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#### Abstract

Twenty-nine chlorinated pesticides or breakdown products were analyzed in 48 fish fillet samples collected from 28 background lakes across Washington State, in 2010 and 2011. These legacy chemicals have become ubiquitous in the environment due to global use, persistence, long-range atmospheric transport, and bioaccumulation. In this study, the term background refers to waterbodies that appear to exhibit relatively low direct impact from local human activities.

The data were needed to prioritize 303(d)-listed waterbodies for water cleanup plans and support revisions to the water quality standards for chlorinated pesticides. The information can also be used to evaluate progress toward meeting cleanup targets for waterbodies where pollution control programs are already in place.

The most frequently detected compounds ( $80 \%$ or more of samples) included $4,4^{\prime}$ isomers of DDT and its breakdown products DDE and DDD, dieldrin, chlordane components, hexachlorobenzene, and mirex. 4,4'-DDE occurred in much higher concentrations than any other pesticide analyzed, with a statewide median of $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (parts per billion) and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Other detected compounds had statewide medians in the range of approximately $0.01-0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. 4,4'-DDE showed evidence of increasing concentrations moving from western to eastern Washington, a pattern not seen for any of the other pesticides.

The Department of Ecology has begun formal rule-making activities to adopt new human healthbased water quality standards for toxics that will include updated assumptions about how much fish Washington residents eat. About half of the lakes sampled in the background study would qualify for 303(d) listing (water quality limited) based on criteria tissue equivalent concentrations calculated using a fish consumption rate of 130 grams per day and Ecology’s current 303(d) listing policy. About 1 in 5 (20\%) would qualify for listing for consumption rates of 54 grams per day. Washington's current human health criteria are based on 6.5 grams per day.
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## Introduction

In 2008, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) conducted a statewide study to assess PCB and dioxin levels in fish from background lakes and rivers thought to exhibit relatively low impact from human activities (Johnson et al., 2010a). The data were needed to help prioritize other waterbodies and set targets for cleanups to remedy PCB and dioxin contamination.

This report describes results of a similar study for chlorinated pesticides, conducted by Ecology in 2012. The pesticides of primary interest were the legacy insecticides DDT, dieldrin, chlordane, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, aldrin, alpha-BHC, and toxaphene. Like PCBs, these pesticides have become ubiquitous in the environment due to global use, persistence, long-range atmospheric transport, and bioaccumulation.

Chlorinated pesticides are routinely detected in Washington’s freshwater fish (e.g., Seiders et al., 2012). There are currently over 100 listings in Category 5 of Washington's 2008 section 303(d) list for pesticide-impaired waterbodies that exceed National Toxics Rule human health criteria and/or the criteria fish tissue equivalent concentrations (FTECs) for fish consumption (Table 1). Many of the listings are for lakes and rivers with no obvious local sources of these compounds. The Clean Water Act requires that waterbodies in Category 5 have water cleanup plans or Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) developed to bring them into compliance with water quality standards (www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/links/wq_assessments.html). A TMDL determines the loading capacity of a waterbody for a pollutant and allocates the load among point and nonpoint sources in the watershed.

Table 1. Washington State Freshwater Category 5 303(d) Listings for Chlorinated Pesticides or Breakdown Products based on Human Health Criteria (2008 list): www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/links/wq_assessments.html

| Pesticide or <br> Breakdown Product | Number of <br> Waterbody <br> Listings | Percent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4,4'-DDE | 42 | $38 \%$ |
| Dieldrin | 25 | $22 \%$ |
| Chlordane | 8 | $11 \%$ |
| 4,4'-DDD | 10 | $9 \%$ |
| 4,4'-DDT | 9 | $8 \%$ |
| HCH, alpha | 6 | $5 \%$ |
| Toxaphene | 3 | $3 \%$ |
| Aldrin | 2 | $2 \%$ |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 2 | $2 \%$ |
| Heptachlor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Total $=$ |  | 108 |

Without better information on what constitutes present-day background for these ubiquitous contaminants in fish, it is difficult to prioritize which waterbodies should have cleanup plans developed and determine the feasibility and best approach for bringing listed waterbodies into compliance with standards. The information collected through this project will help prioritize the state's resources and accelerate pollution control actions related to chlorinated pesticides in freshwaters statewide. The data will also be useful in evaluating progress toward meeting cleanup targets for waterbodies where pollution control programs or TMDLs are already in place.

Ecology plans to adopt new human health criteria in the water quality standards. Part of this effort involves developing new, more accurate fish consumption rates. The current rates were developed in the 1980s and 1990s. More recent studies indicate these rates do not accurately reflect how much fish Washington residents eat (www.ecy.wa.gov/toxics/fish.html). If new criteria based on higher fish consumption rates are adopted, this could translate into lower, more conservative human health criteria. Data on chemical residues in fish from background areas provide a perspective on implications for new 303(d) listings based on more protective criteria and Ecology's current 303(d) listing policy.

## Human Health Criteria

Ecology's 303(d) listing concentrations for chlorinated pesticides in edible fish tissue are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. 303(d) Human Health Criteria Equivalent Concentrations for Chlorinated Pesticides in Edible Fish Tissue (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide or <br> Breakdown Product | Fish Tissue <br> Criterion <br> Equivalent |
| :--- | :---: |
| HCH, alpha | 0.51 |
| Aldrin | 0.61 |
| Dieldrin | 0.65 |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 1.1 |
| HCH, beta | 1.8 |
| Heptachlor | 2.4 |
| HCH, gamma | 2.5 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 6.5 |
| Chlordane | 8.0 |
| Toxaphene | 9.6 |
| 4,4'-DDT | 32 |
| 4,4'-DDE | 32 |
| 4,4'-DDD | 44 |
| alpha-Endosulphan | 251 |
| beta-Endosulphan | 251 |
| Endosulphan Sulfate | 251 |
| Endrin | 3,017 |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 3,017 |

The fish tissue equivalents are derived from EPA bioconcentration factors and human health water quality criteria established under the EPA National Toxics Rule (NTR) issued to Washington in 1992 (40 CFR Part 131; Federal Register Vol. 57, No. 246, and as updated). The criteria provide a cancer risk protection at the $10^{-6}$ (one in one million) excess lifetime cancer risk level. The criteria calculations incorporate values for average fish consumption among the general public ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day $)$, average adult weight ( 70 kg ), a drinking water ingestion rate of 2 liters of water per day (for freshwater), and an exposure duration of 70 years.

The NTR does not include human health criteria for the following pesticides and breakdown products analyzed in the present study: 2, $4^{\prime}$-DDT, $2,4^{\prime}$-DDE, $2,4^{\prime}$-DDD, delta-HCH, endrin ketone, methoxychlor, and mirex.

The human health criteria are calculated using Equation1. Note that the criteria are inversely proportional to the fish consumption rate (FC). For highly bioaccumulative chemicals like the organochlorines the water consumption term (WC) has little effect on the criteria because most of the chemical intake comes from fish.

Equation1. $\quad \boldsymbol{H H C}=\frac{\boldsymbol{R F} \times \boldsymbol{B W} \times\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{9} \mathbf{~ p g} / \boldsymbol{m g}\right)}{\boldsymbol{q} \mathbf{1}^{*} \times[\boldsymbol{W C}+(\boldsymbol{F C} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{B C F})]}$
Where:

- $\mathrm{HHC}=$ human health water quality criteria.
- RF (risk factor) = the acceptable level of cancer risk. Washington’s acceptable upper-bound excess cancer risk is one in a million $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ for a lifetime exposure.
- BW (body weight) = the average body weight of the consumer. The NTR uses an average consumer body weight of 70 kg .
- q1* (cancer slope factor) = the cancer potency of each chemical. The NTR uses a q1* of 2 per mg/kg-day for PCBs.
- $\quad \mathrm{WC}$ (water consumption) = the average daily consumption of water by a consumer. The NTR uses a water consumption rate of $2 \mathrm{~L} /$ day.
- FC (fish consumption) = the average fish tissue consumption by a consumer. The NTR uses a fish tissue consumption rate of $0.0065 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ ).
- BCF (bioconcentration factor) = the concentration of a chemical in tissue accumulated through gill and skin divided by the concentration in the water column. For example, the NTR uses a BCF of 53,600 L/kg for DDT.

The water quality criterion can be converted to an equivalent fish tissue concentration using the BCF in Equation 2, where Cw is the concentration in water and Ct is the concentration in tissue:

$$
\text { Equation2. } \quad \boldsymbol{B C F}=\frac{\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{t}}}{\boldsymbol{C}_{W}}
$$

NTR-equivalent fish tissue concentrations may then be calculated by $C_{t}=B C F \times C_{w}$.

## Project Description

The objective of this project was to characterize chlorinated pesticide residues in edible tissues (fillets) of fish from background lakes in Washington. Sensitive analytical methods were employed to achieve detection in the sub-parts per billion range.

Statistical and graphical summaries of the data are provided. The results are further evaluated by comparing with fish tissue data on other waterbodies in Washington and by identifying exceedances of human health criteria for a range of fish consumption rates.

This report focuses on the median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile to characterize the results of the pesticide analyses. The median is the value for which half the observations lie above and half the observations lie below. Median is a better measure of central tendency than the mean (average) which can be biased by a few high or low values (outliers).

Percentiles describe a location in the distribution of a data set. At the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $10 \%$ of the data lie above that value and $90 \%$ lie below. The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile is often used to define background concentrations for regulatory or investigative purposes (MTCA Cleanup Regulation WAC 173-340: Blakley et al., 1992; San Juan, 1994; Johnson et al., 2011).

The report uses the term background when referring to waterbodies that appear to exhibit relatively low direct impact from local human activities. These waterbodies are further affected to varying degrees by watershed and global-scale atmospheric influences. Given the extent of agricultural, urban, and industrial development in the Pacific Northwest and world-wide, all Washington waterbodies have been affected to at least some degree by humans.

Factors considered in waterbody selection for this study included land-use development, proximity to agriculture and industry, general local watershed conditions, and known lake management history. The study focused on lakes since larger rivers and streams often have a variety of known or potential anthropogenic influences. The sampling was also weighted toward lakes because of (1) the low diversity of fish species in most rivers that might qualify as background and (2) the greater ability of fish to move into and out of rivers as opposed to lakes. Lakes and impoundments also dominate the 303(d) list.

Forty-eight fish samples were collected from 28 background lakes in four regions of Washington, primarily during the summer and fall of 2011. One to three species were sampled in each waterbody, depending on availability. Composite fillets from each species were analyzed for 29 chlorinated pesticides or breakdown products and for lipid (fat) content.

Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program (EA Program) conducted this study, following a Quality Assurance Project Plan (Johnson, 2011) developed in accordance with the Ecology guidance in Lombard and Kirchmer (2004). Pesticides and lipids were analyzed by AXYS Analytical Services in Sidney B.C. through a contract with the Ecology Manchester Environmental Laboratory (MEL).
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## Study Design

## Target Chemicals

The fish fillet samples were analyzed for the chlorinated pesticides and breakdown products listed in Table 3. Detailed profiles on these compounds have been prepared by the Agency for Toxic Substances \& Disease Registry (www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/index.asp). The profiles include descriptions of health effects, physical/chemical properties, production and use, environmental occurrence, and regulations.

Table 3. Chlorinated Pesticides and Breakdown Products Analyzed.

| Chemical Name | CAS* Number | Year Banned or Restricted in U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCH, alpha HCH, beta HCH, delta HCH, gamma | $\begin{gathered} 319-84-6 \\ 319-85-7 \\ 319-86-8 \\ 58-89-9 \end{gathered}$ | 1977 (banned) <br> " <br> currently used |
| Heptachlor <br> Heptachlor Epoxide <br> Hexachlorobenzene | $\begin{gathered} \hline 76-44-8 \\ 1024-57-3 \\ 118-74-1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $1978 / 1987$ (heptachlor breakdown product) 1984 (banned) |
| Chlordane, oxy- <br> Chlordane, gamma (trans) <br> Chlordane, alpha (cis) | $\begin{gathered} 27304-13-8 \\ 5103-74-2 \\ 5103-71-9 \end{gathered}$ | 1978 / 1987 (chlordane) <br> (chlordane component) <br> " |
| Nonachlor, transNonachlor, cis- | $\begin{gathered} 39765-80-5 \\ 5103-73-1 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,4'-DDT } \\ & \text { 2,4'-DDT } \\ & \text { 4,4'-DDE } \\ & \text { 2,4'-DDE } \\ & \text { 4,4'-DDD } \\ & \text { 2,4'-DDD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50-29-3 \\ 789-02-6 \\ 72-55-9 \\ 3424-82-6 \\ 72-54-8 \\ 53-19-0 \end{gathered}$ | 1972 (banned) <br> (DDT component) <br> (DDT breakdown product) <br> 11 11 |
| alpha-Endosulphan <br> beta-Endosulphan <br> Endosulphan Sulphate | $\begin{gathered} \hline 959-98-8 \\ 33213-65-9 \\ 1031-07-8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2002 (restricted) $"$ (endosulphan breakdown product) |
| Aldrin | 309-00-2 | 1974 / 1987 |
| Dieldrin | 60-57-1 | 1974 / 1987 |
| Endrin <br> Endrin Aldehyde <br> Endrin Ketone | $\begin{gathered} 72-20-8 \\ 7421-93-4 \\ 53494-70-5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1979 / 1984 <br> (endrin breakdown product) |
| Methoxychlor | 72-43-5 | currently used |
| Mirex | 2385-85-5 | 1977 (banned) |
| Toxaphene | 8001-35-2 | 1982 (restricted) |

*Chemical Abstracts Service: www.cas.org

All of the compounds analyzed in this study are lipid soluble. Lipid content of the fish tissue samples was therefore determined for possible use in normalizing the data to examine species differences and spatial patterns.

## Lake Selection

Background lakes were selected by examining Washington State maps and GIS coverages showing population density, agricultural land use, public lands, annual precipitation, and wind direction. This exercise identified areas that have a low probability of being influenced by local sources of contamination.

Fisheries biologists and resource managers for the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), Colville Confederated Tribes, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, and Ecology were asked to identify potential background lakes within these areas, using the following criteria:

- Elevation under approximately $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$.
- Watershed relatively undisturbed or logging only.
- At least two non-planted fish species of catchable size.
- Good accessibility.

Based on the mapping exercise and waterbody recommendations, six to eight lakes were selected for sampling in each of four regions: Western Washington, West Slope of the Cascades, East Slope of the Cascades, and Eastern Washington (Table 4). The location of these regions relative to the Pacific Ocean air mass, urban Puget Sound, and eastern Washington agricultural basins was viewed as having potential to result in lakes with substantially different levels of legacy pesticides.

Table 4. Lakes where Fish Samples were Collected for Chlorinated Pesticide Analysis.

| Region and Lake Name | Surrounding Area | County | Lake Elevation (ft) | Lake Area (acres) | Max. <br> Depth <br> (ft) | Lat. | Long. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Olympic NP | Clallam | 29 | 7,787 | 331 | 48.100 | 124.640 |
| Tarboo | Olympic Peninsula | Jefferson | 642 | 24 | 58 | 47.924 | 122.852 |
| Cushman | Olympic NF | Mason | 731 | 4,003 | 115 | 47.470 | 123.250 |
| Wynoochee | Olympic NF | Grays Harbor | 800 | 1,120 | 175 | 47.405 | 123.587 |
| Devereaux | Kitsap Peninsula | Mason | 215 | 100 | 50 | 47.405 | 122.848 |
| Failor | Humptulips River basin | Grays Harbor | 117 | 65 | 20 | 47.108 | 123.964 |
| Silver | Seaquest SP | Cowlitz | 485 | 2,996 | 10 | 46.290 | 122.792 |
| West Slope Cascades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | N. Cascade NP | Whatcom | 724 | 3,616 | 283 | 48.720 | 121.660 |
| Diobsud | Noisy Diobsud Wilderness | Skagit | 4,283 | 3 | ? | 48.646 | 121.542 |
| Gorge | Ross Lake NRA | Whatcom | 883 | 210 | 125 | 48.698 | 121.208 |
| Cavanaugh | Baker-Snoqualmie NF | Skagit | 1,008 | 844 | 80 | 48.322 | 122.013 |
| Cassidy | Marysville-Granite Falls | Snohomish | 319 | 125 | 20 | 48.053 | 122.094 |
| Chester Morse | Baker-Snoqualmie NF | King | 1,555 | 1,682 | 116 | 47.390 | 121.700 |
| Coldwater | St. Helens National Monument | Cowlitz | 2,490 | 750 | ? | 46.303 | 122.239 |
| Merrill | Lewis River basin | Clark | 1,541 | 344 | 60 | 46.090 | 122.330 |
| East Slope Cascades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Okanogan NF | Okanogan | 2,740 | 130 | 85 | 48.460 | 120.240 |
| Wenatchee | Wenatchee NF | Chelan | 2,257 | 513 | 300 | 47.830 | 120.700 |
| Cle Elum | Wenatchee NF | Kittitas | 2,224 | 4,810 | 140+ | 47.290 | 121.110 |
| Bumping | Wenatchee NF | Yakima | 3,426 | 1,310 | 89 | 46.850 | 121.320 |
| Rimrock | Wenatchee NF | Yakima | 3,615 | 265 | 54 | 46.630 | 121.280 |
| Walupt | Goat Rocks Wilderness | Lewis | 4,000 | 384 | 295 | 46.417 | 121.464 |
| Eastern Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Colville NF | Stevens | 2,135 | 52 | 28 | 48.943 | 117.594 |
| Sullivan | Colville NF | Pend Oreille | 1,380 | 1,290 | 330 | 48.816 | 117.292 |
| Leo | Colville NF | Pend Oreille | 2,588 | 39 | 37 | 48.910 | 118.130 |
| Bayley | Colville NF | Stevens | 2,400 | 17 | 12 | 48.420 | 117.644 |
| South Twin | Colville NF | Ferry | 2,572 | 973 | 57 | 48.264 | 118.387 |
| Buffalo | Colville Reservation | Okanogan | 954 | 3,244 | 121 | 48.280 | 119.400 |
| Evergreen | Quincy Wildlife Area | Grant | ~1,000 | 235 | 54 | 47.140 | 119.920 |

NP: National Park
NF: National Forest
SP: State Park
NWR: National Wildlife Refuge
NRA: National Recreation Area


Figure 1. Lake Locations.

Key to Figure 1


An effort was made to distribute the sampling along a north-south gradient within each of the four regions. The selected lakes include a mix of natural waterbodies and impoundments of various sizes, as is the case with the 303(d) list. It was not possible to locate potential background lakes within major eastern Washington agricultural basins such as the Yakima, Palouse, and Walla Walla.

The appropriateness of the lakes selected as representing background was checked against Ecology's Facility Site Identification System (http://ecyapps3/facilitysite/). Facility Site identifies sites known to Ecology as having an active or potential impact on the environment.

While pristine, high mountain lakes obviously qualify as background, they were not included in this study because of enhanced atmospheric deposition of synthetic organic compounds due to colder temperatures and greater amounts of precipitation (Wania and Mackay, 1993; Blais et al., 1998; Gillian and Wania, 2005; Moran et al., 2007). High lakes have the additional drawbacks of difficult access and low fish diversity.

The study sampled a range of lake and reservoir sizes and elevations to obtain a statewide assessment of the chlorinated pesticide background. The lakes selected for study ranged in size from less than 10 to approximately 8,000 acres, with maximum depths of 10 to over 300 feet. Elevations were between about 29 and 4,283 feet; most lakes were below 3,000 feet.

## Fish Samples

This study targeted the larger fish species more likely to be consumed and on which most of the 303(d) listings for Washington are based. The species of primary interest were:

- rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
- largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides)
- cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarki)
- kokanee (Oncorhynchus nerka)
- yellow perch (Perca flavescens)
- mountain whitefish (Prosopium williamsoni)
- carp (Cyprinus carpio)
- largescale suckers (Catostomus macrocheilus)

Based on past experience, it was anticipated that one to three species could be collected from each lake. An effort was made to collect at least one predator and one bottom feeder from each site, as recommended by EPA (2000). Use of fish samples from two distinct ecological groups as target species reflects a range of habits, feeding strategies, and physiological factors that can result in differences in bioaccumulation of contaminants. No planted fish were analyzed, unless planted as fingerlings.

Large fish often have higher levels of chemical contaminants than small fish. Larger and older fish tend to consume larger, more contaminated prey, to eat at higher trophic levels, and have higher lipid content. It was beyond the scope and budget of this study to assess the effect of fish size on chemical residues. The fish obtained for samples were either legal size or, for species with no size limits, large enough to reasonably be retained for consumption. Very large and very small fish were avoided.

Fish were collected primarily during the late summer and fall of 2011. EPA (2000) recommends late summer to fall as the most desirable sampling period for surveying chemical contaminants in fish tissue. Due to more favorable water levels and endangered species concerns related to high summer water temperatures, most of Washington's 303(d) listings are based on fish surveys conducted around this timeframe. Lipid content of fall spawners is increasing at this time and spring spawners are rebuilding their lipid reserves. Being lipid-soluble, chlorinated pesticides are primarily associated with the lipids in fish tissues.

Fillets were analyzed for all fish samples. The field variability inherent in chemical residues accumulated by fish was reduced by using composite samples. Each sample consisted of a composite of pooled tissues from several individual fish, four or five in most cases. Composite samples provide a more cost-efficient estimate of mean contaminant concentrations than single fish samples. There was one composite per species from each lake. Length and weight were recorded for each fish used in the composites (Appendix A).

In order to obtain the desired sample size, several of the fish tissue samples analyzed for this project were from an Ecology 2010 study of the chemical background in northeast Washington fish (Johnson et al., 2011b). These samples were collected and prepared using the same procedures as in the present study and had been stored frozen. Chlorinated pesticides are considered stable for up to a year when samples are frozen (MEL, 2008).

## Chemical Analysis

Chlorinated pesticides were analyzed using high-resolution gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (HR-GC/MS). Twenty-nine pesticides or breakdown products were analyzed down to $0.002 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (parts per billion), depending on the compound in question.

## Methods

## Fish Collection

Fish sampling followed the EA Program SOP (Sandvik, 2006a). Collection methods included electroshocking, gill net, and hook and line.

Fish selected for analysis were killed by a blow to the head. Each fish was given a unique identifying number and its length and weight recorded. The fish were individually wrapped in aluminum foil, put in plastic bags, and placed on ice for transport to Ecology headquarters, where the samples were frozen pending preparation of the tissue samples.

## Tissue Preparation

Tissue samples were prepared follow the EA Program SOP (Sandvik, 2006b). Techniques to minimize potential for contamination were used. People preparing the samples wore non-talc nitrile gloves and worked on heavy duty aluminum foil or a polyethylene cutting board. The gloves and foil were changed between samples, and the cutting board was cleaned between samples as described below.

The fish were thawed to remove the foil wrapper and rinsed with tap water, then deionized water, to remove any adhering debris. The entire fillet from one or both sides of each fish was removed with stainless steel knives and homogenized in a Kitchen-Aid blender. Following EPA (2000) recommendations, the fillets were scaled and analyzed skin-on, except for brown bullheads analyzed skin-off.

On average, five individual fish were used for each composite sample (range of two to ten). To the extent possible, the length of the smallest fish in a composite was no less than $75 \%$ of the length of the largest fish (EPA, 2000). The composites were prepared using equal weights from each fish. The pooled tissues were homogenized to uniform color and consistency, using three passes through the blender. The homogenates were placed in glass jars with Teflon lid liners, cleaned to EPA (1990) quality assurance/quality control specifications.

Cleaning of resecting instruments, cutting boards, and blender parts was done by washing with Liquinox detergent, followed by sequential rinses with tap water, de-ionized water, and pesticide-grade acetone. The items were then air-dried on aluminum foil in a fume hood before use.

The fish tissue samples were refrozen for shipment, with chain-of-custody record, to AXYS laboratory. Excess tissue was retained for all samples where sufficient material was available and stored frozen at Ecology headquarters.

## Analytical Methods and Detection Limits

Chlorinated pesticides analysis by HR-GC/MS is a relatively new application of this technique. Because Ecology had not accredited any laboratories to analyze pesticides by HR-GC/MS, a waiver was obtained from Ecology’s Quality Assurance Officer to use AXYS in-house method MLA-028 for this project. Percent lipids were determined gravimetrically on a portion of the pesticide extract.

AXYS reported down to the detection limit and flagged concentrations between the detection and quantitation limit as estimates. The detection and quantitation limits achieved for this project ( $90 \%$ of samples) are shown for 303 (d) listed pesticide compounds in Table 5. Quantitation limits were typically in the range of approximately $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, with detection limits about a factor of 10 to 100 lower, $0.002-0.01 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, except $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ for toxaphene.

Table 5. Detection and Quantitation Limits for Selected Compounds (ug/Kg, parts per billion).

| Compound | Estimated <br> Detection <br> Limit | Estimated <br> Quantitation <br> Limit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.0119 | 0.237 |
| 4,4'-DDE | 0.0040 | 0.235 |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.0053 | 0.237 |
| Dieldrin | 0.0100 | 0.207 |
| Chlordane compounds | 0.0052 | 0.473 |
| HCH, alpha | 0.0040 | 0.473 |
| Aldrin | 0.0040 | 0.470 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.0020 | 0.233 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0040 | 0.235 |
| Toxaphene | 0.192 | -- |

## Data Quality

## Data Review and Verification

Ecology's MEL reviewed and verified all of AXYS' pesticide data for this project. The review followed National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (EPA, 2005).

MEL prepared written case narratives assessing the qualitative and quantitative precision and bias of the data. The reviews include a description of analytical methods and an assessment of holding times, calibration, internal standard recoveries, ion abundance ratios, method blanks, on-going precision and recovery, and labeled compound recoveries.

Flags were added by AXYS to draw attention to quality control conditions that may affect the data. MEL interpreted the effect on data quality and added qualifiers, where appropriate, that are consistent with MEL and Ecology Information Management (EIM) guidelines. No particular analytical difficulties were encountered on these samples and the data are usable as qualified. AXYS' data package and MEL's data review are available from the author on request.

The data from this project can be accessed through EIM (www.ecy.wa.gov/eim).

## Method Blanks

Laboratory method blanks were included with each sample batch. Low levels of several target compounds were detected in the blanks. In cases where the concentration measured in a sample was at least five times greater than the blank, MEL considered the blank result to be insignificant relative to the native concentration in the sample and the data were used without further qualification. Where the sample concentration was less than five times the blank, the result was flagged as not detected (U flag). Results between the estimated quantitation limit (EQL) and estimated detection limit (EDL) were flagged as estimates (J flag).

## Precision

Estimates of analytical precision were obtained by analyzing laboratory duplicates (one homogenized sample split into two subsamples). The results for target compounds of particular interest in this study are summarized in terms of relative percent difference (RPD) in Table 6. RPD is the difference between duplicates expressed as a percent of the mean value. RPDs for all analyzed compounds are in Appendix B.

In most cases the duplicates agreed within 20\% or better. Overall, the average RPD was 7\%. One result each for cis-nonachlor and mirex had RPDs of $27 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively (Appendix B).

Table 6. Precision of Duplicate Analyses for Selected Pesticide Compounds (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide | Lake Cushman Cutthroat |  |  |  |  | Silver Lake Black Bullhead |  |  |  |  | Evergreen Lake Smallmouth Bass |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#1 |  | \#2 |  | RPD | \#1 |  | \#2 |  | RPD | \#1 | \#2 |  | RPD |
| 4,4'-DDE | 0.74 |  | 0.76 |  | 2\% | 0.43 |  | 0.46 |  | 7\% | 8.12 | 8.03 |  | 1\% |
| Nonachlor, trans- | 0.58 |  | 0.56 |  | 3\% | 0.031 | J | 0.026 | J | 18\% | 0.12 | 0.10 | J | 13\% |
| Dieldrin | 0.034 | J | 0.035 | J | 3\% | 0.010 | J | 0.010 | J | 2\% | 1.87 | 1.74 |  | 7\% |
| Toxaphene | 0.11 | U | 0.17 | U | ND | 0.06 | U | 0.12 | U | ND | 4.53 | 4.04 |  | 11\% |

RPD: relative percent difference
ND: not detected
U: Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.

The average of duplicate results is used in the remainder of this report. In the few cases where one sample in a duplicate pair was non-detect, the detected result was used.

## Results

## Samples Analyzed

A total of 48 fish fillet samples were analyzed for the statewide chlorinated pesticide background study (Table 7). Samples were obtained from 28 lakes, six to eight in each of four regions of the state (Figure 1). Twelve fish samples were collected from each region. Two species were analyzed per lake, on average. Appendix A has a detailed listing of the samples analyzed.

Table 7. Number of Samples Analyzed.

| Samples Analyzed | 48 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lakes Sampled | 28 |
| Lakes per Region | $6-8$ |
| Samples per Region | 12 |
| Species Sampled | 15 |
| Species per Lake | $1-3$ |
| Average Species per Lake | 2 |

Salmonids and spiny-rayed fishes such as bass and perch were analyzed in comparable numbers of samples, 26 vs. 22, respectively (Table 8). Of the 15 species collected for the study, rainbow trout, largemouth bass, cutthroat trout, kokanee (a land-locked sockeye salmon), and yellow perch were most frequently obtained, 10-17\% of samples each. Mountain whitefish and largescale suckers comprised 6-8\% of samples.

Table 8. Fish Species Analyzed.

| Species | Number of <br> Samples | Percent of <br> Samples |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rainbow Trout* | 8 | $17 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass $\dagger$ | 7 | $15 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout* | 7 | $15 \%$ |
| Kokanee* | 6 | $13 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch $\dagger$ | 5 | $10 \%$ |
| Mountain Whitefish* | 4 | $8 \%$ |
| Largescale Sucker $\dagger$ | 3 | $6 \%$ |
| Peamouth $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Brown Bullhead $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Black Crappie $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Eastern Brook Trout* | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Northern Pike Minnow $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Burbot $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Carp $\dagger$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |

[^0]Lipid content of the samples ranged from $0.3-9.5 \%$, with a median of $1.5 \%$ (Table 9). Salmonids had higher lipid levels than spiny-rayed species, typically by a factor of 2 to 3 . Excluding the high lipid result for the one carp sample analyzed, the maximum percent lipids for a spiny-ray was 3.2 vs. 7.0 for salmonids. Individual results for percent lipids are in Appendix C.

Table 9. Summary of Lipids Data on Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (percent)

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | Median | 90th <br> Percentile | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmonids <br> 26  2.5 3.8 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Spiny Rays <br> 22 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 1.3 |
| All Species <br> 48 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 2.0 |

Spatial patterns in the data could potentially be influenced by the amount of lipids in the samples because of its effect on chemical uptake across the gills and other membranes. An examination of Pearson correlation coefficients (R) showed no significant relationships between pesticide residues and percent lipids, either on a regional basis or when salmonids and spiny-rayed species were evaluated separately. Factors that can obscure relationships between lipids and bioaccumulative organic compounds in fish tissue studies include chemical uptake from food, the reproductive cycle, fish age, and differences in lake chemistry (Herbert and Keenleyside, 1995; Stow et al., 1997).

## Detection Frequency and Concentration Levels

Results of the chlorinated pesticide analysis are summarized in Table 10. The quantitation limit was used to calculate the median, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and mean in instances where a compound was not detected. Maximum concentrations are for detected chemicals only. Where a high quantitation limit in one or more samples caused the mean, median, or $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile to exceed the maximum detected value, a "U" flag was assigned indicating non-detect.

Twenty-eight of the 29 pesticides or breakdown products analyzed were detected in the fish fillets. The exception was methoxychlor which has relatively low persistence in biological systems (Smith, 1991). Compounds detected in $80 \%$ or more of the samples included 4,4 ’ isomers of DDT and its breakdown products DDE and DDD, dieldrin, chlordane components ${ }^{1}$, hexachlorobenzene, and mirex (Figure 2). Except for mirex, these compounds are currently 303(d)-listed for exceeding human health criteria in Washington freshwater fish. Detection frequencies for the four other similarly listed pesticides were $71 \%$ for alpha-HCH, 33\% for toxaphene, $15 \%$ for aldrin, and $6 \%$ for heptachlor.

[^1]Table 10. Summary of Chlorinated Pesticide Data on Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide or Breakdown Product | Detection <br> Frequency $(\mathrm{N}=48)$ | Median | 90th <br> Percentile | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,4'-DDT | 83\% | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.011 J | 1.0 | 0.21 |  |
| 4,4'-DDE | 100\% | 1.1 | 6.5 | 0.018 J | 57 | 4.2 |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | 96\% | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.007 J | 5.1 | 0.49 |  |
| 2,4'-DDT | 60\% | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.009 J | 0.39 | 0.15 |  |
| 2,4'-DDE | 54\% | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.002 J | 0.31 | 0.13 |  |
| 2,4'-DDD | 73\% | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.004 NJ | 0.44 | 0.11 |  |
| Dieldrin | 94\% | 0.04 J | 0.26 | 0.007 J | 8.7 | 0.32 |  |
| Aldrin | 15\% | 0.46 U | 0.47 U | 0.001 NJ | 0.013 | 0.39 | U |
| Endrin | 23\% | 0.20 U | 0.20 U | 0.003 J | 0.040 | 0.16 | U |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 4\% | 0.20 U | 0.21 U | 0.003 NJ | 0.004 NJ | 0.19 | U |
| Endrin Ketone | 2\% | 0.20 U | 0.21 U | 0.003 U | 0.003 J | 0.20 | U |
| Chlordane, alpha (cis) | 96\% | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.003 NJ | 0.49 | 0.10 |  |
| Chlordane, gamma (trans) | 69\% | 0.04 | 0.46 U | 0.002 NJ | 0.23 J | 0.17 |  |
| Chlordane, oxy- | 90\% | 0.03 | 0.24 U | 0.004 J | 0.16 | 0.09 |  |
| Nonachlor, cis- | 92\% | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.006 NJ | 0.60 | 0.12 |  |
| Nonachlor, trans- | 98\% | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.006 NJ | 1.3 | 0.23 |  |
| Toxaphene | 33\% | 0.17 | 1.4 | 0.06 U | 27 | 1.1 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 100\% | 0.24 | 1.1 | 0.042 J | 7.4 | 0.75 |  |
| HCH, alpha | 71\% | 0.02 | 0.46 U | 0.003 J | 0.051 J | 0.15 | U |
| HCH, beta | 17\% | 0.46 U | 0.47 U | 0.002 NJ | 0.44 | 0.39 |  |
| HCH, delta | 6\% | 0.18 U | 0.19 U | 0.001 NJ | 0.001 NJ | 0.17 | U |
| HCH, gamma | 35\% | 0.45 U | 0.47 U | 0.002 NJ | 0.022 NJ | 0.30 | U |
| Heptachlor | 6\% | 0.23 U | 0.24 U | 0.002 J | 0.22 | 0.22 | U |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 77\% | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.003 NJ | 0.34 | 0.07 |  |
| alpha-Endosulphan | 8\% | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 0.21 |  |
| beta-Endosulphan | 31\% | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.012 J | 0.44 | 0.16 |  |
| Endosulphan Sulphate | 77\% | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.013 NJ | 3.0 | 0.18 |  |
| Mirex | 98\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.001 NJ | 0.17 | 0.03 |  |
| Methoxychlor | 0\% | 0.20 U | 0.20 U | 0.16 U | 0.24 U | 0.20 | U |

U: Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.


Figure 2. Detection Frequency of Chlorinated Pesticides and Breakdown Products in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes ( $\mathrm{N}=48$ ).

Figure 3 plots the median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for the most frequently detected compounds. The medians for aldrin, HCH compounds, heptachlor, endrin compounds, and methoxychlor were non-detect. These data are not shown.


Figure 3. Median and $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Concentrations for Frequently Detected Compounds (log scale).

4,4'-DDE occurred in much higher concentrations than any of the other pesticides analyzed, with a statewide median of $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Other compounds with medians in the $0.2-0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ range included hexachlorobenzene, other DDT compounds, endosulphan compounds, toxaphene, and trans-nonachlor (chlordane constituent). Eleven additional compounds were present at lower levels of $0.09-0.01 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Most of these are derived from or related to compounds present at higher concentrations.

A more detailed presentation of the data follows for individual pesticides or breakdown products, focusing on those responsible for Washington’s 303(d) fish tissue listings.

## DDT Compounds

The DDT breakdown product 4, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-DDE is responsible for $38 \%$ of the freshwater 303(d) fish tissue listings for pesticide and, along with PCBs, is the legacy chemical most frequently identified in Washington as a water quality concern. 4, ${ }^{\prime}$-DDE was detected in all fish samples analyzed for the background study. Concentrations ranged from $0.02-57 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (Table 11). The overall median was $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{K}$ (Table 12).

Table 11. 4,4’-DDE Concentrations in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Region / Lake | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,4'- } \\ & \text { DDE } \end{aligned}$ |  | Region / Lake | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,4'- } \\ & \text { DDE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.29 |  | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 4.9 |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.02 | J | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 2.0 |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.08 | J | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.40 |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.16 | J | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 47 |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.75 |  | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 3.7 |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.13 | J | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.46 |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.57 |  | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.85 |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.84 |  | Bumping | Kokanee | 4.8 |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 3.8 |  | Rimrock | Kokanee | 2.3 |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.37 |  | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 1.7 |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.44 |  | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.62 |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.15 | J | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 2.8 |
|  | Median = | 0.33 |  |  | Median = | 2.1 |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 1.8 |  | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.42 |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 1.1 |  | Sullivan | Kokanee | 4.6 |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.47 |  | Sullivan | Burbot | 2.6 |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.69 |  | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.36 |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 5.3 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 1.1 |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 5.6 |  | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.69 |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 1.6 |  | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 6.3 |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.30 |  | Buffalo | Kokanee | 13 |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.29 |  | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 6.8 |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 1.1 |  | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 4.2 |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.50 |  | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 8.1 |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 1.5 |  | Evergreen | Common Carp | 57 |
|  | Median = | 1.1 |  |  | Median = | 4.4 |

J: Result is an estimated value.

Table 12. Summary of the 4,4’-DDE Data (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | Detection <br> Frequency | Median | 90th <br> Percentile | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 100\% | 0.54 | 3.2 | 0.02 J | 5.6 | 1.2 |
| Eastern Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 100\% | 2.7 | 11 | 0.36 | 57 | 7.3 |
| Statewide |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 100\% | 1.1 | 6.5 | 0.02 J | 57 | 4.2 |

J : Result is an estimated value.

Relatively high 4,4’-DDE levels were found in Evergreen Lake carp ( $57 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ) and Wenatchee Lake pike minnow ( $47 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ). Both species are known to be strong accumulators of synthetic organic compounds. Other species from these lakes were not notably elevated in DDE.
Wenatchee Lake is north of Leavenworth on the Stevens Pass highway. Evergreen Lake is in the Quincy Wildlife Area on the east side of the Columbia River.

There was evidence of a consistent trend toward increasing 4,4’-DDE concentrations moving from western to eastern Washington. Median concentrations increased from 0.33 to 1.1 to 2.1 to $4.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in the four regions sampled. The 4,4'-DDE data are plotted by region in Figure 4. Differences between regions were statistically significant (Kruskal-Wallis test, p <0.05). None of the other pesticide compounds analyzed showed this pattern.


Figure 4. Regional Differences in 4, ${ }^{\prime}$ - DDE Concentrations in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes.

The much higher DDE levels in eastern Washington fish may reflect the greater area devoted to agriculture and associated historical DDT use compared to western Washington. Lipid content of the samples was not correlated with DDE on a regional or statewide basis ( $p>0.05$ ) and thus does not appear to be a factor.

4,4'-DDT and its other major breakdown product 4,4'- DDD were also frequently detected in these samples ( $83 \%$ and $96 \%$, respectively) although at much lower concentrations. 4,4'-DDT and $4,4^{\prime}$-DDD are responsible for $8 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively, of the 303(d) fish tissue listings. Figure 5 compares the statewide medians for $4,4^{\prime}$-DDT, -DDE, and -DDD. The relative contribution of these compounds to the total DDT concentration (sum of 4,4,' isomers) in the fish samples is shown in Figure 6. The 4,4'-DDT and 4,4'-DDD data are in Appendix D.


Figure 5. Median Concentrations of 4, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-DDT and Breakdown Products in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes.


Figure 6. 4,4'- DDT, -DDE, and -DDD as Percent of Total DDT in Fish Fillets in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (based on median concentrations).

The percentages of 4,4 '-DDT and -DDE in these samples are comparable to findings from a large interstate study of fish from the Columbia River basin where they accounted for $3 \%$ and $87 \%$, respectively, of the total DDT compounds detected (Hinck et al., 2004). Most of the DDT in Pacific Northwest lakes and rivers has degraded to DDE and, to a much lesser extent, DDD.

The $2,4^{\prime}$ homologs of DDT, DDD, and DDE were also detected at low levels in many of the background samples (Appendix E). EPA has not established human health criteria for these compounds which, historically, have been considered relatively benign.

## Dieldrin

The dieldrin data are in Tables 13 and 14. Dieldrin is the cause of 22\% of the 303(d) listings for pesticides in freshwater fish, second only to 4,4’-DDE. Dieldrin was detected in all but two of the fish fillet samples for this study ( $94 \%$ detection frequency).

Most (90\%) of the dieldrin concentrations fell within a relatively narrow range of 0.01 to $0.26 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Once again, the highest concentration was detected in Evergreen Lake carp ( $8.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ).

The medians for each region were almost identical at $0.03-0.05 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The similarity between regions suggests a common predominant source, most likely atmospheric deposition, rather than localized historic use. Most of the dieldrin in the environment comes from the breakdown of aldrin, which was used in far greater quantities (ATSDR, 2002).

Table 13. Dieldrin Concentrations in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Region / Lake | Species | Dieldrin |  | Region / Lake | Species | Diel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.03 | J | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | NJ |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.21 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.15 | J |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.03 | J | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.06 | J |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.25 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.07 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.01 | J | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.14 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.05 | J | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.12 | J |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.05 | J | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.16 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | NJ | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.01 | J |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.01 | J | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.01 | NJ |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.01 | J | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.03 |  |  | Median = | 0.05 |  |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.17 | J | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.03 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.18 | J |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.04 | J | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.04 | J |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.03 | NJ | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.42 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.06 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.06 | J | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.12 | J | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.03 | J |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.04 | J | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.28 |  |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.06 | J | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.04 | J |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.04 | J | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 1.2 |  |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 1.8 |  |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.06 | J | Evergreen | Common Carp | 8.7 |  |
|  | Median = | 0.05 |  |  | Median = | 0.05 |  |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

Table 14. Summary of the Dieldrin Data (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | Detection <br> Frequency | Median | 90th perc. | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | $94 \%$ | 0.04 J | 0.26 | 0.01 J | 8.7 | 0.32 |

J : Result is an estimated value.

## Chlordane

The main components of chlordane are alpha- and gamma-chlordane, cis- and trans-chlordane, and trans-nonachlor ${ }^{2}$. Oxychlordane is a metabolite of cis-chlordane. One or more of these chlordane compounds are responsible for $11 \%$ of the $303(\mathrm{~d})$ fish tissue listings. The summed concentrations detected are shown in Table 15. Table 16 has the summary statistics for chlordane.

Chlordane compounds were detected in $98 \%$ of the fish fillet samples. The median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for total chlordane were 0.24 and $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively. Regional medians for chlordane were confined to a narrow range of $0.18-0.32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and did not differ significantly. Concentrations at the upper end of the range - approximately 1 to $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ - were found in all four regions. As with dieldrin, the similar levels found across the state suggest that the atmosphere is now the primary source of contamination.

[^2]Table 15. Chlordane Concentrations in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Region / Lake | Species | Chlordane |  | Region / Lake | Species | Chlord |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.12 | J | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.31 | J |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.45 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.05 | J |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.04 | J | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 2.23 | J |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.86 | J | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.24 | J |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.60 | J | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.24 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.24 | J | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.26 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.35 | J | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.87 | J |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 1.15 | J | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.73 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.12 | J | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.15 | J |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.10 | J | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.07 | J |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.04 | J | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.30 | J |
|  | Median $=$ | 0.18 | J |  | Median $=$ | 0.25 | J |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 1.17 | J | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.05 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.17 | J | Sullivan | Kokanee | 1.23 | J |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.19 | J | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.33 | J |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | J | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.03 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 2.51 | J | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.13 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.81 | J | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.03 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.89 | J | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | J |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.16 | J | Buffalo | Kokanee | 1.10 | J |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.16 | J | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.22 | J |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.41 | J | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.13 | J |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.06 | J | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.24 | J |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.43 | J | Evergreen | Common Carp | 1.21 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.32 | J |  | Median $=$ | 0.22 | J |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.

Table 16. Summary of the Chlordane Data (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | Detection <br> Frequency | Median | 90 th perc. | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | $98 \%$ | 0.24 J | 1.2 J | 0.01 J | 2.5 J | 0.45 J |

J: Result is an estimated value.

The relative amounts of the five chlordane compounds analyzed are shown in Figures 7 and 8, based on median values. Trans-nonachlor accounted for almost half of the total, with lesser and similar contributions from the other four compounds. Nationally, trans-nonachlor is the most important chlordane contaminant in fish (Schmitt et al., 1999; EPA, 1992).


Figure 7. Median Concentrations of Chlordane Compounds in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes.


Figure 8. Relative Amounts of Five Chlordane Components in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (based on median concentrations).

## Toxaphene

Toxaphene is a complex mixture of hundreds of individual compounds and difficult to analyze down to water quality criteria levels. It is currently responsible for only three of Washington's edible fish tissue listings ( $3 \%$ of the total). Recent improvements at the Ecology Manchester Laboratory have lowered the detection limit for toxaphene residues in environmental samples. As a result, fish in a number of Washington lakes and rivers have now been shown to exceed the toxaphene human health criterion of 9.6 ug/Kg (Johnson et al., 2012; Seiders et al., 2012). It is anticipated that the number of 303(d) listings for toxaphene will increase substantially in the next listing cycle (2012).

Tables 17 and 18 summarize the toxaphene data from the background study. Due to the low levels encountered, detection frequency was only $33 \%$. The median was non-detect at $0.17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile was $1.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. As with DDE and dieldrin, Evergreen Lake carp were an outlier with relatively high toxaphene residues ( $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ).

The medians for toxaphene are difficult to compare across regions because of the many nondetects. On a qualitative basis, the level of contamination in fish appears lower in the westernmost parts of Washington where toxaphene was detected in only one sample.

Table 17. Toxaphene Concentrations in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Region / Lake | Species | Toxaphene |  | Region / Lake | Species | Toxaph |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.18 | U | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.09 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.13 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.10 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.12 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.27 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.12 | U | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 1.2 |  |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.11 | U | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.09 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.17 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.16 |  |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.80 |  | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.14 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.16 | U | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.89 |  |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.12 | U | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.22 |  |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.19 | U | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.16 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.06 | U | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.27 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.13 | U | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.11 | U |
|  | Median = | 0.13 | U |  | Median = | 0.16 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 5.1 |  | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.11 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.31 |  | Sullivan | Kokanee | 1.9 |  |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.20 | U | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.23 |  |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.14 | U | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.08 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.32 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.09 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.12 | U | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.11 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.17 |  | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.08 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.46 |  | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.53 |  |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.11 | U | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.18 | U |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.06 | U | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 3.4 |  |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.25 | U | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 4.3 |  |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.18 | U | Evergreen | Common Carp | 27 |  |
|  | Median = | 0.19 | U |  | Median = | 0.20 |  |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.

Table 18. Summary of the Toxaphene Data (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion)

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | Detection <br> Frequency | Median | 90th perc. | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | $33 \%$ | 0.17 U | 1.4 | 0.06 U | 27 | 1.1 |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.

## Hexachlorobenzene, Alpha-HCH, Aldrin, and Heptachlor

Detection frequencies for the remaining 303(d) listed pesticides were either very high - 100\% for hexachlorobenzene, $71 \%$ for alpha-HCH - or very low - $15 \%$ for aldrin, $6 \%$ for heptachlor. Once released to the environment, aldrin and heptachlor degrade to dieldrin and to heptachlor epoxide (a minor degradation product) which are more persistent than either parent compound. In the present study, dieldrin and heptachlor epoxide had detection frequencies of $94 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively.

The concentrations of hexachlorobenzene, alpha-HCH, aldrin, and heptachlor tended to be uniformly low across the state. Most fish samples had much less than $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The complete results are tabulated in Appendix D.

The primary finding of interest was an elevated level of hexachlorobenzene in Cavanaugh Lake, Skagit County. Concentrations were $7.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in kokanee, $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in largemouth bass, and $4.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in cutthroat trout. The statewide median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for hexachlorobenzene were $0.24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively. These findings raise the possibility of a historical source of hexachlorobenzene to Cavanaugh Lake.

## Other Pesticides and Breakdown Products

Detection frequency for the sixteen additional pesticide compounds analyzed in this study tended to be low, except for 2,4 ' isomers of DDT compounds, heptachlor epoxide, endosulphan sulfate, and mirex ( $54-98 \%$ ). $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles were less than $0.30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ or non-detect in all cases. The complete results for these compounds are in Appendix E.

Only four of the detected compounds are addressed in Washington's human health criteria heptachlor epoxide, alpha-endosulphan, beta-endosulphan, and endosulphan sulfate - and none are 303(d) listed.

## Discussion

## Statewide Non-Background Data

Table 19 illustrates the extent to which chlorinated pesticide levels in fish analyzed for the background study differ from those obtained from more highly developed urban, agricultural, and industrial waterbodies across Washington. These non-background data come from Ecology’s Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program (WSTMP), a screening-level effort that targets lakes, rivers, and streams statewide (e.g., Seiders et al., 2012). Results are primarily used to identify areas of concern for follow-up actions. The bulk of the state's 303(d) listings for edible fish tissue come from this program.

Table 19 compares the medians and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles for pesticides and breakdown products that account for most of the current 303(d) listings. WSTMP data on lakes sampled in the present study and other lakes or rivers used in previous Ecology background assessments were not included. Alpha-HCH, aldrin, and heptachlor were not detected frequently enough to form a basis for comparison.

WSTMP employs a less sensitive method to analyze pesticides in their fish samples. Except for total DDT, the non-background medians are non-detect at about $1-5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The background median for total DDT is lower than the non-background median by a factor of 6 . Background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles are lower than non-background by an order of magnitude for total DDT and by factors of 3 to 10 for dieldrin, chlordane, toxaphene, and hexachlorobenzene.

Table 19. Comparison of Selected Chlorinated Pesticides in Fish Fillets from Background and Non-Background Waterbodies in Washington (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).
Non-background toxaphene data for 2005 and 10 samples from 2007-2010 not included due to reporting limits $>20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.

| Type of Waterbody | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { DDT } \end{aligned}$ | Dieldrin |  | Chlordane |  | Toxaphene |  | Hexachlorobenzene |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background* | 1.2 | 0.04 | J | 0.24 | J | 0.17 |  | 0.24 |  |
| Non-background $\dagger$ | 7.7 | 0.5 | U | 0.97 | U | 5.0 |  | 0.98 | U |
| 90th Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background* | 7.5 | 0.26 |  | 1.2 | J | 1.4 |  | 1.1 |  |
| Non-background $\dagger$ | 392 | 2.3 |  | 4.4 |  | 14 | J | 4.0 |  |

*Present study ( $\mathrm{N}=48$ )
$\dagger$ WSTMP statewide data 2001-2010 ( $\mathrm{N}=130$ )
U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.

## Chlorinated Pesticide TMDLs

Many of the most chemically contaminated waterbodies in Washington had already been identified and TMDLs initiated or planned prior to start up of the WSTMP. As a result, the WSTMP data set tends to be populated with waterbodies having moderate levels of contamination. The Yakima River and Lake Chelan in eastern Washington furnish examples of the magnitude of water quality improvements needed to return a highly contaminated fisheries resource to present-day background for chlorinated pesticide residues.

## Yakima River

The Yakima River basin is the first and largest area in Washington where significant chlorinated pesticide contamination has been identified (Schmitt et al., 1990; Rinella et al., 1993; Johnson et al., 1988). TMDLs are currently in place and have resulted in improved water quality conditions (Joy and Patterson, 1997; Creech and Joy, 2002; Johnson et al., 2010b). Yakima River fish, however, continue to record some of the highest DDE, dieldrin, and toxaphene levels in the state.

4,4'-DDE, dieldrin, and toxaphene results from Ecology's most recent fish tissue survey in 2005 (Johnson et al., 2007) are compared to the medians and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles from the background study in Figure 9. The data are arranged in downstream order, left to right. Non-detects are plotted as unfilled markers.

Keechelus and Kachess Lakes are two of the three large Yakima River storage reservoirs near Snoqualmie Pass in the Cascade Range. The third reservoir, Cle Elum Lake, was one of the lakes sampled for the background study. As shown in Figure 9, Keechelus and Kachess fish are at the eastern Washington background median for 4,4 '-DDE and appear to be near or below the statewide background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for dieldrin and toxaphene, both of which were below detection limits in 2005. Background study results for Cle Elum Lake fish show dieldrin concentrations of $0.06-0.14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and toxaphene concentrations of $0.16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ or less.

The Yakima flows over 200 miles from the storage reservoirs to the Columbia River. Between the town of Cle Elum and the Yakima Canyon, the river receives agricultural runoff from the Kittitas Valley. The Lower Yakima River Valley lies below Yakima Canyon and is one of the most intensively irrigated and agriculturally diverse areas in the United States. The quality of the irrigation returns largely determines the quality of water in the lower river.


Figure 9. DDE, Dieldrin, and Toxaphene Levels in Yakima River Fish in 2005 Compared to Background Values from the Present Study (edible tissue data; log scale; unfilled markers are non-detects plotted at the reporting limit)

Agricultural impacts become evident for $4,4^{\prime}$-DDE and dieldrin in fish samples collected within the Yakima Canyon. The major toxaphene sources to the Yakima are further downstream. By the time the river reaches Prosser and Horn Rapids, 4,4'-DDE, dieldrin, and toxaphene levels in the fish are one to two orders of magnitude above background.

## Lake Chelan

An EPA study of 140 lakes, nation-wide, during 2000-2003 found the highest levels of total DDT in lake trout from Lake Chelan (EPA, 2009). An Ecology field study for a pesticide/PCB TMDL, conducted in 2003, recommended a water quality target of $32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total DDT in Lake Chelan fish (Coots and Era-Miller, 2005). Follow-up sampling of lake trout by Ecology in 2010 showed that high levels of total DDT continued to persist (Seiders et al., 2012).

Figure 10 compares Ecology’s Lake Chelan total DDT data from 2010 (lake trout) and 2003 (other species) to the statewide background median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile ( 1.2 and $7.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively). Elevations above the background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile range from about a factor of 5 for rainbow trout to about a factor of 200 for lake trout, on average. The TMDL target is approximately 5 times higher than the background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.


Figure 10. Total DDT in Lake Chelan Fish 2003-2010 Compared to Background Values from Present Study (edible tissue data, log scale).

## Marine Data

As far as could be determined, the only other source of low-level pesticide data on Pacific Northwest fish comes from Puget Sound. West et al. (2011) report results of a HR-GC/MS analysis for chlorinated pesticides in hake and pollock from three basins - Strait of Juan de Fuca, Georgia Strait, and Hood Canal - which they class as "Less Developed" compared to Elliot Bay and other basins in Puget Sound. Hake and pollock are cod-like fish that "occupy an intermediate trophic level in the Puget Sound pelagic food web and ... are suspected as a primary source of PBTs to apex predators." The samples were analyzed whole-body.

Table 20 compares the range of medians for total DDT, dieldrin, and chlordane in the hake and pollock samples from Puget Sound and vicinity to the medians for fish fillets from the background lakes. In view of the differences between the environments and species in these two studies, the similarity between marine and freshwater background is striking and suggests a common predominant source such as the atmosphere.

Table 20. Median Concentrations of Selected Chlorinated Pesticides in Puget Sound Whole Fish Samples Compared to Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes. (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide | Developed <br> Puget Sound Basins * <br> $(\mathrm{N}=43)$ | Less Developed <br> Puget Sound Basins * <br> $(\mathrm{N}=19)$ | Washington <br> Background Lakes $\dagger$ <br> $(\mathrm{N}=48)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total DDT | $2.4-5.8$ | $1.7-3.0$ | 1.2 |
| Dieldrin | $0.11-0.40$ | $0.08-0.12$ | 0.04 |
| Chlordane | $0.63-1.9$ | $0.46-0.78$ | 0.24 |

*West et al. (2011).
$\dagger$ present study.

## Background Compared to Human Health Criteria

## Current Criteria

The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum concentrations of chlorinated pesticides and breakdown products measured in fish fillet samples from the background study are compared to the current human health criteria fish tissue equivalent concentrations (FTECs) used in Washington in Table 21.

Almost all background lake fish samples were well within the human health criteria FTECs. There were a few exceedances of criteria FTECs at the highest concentrations observed for dieldrin, hexachlorobenzene, toxaphene, and 4,4'-DDE.

Table 21. 303(d) Human Health Criteria FTECs Compared to $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile and Maximum Concentration Measured in Fish Fillets from Washington Background Lakes (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide or <br> Breakdown Product | Wash. <br> State <br> FTECs | Background Lake <br> Fish Fillet Samples (N = 48) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 90th <br> Percentile | Maximum |  |  |
| HCH, alpha | 0.51 | 0.46 | U | 0.051 | J |
| Aldrin | 0.61 | 0.47 | U | 0.013 | J |
| Dieldrin | 0.65 | 0.26 |  | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |  |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 1.1 | 0.20 |  | 0.34 |  |
| HCH, beta | 1.8 | 0.47 | U | 0.44 |  |
| Heptachlor | 2.4 | 0.24 | U | 0.22 |  |
| HCH, gamma | 2.5 | 0.47 | U | 0.022 | NJ |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 6.5 | 1.1 |  | 7.4 |  |
| Chlordane | 8.0 | 1.2 | J | 2.5 | J |
| Toxaphene | 9.6 | 1.4 |  | 27 |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 32 | 0.51 |  | 1.0 |  |
| 4,4'-DDE | 32 | 6.5 |  | 57 |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | 44 | 1.0 |  | 5.1 |  |
| alpha-Endosulphan | 251 | 0.21 |  | 0.63 |  |
| beta-Endosulphan | 251 | 0.21 |  | 0.44 |  |
| Endosulphan Sulfate | 251 | 0.26 |  | 3.0 |  |
| Endrin | 3,017 | 0.20 | U | 0.040 |  |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 3,017 | 0.21 | U | 0.004 | NJ |

Note: Bold values exceed FTEC
U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

A detailed comparison of the individual samples is provided in Figure 11 which plots the ratio of the chemical concentration in the fish fillets to the criterion FTEC. Ratios greater than 1 exceed the FTEC. Ratios for non-detects used the quantitation limit and are plotted as unfilled circles.

Overall, these lakes are characterized by low pesticide levels that support their being considered representative of background conditions. Criteria FTEC exceedances were restricted to dieldrin, toxaphene, and 4,4'-DDE in Evergreen Lake (one to three species), hexachlorobenzene in Cavanaugh Lake (two species), and 4,4'-DDE in Wenatchee Lake (one species). Except for dieldrin in Evergreen Lake carp, the exceedances were marginal, by a factor of about 2 or less.


Figure 11. Chlorinated Pesticides in Background Lake Fish Fillets Compared to 303(d) Human Health Criteria FTECs (sample concentration divided by criterion; ratios > 1 exceed criterion FTEC; unfilled markers are non-detects plotted at the quantitation limit).



Figure 11. (continued)


Figure 11. (continued)

## Criteria at Higher Fish Consumption Rates

As previously described, Ecology has begun formal rule-making activities to adopt new human health-based water quality standards for toxics. The new standards will include updated estimates of how much fish Washington residents eat. Ecology has compiled current fish consumption research in a draft technical document that evaluates the available data on fish consumption in Washington (Ecology, 2012). Washington's current human health criteria in the water quality standards for toxics were issued under the National Toxics Rule (NTR) by EPA in 1992.

If other variables in the criteria calculation are held constant, calculating criteria using higher fish consumption rates would translate into lower, more conservative human health criteria for toxics (see Equation 1). This has the potential to increase the number of waterbodies 303(d) listed for fish consumption concerns. Conversely, a higher consumption rate at a less protective risk level could result in higher criteria values.

The background data obtained through the present study provide one perspective on implications of revising the chlorinated pesticides criteria. The criteria were re-calculated for a range of fish consumption rates ${ }^{3}$ :

- 6.5 grams/day - Washington State Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters, current rate for human health protection.
- 17.5 grams $/$ day - Environmental agencies in some other states, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.
- 54 grams/day - Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation, default fish consumption rate.
- 130 grams/day - Columbia River Tribes, all fish sources.
- 175 grams/day - Recently adopted in the State of Oregon
- 250 grams/day - EPA-estimated per capita U.S. fish consumption, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

The current and re-calculated human health criteria are compared to background study medians and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles in Figure 12. As in preceding figures, concentration:criterion FTEC ratios $>1$ exceed the criterion FTEC. Comparisons could not be made for other pesticide chemicals addressed in the criteria (aldrin, beta- and gamma-HCH, heptachlor, endrin, and endrin aldehyde) due to infrequent detection.

[^3]


Figure 12. Pesticide Concentrations in Background Lake Fish Samples Compared to Human Health Criteria FTECs for Different Fish Consumption Rates (tissue concentration divided by FTEC value; ratios > 1 exceed criterion).

For the background median, exceedances of human health criteria FTECs begin to emerge as the consumption rate goes from 54 to 130 grams per day. At 130 grams per day the median is exceeded by 4,4’-DDE (eastern Washington only) and dieldrin, although by a factor of less than 2. At 250 grams per day, three additional pesticides exceed: chlordane, alpha-HCH, and hexachlorobenzene. 4,4'-DDE (eastern Washington) and dieldrin exceed by about a factor of 3 at this consumption rate.

When the background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles are compared, 4,4-DDE (eastern Washington) and dieldrin are at the human health criterion FTEC for 17.5 grams per day. At 54 grams per day, exceedances in addition to 4,4-DDE include chlordane, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, and heptachlor epoxide, although marginally. The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for $4,4^{\prime}$-DDE in western Washington background lakes exceeds the FTEC at the consumption rate of 130 grams per day. At 130 grams per day, FTEC exceedance factors are $2-8$ and at 250 grams per day, $4-15$. Alpha-HCH could not be evaluated at the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile due to the large number of non-detects in the results.

Thus, about half of the 28 lakes sampled in the background study would qualify for 303(d) listing based on an FTEC derived using criteria calculated using a fish consumption rate of 130 grams per day. A similar conclusion applies to Oregon's consumption rate of 175 grams per day. About 1 in 5 (20\%) would qualify for listing for consumption rates as low as 54 grams per day.

Table 22 highlights the pesticides or breakdown products with greatest potential to exceed human health criteria FTECs in Washington lakes removed from significant local sources of contamination, depending on assumptions about fish consumption rates. The compounds most likely to become a concern are $4,4^{\prime}$-DDE, dieldrin, and, to a lesser extent, alpha- HCH , chlordane, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, and heptachlor epoxide.

Table 22. Chlorinated Pesticides and Breakdown Products with Greatest Potential to Exceed Human Health Criteria FTECs at Various Fish Consumption Rates (at $10^{-6}$ cancer risk level).

|  | Pesticides or Breakdown Products Potentially Exceeding Human Health Criteria FTECs in Background Waterbodies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish Consumption Rate (grams/day) | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | @ Background Median Fish Fillet Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 17.5 \\ 54 \\ 130 \\ 175 \\ 250 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \bullet \\ & \bullet \end{aligned}$ | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | @ Background 90th Percentile Fish Fillet Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 17.5 \\ 54 \\ 130 \\ 175 \\ 250 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ |  |  | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \bullet \\ & \bullet \\ & \bullet \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ |  |  |  |

*Could not be evaluated at 90th percentile due to numerous non-detects.

## Summary and Conclusions

Potential background values for chlorinated pesticides in edible freshwater fish tissue in Washington, as determined in this study, are summarized in terms of the median and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile in Table 23. Rejecting the few apparent high outliers encountered in this data set would have little or no effect on the median or $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

These values are appropriately characterized as background, evidenced by the uniformly low concentrations generally found among 28 lakes sampled across the state (except for $4,4^{\prime}$-DDE) and by comparison to similar data from other Washington freshwater and marine areas.

Background could not be accurately assessed for the following infrequently detected compounds addressed in the human health criteria: HCH (except alpha-HCH), endrin compounds, aldrin, and heptachlor. For these chemicals, background lies somewhere below 0.5 to $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.

In the background lakes, exceedances of the current human health criteria FTECs were restricted to dieldrin, toxaphene, and 4, ${ }^{\prime}$-DDE in Evergreen Lake (one to three species each), hexachlorobenzene in Cavanaugh Lake (two species), and 4,4’-DDE in Wenatchee Lake (one species). Except for dieldrin in Evergreen Lake carp, the exceedances were marginal - by a factor of about 2 or less.

Ecology is in the process of adopting new human health criteria in the water quality standards. The implications of varying the fish consumption rate used in the criteria calculation were evaluated against background. About half of the sampled 28 background lakes would qualify for 303(d) listing based on a fish consumption rate of 130 grams per day and Ecology's current 303(d) listing policy. About 1 in 5 (20\%) would qualify for listing for consumption rates as low as 54 grams per day. Oregon recently adopted a fish consumption rate of 175 grams per day in their water quality standards. The compounds most likely to become a concern in Washington are $4,4^{\prime}$-DDE, dieldrin, and, to a lesser extent, alpha-HCH, chlordane, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, and heptachlor epoxide.

Table 23. Potential Background Values for Chlorinated Pesticides, Breakdown Products, and Lipids in Edible Tissues of Washington Freshwater Fish (ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion).

| Pesticide or Breakdown Product | Background Values for Edible Tissues of Washington Freshwater Fish |  |  |  |  | Pesticide or Breakdown Product | Background Values for Edible Tissues of Washington Freshwater Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Media |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 90th } \\ \text { Percent } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Media |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 90th } \\ \text { Percent } \end{array}$ |  |
| 303(d) Listed Compounds |  |  |  |  |  | Miscellaneous Compounds |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.08 |  | 0.51 |  |  | 2,4'-DDT | 0.21 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 4,4'-DDE <br> (Western Wash.) | 0.54 |  | 3.2 |  |  | 2,4'-DDE | 0.18 |  | 0.23 |  |
| 4,4'-DDE <br> (Eastern Wash.) | 2.7 |  | 11 |  |  | 2,4'-DDD | 0.03 |  | 0.23 |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.10 |  | 1.0 |  |  | Mirex | 0.01 |  | 0.05 |  |
| Dieldrin | 0.04 |  | 0.26 |  |  | Endrin Ketone | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Chlordane | 0.24 | J | 1.2 | J |  | HCH, delta | 0.18 | U | 0.19 | U |
| HCH, alpha | 0.02 |  | 0.46 |  |  | HCH, gamma | 0.45 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Toxaphene | 0.17 |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.24 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | 0.46 | U | 0.47 |  |  | Lipids (percent) |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor | 0.23 | U | 0.24 |  |  | Salmonids | 2.5 |  | 3.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Spiny rays | 0.8 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Other Human Health Criteria Compounds |  |  |  |  |  | Mixed species | 1.5 |  | 3.5 |  |


| Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.02 |  | 0.20 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| alpha-Endosulphan | 0.20 |  | 0.21 |  |
| beta-Endosulphan | 0.20 |  | 0.21 |  |
| Endosulphan Sulphate | 0.09 |  | 0.26 |  |
| HCH, beta | 0.46 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Endrin | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.

## Recommendations

1. The background values developed here for legacy chlorinated pesticides and breakdown products in Washington State freshwater fish should be taken into account when prioritizing the state's resources to address 303(d) listings, setting cleanup targets for pesticidecontaminated waterbodies or assessing progress toward targets already set. These values could also be used when screening fish contaminant data and designing investigative studies.
2. Waterbodies that have fish with chlorinated pesticide levels below the background $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles from the present study are poor candidates for water cleanup plans or TMDLs.
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Appendix A. Fish Samples Analyzed

| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. (1201017-) | Weight (gm) | Total Length (mm) | Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ozette | PEA | 9/13/11 | OZPEA | 1 | 131 | 254 | ECY |
| Ozette | PEA | 9/13/11 |  | 1 | 128 | 246 | ECY |
| Ozette | PEA | 9/13/11 |  | 1 | 112 | 250 | ECY |
| Ozette | PEA | 9/13/11 |  | 1 | 169 | 270 | ECY |
| Ozette | YP | 9/13/11 | OZYP | 2 | 195 | 259 | ECY |
| Ozette | YP | 9/13/11 |  | 2 | 90 | 200 | ECY |
| Ozette | YP | 9/13/11 |  | 2 | 98 | 203 | ECY |
| Ozette | YP | 9/13/11 |  | 2 | 57 | 168 | ECY |
| Ozette | YP | 9/13/11 |  | 2 | 71 | 180 | ECY |
| Ozette | LMB | 9/13/11 | OZLMB | 4 | 231 | 259 | ECY |
| Ozette | LMB | 9/13/11 |  | 4 | 574 | 338 | ECY |
| Ozette | LMB | 9/13/11 |  | 4 | 474 | 308 | ECY |
| Ozette | LMB | 9/13/11 |  | 4 | 223 | 242 | ECY |
| Tarboo | LMB | 8/17/11 | TRBLMB | 5 | 126 | 207 | ECY |
| Tarboo | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 5 | 101 | 194 | ECY |
| Tarboo | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 5 | 110 | 197 | ECY |
| Tarboo | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 5 | 282 | 262 | ECY |
| Tarboo | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 5 | 105 | 190 | ECY |
| Cushman | CTT | 9/19/11 | CSHCTT | 9 | 376 | 350 | ECY |
| Cushman | CTT | 9/19/11 |  | 9 | 277 | 325 | ECY |
| Cushman | LSS | 9/19/11 | CSHLSS | 10 | 247 | 290 | ECY |
| Cushman | LSS | 9/19/11 |  | 10 | 307 | 312 | ECY |
| Cushman | LSS | 9/19/11 |  | 10 | 206 | 274 | ECY |
| Cushman | LSS | 9/19/11 |  | 10 | 380 | 327 | ECY |
| Wynoochee | MWF | 11/1/11 | WYNMWF | 11 | 320 | 330 | ECY |
| Wynoochee | MWF | 11/1/11 |  | 11 | 249 | 305 | ECY |
| Wynoochee | MWF | 11/1/11 |  | 11 | 359 | 337 | ECY |
| Wynoochee | MWF | 11/1/11 |  | 11 | 322 | 317 | ECY |
| Wynoochee | MWF | 11/1/11 |  | 11 | 259 | 312 | ECY |
| Devereaux | LMB | 8/17/11 | DEVLMB | 6 | 406 | 275 | ECY |
| Devereaux | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 6 | 74 | 170 | ECY |
| Devereaux | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 6 | 89 | 175 | ECY |
| Devereaux | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 6 | 89 | 170 | ECY |
| Devereaux | LMB | 8/17/11 |  | 6 | 55 | 155 | ECY |
| Devereaux | KOK | 11/11/11 | DEVKOK | 7 | - | 240 | WDFW |
| Devereaux | KOK | 11/11/11 |  | 7 | 148 | 268 | WDFW |
| Devereaux | KOK | 11/11/11 |  | 7 | 143 | 265 | WDFW |


| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. (1201017-) | Weight (gm) | Total Length (mm) | Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Devereaux | KOK | 11/11/11 |  | 7 | 126 | 255 | WDFW |
| Devereaux | KOK | 11/11/11 |  | 7 | 120 | 242 | WDFW |
| Failor | CTT | 9/22/09 | FLRCTT | 53 | 130 | 238 | ECY |
| Failor | CTT | 9/22/09 |  | 53 | 148 | 250 | ECY |
| Failor | CTT | 9/22/09 |  | 53 | 149 | 251 | ECY |
| Failor | CTT | 9/22/09 |  | 53 | 136 | 235 | ECY |
| Failor | CTT | 9/22/09 |  | 53 | 118 | 245 | ECY |
| Silver | BBH | 11/29/11 | SLVBBH | 26 | 212 | 250 | WDFW |
| Silver | BBH | 11/29/11 |  | 26 | 169 | 240 | WDFW |
| Silver | BBH | 11/29/11 |  | 26 | 168 | 238 | WDFW |
| Silver | BBH | 11/29/11 |  | 26 | 106 | 205 | WDFW |
| Silver | BBH | 11/29/11 |  | 26 | 142 | 214 | WDFW |
| Silver | BCR | 11/29/11 | SLVBCR | 27 | 120 | 194 | WDFW |
| Silver | BCR | 11/29/11 |  | 27 | 99 | 185 | WDFW |
| Silver | BCR | 11/29/11 |  | 27 | 123 | 196 | WDFW |
| Silver | BCR | 11/29/11 |  | 27 | 115 | 193 | WDFW |
| Silver | BCR | 11/29/11 |  | 27 | 115 | 197 | WDFW |
| Baker | MWF | 10/12/11 | BAKMWF | 13 | 113 | 234 | ECY |
| Baker | MWF | 10/12/11 |  | 13 | 148 | 251 | ECY |
| Baker | MWF | 10/12/11 |  | 13 | 195 | 260 | ECY |
| Baker | MWF | 10/12/11 |  | 13 | 174 | 249 | ECY |
| Baker | MWF | 10/12/11 |  | 13 | 189 | 267 | ECY |
| Diobsud | CTT | 9/8/11 | DIOCTT | 44 | 239 | 271* | NPS |
| Diobsud | CTT | 9/8/11 |  | 44 | 124 | 230* | NPS |
| Diobsud | CTT | 9/8/11 |  | 44 | 174 | 245* | NPS |
| Diobsud | CTT | 9/8/11 |  | 44 | 212 | 250* | NPS |
| Diobsud | CTT | 9/8/11 |  | 44 | 144 | 236* | NPS |
| Gorge | EBT | 7/11-14/11 | GOREBT | 28 | 74 | 202* | NPS |
| Gorge | EBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 28 | 72 | 205* | NPS |
| Gorge | EBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 28 | 80 | 206* | NPS |
| Gorge | EBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 28 | 162 | 267* | NPS |
| Gorge | EBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 28 | 194 | 272* | NPS |
| Gorge | RBT | 7/11-14/11 | GORRBT | 29 | 159 | 264* | NPS |
| Gorge | RBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 29 | 179 | 274* | NPS |
| Gorge | RBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 29 | 234 | 300* | NPS |
| Gorge | RBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 29 | 220 | 298* | NPS |
| Gorge | RBT | 7/11-14/11 |  | 29 | 250 | 305* | NPS |
| Cavanaugh | KOK | 10/11/11 | CAVKOK | 14 | 316 | 305 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | KOK | 10/11/11 |  | 14 | 332 | 320 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | KOK | 10/11/11 |  | 14 | 324 | 340 | ECY |
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| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. (1201017-) | Weight (gm) | Total Length (mm) | Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cavanaugh | KOK | 10/11/11 |  | 14 | 315 | 330 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | CTT | 10/11/11 | CAVCTT | 15 | 160 | 281 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | CTT | 10/11/11 |  | 15 | 205 | 295 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | CTT | 10/11/11 |  | 15 | 208 | 295 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | CTT | 10/11/11 |  | 15 | 163 | 260 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | CTT | 10/11/11 |  | 15 | 136 | 273 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | LMB | 10/11/11 | CAVLMB | 16 | 1167 | 458 | ECY |
| Cavanaugh | LMB | 10/11/11 |  | 16 | 1198 | 398 | ECY |
| Cassidy | LMB | 9/23/11 | CASSLMB | 45 | 162 | 240 | ECY |
| Cassidy | LMB | 9/23/11 |  | 45 | 216 | 251 | ECY |
| Cassidy | LMB | 9/23/11 |  | 45 | 220 | 246 | ECY |
| Cassidy | LMB | 9/23/11 |  | 45 | 597 | 333 | ECY |
| Cassidy | LMB | 9/23/11 |  | 45 | 307 | 295 | ECY |
| Cassidy | YP | 9/23/11 | CASSYP | 46 | 117 | 205 | ECY |
| Cassidy | YP | 9/23/11 |  | 46 | 114 | 212 | ECY |
| Cassidy | YP | 9/23/11 |  | 46 | 99 | 201 | ECY |
| Cassidy | YP | 9/23/11 |  | 46 | 91 | 197 | ECY |
| Cassidy | YP | 9/23/11 |  | 46 | 84 | 190 | ECY |
| Chester Morse | RBT | 2/14/12 | CMRBT | 17 | 493 | 375 | SPU |
| Chester Morse | RBT | 2/14/12 |  | 17 | 700 | 438 | SPU |
| Chester Morse | RBT | 2/14/12 |  | 17 | 587 | 409 | SPU |
| Chester Morse | RBT | 2/14/12 |  | 17 | 581 | 409 | SPU |
| Chester Morse | RBT | 2/14/12 |  | 17 | 563 | 403 | SPU |
| Coldwater | RBT | 9/2/11 | CLDRBT | 30 | 349 | 310* | WDFW |
| Coldwater | RBT | 9/2/11 |  | 30 | 379 | 335* | WDFW |
| Coldwater | RBT | 9/2/11 |  | 30 | 519 | 390* | WDFW |
| Coldwater | RBT | 9/2/11 |  | 30 | 4416 | 343* | WDFW |
| Coldwater | RBT | 9/2/11 |  | 30 | 487 | 365* | WDFW |
| Merrill | CTT | 10/25/11 | MERCTT | 25 | 148 | 259 | WDFW |
| Merrill | CTT | 10/25/11 |  | 25 | 332 | 326 | WDFW |
| Merrill | CTT | 10/25/11 |  | 25 | 294 | 317 | WDFW |
| Merrill | CTT | 10/25/11 |  | 25 | 350 | 249 | WDFW |
| Merrill | CTT | 10/25/11 |  | 25 | 230 | 294 | WDFW |
| Patterson | RBT | 7/21/11 | PATRBT | 31 | 233 | 292 | WDFW |
| Patterson | RBT | 7/21/11 |  | 31 | 316 | 324 | WDFW |
| Patterson | RBT | 7/21/11 |  | 31 | 309 | 322 | WDFW |
| Patterson | LMB | 7/21/11 | PATLMB | 32 | 467 | 333 | WDFW |
| Patterson | LMB | 7/21/11 |  | 32 | 73 | 175 | WDFW |
| Patterson | LMB | 7/21/11 |  | 32 | 82 | 183 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 | PATYP | 33 | 35 | 147 | WDFW |
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| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. (1201017-) | Weight (gm) | Total Length (mm) | Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 34 | 145 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 39 | 146 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 87 | 193 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 90 | 200 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 98 | 197 | WDFW |
| Patterson | YP | 7/21/11 |  | 33 | 82 | 186 | WDFW |
| Wenatchee | NPM | 10/13/11 | WENNPM | 34 | 837 | 428 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | NPM | 10/13/11 |  | 34 | 615 | 443 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | NPM | 10/13/11 |  | 34 | 1042 | 462 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | NPM | 10/13/11 |  | 34 | 598 | 414 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | NPM | 10/13/11 |  | 34 | 442 | 362 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | CTT | 10/13/11 | WENCTT | 35 | 146 | 256 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | CTT | 10/13/11 |  | 35 | 161 | 265 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | CTT | 10/13/11 |  | 35 | 139 | 245 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | CTT | 10/13/11 |  | 35 | 311 | 309 | ECY |
| Wenatchee | CTT | 10/13/11 |  | 35 | 338 | 325 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | MWF | 10/26/11 | CLMMWF | 23 | 94 | 215 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | MWF | 10/26/11 |  | 23 | 64 | 195 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | MWF | 10/26/11 |  | 23 | 55 | 185 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | RBT | 10/26/11 | CLMRBT | 24 | 270 | 284 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | RBT | 10/26/11 |  | 24 | 157 | 251 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | RBT | 10/26/11 |  | 24 | 142 | 222 | ECY |
| Cle Elum | RBT | 10/26/11 |  | 24 | 130 | 234 | ECY |
| Bumping | KOK | 8/22/11 | BMPKOK | 18 | 91 | 227 | ECY |
| Bumping | KOK | 8/22/11 |  | 18 | 106 | 235 | ECY |
| Bumping | KOK | 8/22/11 |  | 18 | 53 | 181 | ECY |
| Bumping | KOK | 8/22/11 |  | 18 | 102 | 235 | ECY |
| Rimrock | KOK | 8/23/11 | RIMKOK | 21 | 113 | 239 | ECY |
| Rimrock | KOK | 8/23/11 |  | 21 | 105 | 241 | ECY |
| Rimrock | KOK | 8/23/11 |  | 21 | 117 | 246 | ECY |
| Rimrock | KOK | 8/23/11 |  | 21 | 93 | 230 | ECY |
| Rimrock | KOK | 8/23/11 |  | 21 | 28 | 145 | ECY |
| Rimrock | MWF | 8/23/11 | RIMMWF | 22 | 92 | 235 | ECY |
| Rimrock | MWF | 8/23/11 |  | 22 | 59 | 202 | ECY |
| Rimrock | MWF | 8/23/11 |  | 22 | 54 | 195 | ECY |
| Rimrock | LSS | 8/23/11 | RIMLSS | 19 | 337 | 340 | ECY |
| Rimrock | LSS | 8/23/11 |  | 19 | 438 | 376 | ECY |
| Rimrock | LSS | 8/23/11 |  | 19 | 370 | 344 | ECY |
| Rimrock | LSS | 8/23/11 |  | 19 | 327 | 350 | ECY |
| Rimrock | LSS | 8/23/11 |  | 19 | 480 | 378 | ECY |
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| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. (1201017-) | Weight (gm) | Total Length (mm) | Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walupt | CTT | 8/24/11 | WALCTT | 20 | 234 | 300 | ECY |
| Walupt | CTT | 8/24/11 |  | 20 | 145 | 260 | ECY |
| Walupt | CTT | 8/24/11 |  | 20 | 113 | 239 | ECY |
| Walupt | CTT | 8/24/11 |  | 20 | 118 | 242 | ECY |
| Walupt | CTT | 8/24/11 |  | 20 | 210 | 306 | ECY |
| Cedar | RBT | 10/18/10 | CEDRBT | 48 | 88 | 204 | ECY |
| Cedar | RBT | 10/18/10 |  | 48 | 123 | 221 | ECY |
| Cedar | RBT | 10/18/10 |  | 48 | 98 | 195 | ECY |
| Cedar | RBT | 10/18/10 |  | 48 | 104 | 210 | ECY |
| Cedar | RBT | 10/18/10 |  | 48 | 98 | 196 | ECY |
| Sullivan | KOK | 10/20/10 | SULKOK | 47 | 202 | 270 | ECY |
| Sullivan | KOK | 10/20/10 |  | 47 | 193 | 275 | ECY |
| Sullivan | KOK | 10/20/10 |  | 47 | 203 | 290 | ECY |
| Sullivan | KOK | 10/20/10 |  | 47 | 194 | 275 | ECY |
| Sullivan | KOK | 10/20/10 |  | 47 | 186 | 276 | ECY |
| Sullivan | BRB | 10/20/10 | SULBRB | 49 | 1437 | 612 | ECY |
| Sullivan | BRB | 10/20/10 |  | 49 | 1408 | 605 | ECY |
| Sullivan | BRB | 10/20/10 |  | 49 | 1278 | 556 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 | LEOYP | 50 | 131 | 233 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 117 | 226 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 100 | 210 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 66 | 190 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 61 | 191 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 54 | 174 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 54 | 169 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 50 | 171 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 46 | 162 | ECY |
| Leo | YP | 10/19/10 |  | 50 | 45 | 159 | ECY |
| Bayley | RBT | 10/5/10 | BAYRBT | 51 | 1364 | 492 | ECY |
| Bayley | RBT | 10/5/10 |  | 51 | 1179 | 496 | ECY |
| Bayley | RBT | 10/5/10 |  | 51 | 1077 | 478 | ECY |
| Bayley | RBT | 10/5/10 |  | 51 | 920 | 447 | ECY |
| Bayley | RBT | 10/5/10 |  | 51 | 876 | 432 | ECY |
| Buffalo | RBT | 10/6/11 | BUFRBT | 40 | 464 | 350 | CCT |
| Buffalo | RBT | 10/6/11 |  | 40 | 419 | 345 | CCT |
| Buffalo | RBT | 10/6/11 |  | 40 | 315 | 330 | CCT |
| Buffalo | KOK | 10/6/11 | BUFKOK | 41 | 454 | 330 | CCT |
| Buffalo | KOK | 10/6/11 |  | 41 | 393 | 330 | CCT |
| Buffalo | KOK | 10/6/11 |  | 41 | 459 | 350 | CCT |
| Buffalo | KOK | 10/6/11 |  | 41 | 317 | 290 | CCT |


| Lake | Species | Sample <br> Date | Sample ID | Sample No. <br> $(1201017-)$ | Weight <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | Total <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Collector |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffalo | LSS | $10 / 6 / 11$ | BUFLSS | 42 | 586 | 350 | CCT |
| Buffalo | LSS | $10 / 6 / 11$ |  | 42 | 1076 | 445 | CCT |
| South Twin | LMB | $5 / 24 / 11$ | STWLMB | 39 | 199 | 247 | ECY |
| South Twin | LMB | $5 / 24 / 11$ |  | 39 | 233 | 245 | ECY |
| South Twin | LMB | $5 / 24 / 11$ |  | 39 | 228 | 255 | ECY |
| South Twin | LMB | $5 / 24 / 11$ |  | 39 | 105 | 203 | ECY |
| South Twin | LMB | $5 / 24 / 11$ |  | 39 | 151 | 225 | ECY |
| Evergreen | SMB | $8 / 5 / 11$ | EVGSMB | 36 | 416 | 306 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | SMB | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 36 | 483 | 297 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | SMB | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 36 | 301 | 262 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | SMB | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 36 | 404 | 294 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | SMB | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 36 | 267 | 260 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | CRP | $8 / 5 / 11$ | EVGCRP | 38 | 1975 | 515 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | CRP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 38 | 2604 | 550 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | CRP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 38 | 3434 | 580 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | CRP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 38 | 2363 | 530 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | YP | $8 / 5 / 11$ | EVGYP | 43 | 99 | 192 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | YP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 43 | 72 | 174 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | YP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 43 | 56 | 152 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | YP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 43 | 48 | 159 | WDFW |
| Evergreen | YP | $8 / 5 / 11$ |  | 43 | 48 | 153 | WDFW |

*fork length
BBH: brown bullhead (Ameiurus nebulosus)
BCR: black crappie (Pomoxis nigromaculatus)
BRB: burbot (Lota lota)
CRP: common carp (Cyprinus carpio)
CTT: cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarki)
EBT: eastern brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis)
KOK: kokanee (Oncorhynchus nerka)
LMB: largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides)
LSS: largescale suckers (Catostomus macrocheilus)
MWF: mountain whitefish (Prosopium williamsoni)
NPM: northern pikeminnow (Ptychocheilus oregonensis)
PEA: peamouth (Mylocheilus caurinus)
RBT: rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
SMB: smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieu)
YP: yellow perch (Perca flavescens)
CCT: Colville Confederated Tribes
ECY: Washington State Department of Ecology
NPS: National Park Service
SPU: Seattle Public Utilities
WDFW: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

## Appendix B. Results of Duplicate Analyses

| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Duplicate \#1 |  | Duplicate \#2 |  | Relative Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | \% Lipid | 2.81 |  | 2.73 |  | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Hexachlorobenzene | 0.428 |  | 0.417 |  | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | HCH, alpha | 0.010 | J | 0.009 | J | 11\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | HCH, beta | 0.458 | U | 0.459 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | HCH, gamma | 0.466 | U | 0.467 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Heptachlor | 0.229 | U | 0.229 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Aldrin | 0.459 | U | 0.459 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Chlordane, oxy- | 0.039 | J | 0.040 | J | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Chlordane, gamma (trans) | 0.014 | J | 0.012 | J | 15\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Chlordane, alpha (cis) | 0.076 | J | 0.076 | J | 0\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Nonachlor, trans- | 0.577 |  | 0.558 |  | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Nonachlor, cis- | 0.161 | J | 0.165 | J | 2\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 2,4'-DDD | 0.229 | U | 0.229 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 4,4'-DDD | 0.020 | J | 0.018 | J | 11\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 2,4'-DDE | 0.229 | U | 0.230 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 4,4'-DDE | 0.744 |  | 0.762 |  | 2\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 2,4'-DDT | 0.009 | J | 0.231 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | 4,4'-DDT | 0.035 | J | 0.037 | J | 6\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Mirex | 0.104 | J | 0.101 | J | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | HCH, delta | 0.183 | U | 0.184 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.198 | U | 0.198 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | alpha-Endosulphan | 0.201 | U | 0.202 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Dieldrin | 0.0337 | J | 0.0349 | J | 3\% |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Endrin | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | beta-Endosulphan | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Endosulphan Sulphate | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Endrin Aldehyde | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Endrin Ketone | 0.204 | U | 0.205 | U | ND |
| 1201017-09 | CSHCTT | Methoxychlor | 0.198 | U | 0.198 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | \% Lipid | 0.90 |  | 1.04 |  | 14\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Hexachlorobenzene | 0.205 |  | 0.207 | J | 1\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | HCH, alpha | 0.410 | U | 0.444 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | HCH, beta | 0.406 | U | 0.441 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | HCH, gamma | 0.414 | U | 0.448 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Heptachlor | 0.203 | U | 0.220 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Aldrin | 0.407 | U | 0.441 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Chlordane, oxy- | 0.007 | J | 0.007 | NJ | 0\% |


| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Duplicate \#1 |  | Duplicate \#2 |  | Relative Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Chlordane, gamma (trans) | 0.406 | U | 0.44 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Chlordane, alpha (cis) | 0.034 | J | 0.035 | J | 3\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Nonachlor, trans- | 0.031 | J | 0.026 | J | 18\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Nonachlor, cis- | 0.034 | NJ | 0.026 | NJ | 27\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 2,4'-DDD | 0.004 | NJ | 0.220 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 4,4'-DDD | 0.053 | J | 0.053 | J | 0\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 2,4'-DDE | 0.005 | NJ | 0.005 | J | 0\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 4,4'-DDE | 0.426 |  | 0.457 |  | 7\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 2,4'-DDT | 0.205 | U | 0.222 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | 4,4'-DDT | 0.205 | U | 0.223 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Mirex | 0.002 | NJ | 0.002 | NJ | 0\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | HCH, delta | 0.163 | U | 0.176 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.175 | U | 0.190 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | alpha-Endosulphan | 0.179 | U | 0.194 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Dieldrin | 0.0103 | J | 0.0101 | J | 2\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Endrin | 0.178 | U | 0.193 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | beta-Endosulphan | 0.0156 | NJ | 0.008 | J | 64\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Endosulphan Sulphate | 0.0163 | J | 0.0149 | J | 9\% |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Endrin Aldehyde | 0.177 | U | 0.192 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Endrin Ketone | 0.181 | U | 0.196 | U | ND |
| 1201017-26 | SLVBBH | Methoxychlor | 0.175 | U | 0.190 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | \% Lipid | 1.18 |  | NA |  | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Hexachlorobenzene | 0.186 | J | 0.175 | J | 6\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | HCH, alpha | 0.008 | J | 0.007 | J | 13\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | HCH, beta | 0.399 | U | 0.395 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | HCH, gamma | 0.005 | J | 0.005 | NJ | 0\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Heptachlor | 0.20 | U | 0.002 | J | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Aldrin | 0.400 | U | 0.396 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Chlordane, oxy- | 0.046 | NJ | 0.049 | J | 6\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Chlordane, gamma (trans) | 0.01 | NJ | 0.008 | NJ | 22\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Chlordane, alpha (cis) | 0.028 | J | 0.03 | NJ | 7\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Nonachlor, trans- | 0.117 | J | 0.103 | J | 13\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Nonachlor, cis- | 0.049 | J | 0.045 | NJ | 9\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 2,4'-DDD | 0.022 | J | 0.021 | NJ | 5\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 4,4'-DDD | 0.599 |  | 0.558 |  | 7\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 2,4'-DDE | 0.043 | J | 0.043 | J | 0\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 4,4'-DDE | 8.12 |  | 8.03 |  | 1\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 2,4'-DDT | 0.059 | J | 0.048 | J | 21\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | 4,4'-DDT | 0.964 |  | 0.930 |  | 4\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Mirex | 0.004 | J | 0.003 | J | 29\% |
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| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Duplicate \#1 |  | Duplicate \#2 |  | Relative <br> Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | HCH, delta | 0.001 | NJ | 0.158 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.056 | J | 0.056 | J | 0\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | alpha-Endosulphan | 0.175 | U | 0.174 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Dieldrin | 1.87 |  | 1.74 |  | 7\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Endrin | 0.175 | U | 0.173 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | beta-Endosulphan | 0.174 | U | 0.173 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Endosulphan Sulphate | 0.346 |  | 0.309 |  | 11\% |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Endrin Aldehyde | 0.174 | U | 0.172 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Endrin Ketone | 0.178 | U | 0.176 | U | ND |
| 1201017-36 | EVGSMB | Methoxychlor | 0.172 | U | 0.171 | U | ND |

ND: not detected.
U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

## Appendix C. Lipids Data (percent)

| Western |  |  | East Slope |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 1.45 | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.84 |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.50 | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.48 |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.71 | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.58 |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 1.11 | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 3.16 |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 2.77 | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 2.83 |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.71 | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 2.56 |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 3.23 | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 3.41 |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 1.54 | Bumping | Kokanee | 6.99 |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 1.38 | Rimrock | Kokanee | 4.21 |
| Failor | Cuthroat Trout | 3.03 | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.57 |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.97 | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.34 |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.86 | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 1.77 |
|  | Median = | 1.25 |  | Median = | 2.17 |
| West Slope |  |  | Eastern |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 3.36 | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 2.11 |
| Diobsud | Cuthroat Trout | 3.58 | Sullivan | Kokanee | 2.45 |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 1.86 | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.37 |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 1.15 | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.56 |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 2.33 | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 3.08 |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 1.18 | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.72 |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 1.46 | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 1.74 |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.41 | Buffalo | Kokanee | 4.47 |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.40 | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 1.48 |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 2.55 | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.79 |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 1.24 | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 1.18 |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 2.54 | Evergreen | Common Carp | 9.52 |
|  | Median = | 1.66 |  | Median $=$ | 1.61 |

## Appendix D. Pesticide Data for Other 303(d) Listed Compounds: 4,4'-DDT, 4,4'-DDD, Hexachlorobenzene, Alpha-HCH, Aldrin, and Heptachlor

(ug/Kg, wet weight; parts per billion)

Table D-1.

| Region / Lake | Species | 4,4'-DD |  | Region / Lake | Species | 4,4'-D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.08 | J |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.04 | NJ |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.24 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | NJ |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.24 | U | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.04 | J |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.19 | J |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.28 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.09 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.05 | J | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.07 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.08 | J | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.47 |  |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.23 |  | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.66 |  |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.27 |  |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.21 | U | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.06 | J |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.25 | U | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.05 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.23 | U |  | Median = | 0.07 | J |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.46 |  | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.75 |  |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.05 | J | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.23 |  |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.05 | J | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.49 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.03 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.05 | J | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.10 | J | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.05 | J |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.54 |  |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.02 | J | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.05 | J |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.05 | J | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.50 |  |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.24 | U | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.95 |  |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.04 | J | Evergreen | Common Carp | 1.0 |  |
|  | Median = | 0.05 | J |  | Median = | 0.14 |  |

Table D-2.

| Region / Lake | Species | 4,4'-DDD |  | Region / Lake | Species | 4,4'-DDD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.01 | J | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.59 |  |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.16 | J |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.04 | J |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.03 | J | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 3.5 |  |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | J | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.17 | J |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.01 | J | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.04 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.03 | J | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.14 | J | Bumping | Kokanee | 2.4 |  |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 1.2 |  | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.38 |  |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.03 | J | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.07 | J |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.05 | J | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.04 | J |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.02 | J | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.17 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.03 | J |  | Median = | 0.16 | J |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.28 |  | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.07 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.05 | J | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.48 |  |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.02 | J | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.18 | J |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.04 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.93 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.24 |  |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.34 |  | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.03 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.15 | J | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.61 |  |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.04 | J | Buffalo | Kokanee | 3.7 |  |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.04 | J | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.61 |  |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.04 | J | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.44 |  |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | J | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.58 |  |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.05 | J | Evergreen | Common Carp | 5.05 |  |
|  | Median = | 0.04 | J |  | Median = | 0.46 |  |

Table D-3.


Table D-4.

| Region / Lake | Species | HCH, alpha |  | Region / Lake | Species | HCH, | lpha |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.02 | J | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.44 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.02 | J |
| Cushman | Cuthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.56 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.02 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.56 | U | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.02 | J |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.02 | J | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.02 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.03 | J | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.46 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.41 | U | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.45 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.50 | U | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.46 | U |
|  | Median = | 0.02 | J |  | Median = | 0.23 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.02 | J | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.02 |  |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.46 | U | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.00 | J |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.03 |  | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | NJ | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | NJ |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.00 | J | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.05 | J |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.00 | NJ | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.01 | J |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.00 | NJ |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.01 | J |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | J | Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.05 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.02 | J |  | Median = | 0.01 | J |

Table D-5.

| Region / Lake | Species | Aldrin |  | Region / Lake | Species | Aldri |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.46 | U | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.45 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.44 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.42 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.46 | U | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.45 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.56 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.002 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.56 | U | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.001 | NJ |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.46 | U | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.46 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.46 | U | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.002 | NJ |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.45 | U | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.002 | J |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.41 | U | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.45 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.49 | U | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.001 | NJ |
|  | Median = | 0.46 | J |  | Median $=$ | 0.43 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.55 | U | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.45 | U | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.44 | U |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.46 | U | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.40 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.002 | NJ | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.47 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.47 | U | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.47 | U | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.39 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.46 | U | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.41 | U |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.47 | U |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.45 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.40 | U |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.47 | U | Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.013 | J |
|  | Median = | 0.47 | U |  | Median = | 0.44 | U |

Table D-6.

| Region / Lake | Species | Heptachlor |  | Region / Lake | Species | Heptach |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  | East Slope |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.22 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.21 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U | Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.22 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.28 | U | Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.00 | J |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.28 | U | Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.22 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Bumping | Kokanee | 0.23 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.23 | U | Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.22 | U |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.22 | U | Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.23 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.20 | U | Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.22 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.25 | U | Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U |
|  | Median = | 0.23 | U |  | Median $=$ | 0.22 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.27 | U | Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.22 | U | Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.22 |  |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.23 | U | Sullivan | Burbot | 0.20 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U | Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.24 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.23 | U | Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U | South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.19 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.21 | U |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.24 | U |
| Chester <br> Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U | Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.22 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U | Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.002 | J |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U | Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.20 | U |
|  | Median = | 0.23 | U |  | Median $=$ | 0.22 | U |

U: Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

## Appendix E. Pesticide Data for Pesticides not 303(d) Listed

Table E-1.

| Region / Lake | Species | 2,4'-DDT |  | 2,4'-DDE |  | 2,4'-DDD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.005 | J |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.004 | J |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.28 | U | 0.28 | U | 0.3 | U |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.03 | J | 0.004 | J | 0.004 | J |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J | 0.008 | J | 0.02 | J |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.12 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.2 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U | 0.22 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.21 | U | 0.005 | J | 0.004 | NJ |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.25 | U | 0.003 | NJ | 0.006 | NJ |
| West Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.25 | J | 0.05 | J | 0.07 | J |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.23 | U | 0.002 | J | 0.2 | U |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.18 | J | 0.04 | J | 0.1 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.23 | U | 0.01 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | 0.004 | J | 0.01 | J |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U | 0.007 | J |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | 0.2 | U | 0.004 | NJ |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.2 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.24 | U | 0.2 | U | 0.2 | U |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.2 | U | 0.005 | NJ |

Table E-2.

| Region / Lake | Species | 2,4'-DDT |  | 2,4'-DDE |  | 2,4'-DDD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.01 | J | 0.04 | J |
| Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.22 | U | 0.009 | J | 0.03 | NJ |
| Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U |
| Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.39 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.30 |  |
| Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.22 | U | 0.008 | J |
| Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.03 | J | 0.005 | J | 0.008 | NJ |
| Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.04 | J | 0.22 | U | 0.005 | J |
| Bumping | Kokanee | 0.21 | J | 0.04 | J | 0.19 | J |
| Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.21 | J | 0.04 | J | 0.09 | J |
| Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.07 | J | 0.01 | J | 0.01 | J |
| Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.23 | U | 0.22 | U | 0.006 | J |
| Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.23 | U | 0.007 | J |
| Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.23 | U |
| Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.27 |  | 0.05 | J | 0.09 | J |
| Sullivan | Burbot | 0.03 | J | 0.003 | NJ | 0.02 | J |
| Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U |
| Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.23 | U | 0.003 | J | 0.01 | J |
| South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.002 | J | 0.007 | J |
| Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.004 | J | 0.02 | J |
| Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.22 |  | 0.14 | J | 0.44 |  |
| Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.02 | J | 0.04 | J | 0.06 | J |
| Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.05 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.02 | J |
| Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.05 | J | 0.04 | J | 0.02 | J |
| Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.33 |  | 0.31 |  | 0.41 |  |

Table E-3.

| Region / Lake | Species | Endrin |  | Endrin <br> Aldehyde |  | Endrin Ketone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.25 | U |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.25 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.18 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.18 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.22 | U | 0.21 | U | 0.22 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.00 | J | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.00 | NJ | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cuthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |

Table E-4.

| Region / Lake | Species | Endrin |  | Endrin Aldehyde |  | Endrin Ketone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.01 | J | 0.19 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.01 | NJ | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U |
| Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.00 | NJ | 0.19 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.01 | NJ | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Bumping | Kokanee | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.01 | J | 0.19 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U |
| Sullivan | Burbot | 0.16 | U | 0.16 | U | 0.16 | U |
| Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.21 | U | 0.21 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.17 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.17 | U |
| Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.00 | NJ | 0.20 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.02 | J | 0.00 | NJ | 0.18 | U |
| Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.003 | J | 0.21 | U | 0.21 | U |
| Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.00 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.17 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.18 | U |
| Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.04 | J | 0.17 | U | 0.003 | J |

Table E-5.

| Region / Lake | Species | HCH, beta |  | HCH, delta |  | HCH, gamma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.46 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.008 | J |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.56 | U | 0.22 | U | 0.57 | U |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.56 | U | 0.22 | U | 0.57 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.46 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.009 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.004 | J | 0.18 | U | 0.005 | NJ |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.41 | U | 0.16 | U | 0.41 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.49 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.50 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.55 | U | 0.22 | U | 0.56 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.002 | NJ | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.27 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.009 |  | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.004 | J |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.002 | J |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.47 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.48 | U |

Table E-6.

| Region / Lake | Species | HCH, beta |  | HCH, delta |  | HCH, gamma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.44 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.45 | U |
| Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.42 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.43 | U |
| Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.45 | U |
| Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.45 | U |
| Bumping | Kokanee | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.47 | U |
| Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.45 | U |
| Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.46 | U |
| Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.005 | J |
| Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.44 |  | 0.17 | U | 0.007 |  |
| Sullivan | Burbot | 0.40 | U | 0.16 | U | 0.002 | NJ |
| Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.002 | NJ | 0.19 | U | 0.003 | NJ |
| Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.46 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.007 | J |
| South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.39 | U | 0.001 | NJ | 0.005 | J |
| Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.004 | NJ | 0.19 | U | 0.007 | NJ |
| Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.01 | J | 0.16 | U | 0.02 | J |
| Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.005 | J | 0.19 | U | 0.006 | NJ |
| Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.45 | U | 0.18 | U | 0.002 | NJ |
| Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.40 | U | 0.001 | NJ | 0.005 | J |
| Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.39 | U | 0.001 | NJ | 0.02 | NJ |

Table E-7.

| Region / Lake | Species | alpha- <br> Endosulphan |  | beta- <br> Endosulphan |  | Endosulphan Sulphate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | NJ |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | NJ |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.25 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.25 | U | 0.24 | U | 0.24 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.05 | J |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.18 | U | 0.01 | J | 0.02 | J |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.22 | U | 0.03 | NJ | 0.01 | NJ |
| West Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.18 | J | 0.24 | U | 0.11 | NJ |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.06 | NJ |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | NJ | 0.07 | NJ |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | NJ | 0.04 | NJ |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.21 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.06 | J |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.10 | J |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | NJ |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | NJ | 0.03 | J |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.21 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.04 | J |

Table E-8.

| Region / Lake | Species | alphaEndosulphan |  | beta- <br> Endosulphan |  | Endosulphan Sulphate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | NJ |
| Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U |
| Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | NJ | 0.05 | NJ |
| Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.19 | U | 0.04 | NJ | 0.22 | J |
| Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.04 | NJ | 0.12 | J |
| Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.20 | U | 0.02 | J | 0.05 | J |
| Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | NJ | 0.05 | NJ |
| Bumping | Kokanee | 0.16 | J | 0.20 | U | 0.32 |  |
| Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.20 | U | 0.05 | NJ | 0.09 | J |
| Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.20 | U | 0.04 | J | 0.02 | NJ |
| Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.20 | U | 0.04 | J | 0.05 | NJ |
| Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | NJ | 0.02 | NJ |
| Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.03 | J |
| Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.19 | U | 0.19 | U | 0.20 | J |
| Sullivan | Burbot | 0.16 | U | 0.16 | U | 0.13 | NJ |
| Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.21 | U | 0.21 | U | 0.10 | J |
| Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.09 | NJ |
| South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.17 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.02 | NJ |
| Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.06 | NJ |
| Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.15 | J | 0.18 | U | 0.31 | J |
| Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.21 | U | 0.21 | U | 0.04 | J |
| Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.61 | J |
| Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.17 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.33 |  |
| Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.63 |  | 0.44 |  | 2.96 |  |

Table E-9.

| Region / Lake | Species | Heptachlor Epoxide |  | Mirex |  | Methoxychlor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozette | Peamouth | 0.20 | U | 0.01 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Ozette | Yellow Perch | 0.20 | U | 0.23 | U | 0.20 | U |
| Ozette | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.005 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Tarboo | Largemouth Bass | 0.20 | U | 0.006 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Cushman | Cutthroat Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.10 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cushman | Largescale Sucker | 0.24 | U | 0.02 | J | 0.24 | U |
| Wynoochee | Mountain Whitefish | 0.24 | U | 0.02 | J | 0.24 | U |
| Devereaux | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | 0.005 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Devereaux | Kokanee | 0.02 | NJ | 0.01 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Failor | Cutthroat Trout | 0.00 | NJ | 0.005 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Silver | Brown Bullhead | 0.18 | U | 0.002 | NJ | 0.18 | U |
| Silver | Black Crappie | 0.21 | U | 0.001 | J | 0.21 | U |
| West Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Mountain Whitefish | 0.04 | J | 0.03 | NJ | 0.24 | U |
| Diobsud | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | NJ | 0.02 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Gorge | Eastern Brook Trout | 0.01 | NJ | 0.01 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Gorge | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.01 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Kokanee | 0.06 | J | 0.03 |  | 0.20 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Cutthroat Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.03 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cavanaugh | Largemouth Bass | 0.02 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cassidy | Largemouth Bass | 0.006 | J | 0.003 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cassidy | Yellow Perch | 0.006 | J | 0.003 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Chester Morse | Rainbow Trout | 0.014 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Coldwater | Rainbow Trout | 0.20 | U | 0.009 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Merrill | Cutthroat Trout | 0.006 | NJ | 0.02 | NJ | 0.20 | U |

Table E-10.

| Region / Lake | Species | Heptach Epoxi |  | Mire |  | Methoxyc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patterson | Rainbow Trout | 0.006 | NJ | 0.08 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Patterson | Largemouth Bass | 0.19 | U | 0.006 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Patterson | Yellow Perch | 0.003 | NJ | 0.001 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Wenatchee | Northern Pikeminnow | 0.030 | NJ | 0.17 | J | 0.18 | U |
| Wenatchee | Cutthroat Trout | 0.008 | NJ | 0.02 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Cle Elum | Mountain Whitefish | 0.02 | J | 0.06 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Cle Elum | Rainbow Trout | 0.05 | J | 0.05 | NJ | 0.19 | U |
| Bumping | Kokanee | 0.04 | NJ | 0.02 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Rimrock | Kokanee | 0.038 | NJ | 0.03 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Rimrock | Mountain Whitefish | 0.003 | NJ | 0.03 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Rimrock | Largescale Sucker | 0.004 | NJ | 0.01 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Walupt | Cutthroat Trout | 0.006 | NJ | 0.02 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar | Rainbow Trout | 0.01 | J | 0.001 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| Sullivan | Kokanee | 0.09 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.19 | U |
| Sullivan | Burbot | 0.02 | J | 0.02 | J | 0.16 | U |
| Leo | Yellow Perch | 0.004 | J | 0.003 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Bayley | Rainbow Trout | 0.03 | J | 0.002 | NJ | 0.20 | U |
| South Twin | Largemouth Bass | 0.005 | J | 0.004 | NJ | 0.17 | U |
| Buffalo | Rainbow Trout | 0.02 | J | 0.01 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Buffalo | Kokanee | 0.15 | J | 0.01 | NJ | 0.18 | U |
| Buffalo | Largescale Sucker | 0.02 | J | 0.01 | J | 0.20 | U |
| Evergreen | Yellow Perch | 0.03 | J | 0.001 | NJ | 0.19 | U |
| Evergreen | Smallmouth Bass | 0.06 | J | 0.004 | J | 0.17 | U |
| Evergreen | Common Carp | 0.34 |  | 0.01 | J | 0.17 | U |

U : Not detected at or above reported result.
J : Result is an estimated value.
NJ: There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

## Appendix F. Glossary, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

## Glossary

Clean Water Act: A federal act passed in 1972 that contains provisions to restore and maintain the quality of the nation's waters. Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act establishes the TMDL program.

Median: A statistical number obtained from the distribution of a data set, for which half the observations lie above and half the observations lie below.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES): National program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring, and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements under the Clean Water Act. The NPDES program regulates discharges from wastewater treatment plants, large factories, and other facilities that use, process, and discharge water back into lakes, streams, rivers, bays, and oceans.

Nonpoint source: Pollution that enters any waters of the state from any dispersed land-based or water-based activities, including but not limited to atmospheric deposition, surface-water runoff from agricultural lands, urban areas, or forest lands, subsurface or underground sources, or discharges from boats or marine vessels not otherwise regulated under the NPDES program. Generally, any unconfined and diffuse source of contamination. Legally, any source of water pollution that does not meet the legal definition of "point source" in section 502(14) of the Clean Water Act.

Parameter: Water quality constituent being measured (analyte). A physical, chemical, or biological property whose values determine environmental characteristics or behavior.

Point source: Sources of pollution that discharge at a specific location from pipes, outfalls, and conveyance channels to a surface water. Examples of point source discharges include municipal wastewater treatment plants, municipal stormwater systems, industrial waste treatment facilities, and construction sites that clear more than 5 acres of land.

Salmonid: Fish that belong to the family Salmonidae. Basically, species of salmon, trout, or char

Spiny-Ray: Fish such as bass and perch that have sharp, often pointed and usually rigid fin spines.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL): Water cleanup plan. A distribution of a substance in a waterbody designed to protect it from not meeting (exceeding) water quality standards. A TMDL is equal to the sum of all of the following: (1) individual wasteload allocations for point sources, (2) the load allocations for nonpoint sources, (3) the contribution of natural sources, and (4) a Margin of Safety to allow for uncertainty in the wasteload determination. A reserve for future growth is also generally provided.

Watershed: A drainage area or basin in which all land and water areas drain or flow toward a central collector such as a stream, river, or lake at a lower elevation.

303(d) list: Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires Washington State to periodically prepare a list of all surface waters in the state for which beneficial uses of the water - such as for drinking, recreation, aquatic habitat, and industrial use - are impaired by pollutants. These are water quality-limited estuaries, lakes, and streams that fall short of state surface water quality standards and are not expected to improve within the next two years.

90th percentile: A statistical number obtained from a distribution of a data set, above which $10 \%$ of the data exist and below which $90 \%$ of the data exist.

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

| AXYS | AXYS Analytical Services (Laboratory) |
| :--- | :--- |
| DDD | dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethane |
| DDE | dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethylene |
| DDT | dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane |
| Ecology | Washington State Department of Ecology |
| EIM | Environmental Information Management database |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| FTEC | Fish Tissue Equivalent Concentration |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| HR-GC/MS | High resolution gas chromatography/mass spectrometry |
| MEL | Manchester Environmental Laboratory |
| MTCA | Model Toxics Control Act |
| NPDES | (See Glossary above) |
| NTR | National Toxics Rule |
| PBTs | Persistent, bioaccumulative, toxic chemicals |
| PCBs | Polychlorinated biphenyls |
| RPD | Relative percent difference |
| SOP | Standard operating procedure |
| TMDL | (See Glossary above) |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
| WAC | Washington Administrative Code |

## Units of Measurement

| ft | feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| g | gram, a unit of mass |
| mm | millimeters |
| $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | micrograms per kilogram (parts per billion) |


[^0]:    *salmonid †spiny-ray

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ alpha-and gamma-chlordane, cis- and trans-nonachlor, and oxychlordane

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The insecticide heptachlor is also a present in chlordane but is treated separately in this report.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The rates listed above encompass the mean and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile values from multiple studies of Washington State freshwater recreational fishers ( 6 - 246 grams/day), as summarized in Ecology (2012).

