## MEMORANDUM

May 13, 1976

To: Ron Robinson

From: Douglas Houck

Subject: Puyallup STP

Class II Inspection

On January 15, 1976 we arrived at the Puyallup sewage treatment plant to conduct a Class II inspection. Three composite samplers were installed and adjusted to take a 250 ml alequot every 30 minutes. One sampler was installed after the comminutor, another at the overflow from the west clarifier and the third at the end of the chlorine contact chamber. The location of our first two samplers corresponded with the location of Puyallup's.

At the time of the survey the treatment plant was bypassing more raw sewage than it was allowing to enter the plant. This is because Puyallup's collection system is mostly combined and it had been raining heavily prior to the survey. When this occurs Puyallup cannot use its Parshall flume but instead measures the flow with a Palmer Bowlus flume. The Parshall flume measures the flow coming into the plant while the Palmer Bowlus flume measures all the flow from the main trunk line, most of which was being bypassed. The hydraulic capacity of the plant is 4 MGD so that the amount of raw sewage bypassed is the reading from the P-B flume minus 4 MGD. At the time of the survey the P-B flume was measuring a flow of 11 MGD. The calibration of the flume could not be checked due to lack of equipment and at the time, knowledge of how a P-B flume worked. In the next Class II survey a check can be made by actual gaging of the flow using something similar to a pigmy or Gurley meter. It did appear that if the flow increased much more than 11 MGD that the flume would probably experience partial submergence.

The plant's laboratory procedures were gone over with Eldon Eden and found to be adequate. The following table gives DOE's and Puyallup's results along with their NPDES monthly average permit limitations. The values given for Puyallup's BOD5s are those obtained from their own sampler. These values show that Puyallup's automatic sampler is adequate.

Page 2 Memo to Ron Robinson Puyallup STP May 13, 1976

	D	0E	Puya	llup	NPDES
	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Monthly Avg.
BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/l) TSS (mg/l) Fecal Coliform	25 59	18 20	21.3 50	13.8 21.7	150 100
(colonies/100 ml) pH Chlorine Residual (mg/l)	E.	st 90 6.7 2.4			700 6.5 - 8.5 Min. 0.5

The results show that they easily meet their permit limitations except for pH. This unusually low pH is most likely due to the extreme dilution with rain and groundwater that the raw sewage undergoes. This is also why the  $BOD_5$  of the influent was only 25 mg/l. It is recommended that the next Class II inspection be made during the summer months.

DH:ee

## STP Survey Report Form

## Efficiency Study

City Puyallup 1	Plant Type Prim	ary Pop. Serve	d15,000 De	sign 4 MGD
Receiving Water	Puyallup River	Perennial X	Ca Intermittent	pacity
Date 1-15/16-76 Surv	vey Period 24	hrs. Survey	Personnel Hou	ck, Robinson
Comp. Sampling Free	quency 30 min.	Sampling Ale	quot 250 ml	
Weather Conditions	(24 hr) rainy	Are facilitie	es provided for	complete by-
pass of raw sewage	? X Yes	No/Frequency of	bypass 60-90	days/year
Reason for bypass_	Excess flow	Is bypass ch	lorinated? X	YesNo
Was DOE Notified?_	Yes Discharg	e - Intermittent	X Contin	uous
	Plant	Operation		
Total flow 5.8	MGD	How measured	Palmer-Bowlus	flume
Maximum flow 3.5		Time of Max	1100 - 1300	
Minimum flow 1.4	MGD	Time of Min.	0600	
Pre Cl <sub>2</sub> Summer	30 #/day	Post Cl <sub>2</sub> 190	170	#/day
	<u>Field</u> Influ	Results	Effl	uent
Determinations	Max. Min.	Mean Media	n Max. Min.	Mean Median
Temp °C pH (Units) Conductivity (µmhos/cm²) Settleable Solids (mls/1)	Laboratory Res	cults on Composite		6.0
	Influent	Effluent	% Reduction	on
Laboratory No.	76-0186	-0188		
5-Day BOD ppm COD ppm T.S. ppm T.N.V.S. ppm T.S.S. ppm N.V.S.S. ppm pH (Units) Conductivity	2.5  2.7  1.7  1.7  1.7  1.7  1.7  1.7  1.7	18 39 191 130 20 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	28% 32% 13% 12% 66% 93%	o menon capita internación internación internación internación internación internación

## Laboratory Bacteriological Results

	Sampling Time	Total Coliform	lonies/100 r Fecal Coliform	ml (MF) Fecal Strep	Cl <sub>2</sub> I	Residual
76-0164			Est 90			2.6
-0165	}		<200			
	1					
		the second secon		<del>                                     </del>		
		and the second s				
NO <sub>3</sub> -N ppr NO <sub>2</sub> -N ppr NH <sub>3</sub> -N ppr	n	Additional  1.3  ND 3.3		Results		
	ahl-N ppm					and the control of th
O-PO4-P		0,4	0			
T-PO4-P	opm -	1.3	<u> </u>			
Operator's	Name	Eldon Ede	n	Phone	e No8	845-5257
chlorination		res .	8	n Pass	Hereliuseks	Polyer Borolus
Crasi	- Fron	Cl2 Sung Chembs Cl2 Chembs Cl2 Ch	Collection S	2 m D. System	Farshell Inst	
Crasi	- Fron	Cl2 Sung Chembs Cl2 Chembs Cl2 Ch	Collection S th	System  Estimate face or 1.5 - 2	Supermed    Supermed    Flow cont	Flam. Suply Pf.
Combined	Sepa	CI2 Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung	Collection S th Reg.	System  Estimate face or 1.5 - 2  mation	Supermed Supermed of Supermed of MGD	tributed by surter (infiltration Winter 10 MGD
Combined  Annual aver	Sepa	Cl2 Sung Chembs Cl2 Chembs Cl2 Ch	Collection S th Reg.	System  Estimate face or 1.5 - 2  mation  Peak flo	Superved 1  Superved 1  e flow cont ground wat .0 MGD	tributed by surter (infiltration Winter 10 MGD
Combined	Sepa	CI2 Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Chembo Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung Sung	Collection S th Reg.	System  Estimate face or 1.5 - 2  mation	Superved 1  Superved 1  e flow cont ground wat .0 MGD	tributed by surter (infiltration Winter 10 MGD