#### MEMORANDUM

March 26, 1976

To: Ron Robinson

From: Douglas Houck

Subject: Sequim STP Class II Inspection

On January 13, 1976, Phil Williams and I met Bill Meyers and Russ Roberston at Sequim's sewage treatment plant.

We installed a sampler after the comminutor and before and after the final clarifier. The effluent sample was not chlorinated. A 250 ml sample was taken every 30 minutes by each sampler. The construction and calibration of their 6 inch Parshall flume was acceptable. The flow recorder was within four percent of the actual value. I reviewed the laboratory procedures which were pertinent to their NPDES permit. At the time of the survey Sequim was just beginning to acquire the necessary laboratory equipment needed to run the required tests. They ran the split  $BOD_{5}$  sample using the Hach method and the suspended solids test via Standard Methods. Chlorine residual as determined by the DPD method was analyzed at two locations. The first location was the manhole ten feet from the application point for chlorine. A residual of 0.6 ppm was found. The second location was approximately 300 yards downstream from the first location. It is here that the city of Sequim takes their bacteriological grab samples. A residual of 0.4 ppm was found. These residuals seem quite adequate as shown by the count of fecal coliforms. The following table shows DOE's and Sequim's laboratory results along with the monthly average permit limitations.

	DOE		S	equim	NPDES Permit		
	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Monthly Average		
BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/1)	148	19	175	30	30		
T.S.S. (mg/1)	1.78	5	250	50	30		
Fecal Coliform (100 ml)	E	st 90			200		
Flow (MGD)	0.375		0.36		0.57		

The table shows that the Hach BOD5 method gives poor correlation with Standard Methods for small concentrations of BOD's. The city of Sequim felt that they misplaced the decimal point on their T.S.S. effluent value as they normally average about 5 ppm. It is recommended that the city of Sequim do more correlations between Standard Methods and the Hach method for BOD especially at the lower ranges.

DH:ee

### STP Survey Report Form

# Efficiency Study Oxidation

City Sequim	Plant Type <u>Ditch</u>	Pop. Ser	ved	2425	_ Design	
Receiving Water	E. Sequim Bay	Perennial	X I	ntermitt	ent	
Date 1-13/14-76 Sur	vey Period 24	nrs Surv	ey Pe	rsonnel_	Houck, Willia	ams, Robinson
Comp. Sampling Fre	quency 30 min	Sampling A	lequo	t25	O ml	
Weather Conditions	(24 hr) <u>Overcast</u>	. coolAre facili	ties	provided	for comple	te by-
pass of raw sewage	? <u>X</u> Yes	_No/Frequency	of by	pass		
Reason for bypass_		Is bypass	chlor	inated?	X Yes	No
Was DOE Notified?_	X Discharg	e - Intermitte	nt	Co:	ntinuous	Ng W Mary Aggyalay Makami Primmyaya ya maja
	Plant	Operation				
Total flow		How measured		6" Parshal	1 flume	
Maximum flow	550,000 gals	Time of Max.	0	845 & 1400		
Minimum flow	80,000 gals	Time of Min.	0	300		
Pre Cl <sub>2</sub>	#/day	Post Cl <sub>2</sub>	10		#	/day
		l Results			Effluent	
Determinations	Max. Min.	Mean Med	lian	Max. M	in. Mean	Median
Temp °C pH (Units) Conductivity (µmhos/cm²) Settleable Solids (mls/1)			0.5			7.6
	Laboratory Res	ults on Compos	ites			
	Influent	Effluent		% Red	uction	
Laboratory No.	76-0143	0145				
5-Day BOD ppm COD ppm T.S. ppm T.N.V.S. ppm T.S.S. ppm N.V.S.S. ppm pH (Units) Conductivity (\u03c4mhos/cm^2) Turbidity(JTU's)	148 280 453 256 178 46 7.4	19 45 293 212 5 2 6.6			87% 84% 35% 17% 97% 96%	

## Laboratory Bacteriological Results

	•					<u> </u>	
Lab No.	Sampling Time	g ( Total Coliform	Colonies/l Fecal Colifo		(MF) Fecal Strep	Cl <sub>2</sub> Residua	al
					1	0.6 @ man!	
76 03 46	1000					0.4 @ 400 yds	s downstream
76-0146 0147	1000	·	<10				
<u> </u>	1030		Est 90				
		Additiona	ıl Laborato	ory R	esults		
NO3-N pp		10.0		<del></del>			
NO2-N pp		0.30					
NH3-N pp	m - ahl-N ppm	1.2					
0-P04-P		5.8					
T-PO <sub>4</sub> -P		6.4					
Operator's	Name [	Bill Meyers			Phone N	o. 683-4908	
					<del></del>		
Furnish a chlorination	flow diag	ram with s	equence an	d re ⊯manı	lative si	ze and points	of 2nd mann
CHIOTINALI	OII.	GRIT _	7	- MANI	A CE	300	2 /mls .
		CHAMBER	<del>_</del>	CIS	Fin	FFFRUENT	SAMPLING PT
MFLUENS		BARMINUTOR -	1 -		CLE	115182	The Control of the
SAMPLING PT		X	51	merini	4 PT.	-	
		<u> </u>					
. (					)		
		Type of	Collectio	n Sys	stem		
Combined	Con-	rato Y n	- + h				
COMBINED	Sepa	rate $\frac{\chi}{}$ Bo	otn	Es	stimate fl	ow contributed	d by sur-
				Lo	ace or gro	ound water (int	tiltration
						?	MGD
		Plant 1	Loading In	forma			
Annual aver	age daily				eak flow r	ate(mgd)	
Dry						,	
					***		***************************************
Wet	400,000			₩e	et.		

#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

## DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

ORIGINAL TO:
Q.H
COPIES TO:
• • • • • • • • • • • •

OLYMPIA LABORATORY

DATA SUMMARY

	• 0	 •	 • •	• •	٥
LAB FILES	-	 	 	-	

Date Collected /-/3/14-76  Log Number: 76-0143 /44 /45 /46 /47  Station: INF DITCH CEF 1000 1030  PH  Turbidity (NTU)  Sp. Conductivity (umhos/cm)	
Station: INF Dirch CEF 1000 1030  PH  Turbidity (NTU)	
Station: INF Dirch CEF 1000 1030  PH  Turbidity (NTU)	
Turbidity (NTU)	
Sp. Conductivity (umhos/cm)	<del></del>
COD 280. 1530. 45.	
BOD (5 day) 148. 940. 19.	
Total Coliform (Col./100ml)	
Fecal Coliform (Col./100ml) 410 90	
NO3-N (Filtered)   10.0	
NO2-N (Filtered) 0.30	
NH3-N (Unfiltered)	
T. Kjeldahl-N (Unfiltered)	
0-P04-P (Filtered) 5-8	
Total PhosP (Unfiltered) 6.4	
Total Solids 453 1920 293	
Total Non. Vol. Solids 256 679 212	
Total Suspended Solids 178 1630 5	
Total Sus. Non Vol. Solids 46 460 2	
Note: All months are in PDV ( (7)	

Note: All results are in PPM (mg/L) unless otherwise specified. ND is "None Detected" " $\zeta$ " is "Less Than" and " $\gamma$ " is "Greater Than"

0