CLASS II INSPECTION OF THE BOISE CASCADE PULP & PAPER MILL, WALLULA, WASHINGTON - APRIL 1992

by
Art Johnson and
Marc Heffner

Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Program Toxics, Compliance and Groundwater Investigations Section Olympia, Washington 98504-7710

> Water Body No: WA-CR-1020 Segment No: 26-00-02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	. iii
ABSTRACT	. iv
INTRODUCTION	. 1
OBJECTIVES	. 1
METHODS	. 1
Sample Collection	
In Plant Sampling	
River Sampling	
Sample Handling	. 3
Laboratory Analysis	. 3
Chemistry	
Biology	
Analytical Methods	. 0
DATA QUALITY	6
Quality Assurance Review	
Field Blanks	
Duplicate Sampling	. /
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	7
Mill Operating Conditions	
Permit Compliance	
BOD/TSS/pH	
Salmonid Bioassay	
TCDD/AOX	
Effluent Toxicity	
Water Quality Criteria	. 10
Chromium	. 12
Other Toxics	. 12
Effluent Bioassays	. 12
Microbiology	. 13
Treatment Efficiency	
AOX/TOX/DOX	
Bleach Plant	
Duplicate Sampling	
Sludges	. 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Pag</u>	<u>e</u>
River Sediments	
General Characteristics	
Chemicals Detected	
Bioassays	0
Other Sediment Data	. 1
MMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS	.3
COMMENDATIONS	.5
FERENCES	6

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate the assistance of Dave Serdar in collecting sediment and sludge samples for this inspection. Word processing and report formatting was done by Kelly Carruth.

ABSTRACT

The Department of Ecology conducted an inspection of the Boise Cascade bleached kraft pulp & paper mill at Wallula, Washington, during April 1992. The mill's discharge to the Columbia River was in compliance with NPDES permit limits for five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5), total suspended solids (TSS), pH, and the salmonid bioassay. A 2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin) concentration of 2.9 pg/L was measured in the final effluent; this is within the 10 pg/L limit that becomes effective in 1994.

Chemical analyses and bioassays indicated the final effluent had a low potential to cause toxicity in the receiving water, although trace levels of bioaccumulative dioxins, furans, and phenolics were detected. Concentrations of fecal coliform bacteria (30% Klebsiella) in the effluent suggested possible violations of state Class A water quality standards downstream of the mill. A limited survey of the Columbia River sediments showed substantial toxicity in 10-day bioassays with the amphipod Hyallela azteca. The reason for toxicity was not evident from chemical analysis of the sediments, but the mill did not appear to be the source of the problem.

Recommendations include: 1) initiating effluent monitoring for fecal coliform/Klebsiella bacteria, 2) re-evaluating the need for analyzing certain toxics in the effluent, 3) assessing the accuracy of future total phenols data submitted by the mill, 4) managing ASB sludge to prevent dioxin/furan release to the environment, and 5) doing additional bioassays of river sediments.

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Ecology conducted a Class II Inspection of the Boise Cascade Corp. bleached kraft pulp & paper mill at Wallula, Washington, during April 1992. The mill is located on the east shore of the Columbia River (Lake Wallula) about 15 miles southeast of Pasco. It discharges an average of 30 million gallons per day (MGD) of secondary treated effluent and non-contact cooling water to the Columbia via a mid-channel diffuser at river mile 316. Discharge is allowed under conditions of NPDES permit No. WA 000369-7 which was issued for five years beginning May 10, 1991.

The inspection was conducted by Marc Heffner and Art Johnson of the Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Program, assisted by Wayne Wooster of the Industrial Section. Dennis Ross, Environmental Control Director for the mill, arranged access to sampling points and provided information on the operating status of the mill. Cheryl Benar acted as Boise Cascade's observer during the inspection.

The Class II was scheduled to coincide with normal operation of the mill and was announced prior to being conducted.

OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of the inspection were to:

- Verify compliance with NPDES permit limits
- Assess potential for the effluent to cause aquatic toxicity
- Evaluate efficiency of wastewater treatment
- Obtain data on dioxins and other toxics in bleach plant effluents and in sludges
- Survey Columbia River sediment quality above and below the mill

METHODS

Sample Collection

Sampling of mill effluents began at 0600 hours April 7 and was completed at 1315 hours the following day. Plant sludges and Columbia River sediments were collected April 13-14.

In Plant Sampling

Samples were collected at 13 points along Boise Cascade's waste stream (Figure 1). Areas sampled included the primary clarifier, bleach plant, aerated stabilization basin (ASB), cooling




Figure 1. Schematic of Treatment System and Sampling Points (mod. from ENSR Engineering)

water lines, and final effluent. Samples were also taken from Boise Cascade's compositors on the ASB and final effluents. Appendix A contains a description of each sampling point.

Sampling methods (24-hour automatic composites, individual grabs, or grab composites) and timing are recorded as headers of tables in Appendix B. Automatic composites were obtained using ISCO samplers fitted with teflon sampling lines and glass carboys. These were set to collect equal volumes every 30 minutes. Grabs were taken with stainless steel dippers or directly into sample containers. Sludge samples were composites of multiple grabs taken with stainless steel spoons (primary clarifier) or three grabs with a stainless steel Ponar grab sampler (ASB).

River Sampling

Figure 2 shows the general locations where river sediments were collected. Four sites were sampled: one mile above the mill, off the upstream end of Badger Island; at the mill's old outfall (discontinued in 1989); five miles below the mill, near Port Kelley; and 18 miles below the mill, near Hat Rock. Sediment was not collected at the present outfall because it is not in a depositional area. Positions of the sampling stations are given in Appendix C.

Each sediment sample consisted of a composite of the top 2-cm surface layer from three separate grabs taken with a Ponar. The composites were homogenized using stainless steel spoons and beakers before being split into subsamples for analysis.

Sample Handling

Sampling equipment was cleaned with solvents and dilute nitric acid following standard Class II practice. Sample containers, preservation, and holding times are described in Huntamer and Hyre (1991). All samples were kept on ice after collection and for transport to the Ecology Manchester Environmental Laboratory. Chain-of-custody was maintained from sample collection through analysis.

Laboratory Analysis

Table 1 gives an overview of the analytical scheme for the inspection.

Chemistry

The general approach for chemical analysis was as follows: basic water quality data, including the NPDES permit parameters total suspended solids (TSS) and pH, were obtained for each sampling point along the waste stream. Five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5), also a permit parameter, was determined in samples from the primary clarifier, ASB, and final effluent.




Figure 2. General Location of Ecology Sediment Samples (\triangle) Boise Cascade Class II Inspection, April 1992

	PRIMARY	BLEACH		COOLING	FINAL		RIVER
ANALYSIS	CLARIFIER	PLANT	ASB	WATER	EFFLUENT	SLUDGE	SEDIMENT
NPDES PERMIT:							
pH	X	X	X	X	X		
TSS	X	X	X	X	X		
BOD5	X	Λ	X	21.	X		
2,3,7,8-TCDD	Λ	X	X		X	X	X
AOX		X	X		X	71	7.
Salmonid Bioassay		Α	Λ		X		
TOXICS:							
Cyanide	X		X		X		
Priority Pollutant Metals	X		X		X	X	X
Hexavalent Chromium			X		X		
Volatiles		X	X		X	X	X
Polychlorinated Dioxins, Furar	ıs	X	X		X	X	X
Resin & Fatty Acids, Phenolic			X		X	X	X
OC Pesticides, PCBs					X	X	X
Semi-volatiles					X		
GENERAL PHYSICAL/CHE	MICAL:						
Temperature, Conductivity	X	X	X	X	X		
COD and/or TOC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Hardness	X		X	X	X		
Alkalinity	X		X		X		
TS,TNVS,TNVSS	X		X		X		
Oil & Grease	*		X	X	X		
Nutrients			X		X		
Color			X		X		
Chlorate		X	X		X		
Total Phenols		X	X		X		
TOX/DOX or EOX		X	X		X	X	X
Grain Size						X	X
% Solids, % Volatile Solids						X	X
BIOASSAYS:							
Daphnia Bioassay					X		
Ceriodaphnia Bioassay					X		
Fathead Minnow Bioassay					X		
Hyalella Bioassay							X
Microtox Bioassay							X
MICROBIOLOGY:							
Fecal Coliforms, % Klebsiella					X		

Analyses for dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD) and absorbable organic halogen (AOX) - two additional parameters addressed in the NPDES permit - as well as other toxic chemicals of potential interest (e.g., chloroform and chromium) focused on the bleach plant, ASB, and final effluent. At the request of the Industrial Section, organic halogen was also analyzed by a separate method as total (TOX) and dissolved (DOX).

Changes were made to the 2,3,7,8-TCDD and AOX limits in Boise Cascade's NPDES permit after the inspection was conducted. Compliance with the daily maximum and annual average limits for 2,3,7,8-TCDD is now demonstrated if a 24-hour composite of the final effluent has 10 pg/L or less, or is not detected at a detection limit of 10 pg/L or less (Burkhalter, 1992). The 2,3,7,8-TCDD limit goes into effect in 1994, as specified in the original permit. As the result of an appeal by Boise Cascade to the Pollution Control Hearings Board, AOX limits, which were to have gone into effect in 1995, no longer apply.

The analyses done on sludge and river sediments during the inspection covered the same toxics analyzed in mill wastewaters, except semivolatiles. Extractable organic halogen (EOX) was analyzed on solids samples.

Biology

Bioassays were conducted on the final effluent and on river sediments. Effluent bioassays included the 96-hour rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) acute toxicity test required quarterly in the permit. Other effluent bioassays involved acute and/or chronic tests using fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*, 96-hours and 7-day) and the water fleas *Daphnia magna* (48-hour) and *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (7-day). Concentrations of fecal coliform bacteria and percent *Klebsiella* bacteria were also determined in the final effluent.

The potential toxicity of river sediments was evaluated with the 10-day amphipod (*Hyallela azteca*) bioassay and Microtox, a 15-minute screening test using a luminescent bacterium (*Photobacterium phosphoreum*). Bioassays were not done on sludge.

Analytical Methods

Samples were analyzed at the Manchester Laboratory or by contract laboratories. Methods of chemical analysis are referenced in Appendix D.

DATA QUALITY

Quality Assurance Review

Written quality assurance reviews were prepared by the Manchester Laboratory for all laboratory data contained in this report. For the chemical data this included assessment of sample holding times, instrument calibration, method blanks, spike and surrogate recoveries, and precision data.

All chemical data are considered acceptable for use with the qualifiers shown. Reviews of the bioassays showed water quality measurements, response of controls, results for reference toxicants, and other QA/QC were appropriate for the health of the test organisms and within requirements of the test methods. Quality assurance practice at the Manchester Laboratory is described in Huntamer and Hyre (1991) and Kirchmer (1988).

Field Blanks

A field blank (transfer blank) was prepared for chemicals to be analyzed at or below the parts per billion level. This was done at the ASB by pumping deionized, organic-free water through an ISCO sampler, or, in the case of volatiles and cyanide, pouring directly into sample containers. Results (Appendix B) showed that, except for a trace amount of acetone (11 μ g/L), there was no evidence of contamination due to sample collection or handling.

The field blank was not analyzed for semivolatiles or pesticides/PCBs. ISCO blanks prepared in the same fashion for previous Ecology Class II inspections have not had significant contamination by these compounds (e.g, Heffner, 1992; Andreasson, 1991).

Duplicate Sampling

Cheryl Benar collected duplicates of selected Ecology samples for analysis by Boise Cascade. Duplicate samples at the primary clarifier, ASB, and final effluent were taken from Ecology's ISCO composites. Duplicates from the bleach plant sewers were collected side by side with Ecology grabs. The results are summarized later in the report. The complete Boise Cascade data are in Appendix E (Dennis Ross transmitted BOD5 and TSS data by phone).

RESULTS* AND DISCUSSION

Mill Operating Conditions

Process information for the period of the inspection was supplied by Dennis Ross as summarized in Table 2. Substitution of chlorine dioxide for chlorine was reported to be 70% on April 6, 7, and 8. A weekly average substitution level of 70% was also reported to have been achieved during the previous four weeks.

The mill was operating normally except for a shutdown of the bleach plant from 0500-1200 hours on April 7. This was caused by failure of a washer seal. The start time of Ecology's ASB influent composite was therefore delayed to 2400 hours. ASB influent grabs and all

^{*}The complete chemical data obtained from the inspection are tabulated in Appendix B. Bioassays of the final effluent were conducted on grab composite sample number 158253.

DATE (1992):	APRIL 6	APRIL 7	APRIL 8
PRODUCTION:			
Bleach Plant	872	*612	948
Paper Mill No. 1	500	*133	494
Paper Mill No. 2	330	322	321
Paper Mill No. 3	539	537	626
EFFLUENT FLOW (MGD):			
Primary Effluent	10.72	11.80	11.97
Acid Sewer	2.51	1.76	2.73
Alkaline Sewer	1.56	1.09	1.70
ASB Effluent	16.91	17.79	17.77
Final Effluent	28.01	28.84	28.66
CHLORINE DIOXIDE SUBSTITUTION:	70%	70%	70%

^{*}Bleach Plant down for repairs

samples from the bleach plant sewers were collected on April 8. Because of the six-to-seven day detention time of the ASB, no other adjustments were required to the sampling schedule at the ASB effluent or final effluent.

Permit Compliance

Discharge limits for the final effluent are compared in Table 3 to results of Ecology's inspection. Also shown are Boise Cascade data for April 7 as contained in their monthly discharge monitoring report (DMR). Loadings were calculated using the flow reported by the mill.

Effluent flow could not be independently verified during the inspection. The NPDES permit contains requirements intended to ensure the flow measurements are accurate. Yake (1992) has raised concerns about Ecology's inability to verify flow at permitted facilities.

BOD/TSS/pH

Results showed Boise Cascade's final effluent was within discharge limits for BOD5, TSS and pH. BOD5 and TSS results from Ecology's composite sample were in reasonable-to-good agreement with samples analyzed from Boise Cascade's composite and with data reported in the DMR. The Ecology pH data are the range of measurements on grabs and the Ecology composite (Appendix B.1).

					BOISE CASCAD
	EFFLUEN	IT LIMITS	INSPECTIO	N RESULTS	MONITORING
	Monthly	Daily	Ecology	Boise Cascade	REPORT
PARAMETER	Average	Maximum	Composite	Composite	April 7 Data
BOD5 (lbs/day)	12,000	22,900	7,900	6,200	6,000
TSS (lbs/day)	26,100*	50,800*	16,800	16,300	16,300
рН	5.1 to 9.0		7.44 to 7.79	NA	7.35 to 7.46
			(grabs & compo	osites)	
Salmonid Bioassay	80% surviv	al in	100% survival	NA	NA
	65% effluer	nt	in 65% & 100%	Ó	
2378-TCDD (pg/L)	10**	10**	2.9 E	NA	NA
	(annual average)				
Flow (MGD)	-	-	NA	NA	28.84
AOX (lbs/day)	2,870	3,690	2,920	NA	NA
	(annual average	(monthly maximum)			

NOTE: AOX limit no longer applies

NA = not analyzed

E = based on estimated maximum possible concentration

Salmonid Bioassay

Rainbow trout bioassays were conducted using both the 65% effluent concentration specified in the permit and undiluted effluent. The test chambers were not aerated. No fish died in either test.

TCDD/AOX

The mill's discharge of 2,3,7,8-TCDD was within the daily and annual limit of 10 pg/L set for 1994. The AOX discharge measured during the inspection was within the monthly maximum but slightly in excess of the annual average that was to have been achieved in 1995. As previously noted, the AOX limit no longer applies.

^{*}ASB effluent

^{**}TCDD limit to be achieved by 1994

The concentration of 2,3,7,8-TCDD in the final effluent was 2.9 pg/L (Appendix B.4). This result was qualified by the analyzing laboratory as an "estimated maximum possible concentration," a flag indicating all qualitative identification criteria were not met during GC/MS analysis. Therefore, although the detection of 2,3,7,8-TCDD is not in doubt, the load calculation may be an overestimate.

Effluent Toxicity

Water Quality Criteria

EPA has developed water quality criteria for fifteen of the chemicals detected in the final effluent (EPA, 1986 and updates). Table 4 compares their concentrations to criteria for protecting freshwater life. For the organic compounds, EPA gives the lowest levels at which adverse effects have been reported (LOELs), rather than concentrations considered protective of aquatic life. The EPA criteria have been adopted as state water quality standards.

The toxicity of zinc, copper, and lead increase as water hardness decreases. A hardness value of 50 mg/L (as CaCO₃) was used to calculate criteria shown for these metals in Table 4. Fifty mg/L is at the lower end of the hardness range for the Columbia River at Richland (Miles, et al., 1991). If effluent concentrations are compared to criteria under hardness conditions of the final effluent (214-228 mg/L; Appendix B.1), no criteria violations occur for metals.

Among the metals detected in the final effluent, copper and mercury substantially exceeded acute and/or chronic water quality criteria, while zinc was essentially at the criteria level. Lead may have slightly exceeded the chronic water quality criterion, but its quantitation was uncertain. A trace of cyanide, well below criteria, was also present.

Yee's (1992) review of dilution ratio studies by Boise Cascade concluded the acute and chronic ratios for the final effluent are 43:1 and 306:1, respectively. Under these conditions, concentrations of all metals would be in compliance with acute and chronic water quality criteria. Adequate dilution assumes a low background for copper and mercury in the receiving water. At present, there are no reliable data to verify that assumption.

None of the organic compounds detected in the final effluent exceeded levels given by EPA as adversely affecting aquatic life. In most instances, concentrations were one or more orders of magnitude below LOELs.

Chlorinated phenols have the potential to impair the flavor of fish at concentrations lower than those toxic to aquatic organisms. The concentration of one of the phenols detected in the final effluent, 2,4-dichlorophenol, was higher by a factor of ten than the Canadian water quality guideline of $0.2 \mu g/L$ to prevent fish tainting (CCREM, 1987). The Department of Wildlife has received complaints about off-flavors of fish caught below the Boise Cascade pulp mill in the past, but is not aware of any reports indicating this is a current problem (Schuck, personal communication, 1993).

TABLE 4. FINAL EFFLUENT COMPARED TO EPA WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (ug/L) – BOISE CASCADE, APRIL 1992

		AQUA	TIC LIFE	
	EFFLUENT	Acute	Chronic	
CHEMICAL	CONCENTRATION	Criteria	Criteria	REMARKS
INORGANICS:				
Zinc	72.2	65	59	@ 50 mg/L hardness
Copper	22	9.2	6.5	@ 50 mg/L hardness
Chromium	9.8 P	980/16	120/11	criteria are for chromium III/VI
Lead	3.7 P	34	1.3	@ 50 mg/L hardness
Cyanide	2	22	5.2	criteria are for free cyanide
Arsenic	1.8 P	360/850	190/48	criteria are for arsenic III/V
Mercury	0.72 P	2.4	0.012	
VOLATILES:				
Chloroform	14	28,900	1,240	lowest observed effect level
Toluene	9	17,500		lowest observed effect level
PHENOLICS:				
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.1 J	2,120		lowest observed effect level
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2	2,020	365	lowest observed effect level
2,3,6-Trichlorophenol	4		970	LOEL for 2,4,6-trichlorophenol
DIOXINS:				
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.0000029 E	0.01	0.00001	lowest observed effect level
PHTHALATES:				
Diethylphthalate	2	940	3	LOEL for total phthalates
Dimethylphthalate	2	940	3	LOEL for total phthalates

NOTE: metals are total recoverable

P = detected below quantitation limit

J = estimated concentration

E = estimated maximum possible concentration

Chromium

The NPDES permit identifies hexavalent chromium as a pollutant of concern in the final effluent. During the Class II Inspection, hexavalent chromium was analyzed at the ASB and in the final effluent (Appendix B.9). Although none was detected, the samples exceeded the 24-hour holding time to analysis by approximately two days.

Chromium was also analyzed as total recoverable at all sampling points along the waste stream; only low concentrations of 5.6-12 μ g/L were found. The chromium concentration in the final effluent was 9.8 μ g/L (Appendix B.9).

Other Toxics

2,3,7,8-TCDF, numerous resin & fatty acids, and additional phenolics were detected in the final effluent (Appendix B). These compounds have no associated EPA water quality criteria.

2,3,7,8-TCDF was analyzed at 29.0 pg/L. It was also detected in the method blank at 3.6 pg/L. The lowest concentrations which have been shown to cause adverse effects in laboratory experiments on fish are in the low ng/L range, two-to-three orders of magnitude higher than in the effluent (Mehrle *et al.*, 1988).

Effluent concentrations of individual resin acids, fatty acids, and phenolics were 1-180 μ g/L, 2-13 μ g/L, and 0.1-7 μ g/L, respectively. McLeay & Associates (1986) have reviewed available data on aquatic toxicity of these compounds. LC50s were reported as follows: 2,000-8,200 μ g/L for fatty acids; 400-1,740 μ g/L for resin acids; and 200-2,800 μ g/L for chlorinated phenolics. Sublethal effects are generally considered unlikely at concentrations 0.1 to 0.01 times the LC50 (NAS, 1973).

Effluent Bioassays

Overall, bioassays of the final effluent indicated the potential for Boise Cascade's discharge to cause toxicity in the receiving water was low (Table 5). Test for acute toxicity using rainbow trout, fathead minnows, and *Daphnia* resulted in no mortality. The chronic toxicity tests showed longer exposure to effluent was toxic to fathead minnows, but not *Ceriodaphnia*. The LC50 for 7-day exposure of fathead minnows was 35% effluent; the LOEC was > 25%.

Ceriodaphnia survival was 100% at all effluent concentrations tested. Intermediate concentrations of effluent appeared to stimulate Ceriodaphnia reproduction, while a decrease in reproduction occurred at 100% effluent. Because of poor survival in the control, it is not known if decreased reproduction was due to inhibition or less stimulation than at lower concentrations. Although the data suggest the effluent is relatively non-toxic to Ceriodaphnia, a NOEC could not be calculated because of the control mortality. Detailed results from the effluent bioassays are reported in EVS (1992).

ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS									
Species:	Rainbow trout	Rainbow trout	Fathead minnow	Daphnia					
Exposure:	65% effluent	100% effluent	0-100% effluent	0-100% effluent					
Duration:	96 hours	96 hours	96 hours	48 hours					
Endpoint:	survival	survival	survival	survival					
LC50:	>100%	>100%	>100%	>100%					
		CHRONIC TOXICIT	Y TESTS						
Species:	Fathead minnow	Fathead minnow	Ceriodaphnia	Ceriodaphnia					
Exposure:	0-100% effluent	0-100% effluent	0-100% effluent	0-100% effluent					
Duration:	7 day	7 day	7 day	7 day					
Endpoint:	survival	growth	survival	reproduction					
LC50:	35%	_	>100%	_					
NOEC:	25%	25 %	>100%	appeared relatively					
LOEC:	50%	>25%	>100%	non-toxic; see text					

LC50 = effluent concentration causing 50% mortality

NOEC = no observed effect concentration

LOEC = lowest observed effect concentration

It should be emphasized that neither the bioassays nor preceding discussion of toxicity data address concerns due to persistent organic compounds with significant bioaccumulation potential. Effluent constituents in this category include chlorinated dioxins, furans, and phenolics.

Microbiology

Examination of two separate grabs from the final effluent indicated the mill was discharging large amounts of fecal coliform bacteria (Appendix B.1). Bacteria counts by the MF (membrane filter) method were 91,000 and 98,000 colonies/100mL, of which approximately 30% were identified as *Klebsiella*. Lower counts of 11,000 and 13,000 colonies/100mL were obtained by the MPN (most probable number) method. The MF data are considered the more reliable when counts are this high (Jensen, personal communication).

These results suggest possible violations of state Class A water quality standards downstream of the mill. Based on the MF data, fecal coliform concentrations at the boundary of the mixing zone (306:1) would be on the order of 300 colonies/100mL. The Class A criterion is a geometric mean of 100 colonies/100mL.

Treatment Efficiency

Estimates of treatment efficiency for NPDES parameters and other selected constituents are summarized in Table 6. Based on Ecology composite samples, TSS removal was 72% in the primary clarifier and 60% in the ASB. BOD5 concentrations were reduced 90% through the ASB.

Little or no removal was evident in the ASB for AOX, 2,3,7,8-TCDD or 2,3,7,8-TCDF. The latter two compounds could not be quantified accurately in the ASB influent. Comparison of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF concentrations in the bleach plant sewers and in the ASB effluent suggest the ASB sludge may be acting as a source of these compounds to the final effluent (see Bleach Plant).

TABLE 6. TREATMENT/REMOVAL EFFICIENCY - BOISE CASCADE, APRIL 1992									
	PRIM	ARY CLA	RIFIER	AERATE	AERATED STABILIZA				
CONSTITUENT	Influent	Effluent	% Removed	Influent	Effluent	% Removed			
NPDES PERMIT:									
TSS (mg/L)	357	100	72%	267	108	60%			
BOD5 (mg/L)	366	344	6%	443	44	90%			
AOX (mg/L)	NA	NA	_	23.3	19.6	16%			
2,3,7,8-TCDD (pg/L)	NA	NA	-	4.3U	5.4	0%			
SELECTED TOXICS: 2,3,7,8-TCDF (pg/L)	NA	NA	-	15.3B	48.3B	0%			
Sum of Resin & Fatty Acids *(ug/L)	NA	NA	-	2,580	960	63 %			
Chloroform (ug/L)	NA	NA	_	350	20	94%			
Total Phenols (ug/L)	NA	NA	_	919	22.1	98%			
Sum of Phenolics (ug/L)	NA	NA		2,530	49	98%			
Chlorate (mg/L)	NA	NA	_	95	0.5U	100%			

NA = not analyzed

U = not detected at or above reported value

B = analyte found in method blank at 3.6 pg/L

^{*}less palustric acid

The ASB was effectively removing other potentially toxic chemicals from the waste stream, such as chloroform, resin & fatty acids, phenolic compounds, and chlorate. Removal efficiencies ranged from 63% for resin & fatty acids to 94-100% for the remaining compounds.

AOX/TOX/DOX

Three measures of organic halogens, each analyzed in duplicate, were obtained on composite samples collected from the bleach plant sewers, ASB influent, ASB effluent, and final effluent (Appendix B.2). Similar results were obtained for AOX (SCAN-W 9:89 Method) and DOX (Standard Methods No. 5320). The DOX analysis consistently gave slightly higher results than AOX.

The TOX results are unreliable. During this analysis there was greater than 10% breakthrough to the second of two activated carbon columns used to separate organic material from inorganic halides. The analysts thought this problem may have been due to shorter pyrolysis time for TOX compared to DOX (5 vs. 10 minutes), which otherwise were analyzed by the same method (Lacroix and Magoon, 1992). Re-analysis could not be done within the holding time.

Bleach Plant

Bleach plant sewers were analyzed for 2,3,7,8-substituted dioxins and furans, and for volatiles (Appendix B.4 and B.5). The primary finding of interest was 12.7 pg/L of 2,3,7,8-TCDD in the alkaline sewer; none was detected in the acid sewer. 2,3,7,8-TCDF was detected in both sewers. Concentrations were 24.3 pg/L in the alkaline sewer and 3.8 pg/L in the acid sewer, the latter concentration being essentially equivalent to the method blank (3.6 pg/L).

A mass balance for the ASB was calculated based on 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF concentrations in the alkaline sewer and ASB effluent; flow data provided by the mill were used (Table 2). Results showed a net increase load in the ASB effluent of approximately 0.3 mg/day for 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 3 mg/day for 2,3,7,8-TCDF.

Volatiles analysis of the bleach plant sewers identified the same three compounds in both waste streams. These chemicals were acetone (400-1,500 μ g/L), chloroform (290-570 μ g/L), and 2-butanone (44-120 μ g/L). The alkaline sewer again had higher concentrations than the acid sewer.

Duplicate Sampling

Data from Boise Cascade's duplicate samples are compared to Ecology results in Table 7. The TSS and BOD5 duplicates were analyzed at the mill. The remaining analyses were by a contract laboratory, Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. in Kelso.

In most instances, results obtained separately by Boise Cascade and Ecology agreed within approximately 30% of each other. Disparate values seen in some of the analyses may partly

TABLE 7. ECOLOGY/	BOISE CASO	CADE DUPL	ICATE S	SAMPLES - I	BOISE CASC	ADE, APRIL	. 1992
	PRIMARY	ALKALINE	ACID	ASB	ASB	FINAL	MEAN
ANALYSIS	INFLUENT	SEWER	SEWER	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT	RPD
NPDES PERMIT:							
TSS (mg/L)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	70/68	3%
BOD5 (mg/L)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	33/23.8	33 %
GENERAL CHEMISTR	RY:						
TOC (mg/L)	276/240	1930/1200	659/640	408/350	NA	174/72	32%
Total Phenols (ug/L)	NA	NA	NA	NA	22.1/150	14.1/60	140%
NH3-N (mg/L)	NA	NA	NA	18.4/10.8	NA	4.4/4.62	28%
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)	NA	NA	NA	0.51/0.5	NA	NA	0%
Total P (mg/L)	NA	NA	NA	3.3/4.4	NA	2.6/3.1	23 %
METALS (ug/L):							
Zinc	137/121	NA	NA	115/86	100/88	NA	18%
Chromium	12/13	NA	NA	12/16	15/19	NA	20%
Copper	41.6/31	NA	NA	25/13	18/12	NA	44%
Lead	7.9/4	NA	NA	8.0/3	4.6/2	NA	78%
VOLATILES (ug/L):							
Chloroform	NA	480/300	295/200	350/240	20/50U	14/50U	41%
PHENOLICS (ug/L):							
4,5,6-Trichloroguaiacol	NA	NA	NA	NA	7/8	4/5U	13%
3,4,5-Trichloroguaiacol	NA	NA	NA	NA	11/9	7/5U	20%
3,4,5-Trichlorocatechol	NA	NA	NA	NA	4/7	3/5U	54%
RESIN ACIDS (ug/L):							
Palustric Acid	NA	NA	NA	NA	44/32	13/19	35%
Dehydroabietic Acid	NA	NA	NA	NA	140/170	52/110	45%
Abietic Acid	NA	NA	NA	NA	500/780	180/510	70%
Isopimaric Acid	NA	NA	NA	NA	100/200	42/130	84%
Sandaracopimaric Acid	NA	NA	NA	NA	12/30	4/19	110%

RPD = relative percent difference; co-detected values only

NA = not analyzed by one or both parties

U = not detected at or above reported value

arise from being on separate grabs (chloroform) or by virtue of the low concentrations being measured (e.g., copper, lead, phenolic compounds, and certain resin acids). Substantially different results were obtained in the total phenols analysis. The reason for this discrepancy could not be determined. The Manchester Laboratory re-checked all their raw data and could find no errors in Manchester's analysis (Thompson, personal communication).

Sludges

Results of chemical analysis on primary and ASB sludge samples are in Appendix B. Elevated concentrations of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF, 520 ng/Kg and 1,590 ng/Kg, respectively, were detected in the ASB sludge. Primary sludge had only trace amounts of these compounds. A range of metals, volatiles, resin & fatty acids, and phenolic compounds were also detected in sludges from both sources. No PCBs or organochlorine pesticides were detected in sludge.

River Sediments

General Characteristics

A rocky bottom was encountered during efforts to collect sediments in the mid-channel of upper Lake Wallula. As a result, the samples off Badger Island and near Port Kelley (Figure 2) were confined to the left bank (facing downriver). Finer deposits were found further downstream at the Hat Rock site, allowing grabs to be composited from quarter points across the channel.

As shown in Table 8, the Badger Island and Port Kelley samples were primarily sand. Sediment samples at the old outfall and off Hat Rock were mostly silt. The latter two sites also had a slightly greater percentage of volatile solids and total organic carbon. None of the grabs examined had unusual color, texture, or odor.

TABLE 8. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LAKE WALLULA SEDIMENTS, APRIL 199								
	BADGER	OLD	PORT	HAT				
LOCATION:	ISLAND	OUTFALL	KELLEY	ROCK				
Gravel (%)	0	0	0	0				
Sand (%)	89	20	92	33				
Silt (%)	9	77	8	65				
Clay (%)	2	5	2	11				
Solids (%)	67	57	77	43				
Volatile Solids (%)	1.2	1.9	0.7	2				
Total Organic Carbon (%)	0.4	0.8	0.1	1.3				
EOX (mg/Kg, dry)	10	U 10 T	J 10	U 10 U				

U = not detected at or above reported value

At the detection limit achieved for EOX (10 mg/Kg), no influence of the mill's discharge could be detected in the sediments. EOX analysis of the ASB sludge sample had shown 1,200 mg/Kg (Appendix B.3).

Chemicals Detected

Results for chemicals analyzed in these samples are summarized in Table 9. Chemicals detected in river sediments included 2,3,7,8-substituted dioxins and furans, resin & fatty acids, and metals. Concentrations of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF ranged from 0.3-1.2 ng/Kg and 1.2-18.4 ng/Kg, respectively. No phenolics, volatiles, organochlorine pesticides, or PCBs were detected at any of the sampling sites.

Most chemicals detected at the old outfall and further downstream were also detected off Badger Island above the mill. Concentrations near the old outfall were generally elevated by factors of 2-to-4 over the upstream sample with respect to dioxins, furans, and resin & fatty acids. Metal concentrations at the old outfall were not substantially different from those upstream.

With few exceptions, the highest concentrations of organics and metals were found in the sediments near Hat Rock. Some of the higher chlorinated dioxins and furans, as well as silver, thallium, and selenium were only detectable at this site.

There are no EPA or Washington State sediment criteria by which to judge the significance of these results. The levels of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF are greater than in other reaches of the Columbia influenced by Washington pulp mills. Tetra Tech (1992) analyzed 20 sediment samples between Bonneville Dam and the Columbia River mouth. They reported median (maximum) concentrations of 0.18 (0.35) ng/Kg for 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 1.4 (3.2) ng/Kg for 2,3,7,8-TCDF. Ecology has done sediment sampling in conjunction with Class II Inspections at the Longview Fibre and Weyerhaeuser Longview Mills. Samples collected near the Weyerhaeuser outfall had no 2,3,7,8-TCDD detected and a maximum 2,3,7,8-TCDF concentration of 0.36 ng/Kg (Andreasson, 1991). A high 2,3,7,8-TCDD concentration of 8.8 ng/Kg was found in one of two samples at the Longview Fibre outfall, while 2,3,7,8-TCDF was not detected (Das, 1991).

The resin & fatty acid concentrations measured in the Wallula sediments are similar to or lower than those reported in sediments near other Washington pulp mills (Tetra Tech, 1988; Johnson and Coots, 1989). The chlorinated forms of these compounds, however, were not detected in Lake Wallula, in contrast to some of the other mills.

Except for Hat Rock, the metals concentrations in Lake Wallula appear similar to those in sediments from uncontaminated Washington lakes and rivers (e.g., Johnson and Norton, 1990; Johnson et al., 1990). Based on Canadian guidelines for freshwater sediments (Persaud et al., 1991), the cadmium and, to a lesser extent, zinc concentrations at Hat Rock may be sufficiently high to adversely effect some benthic organisms. In these guidelines, the concentrations

	BADGER		OLD		PORT		HAT	
LOCATION:	ISLAND		OUTFALL	4	KELLEY	•	ROCK	
DIOXINS/FURANS (ng/Kg, dry):								
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.3	E	1.0		0.9	U	1.2	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	0.5	U	0.7	U	1.3	U	0.4	E
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	0.8	U	0.8	U	1.7	U	0.5	E
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.5	U	0.4	E	1.1	U	1.1	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.6	U	0.9		1.4	U	1.6	
2,3,7,8-TCDF	4.4		16.3		1.2		18.4	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.3	U	0.2	E	0.8	U	0.4	
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.3	U	0.4		0.8	U	0.5	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.9	U	0.5	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.8		2.1		0.8	U	3.0	
OCDF	1.8	U	12.7		3.5	U	9.8	
RESIN/FATTY ACIDS (ug/Kg, dr	y):							
Abietic Acid	170	J	660		170	J	2600	J
Dehydroabietic Acid	270	U	330		250	U	1100	
Pimaric Acid	24	J	88	J	24	J	340	J
sopimaric Acid	76	J	210	J	54	J	680	
Sandaracopimaric Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	46	J
Palmitoleic Acid	270	U	1800		290		3300	
METALS (mg/Kg, dry):								
Zinc	84.4	J	61.5	J	45.0	J	284	J
Copper	9.7		14.8		7.4		30.1	
Chromium	11.1	J	13.6	J	9.4	J	23.8	J
Nickel	11.2		12.7		9.1		20.8	
Lead	11.1		9.9		5.6		39.0	
Arsenic	3.3		2.7		1.7		4.9	
Cadmium	0.79	P	0.87	P	0.44	P	3.2	
Beryllium	0.26	P	0.41	P	0.17	P	0.56	P
Silver	0.30	U	0.30	U	0.30	U	0.58	
Γhallium	0.2	UN	0.2	UN	0.2	UN	0.27	PN
Selenium	0.20	U	0.20	U	0.20	U	0.32	P
Mercury	0.022	P	0.017	P	0.005	U	0.056	
PHENOLICS:	ND		ND		ND		ND	
VOLATILES:	ND		ND		ND		ND	
OC PESTICIDES/PCBs:	ND		ND		ND		ND	

E = estimated maximum possible concentration N = spike recovery outside control limits

J = estimated concentration

P = detected below quantitation limit

U = not detected at or above reported value

ND = none detected

considered to be tolerated by most organisms are 0.6 mg/Kg for cadmium and 120 mg/Kg for zinc. Severe adverse effects are predicted to occur at a cadmium concentration of 10 mg/Kg and a zinc concentration of 820 mg/Kg. Hat Rock concentrations were 3.2 and 284 mg/Kg for cadmium and zinc, respectively.

Bioassays

Sediment bioassays showed all of the Lake Wallula sediment samples, including the one collected above the mill, were toxic to *Hyallela* (Table 10). There was little difference in *Hyallela* mortality between sampling sites, ranging from 60% at Port Kelley to 71% at Hat Rock. Mortality in the laboratory control was 14%. The testing protocol allows up to 20% control mortality for the results to be valid.

Little or no sediment toxicity was indicated by the Microtox test. This may indicate Microtox is insensitive to whatever factor(s) affected *Hyallela*. Alternately, because the Microtox test used an aqueous extract rather than bulk sediment as for *Hyallela*, the level of exposure may have been lower. Details of both sediment bioassays are reported in Parametrix (1992).

To put the *Hyallela* results in perspective, a review was done of past Class II inspections and other Ecology studies where this bioassay was used (Yake *et al.*, 1986; Johnson and Norton, 1988 and 1989; Bennett and Cubbage, 1992). Included therein are bioassays done on sediment samples from other parts of the Columbia River influenced by pulp mills and diverse types of industry. It is clear from the data in these reports that *Hyallela* mortality in the range observed for Lake Wallula sediments is unusually high and would be expected to occur only at sites with substantial contamination.

TABLE 10. RESULTS OF LAKE WALLULA SEDIMENT BIOASSAYS, APRIL 1992								
LOCATION:	BADGER ISLAND	OLD OUTFALL	PORT KELLEY	HAT ROCK				
10-day Amphipod Bioassay (percent mortality)	62%	62 %	60%	71%				
15-minute Microtox Test (percent light change)	2.5%	5.7%	-0.4%	17%				

None of the chemicals detected in the Lake Wallula samples were at sufficient or consistently high levels to explain the toxicity. Physical factors (e.g., grain size) would also not be expected to have had an adverse effect. Although Boise Cascade cannot be ruled out as a possible contributor to this apparent problem, the occurrence of comparable mortality above and below the mill points to upstream sources.

Other Sediment Data

The Boise Cascade mill has been the focus of other recent sediment sampling efforts by EPA/Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (data provided by Raleigh Farlow, EPA, Seattle) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1991). Boise Cascade has also analyzed sediment samples in conjunction with construction of the present outfall (data provided by Dennis Ross). The analyses conducted by these groups and during the present Ecology inspection are compared in Table 11. Figure 3 shows locations where sediment samples have been collected near the mill (EPA/ODEQ stations are approximate).

		CORPS OF	BOISE	
	EPA/ODEQ	ENGINEERS	CASCADE	ECOLOGY
ANALYSIS	(n = 3)	(n = 2)	(n = 4)	(n = 4)
Metals		X	X	X
Semivolatiles*	(X)	X	X	
Volatiles			X	X
OC Pesticides	(X)	X	X	X
PCBs	(X)	X	X	X
Dioxins and Furans	X	X		X
Resin & Fatty Acids				X
Chlorinated Phenolics				X
Bioassays				X

^{*}EPA/ODEQ and CORPS analyzed polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) only (X) data not available

Low concentrations of metals and sparse detection of semivolatiles, volatiles, organochlorine pesticides, and PCBs were reported in samples analyzed by the Corps of Engineers and Boise Cascade. The Corps employed lower detection limits than Ecology or Boise Cascade and was able to quantify trace amounts of PCBs (6.5-11 μ g/Kg; Aroclor 1260), and the DDT breakdown products DDE and DDD (0.4-2 μ g/Kg).




Figure 3. Location of Recent Sediment Samples Near Boise Cascade

Figure 4 summarizes the data presently available on 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF in Lake Wallula sediments. The complete EPA/ODEQ and Corps of Engineers dioxin and furan data are in Appendix F. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service has also collected limited sediment samples in Lake Wallula for analysis of dioxins, furans, and other contaminants, but these data are not yet available (Schuler, personal communication).

SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS

- 1. The final effluent was within NPDES permit limits for BOD5, TSS, and pH.
- 2. Rainbow trout bioassays of the final effluent had 100% survival in both 65% and 100% effluent.
- 3. Other effluent bioassays showed no acute toxicity to fathead minnows or *Daphnia* and no chronic toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia*. Some chronic toxicity occurred to fathead minnows (7-day LC50 = 35%).
- 4. The 2,3,7,8-TCDD concentration in the final effluent, 2.9 pg/L, was within the 10 pg/L limit set for 1994.
- 5. EPA water quality criteria for toxics were either not exceeded in the final effluent or, in the case of copper and mercury, would not be exceeded outside the dilution zone boundary.
- 6. Chromium concentrations were not elevated in the waste stream.
- 7. High counts of fecal coliform bacteria were found in the final effluent; 30% were *Klebsiella*. The levels may be sufficient to cause violations of state Class A water quality standards downstream of the mill.
- 8. 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF concentrations in ASB sludge were 520 and 1,590 ng/Kg, respectively. The sludge appeared to be a source of these compounds to the final effluent.
- 9. Significant mortality (60-71%) was experienced by amphipods (*Hyallela*) during bioassays of river sediment samples. Similar mortality occurred both above and below the mill. Chemical analysis of the samples did not furnish clues to the reason for toxicity.




Figure 4. TCDD/TCDF Concentrations in Lake Wallula Sediments

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Boise Cascade should begin monitoring fecal coliform and *Klebsiella* concentrations in the final effluent.
- 2. Based on results from this inspection, the following chemicals do not appear to be a concern in the final effluent: semivolatiles, organochlorine pesticides, PCBs, antimony, arsenic, beryllium, selenium, silver, and thallium. The requirement to continue monitoring for these compounds should be re-evaluated in the next permit cycle.
- 3. Supporting QA information should be provided to establish the accuracy of total phenols data submitted by Boise Cascade. Phenols data obtained by Boise Cascade during the inspection may have overestimated actual concentrations.
- 4. Boise Cascade should manage ASB sludge in such a way as to prevent release of dioxins and furans to the environment.
- 5. Additional bioassays should be done on Lake Wallula sediments. The test should include one or more organisms in addition to *Hyallela* and Microtox. Sampling sites should include one above the Richland/Hanford reach and one each at the mouths of the Yakima and Snake Rivers. It would be cost effective to collect and preserve additional sediment chemistry and benthic macroinvertebrates samples to analyze pending bioassay results.

REFERENCES

- Andreasson, J., 1991. <u>Class II Inspection of Weyerhaeuser Longview Pulp and Paper Mill, April 16-18, 1990</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- APHA, AWWA, and WPCF, 1985. <u>Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater</u>, 16th edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- APHA, AWWA, and WPCF, 1989. <u>Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater</u>, 17th edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- ASTM, 1991. <u>Guide for Conducting Sediment Toxicity Tests with Freshwater Invertebrates</u>. American Society of Testing Materials.
- Bennett, J. and J. Cubbage, 1992. <u>Evaluation of Bioassay Organisms for Freshwater Sediment Toxicity Testing</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Burkhalter, R., 1992. Letter to J. Williams, July 16, 1992, at the Boise Cascade Corp. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- CCREM, 1987. <u>Canadian Water Quality Guidelines</u>. Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Das, T., 1991. <u>Longview Fibre Company Class II Inspection</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Ecology, 1991. <u>Biological Testing Methods, Part A, Static Acute Fish Toxicity Test,</u> DOE 80-12. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- EPA, 1979. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA-600/4-79-020. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (revised March 1982).
- EPA, 1984. 40 CFR Part 136. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, October 26, 1984.
- EPA, 1986. <u>Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods</u>, SW-846. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- EPA, 1989. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, EPA/600/4-89/001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

- EPA, 1991. Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, EPA/600/4-90/027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- EVS, 1992. <u>Laboratory Report, Effluent Toxicity Testing Program</u>, Project No. 9/192-11. Prep. for: Washington State Department of Ecology by EVS Consultants, Seattle, WA.
- Heffner, M., 1992. Simpson Tacoma Kraft Company, February 1991 Class II Inspection Report. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Huntamer, D. and J. Hyre, 1991. <u>Manchester Environmental Laboratory Users Manual</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester, WA.
- Jensen, N., 1992. Personal communication. Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester Environmental Laboratory, Manchester, WA.
- Johnson, A. and R. Coots, 1989. <u>Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Bottom Sediments of Grays Harbor Estuary</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Johnson, A. and D. Norton, 1988. <u>Screening Survey for Chemical Contaminants and Toxicity in Sediments at Five Lower Columbia River Ports, September, 22-23, 1987</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Johnson, A. and D. Norton, 1989. <u>Screening Survey for Chemical Contaminants and Toxicity in Drainage Basins at Paine Field, August 10-12, 1987</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Johnson, A. and D. Norton, 1990. <u>1989 Lakes and Reservoir Water Quality Assessment Program: Survey of Chemical Contaminants in Ten Washington Lakes</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.
- Johnson, A., D. Norton, B. Yake, and S. Twiss, 1990. <u>Transboundary Metal Pollution of the Columbia River (Franklin D. Roosevelt Lake)</u>. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 45:703-710.
- Kirchmer, C., 1988. Quality Assurance Manual, Manchester Laboratory. Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester, WA.
- Lacroix, D. and S. Magoon, 1992. Data Results, DOX/TOX/AOX Analyses. Memorandum, Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester Laboratory, Manchester, WA.
- McLeay & Associates, 1986. <u>Aquatic Toxicity of Pulp & Paper Mill Effluent: a Review</u>. Prep. for: Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canadian Pulp and Paper Assoc., and Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Ottawa, Ontario.

- Mehrle, P.M., D.R. Buckler, E.E. Little, L.M. Smith, J.D. Petty, P.H. Peterman, and D.L. Stalling, 1988. <u>Toxicity and Bioconcentration of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzodioxin and 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzofuran in Rainbow Trout</u>. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 7:47-62.
- Miles, M.B., W.D. Wiggins, G.P Rupert, R.R. Smith, L.L. Reed, and L.E. Hubbard, 1991. Water Resources Data, Washington, Water Year 1991. U.S. Geological Survey Water Data Report WA-91-1
- NCASI, 1986a. <u>Procedures for Analysis for Resin and Fatty Acids in Pulp Mill Effluents</u>, Tech. Bull. 501. National Council of Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement Inc., New York.
- NCASI, 1986b. Methods for the Analysis for Chlorinated Phenolics in Pulp Industry Wastewater, Tech. Bull. 498. National Council of Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement Inc., New York.
- NAS, 1973. Water Quality Criteria, 1972. National Academy of Sciences, EPA-R3-73-033.
- Parametrix, 1992. Freshwater Sediment Bioassays Using the Amphipod Hyallela azteca and Aqueous Extract Microtox Procedures, Project No. 55-1583-43. Prep. for: Washington State Department of Ecology by Parametrix, Inc., Bellevue, WA.
- Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton, 1991. <u>The Provincial Sediment Quality Guidelines</u>. Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.
- SCAN, 1989. Organically Bound Chlorine by the AOX Method. Scandinavian Pulp, Paper, and Board, Testing Committee, SCAN-W 9:89
- Schuck, M., 1993. Personal communication. Washington State Department of Wildlife, Dayton, WA.
- Schuler, C., 1992. Personal communication. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- Tetra Tech, 1986. Recommended Protocols for Measuring Selected Environmental Variables in Puget Sound. Prep. for: EPA Puget Sound Estuary Program.
- Tetra Tech, 1988. <u>Everett Harbor Action Program: Analysis of Toxic Problem Areas</u>. Prep. for: EPA Region 10-Office of Puget Sound, Seattle, WA.
- Tetra Tech, 1992. Reconnaissance Survey of the Lower Columbia River. Prep. for: Lower Columbia River Bi-State Water Quality Program.
- Thompson, D., 1992. Personal communication. Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester Environmental Laboratory, Manchester, WA.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1991. Non-Federal Navigation Dredging and Beneficial Use of Dredge Material in the Columbia and Snake Rivers. Environmental Assessment, Section 404(B)1, Biological Assessment, Walla Walla District.
- Yake, B., 1992. Accuracy/Inaccuracy in Flow Measuring at NPDES-Permitted Facilities. Memorandum, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia.
- Yake, B., D. Norton, and M. Stinson, 1986. <u>Application of the Triad Approach to Freshwater Sediment Assessment: An Initial Investigation of Sediment Quality near Gas Works Park, Lake Union</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia.
- Yee, C.K., 1992. Dilution Ratio Study Boise Cascade Wallula Mill. Memorandum, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. ECOLOGY SAMPLING LOCATIONS - BOISE CASCADE, APRIL 1992			
SAMPLE NAME	LOCATION DESCRIPTION		
Primary Influent	From bridge over clarifier, at center		
Primary Effluent	From bridge over clarifier, between radial overflow weir and edge of tank		
Alkaline Sewer	In bleach plant from tap in alkaline line near the pumps		
Acid Sewer	In bleach plant from tap near the heat exchanger		
ASB Influent	From bridge over mixing channel near inlet to the Kinnex		
Kenics Outlet	From pontoon boat at entrance from ASB Cell 1 to Cell 2		
ASB Cell 2	From pontoon boat near Cell 2 outlet		
ASB Effluent	From tap near clean-out structure		
Cooling No. 1 + 2	From taps between primary and substation		
Cooling No. 3	From tap between primary and substation		
Final Effluent	From tap next to Boise Cascade sampler		
Primary Clarifier Sludge	From deposits on floor of vacuum belt filter, left and right bays		
ASB Sludge	From pontoon boat in quiescent zone		

APPENDIX B

CLASS II INSPECTION DATA

- **B.1 GENERAL CHEMISTRY**
- B.2 AOX/TOX/DOX
- B.3 EOX
- **B.4 DIOXINS AND FURANS**
- **B.5 VOLATILES (WATER)**
- **B.6 VOLATILES (SOLIDS)**
- **B.7 RESIN & FATTY ACIDS**
- **B.9 PHENOLICS**
- **B.10 SEMI-VOLATILES**
- **B.11 PESTICIDES/PCBS**

APPENDIX B.1 GENERAL C	HEMISTR	Y RESUL	TS - BOI	SE CASCA	DE, APR	IL 1992
Location:	Transfer	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
Source:	Blank	Influent	Influent	Influent	Effluent	Effluent
Method:	grab	grab	grab	ISCOcomp	grab	grab
Date:	April 6	April 7	April 7	April 7-8	April 7	April 7
Time:	1400	1020	1445	0600-0600	1030	1450
Sample Number:	158230	158231	158232	158233	158234	158235
Field Measurements:						
Temperature (C)		29.4	27.7	3.8	29.1	29.5
pH		10.62	12.33	11.73	10.55	9.74
Conductivity (umhos/cm)		750	2290	1130	890	960
Laboratory Analyses:						
Conductivity (umhos/cm)		851	2620	1510	1120	1160
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)				510		
Hardness (mg/L)				210J		
Color (C.U.)						
TS (mg/L)				1640		
TNVS (mg/L)				852		
TSS (mg/L)		391	305	357	104	65
TNVSS (mg/L)				142		
BOD5 (mg/L)				366		
COD (mg/L)		1100	1100	1200	780	800
TOC (mg/L)		245	227	276	222	229
NH3-N (mg/L)						
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)						
Total-P (mg/L)						
Oil and Grease (mg/L)						
F-Coliform MF (#/100mL)						
F-Coliform MPN (#/100mL)						
Klebsiella (%)						
CN, wk. & diss. (ug/L)	2U				2	2
Total Phenols (ug/L)	2U					
Chlorate (mg/L)						

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated value

APPENDIX B.1 (continued)						
Location:	Primary	ASB	ASB	ASB	Kenics	ASB
Source:	Effluent	Influent	Influent	Influent	Outlet	Cell 2
Method:	ISCOcomp	grab	grab	ISCOcomp	grab	grab
Date:	April 7-8	April 8	April 8	April 8	April 14	April 14
Time:	0600-0600	0910	1145	000-1200	1300	1300
Sample Number:	158236	158237	158238	158239	158240	158241
Field Measurements:						
Temperature (C)	2.5		32.8	8.6		
pH	10.84		7.11	7.31		
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	1030		1930	2230		
Laboratory Analyses:						
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	1290	2990	2450	2820		
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	341			439		
Hardness (mg/L)	104J			526J		
Color (C.U.)				2000		
TS (mg/L)	1330			2750		
TNVS (mg/L)	803			1930		
TSS (mg/L)	100	417	248	. 267	152	365
TNVSS (mg/L)	43			207	78	120
BOD5 (mg/L)	344			443		
COD (mg/L)	890	1300	1200	1200		
TOC (mg/L)	247	368	391	408		
NH3-N (mg/L)				18.4		
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)				0.51		
Total-P (mg/L)				3.3		
Oil and Grease (mg/L)						
F-Coliform MF (#/100mL)						
F-Coliform MPN (#/100mL)						
Klebsiella (%)						
CN, wk. & diss. (ug/L)		6	6			
Total Phenols (ug/L)				919		
Chlorate (mg/L)		110	87	95		

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated value

APPENDIX B.1 (continued)	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Location:	ASB	ASB	ASB	ASB	Cooling	Cooling
Source:	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	No. 1+2	No. 1+2
Method:	grab	grab	ISCOcomp	BOISEcom	grab	grab
Date:	April 7	April 7	April 7-8	April 7-8	April 7	April 7
Time:	1000	1425	0600-0600) –	1040	1500
Sample Number:	158242	158243	158246	158247	158248	158249
Field Measurements:						
Temperature (C)	25.7	26.0	2.8	7.3	30.3	31.6
pH	7.48	7.46	7.92	7.77	8.06	8.18
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	1950	2060	1920	2000	240	220
Laboratory Analyses:						
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	2550	2540	2520	2540	290	285
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)			266			
Hardness (mg/L)			285	294	95	96
Color (C.U.)			500			
TS (mg/L)			2150			
TNVS (mg/L)			1450			
TSS (mg/L)	104	109	108	96	11	11
TNVSS (mg/L)			17			
BOD5 (mg/L)			44	37		
COD (mg/L)	780	780	770	770	4	3U
TOC (mg/L)	235	252	261	266	2.3	2.2
NH3-N (mg/L)			6.9			
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)			0.05			
Total-P (mg/L)			4.2			
Oil and Grease (mg/L)	2J	2J	*		0.3J	1J
F-Coliform MF (#/100mL)						
F-Coliform MPN (#/100mL)						
Klebsiella (%)						
CN, wk. & diss. (ug/L)	2	2				
Total Phenols (ug/L)			22.1			
Chlorate (mg/L)	0.5U	0.5U	0.5U			

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated value

APPENDIX B.1 (continued)	***************************************					
Location:	Cooling	Final	Final	Final	Final	Final
Source:	No. 3	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent
Method:	grab	grab	grab	ISCOcomp	grabcomp	BOISEcomp
Date:	April 7	April 7	April 7	April 7-8	April 7	April 7-8
Time:	1500	0915	1345	0600-0600	0900;1345	-
Sample Number:	158262	158250	158251	158252	158253	158254
Field Measurements:						
Temperature (C)	32.3	27.7	26.2	3.2	27.7;26.2	6.6
рН	8.57	7.63	7.44	7.79	7.63;7.44	7.88
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	170	1230	1410	1340	1230;1410	1360
Laboratory Analyses:						
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	187	1600	1760	1720	1670	1730
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)				201	197	
Hardness (mg/L)	75			214	218	228J
Color (C.U.)				750		
TS (mg/L)				1400		
TNVS (mg/L)				977		
TSS (mg/L)	9	54	63	70	48	68
TNVSS (mg/L)				33		
BOD5 (mg/L)				33		26
COD (mg/L)	3U	450	500	500		490
TOC (mg/L)	2.0	159	167	174		162
NH3-N (mg/L)				4.4		
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)				0.14		
Total-P (mg/L)				2.6		
Oil and Grease (mg/L)	0.8J	2J	2J			
F-Coliform MF (#/100mL)		98000J	91000J			
F-Coliform MPN (#/100mL)		11000	13000			
Klebsiella (%)		29J	2 8 J			
CN, wk. & diss. (ug/L)		2	2			
Total Phenols (ug/L)				14.1		
Chlorate (mg/L)		0.5U	0.5U	0.5U		

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated value

APPENDIX B.1 (continued)						
Location:	Alkaline	Alkaline	Alkaline	Acid	Acid	Acid
Source:	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer
Method:	grab	grab	grabcomp	grab	grab	grabcomp
Date:	April 8	April 8	April 8	April 8	April 8	April 8
Time:	0835	1315	0835;1315	0845	1300	0845;1300
Sample Number:	158255	158256	158257	158258	158259	158260
Field Measurements:						
Temperature (C)	48.7	57.8		43.0	44.9	
pН	11.73	10.55		1.34	1.25	
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	8600	9510		9750	10520	
Laboratory Analyses:						
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	9910	9340	8970	11800	13100	12400
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)						
Hardness (mg/L)						
Color (C.U.)						
TS (mg/L)						
TNVS (mg/L)						
TSS (mg/L)	29	34	28	43	39	39
TNVSS (mg/L)						
BOD5 (mg/L)						
COD (mg/L)			4600			1600
TOC (mg/L)			1930			659
NH3-N (mg/L)						
NO2+NO3-N (mg/L)						
Total-P (mg/L)						
Oil and Grease (mg/L)						
F-Coliform MF (#/100mL)						
F-Coliform MPN (#/100mL)						
Klebsiella (%)						
CN, wk. & diss. (ug/L)						
Total Phenols (ug/L)			352			97
Chlorate (mg/L)	330	400	370	400	460	420

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated value

Location:	ASB	ASB	Final	Alkaline	Acid
Source:	Influent	Effluent	Effluent	Sewer	Sewer
Method:	ISCOcomp	ISCOcomp	ISCOcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp
Date:	April 8	April 7-8	April 7-8	April 7-8	April 8
Time:	0000-1200	0600-0600	0600-0600	0835;1315	0845;1300
Sample Number:	158239	158246	158252	158257	158260
AOX	23.9	19.6	11.4	137	120
AOX-duplicate	22.7	19.7	12.9	136	120
DOX	23.1	20.0	14.2	141*	167
(breakthrough)	(5%)	(1%)	(1%)	(18%)	(9%)
DOX-duplicate	25.9	24.3	17.4*	113*	164
(breakthrough)	(8%)	(19%)	(14%)	(15%)	(6%)
тох	8.2*	30.1*	17.6*	81.4*	108
(breakthrough)	(19%)	(20%)	(12%)	(38%)	(8%)
TOX-duplicate	15.3*	22.6	19.6*	78.7*	NA
(breakthrough)	(20%)	(7%)	(19%)	(35%)	_

NOTE: see Appendix D for analytical methods used

NA = not analyzed

Location:	Primary	ASB	Badger Is	Old Outfall	Port Kelley	Hat Rock
Source:	Sludge	Sludge	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment
Method:	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp
Date:	April 14	April 14	April 14	April 14	April 13	April 13
Time:	1230	1300-1330	MANUF		_	_
Sample Number:	168270	168271	168272	168273	168274	168275

U = not detected at or above reported value

^{*}Exceeds 10% breakthrough criterion specified in method

APPENDIX B.4 DIOX	IN/FURA	N I	RESULTS	(pg	g/L or ng/l	Kg,	dry) - BO	ISE	CASCADI	Ξ, Α	PRIL 1992	
Location:	Transfer		ASB		ASB		Final		Alkaline		Acid	
Source:	Blank		Influent		Effluent		Effluent		Sewer		Sewer	
Method:	grab		ISCOcon	ıp	ISCOcom	ıp	ISCOcon	1p	grabcomp		grabcomp	
Date:	April 6		April 8		April 7-8	}	April 7-8	3	April 7-8		April 8	
Time:	1400		0000-120	00	0600-060	0	0600-060	00	0835;1315		0845;1300)
Sample Number:	158230		158239		158246		158252		158257		158260	
2,3,7,8-TCDD	3.7	U	4.3	U	5.4		2.9	Е	12.7	Е	3.6	U
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	5.1		6.5	U	6.7	U	6.3	U	13.0	U	5.4	U
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	9.7	U	10.9	U	11.6	U	12.3	U	21.0	U	8.6	U
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	6.0	U	6.8	U	7.2	U	7.7	U	13.1	U	5.4	U
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	8.1	U	9.2	U	9.7	U	10.4	U	17.7	U	7.2	U
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	31.4	В	33.7	В	42.7	ЕВ	34.6	В	71.5	В	37.7	В
OCDD	147	В	220	В	219	В	166	В	358	EB	182	В
2,3,7,8-TCDF	2.6	В	15.3	В	48.3	В	29.0	В	24.3	В	3.8	В
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	3.3	U	4.1	U	4.2	U	4.0	U	7.8	U	3.2	U
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	3.4	U	4.2	U	4.3	U	4.1	U	7.9	U	4.9	U
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	5.1	U	6.3	U	6.3	U	6.5	U	12.3	U	4.9	U
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	3.6	U	4.4	U	4.5	U	4.6	U	8.7	U	3.5	U
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	5.0	U	6.1	U	3.2	В	6.3	U	7.3	EB	4.8	U
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	5.8	U	7.1	U	7.1	U	7.3	U	13.9	U	5.5	U
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	6.0	U	6.7	U	6.5	U	7.2	U	14.1	U	5.1	U
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	10.2	U	11.4	U	11.0	U	12.3	U	24.1	U	8.7	U
OCDF	21.3	U	23.0	U	17.8	U	23.5	U	44.9	U	15.4	U
	0.7			• •	<i></i>		<i></i>		10.7	-	2.6	* *
TCDD (total)	3.7		4.3		5.4		5.8		12.7		3.6	
PeCDD (total)	5.1		6.5		6.7	U	6.3	U	13.0	U	5.4	
HxCDD (total)	3.8		8.6		8.2		6.6	_	9.7	-	5.5	
HpCDD (total)	31.4	В	33.7	В	69.3	EB	63.0	В	71.5	В	37.7	В
TCDF (total)	2.6	U	15.3	В	73.8	В	29.0	В	28.7	В	3.8	В
PeCDF (total)	3.3		4.1		4.2		4.0	U	7.9	U	3.2	U
HxCDF (total)	4.8		5.8		3.0		6.0			EB	4.5	
HpCDF (total)	7.5		8.4		8.1		9.1		17.8	U	6.4	U

U = not detected at or above reported value

B = analyte also found in laboratory blank

E = estimated maximum possible concentration

P = poorly resolved GC peaks

Q = quantitative interference

3 lige ocom il 14 0-1330 271 520 40.4 U 17.3 U 13.5 24.3 82.9 B 517 B	39.7 4.4 0.3	E U U U EB B	Old Out: Sedimen grabcom April 14 - 168273 1.0 0.7 0.8 0.4 0.9 14.6 75.7	U U E B B	Port Kell Sediment grabcomp April 13 - 168274 0.9 1.3 1.7 1.1 1.4 5.9 23.8	U U U U U EB	Hat Roc Sedimer grabcon April 13 - 168275 1.2 0.4 0.5 1.1 1.6 26.9 155	nt np 3 E E
520 40.4 U 17.3 U 13.5 24.3 82.9 B 517 B	0.3 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.6 8.3 39.7	U U U U EB B	1.0 0.7 0.8 0.4 0.9 14.6 75.7	U E B B	0.9 1.3 1.7 1.1 1.4 5.9 23.8	U U U U EB	1.2 0.4 0.5 1.1 1.6 26.9 155	E B
40.4 U 17.3 U 13.5 24.3 82.9 B 517 B 1590 31.7 U	0.5 0.8 0.5 0.6 8.3 39.7	U U U U EB B	0.7 0.8 0.4 0.9 14.6 75.7	U E B B	1.3 1.7 1.1 1.4 5.9 23.8	U U U U EB	0.4 0.5 1.1 1.6 26.9 155	E B
31.7 U	0.3	U			1.2			
9.6 U 6.8 U 9.4 U 10.8 U 8.8 U 15.1 U 36.5 E	0.4 0.3	U BP U	0.4 0.4 0.3	U U BPQ U	0.8 0.8 0.9 0.6	U U U U U U U	18.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.2 0.8 0.3 3.0 0.4 9.8	BPQ U
520 Q 40.4 U 135 B 169 B	0.4 2.0 8.7 8.3 Q 0.6	E B B	5.1 29.7 33.5 3.3	ВВ	1.3 1.3 10.5 1.2 0.8	U U EB	1.2 1.1 13.0 54.3 49.2 1.4 3.9	В
	40.4 U 135 B 169 B 3990 347 E	40.4 U 0.4 135 B 2.0 169 B 8.7 3990 8.3 347 EQ 0.6	40.4 U 0.4 E 135 B 2.0 B 169 B 8.7 B 3990 8.3 347 EQ 0.6	40.4 U 0.4 E 0.7 135 B 2.0 B 5.1 169 B 8.7 B 29.7 3990 8.3 33.5 347 EQ 0.6 3.3 38.4 B 0.5 B 3.3	40.4 U 0.4 E 0.7 U 135 B 2.0 B 5.1 B 169 B 8.7 B 29.7 B 3990 8.3 33.5 347 EQ 0.6 3.3	40.4 U 0.4 E 0.7 U 1.3 135 B 2.0 B 5.1 B 1.3 169 B 8.7 B 29.7 B 10.5 3990 8.3 33.5 1.2 347 EQ 0.6 3.3 0.8 38.4 B 0.5 B 3.3 BQ 0.8	40.4 U 0.4 E 0.7 U 1.3 U 135 B 2.0 B 5.1 B 1.3 U 169 B 8.7 B 29.7 B 10.5 EB 3990 8.3 33.5 1.2 347 EQ 0.6 3.3 0.8 U 38.4 B 0.5 B 3.3 BQ 0.8 U	40.4 U 0.4 E 0.7 U 1.3 U 1.1 135 B 2.0 B 5.1 B 1.3 U 13.0 169 B 8.7 B 29.7 B 10.5 EB 54.3 3990 8.3 33.5 1.2 49.2 347 EQ 0.6 3.3 0.8 U 1.4

U = not detected at or above reported value

B = analyte also found in laboratory blank

E = estimated maximum possible concentration

P = poorly resolved GC peaks

Q = quantitative interference

Location: Method Method Source: Blank for Water Solids Date: Samples Samples Time:	APPENDIX B.4 (continu	ed)	
Source: Blank for Water Blank for Solids Method: Water Solids Date: Samples Samples Samples Time: - - - 2,3,7,8-TCDD 3.8 U 0.5 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 0.7 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 366 31.4 31.4 2,3,7,8-TCDF 3.6 O 0.4 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,8-PeCDF 4.4 O 0.5 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 4.2 U 0.4 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1	711 1 Divisir B. (Continu	<i>oa</i>)	
Method: Samples Samples Time:	Location:	Method	Method
Date: Samples Samples Time:	Source:	Blank for	Blank for
Time: Sample Number: - Sample Number: - 2,3,7,8-TCDD 3.8 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E 0CDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H	Method:	Water	Solids
Sample Number: - - 2,3,7,8-TCDD 3.8 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HyCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U 0CDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	Date:	Samples	Samples
2,3,7,8-TCDD 3.8 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-TCDF 4.4 0.5 U 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U 0CDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	Time:	_	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 73.8 E 6.3	Sample Number:		
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 6.0 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 10.1 U 1.0 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 73.8 E 6.3			
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 1,2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-H			
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 6.3 U 0.7 U 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 8.5 U 0.9 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 41.2 E 7.7 E OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-TCDF 3.6 0.4 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 U HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U			
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	1 ' ' ' ' '		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD			
OCDD 366 31.4 2,3,7,8-TCDF 3.6 0.4 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 4.2 U 0.4 U 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 U HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 O.4 U 0.5 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 O.5 U			
2,3,7,8-TCDF 3.6 0.4 U 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 4.4 0.5 U 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 4.3 E 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 4.2 U 0.4 U 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	OCDD	366	31.4
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF			
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	2,3,7,8-TCDF	3.6	0.4 U
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 6.0 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 4.2 U 0.4 U 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	4.4	0.5 U
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	4.3 E	0.5 U
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 6.2 E 0.6 P 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	6.0 U	0.6 U
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 6.7 U 0.6 U 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	4.2 U	0.4 U
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 6.7 U 0.5 U 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	6.2 E	0.6 P
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 11.4 U 0.9 U OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	6.7 U	0.6 U
OCDF 23.0 U 1.6 U TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 O.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 O.5 U	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	6.7 U	0.5 U
TCDD (total) 3.8 U 0.5 U PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	11.4 U	0.9 U
PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	OCDF	23.0 U	1.6 U
PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U			
PeCDD (total) 6.0 U 0.7 U HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	TCDD (total)	3.8 U	0.5 U
HxCDD (total) 8.0 U 0.7 HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	PeCDD (total)	6.0 U	0.7 U
HpCDD (total) 73.8 E 6.3 TCDF (total) 3.6 0.4 U PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	HxCDD (total)	8.0 U	0.7
PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	HpCDD (total)		
PeCDF (total) 4.5 0.5 U	TCDF (total)	3.6	0.4 U
,	, ,		
	, ,		
,	HpCDF (total)		

U = not detected at or above reported value

E = estimated maximum possible concentration

P = poorly resolved GC peaks

Location:	Transfer		ASB		ASB		ASB		ASB		Final	
Source:	Blank		Influent		Influent		Effluent		Effluent		Effluent	
Method:	grab		grab		grab		grab		grab		grab	
Date:	April 6		April 7		April 8		April 7		April 7		April 7	
Time:	1400		0910		1145		1000		1425		0915	
Sample Number:	158230		158237		158238		158242		158243		158250	
Chloromethane	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	Į
Bromomethane	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	Į
Vinyl Chloride	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	Ţ
Chloroethane	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	Į
Methylene Chloride	5.2	UJ	100	UJ	100	U	10	U	10	U	14	Į
Acetone	11		1800		1900		41		30	U	30	Ţ
Carbon Disulfide	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	Ţ
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U		U	5.0	
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0		5.0	U	5.0	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		U	50	U	50		5.0		5.0		5.0	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		U	50		50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	Ţ
Chloroform	1.0	U	420		280		20		19		13	
1,2-Dichloroethane		U		U	50	U	5.0			U	5.0	
2-Butanone		U	350	• •	360	* *	30			U	30	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0			U	50		5.0		5.0		5.0	
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0	U	50	U	50 50	U	5.0 5.0		5.0 5.0	U	5.0 5.0	
Vinyl Acetate Bromodichloromethane		U U	50 50	U U		U	5.0			U	5.0	
		U	50	U	50		5.0			U	5.0	
1,2-Dichloropropane 1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0	U	50	U	50		5.0			U	5.0	
Trichloroethene		U	50	U	50		5.0		5.0		5.0	
Dibromochloromethane		U	50	U	50	Ü	5.0			U	5.0	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0		50	_	50		5.0		5.0	_	5.0	
Benzene	1.0		50		50		5.0		5.0		5.0	
2-Chloroethylvinylether	1.0			U		U	5.0		5.0		5.0	
Bromoform	1.0		50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	5.0	U	300	U	300	U	30	U	30	U	30	1
2-Hexanone	5.0	U	300	U	300	U	30	U	30	U	30	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
Γoluene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	9.0		8.4		8.4	
Chlorobenzene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
Ethylbenzene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
Styrene	1.0	U	50	U	50	U	5.0	U	5.0	U	5.0	1
Total Xylenes	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	1
Trichlorotrifluoromethane	2.0	U	100	U	100	U	10	U	10	U	10	1

Location:	Final		Alkaline		Alkaline		Acid		Acid	
Source:	Effluent		Sewer		Sewer		Sewer		Sewer	
Method:	grab		grab		grab		grab		grab	
Date:	April 7		April 8		April 8		April 8		April 8	
Time:	1345		0835		1315		0845		1300	
Sample Number:	158251		158255		158256		158258		158259	
Chloromethane	10	U	20	U	20	U	23		26	
Bromomethane	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	
Vinyl Chloride	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	
Chloroethane	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	
Methylene Chloride	66		20	U	20		100		110	
Acetone	30	U	1500		1500		720		400	
Carbon Disulfide	5.0	U	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U		U	10	U	10	U	10	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	10	U		U		U	10	
Chloroform	15		390		570		290		300	
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
2-Butanone	30	U	110		120		95		44	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U	10	U	~ -	U	10	U	10	
Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	Ų	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
Vinyl Acetate		U		U	10		_	U	10	
Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U		U		U	10	U	10	
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U	10	U U		U U	10 10	U U	10 10	
1,3-Dichloropropene Trichloroethene		U U	10 10	U	10			U	10	
l richioroethene Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U	10	U		U	10	U	10	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0			U	10		10	_	10	
Benzene	5.0		10		10		10		10	
2–Chloroethylvinylether	5.0			U	10		10		10	
Bromoform	5.0		10		10		10		10	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	30		50		50		50		50	
2-Hexanone	30		50		50		50		50	
Tetrachloroethene	5.0		10		10		10		10	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0		10		10		10	U	10	
Γoluene	9		10		10		10		10	
Chlorobenzene	5.0	U	10		10		10	U	10	
Ethylbenzene	5.0	U	10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
Styrene	5.0		10	U	10	U	10	U	10	
Γotal Xylenes	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	
Trichlorofluoromethane	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	
Trichlorotrifluoromethane	10	U	20	U	20	U	20	U	20	

APPENDIX B.6 VOLATILE	ES RESULTS	ON SOLI	DS	(ug/Kg, d	lry)	- BOISE CA	ASCADE,	APF	RIL 1992	
Location: Source: Method: Date:	Primary Sludge grabcomp April 14	ASB Sludge grabcomp April 14)	Badger Is Sediment grabcomp April 14		Old Outfall Sediment grabcomp April 14	Port Kell Sediment grabcomp April 13		Hat Rock Sediment grabcomp April 13	
Time:	1230	1300-133	ın.	April 14		April 14	April 13		71pm 15	
	168270	168271	,0	168272		168273	168274		168275	
Sample Number:	108270	1082/1		100272		1002/3	1002/4		100273	
Chloromethane	45 U	91	U	15	U	17 U	14	U	24	U
Bromomethane	45 U	91	U	15	U	17 U	14	U	24	U
Vinyl Chloride	45 U	91	U	15	U	17 U	14	U	24	
Chloroethane	45 U	91	U	15	Ū	17 U	14	U	24	
Methylene Chloride	23 U.		J	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	U
Acetone	1300	470		10	UJ		10	UJ	24	
Carbon Disulfide	23	49	J	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
1,1-Dichloroethene	23 U		U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
1,1-Dichloroethane	23 U		U	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Chloroform	19 J	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
1,2-Dichloroethane	23 U		U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
2-Butanone	140	91	U	15	U	17 U	14	U	24	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Carbon Tetrachloride	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
	23 U	91	U	15	U	17 U	14	U	24	
Vinyl Acetate				7	U	8 U	7	U		U
Bromodichloromethane	23 U	45	U	•				U	12	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	23 U	45	U	7	U		7	_		U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	23 U		U	7	U	8 U	7	U		
Trichloroethene	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
Dibromochloromethane	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U		U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	23 U	45		7	U	8 U	7		12	
Benzene	23 U		U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Bromoform	23 U	45	U	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10 J	91	U.		U	17 U	14		24	
2-Hexanone	45 U	91	U.		U	17 U	14	U	24	
Tetrachloroethene	23 U	45	U.		U	8 U	7	U	12	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	23 U	45	U.	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Toluene	45	90	J	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Chlorobenzene	23 U	45	U.		U	8 U	7	U	12	
Ethylbenzene	23 U	45	U.	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	
Styrene	23 U	45	U.		U	8 U	7		12	
Total Xylenes	23 U	45	U.	7	U	8 U	7	U	12	U

U = not detected at or above reported value J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.7 RESIN/FATT	Y ACID	RES	SULTS ((ug/	L or ug/I	Κg,	dry) - BOI	SE CASO	CA	DE, APR	IL 1992
Location:	Transfer		ASB		ASB		Final	Primary		ASB	
Source:	Blank		Influent		Effluent		Effluent	Sludge		Sludge	
Method:	grab		ISCOco	mp	ISCOco	mp	ISCOcomp	grabcom	р	grabcom	p
Date:	April 6		April 8		April 7-	8	April 7-8	April 14		April 14	
Time:	1400		0000-12	200	0600-06	00	0600-0600	1230		1300-13	30
Sample Number:	158230		158239		158246		158252	168270		168271	
Hexadecanoic Acid	3	U	43	J	15		4 U	160000		93000	
Octadecanoic Acid	3	U	11	J	3	J	4 U	43000		28000	
Linoleic Acid	3	U	250	J	4	U	4 U	640000		25000	
Oleic Acid	3	U	180	J	5	U	2 J	690000	J	21000	
Pimaric Acid	3	UJ	230	J	83	J	27 J	120000	J	1E+06	J
Palmitoleic Acid	3	U	3	UJ	4	U	4 U	5100	U	5500	U
Sandaracopimaric Acid	3	U	31	J	12		4	43000		110000	J
Neoabietic Acid	3	UJ	31	J	39	J	5 J	110000	J	230000	J
Retene	3	U	3	J	4	U	4 U	5100	U	5500	U
Abietic Acid	3	U	860	J	500	J	180 J	800000		2E+06	
14-Chlorodehydroabietic Acid	3	U	4	J	3	J	1 J	5100	U	59000	
12-Chlorodehydroabietic Acid	3	U	5	J	10		3 J	5100	U	170000	
Dehydroabietic Acid	3	U	480	J	140	J	52 J	380000	J	2E+06	
Palustric Acid	3	U	NAF		44	J	13	110000	J	150000	
Dichlorostearic Acid	3	UJ	230	J	6	J	2 J	14000	J	53000	J
Isopimaric Acid	3	U	220	J	100	J	42 J	250000	J	1E+06	J
Dichlorodehydroabietic Acid	3	U	1	J	3	J	1 J	5100	U	190000	

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated concentration

NAF = not analyzed for

APPENDIX B.7 (continued)			1.000					
Location:	Badger Is	5	Old Outfal	1	Port Kelley		Hat Rock	 [
Source:	Sedimem	t	Sediment		Sediment		Sediment	:
Method:	grabcomp)	grabcomp		grabcomp		grabcom	p
Date:	April 14		April 14		April 13		April 13	
Time:			-		-		_	
Sample Number:	168272		168273		168274		168275	
Hexadecanoic Acid	1700	U	2200	U	900	U	2700	U
Octadecanoic Acid	370	U	480	U	250	U	360	U
Linoleic Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U
Oleic Acid	270	U	480	U	250	U	500	U
Pimaric Acid	24	J	88	J	24	J	340	J
Palmitoleic Acid	270	U	1800		290		3300	
Sandaracopimaric Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	46	J
Neoabietic Acid	270	UJ	300	UJ	250	UJ	420	UJ
Retene	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U
Abietic Acid	170	J	660		170	J	2600	J
14-Chlorodehydroabietic Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U
12-Chlorodehydroabietic Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U
Dehydroabietic Acid	270	U	330		250	U	1100	
Palustric Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U
Dichlorostearic Acid	270	U	300	UJ	250	UJ	420	UJ
Isopimaric Acid	76	J	210	J	54	J	680	
Dichlorodehydroabietic Acid	270	U	300	U	250	U	420	U

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.8 PHENOLIC	S RESUL	TS	(ug/L or u	ug/l	Kg, dry) -	ВС	DISE CAS	CA	DE, APR	IL 1	992	
Location:	Transfer		ASB		ASB		Final		Primary		ASB	
Source:	Blank		Influent		Effluent		Effluent		Sludge		Sludge	
Method:	grab		ISCOcon	ıр	ISCOcon	ıр	ISCOcon	ıp	grabcom	p	${\it grab comp}$	
Date:	April 6		April 8		April 7-8	3	April 7-8	3	April 14		April 14	
Time:	1400		0000-120	00	0600-060	00	0600-060	00	1230		1300-1330)
Sample Number:	158230		158239		158246		158252		168270		168271	
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	2600	U	2800	U
Pentachlorophenol	2	U	2	U	2	U	2	U	2600	U	2800	U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
2-Nitrophenol	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	2600	U	2800	U
Guaiacol	0.4	U	410		0.4	U	0.1	J	15000		1300	J
2-Methylphenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
2-Chlorophenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
4-Allylguaiacol	0.8	UJ	10	J	0.9	UJ	0.8	UJ	2600	UJ	2800	U.
4-Propenylguaiacol	0.8	U	3		0.4	J	0.8	J	550	J	2800	U
4-Nitrophenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.2	J	0.1	J	2600	U	2800	U
4-Methylphenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	4100	
Phenol	1	U	25		1	U	1	U	3800	UJ	4100	UJ
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.8	U	2		4		2		2600	U	2800	U
2,3,6-Trichlorophenol	0.8	U	2		6		4		2600	U	2800	U
Tetrachloroguaiacol	2	U	1	J	3		2		2600	U	2800	U
Trichlorotrimethoxybenzene	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
Tetrachlorocatechol	2	U	3		1	J	0.8	J	2600	U	2800	U
4-Chlorocatechol	0.4	U	1		0.4	U	0.4	U	2600	U	2800	U
4,5-Dichloroguaiacol	0.8	U	18		4		4		2600	U	2800	U
Trichlorosyringol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.1	J	2600	U	2800	U
4,5,6-Trichloroguaiacol	0.8	U	2		7		4		2600	U	2800	U
4,5-Dichlorocatechol	0.8	U	19		4		3		2600	U	2800	U
a-Terpineol	0.8	U	2000		0.9	U	0.8	U	41000		3000	
2,3,4-Trichlorophenol	0.8	U	0.8	U	0.9	U	0.8	U	2600	U	2800	U
4-Chloroguaiacol	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	2600	U	2800	U
5,6-Dichlorovanillin	2	UJ	0.5	J	0.6	J	0.9	J	2600	UJ	2800	UJ
6-Chlorovanillin	0.06	J	3	J	4	J	6	J	2600	UJ	2800	UJ
3,4,5-Trichlorocatechol	0.02	J	24	J	4	J	3.	J	2600	UJ	2800	UJ
3,4,5-Trichloroguaiacol	0.05		8		11		7		2600	U	2800	U

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.8 (continued)	.,							
Location:	Badger Is	3	Old Outfa	all	Port Kell	ey	Hat Rock	
Source:	Sediment		Sediment		Sediment		Sediment	
Method:	grabcomp)	grabcomp)	grabcomp)	grabcomp	9
Date:	April 14		April 14		April 13		April 13	
Time:	-		***				_	
Sample Number:	168272		168273		168274		168275	
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Pentachlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2-Nitrophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Guaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2-Methylphenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2-Chlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4-Allylguaiacol	130	UJ	150	UJ	130	UJ	210	U.
4-Propenylguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4-Nitrophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	·U
4-Methylphenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Phenol	200	UJ	210	UJ	180	UJ	280	UJ
2,4-Dichlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2,3,6-Trichlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Tetrachloroguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Trichlorotrimethoxybenzene	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Tetrachlorocatechol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4-Chlorocatechol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4,5-Dichloroguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
Trichlorosyringol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4,5,6-Trichloroguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4,5-Dichlorocatechol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
a-Terpineol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
2,3,4-Trichlorophenol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
4-Chloroguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U
5,6-Dichlorovanillin	130	UJ	150	UJ	130	UJ	210	U.
6-Chlorovanillin	130	UJ	150	UJ	130	UJ	210	U.
3,4,5-Trichlorocatechol	130	UJ		UJ	130	UJ	210	U.
3,4,5-Trichloroguaiacol	130	U	150	U	130	U	210	U

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.9	METALS	RE	SULTS (ı	ıg/I	_* or mg/	Kg,	dry) - BO	DISE	CASCAL	DE, A	APRIL 199	92
Location:	Transfer		Primary		Primary		ASB		ASB		Final	
Source:	Blank		Influent		Effluent		Influent		Effluent		Effluent	
Method:	grab		ISCOcon	ıр	ISCOcon	ıp	ISCOcon	ıр	ISCOcon	ıp	ISCOcom	ıp
Date:	April 6		April 7-8	3	April 7-8	3	April 8		April 7-8	}	April 7-8	3
Time:	1400		0600-060	00	0600-060	00	0000-060	00	0600-060	00	0600-060	00
Sample Number:	158230		158233		158236		158239		158246		158252	
Arsenic	1.5	U	2.2	P	1.5	U	2.1	P	1.8	P	1.8	P
Lead	1.0	U	7.9		2.4	P	8.0		4.6	P	3.7	P
Thallium	2.5	U	2.5	U	2.5	U	2.5	U	2.5	U	2.5	U
Selenium	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U
Mercury	0.070	U	0.074	P	0.070	U	0.082	P	0.15	P	0.72	P
Beryllium	1.0	U	1.0	U	1.0	U	1.0	U	1.0	U	1.0	U
Cadmium	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.0	U	2.7	P	2.0	U
Chromium	5.0	U	12	P	5.6	P	12	P	15	P	9.8	P
Copper	3.0	U	41.6		17		25		18		22	
Nickel	10	U	10	U	10	U	11	P	10	U	10	U
Silver	3.0	U	3.0	U	3.0	U	3.0	U	3.0	U	3.0	U
Zinc	4.0	U	137		51.8		115		100		72.2	
Antimony	30	U	30	U	30	U	30	U	30	U	30	U
Chromium VI	NA		NA		NA		10	UH	10	UH	10	UH

^{*}total recoverable

U = not detected at or above reported value

P = detected below quantitation limit

NA = not analyzed

H = holding time exceeded

APPENDIX B.9 (continued)		***************************************									•
Location:	Primary		ASB		Badger Is		Old Outf	all	Port Kell	ey	Hat Roo	
Source:	Sludge		Sludge		Sediment		Sediment		Sediment		Sedimer	at
Method:	grabcomp)	grabcomp)	grabcomp)	grabcom)	grabcomp)	grabcon	np
Date:	April 14		April 14		April 14		April 14		April 13		April 13	3
Time:	1230		1300-133	0	-				-		_	
Sample Number:	168270		168271		168272		168273		168274		168275	
Arsenic	1.2		1.4		3.3		2.7		1.7		4.9	
Lead	10.7		24.2		11.1		9.9		5.6		39.0	
Thallium	0.25	UN	0.33	UN	0.25	UN	0.25	UN	0.25	UN	0.27	PN
Selenium	0.20	U	0.47	P	0.20	U	0.20	U	0.20	U	0.32	P
Mercury	0.0054	P	0.34		0.022	P	0.017	P	0.005	U	0.056	
Beryllium	0.10	U	0.20	P	0.26	P	0.41	P	0.17	P	0.56	P
Cadmium	1.4	P	8.0		0.79	P	0.87	P	0.4	P	3.2	
Chromium	36.9	J	233	J	11.1	J	13.6	J	9.4	J	23.8	J
Copper	41.5		102		9.7		14.8		7.4		30.1	
Nickel	13.9		21.7		11.2		12.7		9.1		20.8	
Silver	0.71	P	5.0		0.30	U	0.30	U	0.30	U	0.58	
Zinc	134	J	422	J	84.4	J	61.5	J	45.0	J	284	J
Antimony	3.0	UN	3.0	UN	3.0	UN	3.0	UN	3.0	UN	3.0	UN

U = not detected at or above reported value

P = detected below quantitation limit

N = spike recovery outside control limits

J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.10 SEMIVOL	ATILES RESUI	LTS (ug/L) - BOISE CASCADE	, APRIL 1992
Location:	Final	Location:	Final
Source:	Effluent	Source:	Effluent
Method:	ISCOcomp	Method:	ISCOcomp
Date:	April 7-8	Date:	April 7-8
Time:	0600-0600	Time:	0600-0600
Sample Number:	158252	Sample Number:	158252
Benzo(a)pyrene	1 U	Benzyl Alcohol	28 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	17 UJ	4-Bromophenylphenylether	1 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	3 U	2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 UJ
Benzo(a)anthracene	1 U	4-Methylphenol	1 U
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	7 UJ	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1 U
Benzoic Acid	17 UJ	4-Chloroaniline	17 U
Hexachloroethane	1 U	Phenol	1 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	7 UJ	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	1 U
Isophorone	1 U	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	1 U
Acenaphthene	1 U	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1 U
Diethylphthalate	2	Di-n-Octylphthalate	1 UJ
Di-n-Butylphthalate	1 U	Hexachlorobenzene	1 U
Phenanthrene	1 U	Anthracene	1 U
Butylbenzyphthalate	3 U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	17 UJ	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2
Fluorene	1 U	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	3 UJ
Carbazole	7 UJ	Pyrene	1 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	3 U	Dimethylphthalate	2
Pentachlorophenol	7 UJ	Dibenzofuran	1 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	7 UJ	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1 U
2-Nitroaniline	3 UJ	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1 U
2-Nitrophenol	3 U	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1 U
1-Methylnaphthalene	1 U	Fluoranthene	1 U
Naphthalene	1 U	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	1 U	Acenaphthylene	1 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	1 U	Chrysene	1 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	35 U	Retene	1 U
2-Methylphenol	1 U	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	17 UJ
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1 U	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1 U
2-Methylphenol	1 U	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3 U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	6 J	N-Nitroso-di-propylamine	1 U
Nitrobenzene	1 U	4-Chlorophenylphenylether	1 UJ
4-Nitroaniline	17 U	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	1 UJ
4-Nitrophenol	9 UJ		

U = not detected at or above reported value

J = estimated concentration

APPENDIX B.11 PI	ESTICIDE/I	PCB RESUI	LTS (ug/L or	ug/Kg, dry)	- BOISE CA	ASCADE, APR	RIL 1992
Location: Source:	Final Effluent	Primary Sludge	ASB Sludge	Badger Is Sediment	Old Outfall Sediment	Port Kelley Sediment	Hat Rock Sediment
Method:		grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp	grabcomp
Date:	April 7-8	April 14	April 14	April 14	April 14	April 13	April 13
Time:	0600-0600	•	1300-1330	April 14	April 14	April 13	April 13
Sample Number:	158252	168270	168271	168272	168273	168274	168275
4.43. DDT	0.0 11	40 **	200 **				
4,4'-DDT	0.2 U	40 U	200 U	10 U		10 U	20 U
Chlordane	0.7 U	400 U	2500 U	100 U		100 U	200 U
gamma-BHC	0.07 U	40 U	100 U	10 U		10 U	20 U
Dieldrin	0.2 U	40 U		10 U			20 U
Endrin	0.2 U	40 U		10 U			20 U
Methoxychlor	0.5 U	40 U		10 U		10 U	20 U
4,4'-DDD	0.25 U	40 U		10 U		10 U	20 U
4,4'-DDE	0.07 U	40 U		10 U			20 U
Heptachlor	0.07 U	40 U		10 U			20 U
Aldrin	0.07 U	40 U		10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
alpha-BHC	0.07 U	40 U		10 U		10 U	20 U
beta-BHC	0.07 U	40 U	580 U	10 U		10 U	20 U
delta-BHC	0.3 U	40 U	100 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
Endosulfan I	0.07 U	40 U	100 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.07 U	40 U	350 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.2 U	40 U	100 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
Endrin Aldehyde	0.4 U	60 U	150 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
Toxaphene	3.0 U	1200 U	3000 U	300 U	300 U	300 U	600 U
PCB-1260	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
PCB-1254	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
PCB-1221	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
PCB-1232	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
PCB-1248	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
PCB-1016	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
Endosulfan II	0.2 U	60 U	1500 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U
PCB-1242	0.7 U	400 U	1000 U	100 U	90 U	100 U	200 U
Endrin Ketone	0.15 U	120 U	1200 U	10 U	9 U	10 U	20 U

U = not detected at or above reported value

APPENDIX C.	ECOLOGY SAMPLIN	G LOCA	ΓΙΟΝS - LA	KE WALLUL	A, APRIL 1992
		RIVER			
SITE NAME	DESCRIPTION	MILE	DEPTH	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
Badger Island	Left* side of river, upstream of north end Badger Island;	318	10-12 ft.	46 06.66 46 06.72 46 06.75	118 56.69 118 56.67 118 56.70
	three grab composite				
Old Outfall	Inside bouy "A" and east side of barge channel to mill; three grab composite	316	13-18 ft.	46 05.64 46 05.64 46 05.74	118 55.41 118 55.43 118 55.63
Port Kelley	Left side of river approximately 1-1/2 miles below Port Kelley; three grab composite	311	30 ft.	46 01.03	118 57.55
Hat Rock	Approximately 1 mile below Hat Rock State Park; quarter point transect, composited	298	71-88 ft.	45 55.92 45 55.78 45 55.65	119 11.23 119 11.31 119 11.39

^{*}viewed looking downstream

APPENDIX D. ANALYTIC	CAL METHODS FOI	R BOISE CA	ASCADE	APRIL 19	992 INSPECTION
ANALYSIS	REFERENCE	METHOD	L	ABORAT	ORY
GENERAL CHEMISTRY:					
Conductivity	EPA (1979)	120.1	Ecology 1	Laborator	y, Manchester WA
Alkalinity	11	310.1	"	"	U
Hardness	it	130.2	11	"	II .
Color	Ħ	110.1	Laucks T	esting Lal	poratories, Seattle WA
TS	tt	160.3	**	11	U
TNVS	tt .	106.4	**	11	11
TSS	Ħ	160.2	11	Ħ	11
TNVS	Ħ	106.4	н	Ħ	u .
BOD5	#	405.1	11	H	н
COD	11	410.1	Sound Ar	nalytical S	ervices, Tacoma WA
TOC (water)	11	415.2		H	н
TOC (solids)	Tetra Tech (1986)		Sound Ar	nalytical S	ervices, Tacoma WA
NH3-N	EPA (1979)	350.1	11	н	н
NO2+NO3-N	11	353.2	11	Ħ	u .
Total P	11	365.1	11	н	н
Oil and Grease	11	413.1	**	н	tl
Chlorate	Ion Chromatography		Laucks T	esting Lal	ooratories, Seattle WA
Fecal Coliform (MF)	APHA (1989)	9222D	Ecology 1	Laboratory	y, Manchester WA
Fecal Coliform (MPN)	APHA (1985)	908C	11	Ħ	Ħ
% Klebsiella	APHA (1989)	9222F	11	H	11
AOX	SCAN (1989)	W 9:89	11	u	Ħ
TOX/DOX	APHA (1989)	5320	11	H	Ħ
EOX	Dorhman Analyzer		Sound Ar	nalytical S	ervices, Tacoma WA
Total Phenols	EPA (1979)	420.2	Ecology 1	Laboratory	y, Manchester WA
Grain Size	Tetra Tech (1986)		Laucks T	esting Lab	poratories, Seattle WA
TOXICS:					
Volatiles (water)	EPA (1986)	8240	Analytica	l Resource	es, Seattle WA
Volatiles (solids)	11	11	National	Express L	aboratories, Redmond WA
Semivolatiles	11	8270	Ecology 1	Laboratory	y, Manchester WA
OC Pesticides/PCBs (water)	EPA (1984)	608	11	11	**
OC Pesticides/PCBs (solids)		8080	H.	H	H
Resin & Fatty Acids	NCASI (1986a)	85.01	"	H	Ħ
Phenolics	NCASI (1986b)	86.01	Ħ	"	н
Dioxins and Furans	EPA (1986)	8290	Triangle	Laborator	ies, Durham NC
Priority Pollutant Metals	EPA (1979)	200	Ecology 1	Laboratory	y, Manchester WA
Hexavalent Chromium	EPA (1986)	7195	Sound Ar	nalytical S	ervices, Tacoma WA
Cyanide (wk. & dissoc.)	APHA (1989)	45000-CN	Ecology	Laboratory	y, Manchester WA

APPENDIX D. (continu	ned)				
ANALYSIS	REFERENCE	METHOD	LABO	RATO	RY
BIOASSAYS:					
Rainbow Trout	Ecology (1991)	EV	'S Consul	tants, S	Seattle WA
Daphnia magna	EPA (1991)	н	**	**	н
Ceriodaphnia dubia	EPA (1989)	11	11	н	11
Fathead Minnow		"	**	**	11
Hyallela	ASTM (1991)	Par	ametrix,	Bellevu	ie WA
Microtox	Tetra Tech (1986)			н н	

APPENDIX E BOISE CASCADE DUPLICATE SAMPLING RESULTS



White Paper Division

P.O. Box 500 Highway 12 Wallula, Washington 99363 509/547-2411

August 31, 1992

Mr. Art Johnson Department of Ecology 7171 Clearwater Lane, Bldg. 8 P.O. Box 47710 Olympia, Washington 98504-7710

Dear Mr. Johnson:

As requested, I am submitting the duplicate test sampling results taken during the WDOE Class II water inspection in April, 1992.

If you have any questions regarding the test result, please contact me.

Sincerely,

BØISE CASCADE WHITE PAPER DIVISION

Dennis M. Ross

Environmental Control Director

/nmh

Attachment

Columbia Analytical Services

May 20, 1992

Cheryl Benar Boise Cascade Paper Group White Paper Division Highway 12 P.O. Box 500 Wallula, WA 99363

Re: WDOE Water Inspection Project

Dear Cheryl:

Enclosed are the results of the samples submitted to our lab on April 9, 1992. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our work order number K922256.

Samples were run as Nitrate-Nitrite from an acid preserved bottle because the unpreserved nitrate sample was received past the recommended maximum holding time. The cyanide sample was not preserved to a pH of 12 as is required. The pH of this sample was 10.

All analyses were performed in accordance with our laboratory's quality assurance program.

Please call if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

puice M. Sidlak

Janice M. Sedlak Project Chemist

JMS/das

Analytical Report

Client:

Boise Cascade Paper Group WDOE Water Inspection

Date Received:

04/09/92 Work Order No.: K922256

Project: Sample Matrix:

Water

Inorganic Parameters mg/L (ppm)

Sample Name:			Bleach Plant	Bleach Plant	Clarifier Inlet
Lab Code:		Alkaline Sewer K2256-1	Acid Sewer K2256-2	K2256-3	
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL			
·					
Ammonia as Nitrogen	350.3	0.05	0.40	0.43	
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	0.01	1.6	4.3	**
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	415.1	0.5	1,200	640	240

Method Reporting Limit MRL

Date 5/21/92

U0001

Analytical Report

Boise Cascade Paper Group Client: Project: WDOE Water Inspection

Work Order No.: K322256

Date Received: 04/09/92

Sample Matrix: Water

> **Inorganic Parameters** mg/L (ppm)

Samp	Final Effluent	Kenics Inlet		
L	K2256-5	K2256-6		
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL		
Ammonia as Nitrogen	350.3	0.05	4.62	19.8
Chloride	300.0	0.2		380
Cyanide, Total	335.2	0.01		ND
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	353.2	0.2	3.1	0.5
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	0.01		4.4
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	415.1	0.5	72	350

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

0000€2

Analytical Report

Client:Boise Cascade Paper GroupDate Received:04/09/92Project:WD02 Water InspectionWork Order No.:K922256

Sample Matrix: Water

Inorganic Parameters mg/L (ppm)

Sample Name: Lab Code:			Secondary Effluent 0710 K2256-7	Final Effluent 0730 K2256-8	Method Blank K2256-MB
Analyte	Method	MRL			
Ammonia as Nitrogen	350.3	0.05			ND
Chloride	300.0	0.2	••		ND
Cyanide, Total	335.2	0.01		••	ND
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	353.2	0.2			ND
Phenolics, Total	420.1	0.01	0.15	0.06	ND
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	0.01			ND
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	415.1	0.5		••	ND

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

pproved by JMSIdVM Date 5/21/92

Analytical Report

Client:

Boise Cascade Paper Group

Date Received:

04/09/92

Project:

WDOE Water Inspection.

Work Order No.: K922250

Sample Matrix: Water

Priority Pollutant Metals μ g/L (ppb)

Sample Name:			Clarifier Inlet	Secondary Effluent 0930	Kenics Inlet	
Lab Code:			K2256-3	K2256-4	K2256-6	
	EPA					
Analyte	Method	MRL				
Antimony	200.7	50	ND	ND	ND	
Arsenic	206.2	5	ND	ND	ND	
Beryllium	200.7	5	ND	ND	ND	
Cadmium	200.7	3	ND	ND	ND	
Chromium	200.7	5	13	19	16	
Copper	200.7	10	31	12	13	
Lead	239.2	2	4	2	3	
Mercury	245.1	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Nickel	200.7	20	ND	ND	ND	
Selenium	270.2	5	ND	ND	ND	
Silver	200.7	10	ND	ND	ND	
Thallium	279.2	5	ND	ND	ND	
Zinc	200.7	10	121	88	86	
Chromium, Hexavalent	7195/200.7	10			*ND	

MRL Method Reporting Limit

None Detected at or above the method reporting limit ND

Sample was received past the end of the recommended maximum holding time.

Analytical Report

Work Order No.: K922256

Client:

Boise Cascade Paper Group

Project:

WDOE Water Inspection

Sample Matrix: Water

Priority Pollutant Metals μg/L (ppb)

Sample Name: Lab Code:			Method Blank K2256-MB
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL	
Antimony	200.7	50	ND
Arsenic	206.2	5	ND
Beryllium	200.7	5	ND
Cadmium	200.7	3	ND
Chromium	200.7	5	ND
Copper	200.7	10	ND
Lead	239.2	2	ND
Mercury	245.1	0.5	ND
Nickel	200.7	20	ND
Selenium	270.2	5	ND
Silver	200.7	10	ND
Thallium	279.2	5	ND
Zinc	200.7	10	ND
Chromium, Hexavalent	7195/200.7	10	ND

MRL

Method Reporting Limit

ND

None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

Jusidlak

Analytical Report

Client: Project. Boise Cascade Paper Group WDOE Water Inspection

Date Analyzed:

Date Received:

04/09/92 Date TCLP Performed: 04/12/42

Sample Matrix: Water

04/23/92

Work Order No.:

K922256

Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) EPA Method 1311 Volatile Organic Compounds mg/L (ppm) in TCLP Extract

	Sa	ample Nam	Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer	Bleach Plant Acid Sewer	
	Lab Code:			K2256-1	K2256-2
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL*	Regulatory Limit •		
Benzene	5030/8020	0.1	0.5	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	5030/8010	0.1	0.5	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.1	100	ND	ND
Chloroform	5030/8010	0.1	6	0.3	0.2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.1	7.5	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	5030/8010	0.1	0.5	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	5030/8010	0.1	.7	ND	ND
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	5030/8020	1	200	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	5030/8010	0.1	0.7	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	5030/8010	0.1	0.5	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	5030/8010	0.1	0.2	ND	ND

Method Reporting Limit MRL

MRLs are elevated because the sample(s) required diluting.

From 40 CFR Part 261, et al., and Federal Register, March 29, 1990 and June 29, 1990

None Detected at or above the method reporting limit ND

Approved by

000

Analytical Report

Client: Boise Cascade Paper Group Date Received: 04/09/92
Project: WDOE Water inspection Date TCLP Performed: 04/13/92
Sample Matrix: Water Date Analyzed: 04/23/92
Work Order No.: K922256

Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) EPA Method 1311 Volatile Organic Compounds mg/L (ppm) in TCLP Extract

	Sample Name:			Secondary Effluent 0930	Final Effluent
	Lab Code:			K2256-4	K2256-5
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL	Regulatory Limit [†]		
Benzene	5030/8020	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.05	100	ND	ND
Chloroform	5030/8010	0.05	6	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.05	7.5	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.7	ND	ND
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	5030/8020	0.5	200	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.7	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	5030/8010	0.05	0.2	ND	ND

MRL Method Reporting Limit

From 40 CFR Part 261, et al., and Federal Register, March 29, 1990 and June 29, 1990

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

oproved by Justicallak Date 5/2/92

U0(

Analytical Report

Client: Project: Boise Cascade Paper Group

Sample Matrix: Water

WDOE Water Inspection

Date Received:

04/09/92

Date TCLP Teriormed: 04/13/92

Date Analyzed: Work Order No.: 04/23/92 K922256

Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) EPA Method 1311 Volatile Organic Compounds mg/L (ppm) in TCLP Extract

	Sa	ample Nan Lab Co	Kenics Inlet K2256-6	Method Blank K2256-MB	
Analyte	EPA Method	MRL	Regulatory Limit •		
Benzene	5030/8020	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.05	100	ND	ND
Chloroform	5030/8010	0.05	6	0.24	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5030/8010	0.05	7.5	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.7	ND	ND
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	5030/8020	0.5	200	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.7	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	5030/8010	0.05	0.5	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	5030/8010	0.05	0.2	ND	ND

MRL Method Reporting Limit

From 40 CFR Part 261, et al., and Federal Register, March 29, 1990 and June 29, 1990

None Detected at or above the method reporting limit ND

Installak

Approved by_

U0(

Analytical Report

Client: Projecti Boise Cascade Paper Group

Sample Matrix: Water

WDOE Water Inspection

Date Received: Date Extraplication

04/09/92 04/14/02

Date Analyzed: Work Order No.: K922256

04/21/92

Chlorinated Phenolic Organic Compounds NCASI Method CP-86.01 μ g/L (ppb)

Sample Name:	Secondary Effluent 0930	Final Effluent	Method Blank		
Lab Code:		K2256-4	K2256-5	K2256-MB	
Analyte	MRL				
2,6-Dichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
3,5-Dichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
3,4-Dichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
4-Chloroguaiacol	5	ND	ND	ND	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5	6	ND	ND	
2,3,6-Trichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
4,5-Dichloroguaiacol	5	ND	ND	ND	
3,6-Dichlorocatechol	5	ND	ND	ND	
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
4,5-Dichlorocatechol	5	ND	ND	ND	
3,4,5-Trichloroguaiacol	5	9	ND	ND	
4,5,6-Trichloroguaiacol	5	8	ND	ND	
5,6-Dichlorovanillin	5	ND	ND	ND	
Pentachlorophenol	5	ND	ND	ND	
3,4,5-Trichlorocatechol	5	7	ND	ND	
Tetrachloroguaiacol	5	ND	ND	ND	
Trichlorosyringol	5	ND	ND	ND	
Tetrachlorocatechol	5	ND	ND	ND	

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

Analytical Report

Client:

Boise Cascade Paper Group

Project:

White Water Inspection

Sample Matrix: Water

Date Received:

04/09/92

Date Extracted. Date Analyzed:

04/30/92 05/15/92

Work Order No.: K922256

Washington State NPDES Renewal Resin Acids, Fatty Acids, and Bleach Plant Derivatives NCASI Method RA/FA-85.01 GC/MS Determination μ g/L (ppb)

Sample Name:	Secondary	Final	
Lab Code:	Effluent 0930 K2256-4	Effluent K2256-5	
Analyte	MRL		
Tetrachloroguaiacol	10	ND	ND
Linoleic Acid	10	ND	ND
Oleic Acid/Linolenic Acid	10	ND	ND
Sandracopimaric Acid	10	30	19
Isopimaric Acid	10	200	130
Palustric Acid	10	32	19
Dehydroabietic Acid	10	170	110
Abietic Acid	10	780	510
9,10-Dichlorostearic Acid	10	ND	ND
Dichlorodehydroabietic Acid	10	ND	ND

MRL Method Reporting Limit

None Detected at or above the method reporting limit ND

These compounds coelute.

Approved by

Analytical Report

Client:

Boise Cascade Paper Group

Date Extracted: 04/30/92 Date Analyzed:

Project: Sample Matrix: WDOE Water Inspection Water

05/15/92

Work Order No.: K922256

Washington State NPDES Renewal Resin Acids, Fatty Acids, and Bleach Plant Derivatives NCASI Method RA/FA-85.01 GC/MS Determination μ g/L (ppb)

Sample Name: Lab Code:	Method Blank K2256-MB	
Analyte	MRL	
Tetrachloroguaiacol	10	ND
Linoleic Acid	10	ND
Oleic Acid/Linolenic Acid*	10	ND
Sandracopimaric Acid	10	ND
Isopimaric Acid	10	ND
Palustric Acid	10	ND
Dehydroabietic Acid	10	ND
Abietic Acid	10	ND
9,10-Dichlorostearic Acid	10	ND
Dichlorodehydroabietic Acid	10	ND

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

These compounds coelute.

000

APPENDIX A LABORATORY QC RESULTS

QA/QC Report

Client: Project: Boise Cascade Paper Group WDCI Wate, inspection

Sample Matrix: Water

Date Received:

04/09/92

Date Analyzed:

Date TCLP Performed: 04/13/92 04/23/92

Work Order No.: K922256

Matrix Spike Summary Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) EPA Method 1311 Volatile Organic Compounds (EPA Methods 5030/8010/8020) mg/L (ppm) in TCLP Extract

Sample Name:

Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer

Lab Code:

K2256-1

			Spiked		
	Spike	Sample	Sample	Percent	
Analyte	Level*	Result	Result	Recovery *	
Benzene	5.0	ND	5.5	110	
Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	ND	6.7	134	
Chlorobenzene	5.0	ND	5.6	112	
Chloroform	5.0	0.3	5.0	94	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	ND	5.9	118	
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	ND	6.0	120	
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	ND	6.5	130	
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	5.0	ND	4.6	92	
Tetrachloroethene	5.0	ND	6.2	124	
Trichloroethene	5.0	ND	6.4	128	
Vinyl Chloride	5.0	ND	6.2	124	

Sample run at 100 times dilution instead of the typical 50 times.

Percent recovery information is provided in order to assess the performance of the method on this matrix.

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

0001

QA/QC Report

Client: Project: Boise Cascade Paper Group

WDOE Water Inspection

Date Received: Cate TCLP Performed: 04/13/92

04/09/92

Date Analyzed:

04/23/92

Sample Matrix: Water

Work Order No.:

K922256

Surrogate Recovery Summary Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) EPA Method 1311 **Volatile Organic Compounds** (EPA Methods 5030/8010/8020) in TCLP Extract

Sample Name	Lab Code	Percent Recovery 1,4-Dichlorobutane			
Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer	K2256-1	98			
Bleach Plant Acid Sewer	K2256-2	101			
Secondary Effluent 0930	K2256-3	102			
Final Effluent	K2256-4	103			
Kenics Inlet	K2256-6	101			
Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer	K2256-1MS	97			
Method Blank	K2256-MB	97			
	CAS Acceptance Criteria	73-133			

Medak

QA/QC Report

Client: Project: Boise Cascade Paper Group

Date Received: 04/09/92 Data TOM Performed: 04/13/92

Sample Matrix: Water

WDOE Water Inspection

Date Analyzed: 04/23/92

Work Order No.:

K922256

Surrogate Recovery Summary
Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)
EPA Method 1311
Volatile Organic Compounds
(EPA Methods 5030/8010/8020)
in TCLP Extract

Sample Name	Lab Code	Percent Recovery a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene
Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer	K2256-1	108
Bleach Plant Acid Sewer	K2256-2	107
Secondary Effluent 0930	K2256-3	107
Final Effluent	K2256-4	108
Kenics Inlet	K2256-6	108
Bleach Plant Alkaline Sewer	K2256-1MS	107
Method Blank	K2256-MB	108
	CAS Acceptance Criteria	79-119

Approved by _____

Date 50192

QA/QC Report

Client: Project: Boise Cascade Paper Group WUCE Water Inspection

Date Received: Date Extracted: 04/09/92 04/30/92 05/15/92

Sample Matrix:

Water

Date Analyzed: Work Order No.: K922256

Surrogate Recovery Summary Resin Acids, Fatty Acids, and Bleach Plant Derivatives NCASI Method RA/FA-85.01 GC/MS Determination

Sample Name	Lab Code	Percent Recovery						
		Heptadecanoic Acid	O-Methylpodocarpic Acid					
Secondary Effluent 09	30 K2256-4	70	66					
Final Effluent	K2256-5	78	68					
Method Blank	K2256-MB	*57	89					
C	AS Acceptance Criteria	65-120	65-120					

Outside of acceptance limits. Since the reduced percent recovery is for the method blank, and since the percent recovery for all of the associated samples is acceptable, it is the opinion of CAS that the quality of the sample data has not been significantly affected.

The Sollak

APPENDIX B CHAIN OF CUSTODY INFORMATION



CHAIN F CUSTODY/LABORATORY ANALYSIS REQ ST FORM

1317 South 13th Ave. • Kelso, WA 98626	• (206) 577-72	22, FAX (206) 636-10	68												DATE	41	<u>817</u>	<u></u>		PAGE	
PROJECT NAMELODOE Wate	or In	spection					, v ₀						ANA	T A 212	REQU	ESTED)				1) 110
PROJECT MINGRE HERY J.	Bonce	^							. /	7	0/-	7	~/	<u></u>	0/	7	A P	d	7	7	U 15 00 1
COMPANY/ADDRESS	axact	e White	Espor 1	墁		Ň.	\$\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac		. D/ .e/	Z.	1.8 8.3 8.3	' /	F)	de	\$		New)/	TA TO	10 BN
P.O.BOX 500 Hy.	12		= 19)	N N			* _ /	1 8	3/		18	8	3/50	\$ 3	3 5	然	18 (A S	18 1	/x\	8 8 8 1 10 8 9
Walluky Way 9	5343	PHONE 2	3-3417	P P				\$ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	g /	£ /			5/		6		8 3 V			10/6	1 /3 1/2 / S
SAMPLERS SIGNATURE RUY	1.	Benay		NUMBER OF CONTAINERS					8/0 ⁸		18/8	司	\$ /	No.) 3	9	2/8 0/8		8, 3	Town Color	
SAMPLE JATE	UTIME	LAB I.D.	SAMPLE MATRIX	2	2	2 2 S	THE SERVICE SE	48	188	E	THEORY OF SERVICE OF S		20.3			\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	X E	3 5		1250	REMARKS
Bleach Plantsever 4BK	150230°	K2256-1		14									V				V				3 JCC KENTRS
sleagh Mant 400	20815an	2		14	<u> </u>								V				V	i			11
Clarife Int 477	2020M.	-3		2					'					V			*/				Ta enly
Decondary Effluen 4/7k Zum composite Zum composite Enal Effluent 4/7k	12 Ci.m.	-4		5							-		1	V.							3 Lec hendles grass + 1Plass,
Final Effuent 4/7/	72/10/C	-5		65	 								/				V		V	V	3000 2 2 1451 1 AKISATU 3 UOL
Kenica Inlat 11/17	01.80	-6		8	V							V	V	V	V		1				
Scondary Eff 4/7/9	2 Cim	-4-4		1	<u> </u>	-							ļ						-4	F	only enough
· ·				 	-	-					ļ			ļ						 	
				╂	-					ļ			ļ								
RELINQUISHED BY:		REÇEIVED BY:	TURN	BOUN	D REC	UIREMI	ENTS:	RF	PORT	REOLIII	REMEN	TS.	 	INVO	ICE IN	FORMA	ATION:			SAI	MPLE RECEIPT:
heyl I Bence	Kuth	Muso	\sim			hr							P.O. #		,	Ollman	11 KM		Shipping		AFEE REGEIFT.
Signatury J. Benar	Signature	h Alliso	\sim 1			waking	ı		II. Repo	ne Repo rt (includ	les DUP	MS,	Bill to					ı	S ripping	-	
Boise Caxade	Printed Name	CAS	Р	rovide V	erbal Pr	iminary	Results		charg	ed as sa									C4 nditio	on:	
4/8/92 C2101.11	Firm 4/9	192 090				minary Re	elue		III. Data ' (inclu	Validatio des Ali R	n Report law Data))					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
/ Dats/Time / RELINQUISHED BY:	Date/Time	DECEMEN BY.	Request								de Repo		<u> </u>						LM) No.		
RELINGUISHED BY:		RECEIVED BY:		IAL II <i>II</i>	AS	TIONS/	MD4	enjs: Hio	d	R	A/F	A:	85	.01	/						
Signeture	Signature			N		•	. •			_		<i>- 1</i>	~~ 1	\sim	02	? <i>(</i>) .	ας	De.	ı^	Jai	11ce 4/9
Printed Name	Printed Name		RL	11	61	101	a(c) <i>1</i> S	Un) 5	CC.	C+	+1.	. 0	7	\mathcal{O}^{\prime}	いう	r-	, ,		nce 4/9
Firm	Firm																				
Date/Time	Date/Time																				

K22-x6-: CUSTODY/LABORATORY ANALYSIS REQL 3T FORM CHAIN 1317 South 13th Ave. • Kelso, WA 98626 • (206) 577-7222, FAX (206) 636-1068 Enspection ANALYSIS REQUESTED NUMBER OF CONTAINERS TOLO Mouse DVOA DSONI Mouse Ibalia discordan TPH-HCD Sand SAMPLERS SIGNATURE REMARKS SAMPLE SAMPLE LAB MATRIX 1.D. DATE I.D. Secondary Efflue 24 by Composite From Effluent -7AB enly enough -8mg INVOICE INFORMATION: SAMPLE RECEIPT: RECEIVED BY: REPORTREQUIREMENTS RELINQUISHED BY: **TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS:** Shipping VIA P.O. #_ 24 hr ____ 48 hr ____ 5 day I. Routine Report Shipping # II. Report (includes DUP,MS, Standard (~ 10-15 working days) MSD, as required, may be

Printed Name Boise Cascade	PTITIED Name CAS	Provide Verbal Preliminary Results	charged as samples)		Condition
4/8/92 02 10p.M.	Fim 4/9/9Z 0900	Provide FAX Preliminary Results	III, Data Validation Report (includes All Raw Data)		Lab No.:
Date/Time	Date/Time	Requested Report Date	IV.CLP Deliverable Report	<u> </u>	
RELINQUISHED BY:	RECEIVED BY:	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/COMM	ents:	Blanks	not listed
Signeture	Signature	on Chain	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Printed Name	Printed Name		•		
T m	Firm	1			

Date/Time

Condition.

APPENDIX F. SUMN	MARY OF OTHER	R DIOXIN/FUR	AN DATA ON L	AKE V	VALLULA S	ED	DIMENTS
Investigator:	EPA/ODEQ	EPA/ODEQ	EPA/ODEQ		CORPS OF		CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Location:	5 mi. above	Boise Cas-	1 Mile Below	Up	per Channel		Lower Channe
	Boise Cascade	cade Outfall	Boise Cascade	Bo	ise Cascade		Boise Cascade
River Mile:	321	316	315		316.5		316
Date:	1991	1991	1991		1991		1991
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.23 U	0.23	0.21	U	0.47		0.62
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	0.32 U	0.27 t			0.10		ND
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	0.38 U	0.33 L			0.32		ND
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.34 U	0.42 U			0.47		ND
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.34 U	0.27 t			0.76	В	0.54
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	1.8	2.4	4.5		6.7		6.5
OCDD	11	15	29		23.6	В	36.6
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0,34	2.0	0.26	11	12.1		16.5
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.15 U	0.13 L			12.1 ND		15.5 0.25
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.14 U	0.15 U			0.23		ND
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.15 U	0.22 U			ND		0.28
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.12 U	0.15 U			ND		ND
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.40	0.35	0.47	Ü	ND		ND
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.077 U	0.062 U		U	ND		ND
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	1.2	1.4	2.0		0.66	В	1.4
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	0.11 U	0.11 U		U	ND	_	0.16
OCDF	1.4	1.6	5.8		2.8	В	8.6
TCDD (total)	0.38 U	0.23	0.37	IJ	NR		NR
PeCDD (total)	1.1 U	1.6 U			NR		NR
HxCDD (total)	1.1 U	0.91 U			NR		NR
HpCDD (total)	3.3	4.8	8.7		NR		NR
TCDF (total)	0.91	5.1	1.6		NR		NR
PeCDF (total)	0.33 U	0.45	0.22		NR		NR
HxCDF (total)	0.49	0.58	1.3		NR		NR
HpCDF (total)	3.2	5.3	7.7		NR		NR

U = not detected at or above reported value

B = analyte also found in laboratory blank

ND = not detected

NR = not reported