Facilities		
Shovel Ready/Green Infrastructure Project including construction of a wastewater collection/treatment system for Belfair UGA. System is designed to eliminate septic tanks within the UGA to address water quality issues associated with Hood Canal. Wastewater will be treated to Class A reclaimed water standards and irrigated to forest land.				
FP10060	Lake Stevens Sewer District	Sunnyside Wastewater Treatment Facility (Construction)		
The District's new Sunnyside WWTF will implement Membrane BioReactor treatment technology, producing Class A Reuse Water. Construction of the new WWTF will allow the District to remove the existing facility from the floodplain, and improve water quality in the Snohomish River while providing for 20 years of growth.				
FP10067	Ridgefield, City of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements		
	he proposed improvements to the City of Ridgefield Wastewater Treatment Plant will expand the rated capacity of the facility to 1.0 MGD. Inprovements will include nitrification to reduce the ammonia nitrogen discharged to Lake River.			
SA10061	Camas, City of	Phase II Wastewater Facilities Improvements		
The Phase II WWTF Improvements Project will upgrade the City of Camas WWTF to ensure treatment reliability and redundancy and provide exceptional quality biosolids for reuse as fertilizer in the region. New operational control systems will be integrated with the facility's existing systems.				
FP10018	Alderwood Water and Wastewater District	Picnic Point Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade Project		
Increase capacity of the Picnic Point Wastewater Treatment Facility from 3mgd to 6mgd to accommodate growth and existing residents currently using septic systems. Facility will include Membrane Bioreactors resulting in increased reliability and high water quality discharge to Puget Sound, improved water quality of local streams from elimination of septic systems, and produce a Class A biosolids.				
SA10027	Sultan, City of	Centrifuge Project		
This Centrifuge Project is an early phase of the City's WWTP upgrade project and will be used as part of future phases. The total Centrifuge Project cost includes engineering, construction, and construction oversight for the replacement of a solids thickening system with a centrifuge to double solids capacity and concentration.				

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title		
SA10085	Island Co. Health Department	On-Site Repair Financial Assistance Program		
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative			
The program continues a local loan fund providing financial assistance to private citizens to repair failing on-site sewage systems. A priority system is used to identify and fund failing systems with the most critical water quality, public health, and citizen need for low interest funding. This project implements action item Urban 17, Test innovative approaches for providing funds to homeowners to repair failing onsite systems, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
FP10062	Town of Cathlamet	Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation Project (Design)		
The Town of Cathlamet will replace its existing 3-cell lagoon wastewater treatment plant and build a new WWTP out of a floodplain. The new 0.383 MGD WWTP will include an oxidation ditch, UV disinfection, and aerobic digestion. A new conveyance line and effluent line will be constructed as part of the relocation.				
SA10075	Vancouver, City of	Burnt Bridge Creek Greenway Expansion & Riparian Restor.		
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure			
This Burnt Bridge Creek Restoration Project will transform 26 city owned acres into functional wetlands and riparian forest. The City will use this area to intercept and treat stormwater runoff. Stormwater controls will include LID techniques, bioretention, groundwater infiltration, and greeenspace protection. This project implements action item urban 4, identify and participate in a low impact project and research the applicability of LID to regional hydrogeolgy, soils, and climatic conditions, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
SA10084	Whatcom County	Whatcom County On-Site Repair Loan Program		
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative			
Whatcom County will establish and implement a low-interest loan program for the repair of failing on-site sewage systems (OSS) modeled after Skagit County's successful program. In addition to low-interest loans, the program will include community education, technical assistance, and OSS evaluation rebates. This project implements action item Urban 17, Test innovative approaches for providing funds to homeowners to repair failing onsite systems, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
FP10022	Shelton, City of	Satellite Water Reclamation Plant Construction Project		
Constructing a Satellite Water Reclamation Plant is part of the Shelton Area Regional Water and Wastewater Plan to increase capacity for growth and development while reducing impacts on natural resources. City operated Satellite Plant will service state facilities with wastewater management and produce Class A reclaimed water for beneficial uses.				
SA10073	Spokane County	2009 Septic Tank Elimination Program		
The County's 2009 STEP will extend sewer service to 1,000 parcels in the Spokane Valley, helping to protect the region's sole-source aquifer through elimination of existing on-site treatment systems. The work will be accomplished under five construction contracts. All Notices to Proceed will be issued within 120 days.				
SA10062	Longview, City of	West Longview Sewer Lagoons Diversion		
Project will divert all wastewater flows from West Longview Lagoons to the Three Rivers Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (TRRWTP), discontinuing sewage treatment and effluent discharge at Lagoons. This will be accomplished by upgrading existing pump stations, installing forcemains and gravity piping, and purchasing capacity at TRRWTP for the diverted flows.				
SA10076	Ritzville, City of	Wastewater Treatment Lagoon Rehabilitation and Upgrade		
Project will rehabilitate and restore to service the existing failed wastewater treatment Lagoon Cell 3 and rehabilitate remaining three lagoon cells that show indications of similar failure to eliminate groundwater and surface water contamination, and return the facility to usable service.				

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title		
SA10086	Clark County	Upper Whipple Creek Habitat Protection and Enhancement		
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure			
The Upper Whipple Creek Habitat Protection and Runoff Control Project will protect and enhance 40 acres of critical habitat and wetlands in Clark County. The area will was chosen as a critical site to protect the rest of Whipple Creek from stormwater runoff from anticipated development upstream. The project includes: grade control to protect wetland complexes, valley-spanning log jams to attenuate future runoff, riparian area rehabilitation, and other techniques to control and treat stormwater runoff. This project implements action items, Urban 4 and Habitat 1, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
SA10063	LOTT Alliance	Deschutes Parkway to Tumwater Reclaimed Water Pipeline		
Green Project	Reserve Water Efficiency			
The LOTT Deschutes Parkway to Tumwater Reclaimed Water Line Project extends reclaimed water distribution from the Budd inlet Treatment Plant to Tumwater. Reclaimed water will be distributed to Heritage Park, Marathon Park, Tumwater Falls Park, and Tumwater Municipal Golf Course. The project will also convert one of the Descutes Parkway forcemain pipelines to a reclaimed water conveyance line and to also construct a new 1,900 foot reclaimed water pipeline.				
SA10055	Westport, City of	Energy Efficiency, Headworks, and Solids Handling		
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Energy Efficiency			
WWTF Improvements include modifications to increase treatment efficiency and effectiveness including the installation of a variable frequency drive on the aeration blower, compost building ventilation system, a splitter box upstream of the oxidation ditches, an air gap system, screw press, and replacement of the aging headworks with an improved headworks. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.				
FP10042	Albion, Town of	Wastewater Collection System Improvements		
The project will consist of 1) rehabilitation of selected sewer mains and manholes and 2) the installation of a new sewage lift station to convey sewage from the collection system to the Town's existing wastewater treatment plant.				
FP10007	La Center, City of	La Center Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade		
The project involves completing the first phase of La Center's wastewater treatment plant upgrade. The existing sequencing batch reactors will be converted to membrane bioreactors. The plant will produce effluent that exceeds water quality requirements for discharge to the East Fork Lewis River. The project will expand the operation of the plant from its current maximum month capacity of 0.56 MGD to 1.04 MGD, enabling the plant to serve an equivalent population of 6,941 by year 2017.				
SA10100	Tacoma, City of	City of Tacoma Stormwater Treatment Retrofit Project		
Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure				
To reduce pollution, the City of Tacoma will install an offline media filtration system to provide water quality treatment to stormwater runoff from a specific industrial/commercial area within a larger drainage basin. This environmentally innovative project will evaluate the effectiveness of targeted treatment within an outfall area.				
SA10051	Seattle Public Utilities	Venema Natural Drainage System		
Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure				
The Venema Creek Drainage System Project will use low impact development techniques to reduce urban stormwater runoff and achieve TMDL implementation objectives in the most important subbasin of Seattle's Piper's Creek. The project will significantly benefit water quality, wet weather flow reduction, and salmon survival in the watershed. Implements action item Urban 4 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title		
SA10046	Soos Creek Water and Sewer District	Lift Station 5B West Forcemain Replacement		
Construction of new sanitary sewer forcemain to replace corroded and failing ductile iron pipe with approximately 2,020 lineal feet of 12- inch and 2,000 lineal feet of 18-inch PVC forcemain and appurtenances. Goals of project are to reduce direct human contact risk and wetland and salmon stream exposure to untreated wastewater.				
SA10025	Yakima, City of	Yakima POTW Upgrades		
City of Yakin	City of Yakima Regional POTW Upgrades: Replacement of covers on three secondary digesters with new membrane			
FP10057	King Co. DNR, Wastewater Treatment Div.	Kent/Auburn Conveyance System Improvements - Phase A		
Preparation of final design for Phase A of the Kent/Auburn Conveyance System Improvements (formerly known as SW Interceptors) to increase capacity of the sewer system in its Green River South Planning Area. Pipe capacities will be increased by a combination of paralleling existing pipes with new pipes, re-routing flows from over-capacity pipes to pipes with spare capacity, and replacing existing pipes with larger pipes.				
SA10110	Kittitas Co. Public Health Department	Wilson Creek Sub-Basin Septic System Project		
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative			
Fecal coliform pollution is found in many water bodies in the Wilson Creek sub-basin of Kittitas County. KCPHD proposes an OSS education, information, technical assistance and replacement program that includes 1) cooperative approach to pollution identification and correction 2) comprehensive education outreach effort 3) replacement program for OSS failures. This project implements action item Urban 17 from Washington State's nonpoint plan. Urb 17: Test innovative approaches for providing funds to homeowners to repair failing onsite systems.				
SA10014	Cusick, Town of	Cusick Wastewater System		
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Energy Efficiency			
Town of Cusick Rehabilitation Project Phase 3 - Fianl phase of rehabilitation project: I/I removal, reconstructing and refurbishing 30 year old USK lift stations with new pumps, electrical system, alarms, and safety features; making gas chlorination system safe by modifying chlorine room and replacing chlorine equipment.				
SA10034	Snohomish, City of	Phase 1 CSO Reduction Facilities		
Construction of combined sewage overflow reduction facilities.				
SA10081	Richland, City of	WWTP Aeration Basin Energy Improvements		
Green Project Reserve Energy Efficiency				
Replacement of an energy intensive inefficient aeration process with a plug flow fine bubble aeration system. The improvements will reduce energy consumption by more than 70% and reduce the discharge of suspended solids, biochemical oxygen demand and nitrogen into the Columbia River.				
SA10053	Seattle Public Utilities	Ballard Green Streets		
Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure				
CSO basin retrofit using bioretention cells, a Green Stormwater Infrastructure technique. Bioretention will be places along ten street blocks to provide CSO reduction, reduce energy demand on King County's pumping station, and provide a regional dataset on costs to more effectively incorporate this technique into other water quality projects. Implements action item Urban 4 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title					
FP10078	LOTT Alliance Budd Inlet Treatment Plant Improvements Design						
of two major o	The LOTT Alliance is requesting SRF funding for a design engineering contract for an upgrade of the Budd Inlet Treatment Plant consisting of two major construction projects. The first construction project will be the Primary Sedimentation Project. This will be followed by the Process Improvement Construction Project						
SA10093	Kitsap County         South Central Conveyance Main Replacement						
The current co		d constructing 4,810 feet of 14-inch HDPE force main for increased flows. ulting in "no-contact" advisory warnings. The risk of future spills is					
SA10111	Spokane, City of	West Broadway SURGE					
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure						
flow to the con This project in	mbined sewer, reduce CSO discharge to Spokane Ri	use Low Impact Development (LID) techniques to: decrease stormwater iver, evaluate LID strategies in Spokane's climate and educate the public. point plan. Urb 4: Identify and participate in a low impact project and drogeology, soils, and climactic conditions.					
SA10039	Walla Walla County         Green Infrastructure for Improved Stormwater Quality						
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure						
Development pollution prev	outreach campaign to distribute educational materia	areas in Walla Walla County; Implement green infrastructure/Low Impact Is on water conservation and rain hervesting, water conservation and waterbodies. This project implements action item Urban 4 from					
SA10072	Skagit Co. Planning and Development Services	Skagit County Local Loan Fund					
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative						
The primary goal of this State Revolving Fund loan is to improve surface and ground water quality and keep shellfish bays open in Skagit County. The SRF loan fund will continue to provide funds to land/home owners for improvements for septic systems impacting water quality. This project implements Urban 17, Test innovative approaches for providing funds to homeowners to repair failing onsite systems, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.							
FP10015	Airway Heights, City of	South-Central Septic System Elimination Project					
Install approximately 2,600 feet of new sewer main, and side services to an area in South central Airway Heights. These sewer mains will eliminate approximately 56 residential units from septic systems. Sewage from these units will be treated at the City's new Water Reclamation Plant, with the reclaimed water being utilized through reuse and recharge of the aquifer. Septic systems in the area are contaminating the groundwater, resulting in rising Nitrate levels in the City's drinking water wells.							
SA10079	Spokane County Conservation District	SRF Direct Seed Equipment Loan Program					
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative						
The SRF Dire	ct Seed Equipment Purchase Program will assist far	mers in 14 counties with purchasing conservation tilliage equipment,					

The SRF Direct Seed Equipment Purchase Program will assist farmers in 14 counties with purchasing conservation tilliage equipment, making the transition to direct seeding economically feasible. The benefits of conservation tilliage operations include decreased erosion, providing improved water quality and salmonid habitat protection through reductions in sediment and associated nutrients. This project implements action item Agriculture 6, actively engage producer groups in implementing new BMPs, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.

Application Number	Applicant Name	licant Name Project Title				
SA10029	Bremerton, City of	Bremerton Stormwater Retrofit/Low Impact Development				
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure					
Retrofit of streets and drainage systems in "ultra urban" downtown Bremerton using permeable paving, biofiltration, and bioretention Low- Impact-Development (LID) strategies to reduce runoff to 303d-listed Sinclair Inlet. Demonstrating effectiveness and providing thorough documentation will offer guidance to future efforts in Bremerton and other regions. This project implements action item Urban 4 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.						
SA10074	Spokane County         Wastewater Conveyance System and Outfall					
plus SVI Pum		ations and four force mains, plus Spokane River outfall. NVI Pump Station sed Spokane County Regional Water Reclamation Facility (SCRWRF). Havana Street.				
SA10067	Yakima, City of	Lincoln Avenue Grade Separation Storm Drainage				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
	rainage system that will retain and treat stormwater of nplements action item Urban 4 from Washington St	lrainage at the Lincoln Ave. underpass using best management practices. ate's nonpoint plan.				
SA10112	Spokane, City of	Lincoln Street SURGE				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
flow to the continuity of the	mbined sewer, reduce CSO discharge to the Spokan	se Low Impacte Development (LID) techniques to decrease stormwater e River, evaluate LID strategies in Spokane's climate. This project rb 4: Identify and participate in a low impact project and research the soils, and climactic conditions.				
SA10095	Bremerton, Port of	Kitsap Sustainable Energy & Economic Development (SEED)				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
Highly visible industrially-zoned business park uses Low-Impact-Development biofiltration/bioretention and pervious pavement techniques to mimic natural hydrology, enhance water quality treatment, maintain ecological integrity, and infiltrate runoff to reduce volume/delay peak flows achieving 90% containment/100% treatment of stormwater draining to Union River/Hood Canal with public outreach/education to increase LID acceptance. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.						
SA10113	Spokane, City of	Treatment Plant Headworks				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
The new Headworks for the Riverside Park Water Reclamation Facility will replace the existing 30-year old system. The project will include an energy dissipating box, finer screens, waster compactors, grit system and plant-wide valve replacement.						
SA10103	Mason County	Green Infrastructure Stormwater Treatment Projects				
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative					
This project will apply environmentally innovative water quality treatment features to stormwater drainages in Mason County locations where water quality problems have been identified. This includes drainages to Hood Canal, Case Inlet, and Oakland Bay. This project implements action item Urban 8 from Washington State's nonpoint plan. Urban 8 states, continue to research stormwater technologyto address stormwater problems.						

Application							
Number	Applicant Name	Project Title					
SA10047	Pierce County	Pierce County Intergrated Water Resource Management					
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure						
Creates five full-time and up to 60 seasonal jobs for Pierce County's compliance with its NPDES stormwater permit through green stormwater infrastructure; innovative approaches including stormwater facilities technical assistance and cost-share; on-site repair financial assistance; monitoring; watershed council support; regional outreach; invasive species control; habitat restoration; rain gardens; drywell retrofits. This project implements action items Urban 2, Urban 4, and Urban 12 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.							
SA10080	080 Spokane Co. Conservation District Direct Seed BMP Project						
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Environmentaly Innovative						
will be purcha	used for demonstration and educational use and cost project implements action item Agriculture 6, active	on of no-till farming in Spokane and Whitman counties. A Cross-Slot drill share dollars will be utilized to put substantial new direct seed acres on the ely engage producer groups in implementing new BMPs, from Washington					
FP10058	King Co. DNR, Wastewater Treatment Div.	Brightwater Treatment Plant - Solids/Odor Control Facilities					
permanent site testing, trainir	e, architectural, structural, process mechanical, HVA	y's new Brightwater Treatment Plant site. Includes all temporary and AC, building services, electrical, and instrumentation and control work and ard piping, site electrical, stormwater management and dewatering. Solids and centrifuge dewatering.					
SA10050	Battle Ground, City of	Battle Ground Lagoon Decommissiong					
	ound Lagoon Decommissioning Project consists of p Station. These two components currently compris	the City of Battle Ground's sewage lagoon, and upgrade of the City's e the City's flow equalization system.					
SA10083	Naches-Selah Irrigation District	Piping of No 3 and Lower No 2 Laterals					
Green Project	Reserve Water Efficiency						
PVC and HDI	PE pipe. This will replace wooden and concrete flue a long term goal of a pressurized system. Implement	2 Lateral (19,000 feet) with approximately 41,000 feet of 48 inch to 6 inch mes, open canals, and failing pipelines leaving an enclosed delivery system ats action item Agriculture 10, Irrigation Efficiencies, from Washington					
FP10056	King Co. DNR, Wastewater Treatment Div.	Brightwater Plant - Influent Pump Station					
The pump stat		tion at King County's new Brightwater Wastewater Treatment Plant site. dable to 170 mgd. The pump station will include 4 two-stage pumping ars.					
SA10108	King Co. DNR, Water and Land Resources Div.	Vashon-Maury Island Marine Recovery Area On-Site Sewage					
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure						
Provide loan funding to design, permit and construct upgrade/replacement of approximately 45 failing on-site sewage systems, including water efficiency measures to reduce OSS loading, in the Vashon Maury Island Marine Recovery Area. Project qualifies as "green infrastructure" and loans will be provided via contractual agreement with ShoreBank Enterprise Cascadia. This project implements action item Urban 19 from Washington State's nonpoint plan Urb 19 states: Test innovative approaches for onsite systems that remove nutrients during treatment.							
SA10082	Asotin County	Phase II Stormwater Permit Implementation					
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure						
Implementation nonpoint plan	Implementation of NPDES Phase II permit and LID demonstration projects. Implements action item Urban 2 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.						

Application Number	Applicant Name     Project Title					
SA10106	King County	Taylor Creek Wetland Improvement				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
project will in and long-term	clude an improvement to the existing piped outfall f	e an existing wetland to twice its current area, approximately 2.5 acres. The from the wetland, native plantings, removal of the illegally dumped material, ints action item Habitat 7 from Washington State's nonpoint plan. Habitat 7 on Program.				
SA10023	Yakima, City of	Yakima POTW Green Riparian Dischage Alternative				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
water quality will be introdu	and address TMDL issues by reducing Yakima Rive aced into the area prior to entry into the Yakima Riv	ea on City-owned property adjacent to the POTW, which will improve r temperature, nutrients, BOD, and TSS. Treated effluent from the POTW er. This will also resolve Gap-to-Gap levee setback/removal issues, expand implements action item Urban 2 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
SA10097	Kitsap County	Central Kitsap Wastewater Treatment Pant Upgrade				
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Energy Efficiency					
design life the creating redur	reby assuring reliability, accommodating additional adancy through staged approach that reduces overall	existing facility by first replacing aging headworks beyond their useful flow, protecting water quality, meeting discharge requirements, and costs by funding key elements with the largest impact. This action ct development strategies under Washington State's Green Building				
SA10078	Washougal, City of	E Street Improvements Project				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
in the City of	Washougal; the larger E street project will improve	mpact Development strategies. E street is the principle east-west arterial safety and level of service while creating a multimodal transportation ction item Urban 4, from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
SA10116	Spokane, City of	Hazel's Creek Low Impact Demonstration Project				
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
The Hazel's Creek Low Impact Development (LID) Demonstration Project includes; paved access road with LID (rain garden) treatment, a small paved LID parking lot, viewing platform deck built with LID and LEED methods, interpretive panes, and an LID demonstration area near the deck with a pervious walkway. This project implements action item Urban 4 from the state's nonpoint plan. Urb 4: Identify and participate in a low impact project and research the applicability of low-impact techniques to regional hydrogeology, soils, and climactic conditions.						
SA10019	Edmonds, City of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Energy Efficient Upgrade				
Green Project	Reserve Energy Efficiency					
The Edmonds Wastewater Treatment Plant Energy Efficiency Upgrade consists of equipment replacements and plant modifications. Replacement of certain blowers, diffusers and pumps with high efficiency equipment combined with modifications to the effluent pumping system and incinerator equipment are expected to result in significant energy savings and improve effluent water quality. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.						

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title				
SA10052	Seattle Public Utilities	South Park Pump Station and Water Quality Facility				
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure					
will reduce po		in South Park to divert and treat Stormwater from the 236-acre basin. It by 70-90%, Copper by 20-40%, and Zinc by 50-75%. Implements action				
FP10033	<b>Cross Valley Water District</b>	Sole Source Aquifer Ambient Water Quality Sampling				
wellheads. W		racterizes ambient water quality at locations other than CVWD production g data to identify significant trends in water quality or contamination before ossible.				
<ul> <li>□ Educationa</li> <li>□ Educationa</li> </ul>	identified in Volume 3 on the Nonpoint Plan and or al Activities Ed 11: Develop and present water quali al Activities: Ed 13: Support building local capacity rogram Activities: Gen 2: Continue to promote loca	ity education in classrooms and events as requested. y for public education on water quality.				
SA10096	South Yakima Conservation District	Capturing post anaerobic digester dairy nutrients at the source				
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative					
Funds are requested for design of post-anaerobic digester dairy nutrient capture systems using high-rate algae, for purchase and operation of algae harvesting equipment, for documentation of effectiveness, and for outreach and education. Successful completion of this project will significantly reduce application of nutrients from dairies on land above nitrate- and fecal coliform-contaminated groundwater. Once established at an anaerobic digester, similar techniques will be tested at dairies which do not yet have anaerobic digesters. Implements Ag. 8 from Washington State's Nonpoint Plan: Use SRF low interest loans to help agricultural commodity groups with development and installation of BMP's the protect water pollution air pollution, and water use.						
SA10077	Moses Lake, City of	Sewer Lining - Larson and Wheeler Areas				
Thsi project si 18 inches in d		sewer main with a cured in place pipe liner. The lines shall range from 8 to				
SA10013	Wenatchee, City of	Linden Tree Park Storwmater Drainage Improvements				
The Linden T installation of	a biofiltration swale and a bypass for high flows. In	lude the installation of a flow splitter, expansion of the stormwater pond, nvasive reed canary grass and Himalayan blackberry will be removed and ion item Urban 4 from Washington State's nonpoint plan.				
SA10070	Kennewick, City of	Kennewick Wellhead Protection Project				
Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure						
The Kennewick Wellhead Protection Project will provide water quality treatment and source control for the City of Kennewick's storm drainage infiltration systems within the wellhead protection area. Catch basins will be retrofitted or replaced as required to provide for oil/water separation and sediment removal. The project will purchase a high efficiency street sweeper for source control; removing many pollutants prior to entering the system. A vactor truck will be purchased to provide for long-term maintenance, regular sediment and debris removal, and emergency spill clean-up. This project uses innovative activities and equipment, along with zero impact infrastructure to protect the aquifer. This project implements action item Urban 2 from Washington State's nonpoint plan						
SA10040	College Place, City of	Aeration System Controls and Blower Replacement Project				
Project will include 1) installation of a DO/ORP monitoring system that will provide control of the SBR aeration blowers; and 2) installation of new 100 HP high efficiency blowers equipped with variable frequency drives. This project will result in substantial energy savings as well as improved process performance.						

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title					
SA10102							
This project will modify the Central Treatment Plant's dissolved air flotation thickeners (DAFTs) to support primary and secondary sludge contribution thickening. The project benefits include improving plant operational efficiency and increasing sludge treatment and processing capacity. The project will also include upgrading the DAFT odor control system and control panels.							
SA10115	Spokane, City ofJoe Albi Rain Garden Demonstration Project						
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure						
integral parts highlighting a Urb 4: Identif	of the storm drainage management system for the fa good variety of hardy, drought tolerant plants. This	stration Project consists of four demonstration rain gardens, designed as cility. The proposed rain gardens include four different plant pallets, project implements action item Urban 4 from the state's nonpoint plan. ch the applicability of low-impact techniques to regional hydrogeology,					
SA10001	Poulsbo, City of	Central Poulsbo Sewer I&I Reduction					
	ive I & I for approxiamately 3,000 gravity sewer ma bursting and replacing associated side sewers and n	ins in Central Poulsbo (Hostmark Street, Lincoln Drive, and Swanson nanholes.					
SA10091	Quincy, City of	Water Reclamation and Industrial Reuse Utility - Phase 2					
Green Project	Reserve Water Efficiency						
	A reclaimed water from the City's Municipal Water	70,000 gallon welded steel reservoir, and two booster pump stations to Reclamation Facility to the computer data centers and industry on the east					
SA10003	Cashmere, City of	City of Cashmere Wastewater Lagoon Bio-Solid Removal					
The City of Cashmere is currently under compliance schedule issued by DOE through the City's NPDES permit for completion of wastewater facility. Prior to upgrade of City of Cashmere's wastewater facility; dredging will be required to remove all domestic bio-solids from a twenty (20) acre lagoon to make way for upgrades. This lagoon dredging is considered the first capital component of Cashmere's upgrade of the wastewater facility system. According to Cashmere's engineering report this project will cost \$2,000,000. Therefore the City of Cashmere City Council. The bio-solids will transported to a pre-determined disposal site proved by Boulder Park Inc in Douglas County. The environmentally innovate activity would be the spread bio-soild on wheat fields for "soil improvements projects".							
SA10069	Kennewick, City of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades					
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Energy Efficiency						
This project includes improvements to critical Wastewater Treatment Plant processes to enhance reliability, improve energy efficiency, and redundancy. The project replaces an aging influent bar screen with a modern screen with reduced openings. Solids that would ordinarily be removed as part of the screening process currently pass through the existing bar screen system and accumulate in downstream processes. A washer/compactor will be added to the screening system. A new Intermediate Clarifier (IC) will be installed in parallel with the existing clarifier. The additional IC will allow this process to continue during clarifier cleaning and maintenance. The additional IC will also assure that adequate clarification capacity is available when plant flows exceed 6 MGD. The project will increase the capacity of the sludge pumping station.							
SA10033	Kenmore, City of	Kenmore City Hall					
Green Project	Reserve						
Kenmore City	Kenmore City Hall is a 36,930 sf project with underground parking, community meeting rooms and administrative space. The project is on						

Kenmore City Hall is a 36,930 st project with underground parking, community meeting rooms and administrative space. The project is on track for LEED Gold rating, and this proposal will cover the cost of a Green Roof, Rain Garden and Pervious Pavement. The construction bid and project budget have been approved and construction will begin in April 2009. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.

Application Number	Applicant Name Project Title						
SA10107	King Co. DNR, Water and Land Resources Div.	Vashon Island Center Park and Ride Drainage Improvement					
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure						
stormwater po Pilot/demonst using solar lig The project in	ollutant control, water reclamation and reuse and gro ration project and public education and outreach der hting. In addition this project reduces single passen applements action item Urban 4 from Washington Sta	ility using LID techniques will produce reduced sedimentation levels, undwater treatment and recharge. Construction will include a monstrating alternatives to traditional design and construction methods, and ger commuter trips by providing convenient parking for bus commuting. ate's nonpoint plan. Urban 4 states, identify and participate in a low impact techniques to regional hydrogeology, soils, and climactic conditions.					
SA10088	Port Orchard, City of	Cedar Heights Junior High Sidewalks					
Green Project	Reserve (partial) Green Infrastructure						
	ights Junior High School Sidewalk Project instillation er that currently flows to Puget Sound and diverting	on of sidewalks, improvements to the existing storm water system and the flow to a closed infiltration system.					
SA10020	King Co. DNR, Wastewater Treatment Div	West Point Waste to Energy					
Green Project	Reserve Energy Efficiency						
purpose of ma	king use of the renewable energy source. This will plements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of	ovide digester gas at the West Point Wastewater Treatment Plant for the reduce the need for the plant to purchase commercial electrical power. of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green					
SA10117	Spokane, City of	City Hall Fixture Retrofit					
Green Project	Reserve Water Efficiency						
water consum community to	ption at City Hall, providing tangible evaluation of t	ares in City Hall. This project will promote effective water use by reducing he savings, educating the public, and providing an example for others in the ourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under					
SA10098	Tacoma, City of	Tacoma Central Treatment Plant Energy Management Facility					
Green Project	Reserve Energy Efficiency						
This project will install a gas fired co-generation unit to produce electrical power that will be fed directly into the plant's power grid. It will also include a heat recovery system to capture waste heat from the generator to be utilized to satisfy a portion of the plant's heating load. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.							
SA10109	King Co. DNR, Water and Land Resources Div.	IT Infrastructure for Multi-Jurisdictional Data Management					
management e	Develop the IT infrastructure for multi-jurisdictional water quality and quantity data management to improve monitoring effectiveness, data management efficiency, data sharing and reporting, to partially implement the Puget Sound Action Agenda, and to meet municipal NPDES stormwater permit requirements.						
SA10024	Yakima, City of	ima, City of Yakima POTW Green Cogeneration Project					
Green Project	Reserve Energy Efficiency						
The Yakima POTW will augment upgrades to its DAF, Dystor and lagoon, and improve water quality in the Yakima River by installing Green processes at the WWTP to: -Convert methane to electricity for POTW operations and provide hot water for digester and building heatConstruct a grease receiving station to provide enough methane to power 100% of the POTW operations and fulfill a community POTW need. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.							

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title				
SA10066	Walla Walla, City of	Division Street Sewer Replacement Project				
summer and a	The City of Walla Walla is replacing failing clay and concrete sewer collection lines. These lines have been exfiltrating sewage in the summer and are next to or near Mill Creek which is a 303(d) listed stream with very high levels of fecal contamination.					
SA10065	5 Walla Walla, City of North Walla Walla Sewer Replacement Project					
summer and a	Valla Walla is replacing failing clay and concrete sev re next to or near Mill Creek which is a 303(d) listed als of fecal contamination.	ver collection lines. These lines have been exfiltrating sewage in the d stream with				
SA10064	Walla Walla, City of	Oak Street and Alleyways Sewer Replacement Project				
summer and a	Valla Walla is replacing failing clay and concrete sev re next to or near Mill Creek which is a 303(d) listed als of fecal contamination.	ver collection lines. These lines have been exfiltrating sewage in the d stream with				
SA10036	Spangle, Town of	Waste Activated Sludge Storage Tank				
shed, however process of the down today, V lagoon which the water qual	r insufficient construction funds were available to co plant but provides a backup storage facility in the c VAS would be discharged to the existing lagoons. W eventually discharges into Spangle Creek. Removir	e approved for construction with the recently completed filter cake storage omplete the holding tank. This project does not change the treatment ase that the filter press is not operable. If the belt filter press were to break With the addition of the WAS tank, only treated effluent would enter the ng 100% of the WAS from the lagoons is a vital component of improving an Creek. The Hangman Creek TMDL for coliforms makes projects such				
SA10094	Mason Co. PUD No. 3	Johns Prairie Operations Facility and Sustainability Center				
Green Project Reserve Energy Efficiency and Green Infrastructure Mason County PUD No. 3 will be integrating Green Infrastructure in the construction of a new Operations Facility and PUD 3 Sustainability Center on Johns Prairie Road north of Shelton. In order to minimize impacts on the Johns Creek watershed, the PUD will seek LEED certification for the facility. This action implements ACTIVITY 5: Encourage the expansion of low-imipact development strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.						
SA10017	Gig Harbor, City of	WWTP Outfall Improvements				
The City of Gig Harbor plans to construct a new 9,200 LF wastewater treatment plant outfall extension. The project replaces the existing 10- inch sewage outfall pipe and two-port diffuser in Gig Harbor with a new 24-inch pipe and diffuser extending into Colvos Passage outside of Gig Harbor.						
SA10005	San Juan Co. Health Community Services	San Juan On-site Failure Repair				
Green Project	Reserve Environmentally Innovative					
This project involves the provision of revolving loan funds to property owners in San Juan County for the proper repair of failing on-site septic systems. Eligible recipient will be identified through marketing and through education of on-site septic system contractors (designers, installers, pumpers, O&M) and system owners (homeowner on-site septic maintenance classes). Implements action item Urban 17 of Washington State's Nonpoint Plan.						

Application NumberApplicant Name		Project Title				
SA10114	4 Spokane, City of Neva-Wood LID Parking Lot					
Green Project	Green Project Reserve Green Infrastructure					
Street. The existe design inconpoint plan	xpansion includes 14 new conventional parking stall cluding a rain garden, permeable pavers, and interpre-	Service parking lot at the intersection of Broad Avenue and Addison as and incorporates the principles of sustainable, low impact development etive signs. This project implements action item Urban 4 from the state's oject and research the applicability of low-impact techniques to regional				
SA10042	Everett, City of	BNSF Delta Yard - Sewer Protection Project				
	Yard - Sewer protection project will protect three co oad yard from additional railroad loading due to ins	mbined sewer pipelines and three combined sewer overflows located within tallation of 4 new railroad tracks.				
SA10104	104         Goldendale, City of         Algae and Wastewater-to-Biofue					
City of Golde		ronmentally Innovative Energy Recovery Project. This action implements nent strategies under Washington State's Green Building Initiative.				
SA10002	A10002 Poulsbo, City of Mesford Street LID Improvements					
Green Project	Reserve Green Infrastructure					
shoulders for		g road and installing Low Impact Development (LID) features (impervious and other storm drain improvements. This project would implement action lan.				
SA10004	West Sound Utility District	Wastewater Treatment Facility Wall Replacement				
Green Project	Reserve Energy Efficient					
		low panel seals have failed. The wall has unacceptable heat insulation ace this 110'x11' glass window wall with a modern energy efficient wall.				

## Attachment 3

## Proposed Schedule of Payments from the EPA to the State of Washington

### Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund State Fiscal Year 2010 Draft Intended Use Plan Proposed Schedule of Payments from EPA to the State of Washington and

### American Recovery & Reinvestment Act Funds (Recovery Funds)

Federal Quarter	<b>Requested FFY 2009 Title VI Grant Payments</b>		
7/1/09	\$2,958,417		
10/1/09	\$2,958,417		
1/1/10	\$5,916,834		
4/1/10	\$0		
TOTAL	\$11,833,668		

Federal Quarter	Requested American Recovery & Reinvestment Act of 2009 Capitalization Grant Payments		
4/1/09	\$22,717,300		
7/1/09	\$22,717,300		
10/1/09	\$22,717,300		
1/1/10	\$0		
TOTAL	\$68,151,900		

# Attachment 4

# **Estimated Schedule of Binding Commitments**

#### State Fiscal Year 2010 Final Intended Use Plan Estimated Schedule of Binding Commitments for the Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund and American Recovery & Reinvestment Act Funds

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title	Revolving Funds Proposed	Estimated Binding Commitment Date	Recovery Funds Proposed	Estimated Construction Start Date
FP10C04094	Spokane County	Regional Water Reclamation Facility	\$16,225,000	12/31/09		
FP10013	Airway Heights, City of	Water Reclamation and Recharge Project	\$166,707	6/15/09	\$22,974,618	6/15/09
SA10030	Bremerton Public Works and Utilities	Gorst Sewerage Project	\$568,350	6/15/09	\$4,141,200	9/15/09
SA10058	Skykomish, Town of	Skykomish Wastewater Facilities Project: Phase 2A Sewer System	\$750,000	7/15/09		
FP10023	Pierce County	Septic Repair Grant and Loan Project	\$500,000	6/15/09		
SA10031	Bremerton Public Works and Utilities	Gorst Septic System Replacement Project	\$110,000	6/15/09	\$1,283,000	9/15/09
SA10054	Raymond, City of and City of South Bend	Willapa Regional Wastewater Facilities	\$1,618,500	7/15/09	\$2,531,500	8/15/09
FP10047	Wilbur, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,876,901	7/15/09		
FP10063	Sequim, City of	Water Reclamation Facility Upgrade & Expansion			\$8,000,000	7/15/09
FP10001	Uniontown, Town of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$435,100	6/15/09	\$2,305,600	10/15/09

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title	Revolving Funds Proposed	Estimated Binding Commitment Date	Recovery Funds Proposed	Estimated Construction Start Date
SA10068	Rock Island, City of	Rock Island Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,821,595	6/15/09	\$3,402,405	8/15/09
SA10087	Olympia, City of	Yauger Park Regional Stormwater Pond Expansion Phase 1			\$3,670,000	9/15/09
FP10027	Kittitas County Water District #6	Vantage Sewer Lift Station Replacement	\$357,044	8/15/09		
FP10021	Shelton, City of	Goldsborough Creek Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,321,210	8/15/09		
FP10064	Arlington, City of	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion	\$15,007,660	6/15/09		
SA10041	Everett Public Works	Bond Street Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Control Facilities	\$6,249,652	8/15/09		
SA10118	Cowlitz County	Ryderwood Infiltration and Inflow Removal			\$2,965,000	9/15/09
SA10037	Kittitas, City of	WWTF Improvements Project			\$742,235	9/15/09
SA10119	Mason County	Belfair Wastewater and Water Reclamation Facilities			\$3,431,886	11/15/09
SA10085	Island County Health Department	On-Site Repair Financial Assistance Program			\$300,000	7/15/09
SA10075	Vancouver, City of	Burnt Bridge Creek Greenway Expansion and Riparian Restoration Project	\$1,400,000	7/15/09	\$5,200,000	9/15/09
SA10084	Whatcom County	Whatcom County Water Quality Improvement Loan Program			\$1,250,000	7/15/09
SA10086	Clark County	Upper Whipple Creek Habitat Protection and Runoff Control			\$852,578	7/15/09

Application Number	Applicant Name	Project Title	Revolving Funds Proposed	Estimated Binding Commitment Date	Recovery Funds Proposed	Estimated Construction Start Date
SA10063	LOTT Alliance	Deschutes Parkway to Tumwater Reclaimed Water Pipeline Project			\$2,357,802	7/15/09
SA10110	Kittitas County Public Health Department	Wilson Creek Sub-Basin Septic System Assessment and Replacement Project	\$267,500	8/15/09		
SA10053	Seattle Public Utilities	Ballard Green Streets	\$1,546,524	9/15/09		
SA10111	Spokane, City of	West Broadway SURGE	\$382,000	9/15/09		
SA10072	Skagit County Planning and Development Services	Skagit County Local Loan Fund	\$2,000,000	6/15/09		
SA10029	Bremerton, City of	Bremerton Stormwater Retrofit/Low Impact Development Project	\$1,303,456	9/15/09		
SA10067	Yakima, City of	Lincoln Avenue Grade Separation Storm Drainage	\$1,214,000	8/15/09		
SA10112	Spokane, City of	Lincoln Street SURGE	\$1,173,000	7/15/09		
SA10095	Bremerton, Port of	Kitsap Sustainable Energy & Economic Development (SEED)	\$1,498,972	7/15/09		
SA10103	Mason County	Green Infrastructure Stormwater Treatment Projects	\$341,478	9/15/09		