

Ground Water Quality Survey near Edaleen Dairy, Whatcom County, Washington

January 1990 to April 1993

April 1994 Publication No. 94-37



Washington State Department of Ecology

Ground Water Quality Survey near Edaleen Dairy, Whatcom County, Washington January 1990 to April 1993

by

Dave Garland¹
and
Denis Erickson²

¹Washington State Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office Water Quality Program - NonPoint Unit Bellevue, Washington 98008-5442

²Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Program Toxics, Compliance, and Ground Water Investigations Section Olympia, Washington 98504-7710

> April 1994 Publication No. 94-37

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables iii
Abstract iii
Acknowledgements iv
Introduction
Background 1 Location and extent of the area 1 Geology and hydrogeology 4 Land use and wells 5
Methods 5
Results 9
Ground water depths and flow direction 9 Water quality 12 Statistical evaluation of domestic well water quality 15
Discussion
Statistical results16Other contaminant sources17Ground water quality standards18
Conclusions and Recommendations
References 20
Appendices
Appendix A Well Repor Appendix B Far-Field Ground Water Leve Appendix C Water Quality Result

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Edaleen study area location	2
Figure 2.	Edaleen study area	3
Figure 3.	Well locations in the Edaleen study area	6
Figure 4.	Hydrographs for irrigation wells near Edaleen dairy	10
Figure 5.	Hydrographs for domestic wells near Edaleen dairy	10
Figure 6.	Water-table contours in the Edaleen study area	11
Figure 7.	Chloride in domestic wells near Edaleen dairy	12
Figure 8.	Nitrate in domestic wells near Edaleen dairy	13
Figure 9.	Comparison of water quality in four domestic wells	14
List of T	Tables	
Table 1.	Domestic and irrigation study wells	7
Table 2.	Ground water analysis methods	8
Table 3.	Intra-well statistical comparisons in five domestic wells	15
Table B-1.	Ground water levels Appendi	хВ
Table C-1.	Far-Field ground water quality data Appendi	хС
Table C-2.	Near-Field water quality results Appendi	хС
Table C-3.	Near-Field bacteriologic and miscellaneous water quality results Appendi	x C

Abstract

Ground water quality was surveyed over a three-square mile area encompassing a large new dairy in Whatcom County. The ground water survey consisted of periodic sampling and water-level monitoring of 21 domestic and irrigation wells located within a one mile radius(far-field) of the 900-head dairy. This survey was conducted in conjunction with a near-field three-year ground water study which focused on ground water quality impacts of the waste storage lagoon at the new dairy. The dairy lagoon is situated over a shallow, unconfined, outwash aquifer. The purpose of this far-field water quality survey was to 1) define far-field ground water flow conditions in the vicinity surrounding the dairy and lagoon, and, 2) identify changes in ground water quality in local wells potentially affected by dairy lagoon leakage.

The survey began about two months before the initial lagoon filling on March 1, 1990. Ground water monitoring continued for over three years, ending in April 1993. Ground water samples were analyzed for specific conductance, major cations and anions including chloride, nitrite plus nitrate as nitrogen (NO₂+NO₃-N) and ammonia. Ground water quality in the shallow aquifer was generally good, with local occurrences of elevated nitrate concentrations apparently due to local dairy and agricultural practices. Based on the water-level survey results, ground water flows toward the south-southeast. None of the domestic wells sampled are located directly downgradient from the studied dairy lagoon. Statistical analysis of chloride and nitrate data indicates that water quality in the domestic wells was unaffected following three years of Edaleen waste storage lagoon use.

The Department of Ecology is an Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action employer and shall not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, age, religion or disability as defined by applicable state and/or federal regulations or statutes.

If you have special accommodation needs or require this document in an alternative format, please contact Dave Garland at (206) 649-7031 (Voice) or (206) 649-4259 (TDD).

Acknowledgements

Many people contributed to this report and the associated monitoring work near Edaleen Dairy. The authors wish to thank the many well owners in the study area who made the ground water quality survey possible by allowing access to their property and wells. Thanks to Pam Covey, of the Ecology Environmental Laboratory in Manchester, for her helpful and flexible assistance in coordinating the sample analysis work. Tom Culhane, water resource hydrogeologist at Ecology's Northwest Regional Office, and Michael Hepp and Kirk Cook of Ecology's Water Quality Program Ground Water Unit, provided peer review. John Gillies, of the U.S. Soil Conservation Service and Chuck Timblin, of the Whatcom County Conservation District provided input from the land use and farm management perspectives. Phil Kauzloric gave input in light of the new draft Ecology dairy waste permit. Special thanks go to Ed Brandsma, owner of Edaleen Dairy, for his complete cooperation during the ground water investigations at and around his dairy.

Introduction

Background

Ground water was monitored within a one-mile radius of the Edaleen dairy lagoon for a period of over three years. Twenty one domestic and irrigation wells were monitored for water levels and water quality from January 1990 to April 1993. The ground water monitoring consisted of two parts: 1) near-field ground water monitoring at the Edaleen dairy lagoon, and 2) far-field ground water monitoring in domestic and irrigation wells. The first year results of the Edaleen Dairy near-field survey were described by Erickson (1991). The near-field lagoon study included one year of monthly monitoring and two years of quarterly monitoring at seven wells located within 200 feet of the lagoon, and one well located approximately 1700 feet downgradient from the lagoon. The first year report on the near-field monitoring concluded that the dairy waste storage lagoon was leaking and contaminating ground water near the lagoon. The first year report also recommended additional far-field monitoring to verify that no adverse water quality effects occurred in nearby domestic wells.

The far-field survey of local domestic and irrigation wells included water level measurements (average eight per year) and sampling (three per year) from the seven principal domestic wells. The results of the far-field survey are described in this report. Near-field water quality data collected concurrent with this study period are tabulated in Appendix C. This ground water quality survey involved wells within a three square mile area around Edaleen Dairy, in addition to the monitoring well network at the lagoon. The wells were surveyed with respect to the lagoon site to determine local ground water flow direction and to help locate suitable monitoring wells around the lagoon. The two objectives of the far-field ground water survey were to:

- · define the far-field ground water quality and flow conditions, and
- determine if leakage from the lagoon adversely affected domestic wells south of the lagoon.

Location and Extent of the Area

The study area is located in a flat glacial outwash plain known as the Lynden Terrace which extends from the City of Lynden to north of the Canadian border (Washington Department of Conservation, 1960). The three square mile study area is situated between Bertrand and Fishtrap Creeks in northwestern Whatcom County and is located one mile north of the City of Lynden (Figures 1 and 2).




Figure 1. Edaleen study area location




Figure 2. Edaleen study area.

The Edaleen Dairy is centrally located in the study area, 2 miles north of Lynden and about about 1.5 miles south of the U.S.- Canadian border (Figure 2). The domestic and irrigation wells used in the monitoring survey are located within one mile north and south and within one-half mile east and west of the dairy.

Geology and Hydrogeology

Edaleen Dairy is located on the Lynden Terrace, a flat glacial outwash plain that slopes gently toward the Nooksack River floodplain to the south. The surficial geology consists of sand and gravel, with some finer materials and local peat deposits. Most of the Lynden Terrace is mapped geologically as Sumas Outwash which was deposited during the Late Pleistocene Sumas Stade of the Fraser Glaciation (Easterbrook, 1971). During the Sumas Stade, the last phase of the most recent glaciation in the Puget Sound region, glacial ice stood just north of the Canadian Border with a lobe extending southward at Sumas. Meltwater streams flowing southward from the glacier built an outwash plain from Abbotsford to Lynden and from Everson westward nearly to Ferndale. The outwash plain consists of gravel near the glacier margin, grading southward to sand near Lynden and Laurel. Most abandoned meltwater channels and depressions in the outwash have subsequently been filled with peat (Easterbrook, 1971).

The shallow, unconfined aquifer consists of Sumas Outwash deposits which are 40 to 50 feet thick over the study area. Recharge to the Sumas aquifer is derived from precipitation that falls directly on the upland and from incoming streams and ground water flow from Canada to the north. Average annual rainfall over the study area ranges from 40 to 46 inches based on precipitation measurements at Blaine and Clearbrook (NOAA, 1990). The high rainfall and relatively shallow water table in the study area causes a significant portion of precipitation to run off via drainage ditches. The network of drainage ditches north of Lynden generally follows the local road pattern and ultimately discharges to Fishtrap Creek and the Nooksack River.

The ground water flow direction in the shallow aquifer is toward the south-southeast. Dee Molenaar identified a south ground water flow direction in the shallow aquifer of the Lynden Terrace in Water-Supply Bulletin No. 12; "Water Resources of the Nooksack River Basin" (Washington Dept. of Conservation, 1960). A more recent ground water study published by Ecology and Western Washington University indicated a southerly direction of ground water flow near the study area for both March and September of 1987 (Creahan and Kelsey, 1988). Erickson (1991) determined a south-southeast ground water flow direction in the near-field vicinity of the Edaleen Dairy. Erickson estimated the ground water flow velocity to be about one to two feet per day based on chloride travel times in monitoring wells near Edaleen lagoon (Erickson, 1991).

Land Use and Wells

Land use on the Lynden Terrace is mostly agricultural, consisting primarily of dairy production, and growing potatoes, berries and corn. The Lynden Terrace is one of the most productive dairy farming areas in the country. The 900-head Edaleen Dairy is the largest of about 24 dairies in the study area. The one residential community in the study area consists of seven homes on one and two-acre lots located 1400 feet south-southwest of the new dairy lagoon. Part of the study area is served by the City of Lynden Water Department, but there are numerous privately owned domestic and irrigation wells throughout the area. Almost all wells for both irrigation and domestic supply are completed in Sumas Outwash and range in depth from 15 to 35 feet. Typical irrigation wells utilize 36" diameter cylindrical concrete tiles with perforations in the bottom three to six feet of well tiles. Domestic well construction usually consists of six or eight inch diameter steel casing with a screen or perforations near the bottom of the well. The typical well head is finished at or slightly above grade or, less frequently, in a subsurface vault.

Methods

Twenty-one domestic and irrigation wells were selected for the far-field ground water monitoring. Well locations and the use of wells during the survey are shown in Figure 3. Domestic and irrigation wells are numbered using an abbreviated version of the U.S. Geological Survey well numbering system. The well numbers indicate the section number, the 40-acre subdivision of the section, and the serial number of the well in the particular 40-acre tract. Nine domestic wells and twelve irrigation wells were selected based on location and accessibility for measurement or sampling. Several well owners located south of Edaleen lagoon expressed concern for their well water quality and were included in the monitoring. Five domestic wells, although sampled, were not accessible for water level measurement. Ground water quality samples were regularly taken from six domestic wells along Pangborn Road and a domestic well located about one mile north of the dairy (32Q01). Seven of the domestic wells were sampled about three times yearly. The twelve irrigation wells were used for water level measurement since irrigation pumps were not used most of the year. Infrequent samples were taken from irrigation wells during summer irrigation pumping. Frequency of water level measurements averaged eight times per year. Water levels were measured in wells to within \pm .01 foot using a portable electric wire probe.

The near-field Edaleen lagoon study included monitoring a total of eight wells constructed near the lagoon. Construction reports for monitoring wells 1 - 7A are given in Erickson (1991) and are summarized in Table 1 below. Well construction details for MW-8 are included in Appendix A.




Figure 3. Well locations in the Edaleen study area

Well	Well	Wellhead	Well	Survey
Number	Dimensions	Elevation	Use	Use
MW-1	1.25" X 15.0'	126.44	mon	wl, wq
MW-2	1.25" X 13.8'	125.19	mon	wl, wq
MW-3	1.25" X 16.6'	126.93	mon	wl, wq
MW-4	1.25" X 13.6'	124.13	mon	wl, wq
MW-5	1.25" X 11.6'	124.84	mon	wl, wq
MW-6a	1.25" X 16.4'	125.64	mon	wl, wq
MW-7a	1.25" X 18.6'	125.97	mon	wl, wq
MW-8	1.25" X 13.5'	110.68	mon	wl, wq
40/03E - 05E01	36" X 33'	127.29	irr	wl
40/03E - 05M	36" X 30'	125.97	irr	wl
40/03E - 05N01	36" X 18'	~123	dom	wq
40/03E - 05N02	6" X 24'	118.00	dom	wl, wq
40/03E - 05N03	6" X 20'		\mathbf{dom}	wq
40/03E - 05N04	6" X 25'		dom	wq
40/03E - 05P01	36" X 28'	122.66	irr	wĺ
40/03E - 05P02	36" X 22'		dom	wq
40/03E - 06A01	36" X 20'	~134	irr	wĺ
40/03E - 06A02	36" X 19'	~130	irr	wl
40/03E - 06G	36" X 23'	~130	irr	wl
40/03E - 06J	36" X 30'	~127	irr	wl
40/03E - 08C	36" X 21'		dom	wq
40/03E - 08G	36" X 30'	~113	irr	wĺ
40/03E - 08J01	30" X 26'	~108	irr	wl
40/03E - 08N03	36" X 24'	~108	irr	wl
41/03E - 32J	36" X 20'		dom	wq
41/03E - 32L	36" X 29'	~139	irr	wĺ
41/03E - 32P	36" X 26'		irr	wq
41/03E - 32Q01	18" X 25'	~138	dom	wl, wq
41/03E - 32Q02	36" X 30'	~135	irr	wq
VL = water levels			irr = irrigation	
VQ = water quality			dom = domestic	
atum: original MW-4	assumed = 125.00		mon = monitori	ing

Table 1. Information on domestic and irrigation wells measured and/or sampled in Edaleen study area.

The depth of domestic and irrigation wells used in this survey ranged from 18 to 33 feet (Table 1). Available domestic and irrigation water well reports are provided in Appendix A. Relative wellhead elevations for the eight monitoring wells were measured using a surveying level and rod. In addition to monitoring wells around the lagoon, wellhead elevations were surveyed at three irrigation wells and one domestic well in the vicinity of Edaleen Dairy. Nine other wellhead elevations were estimated from elevation contours on the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 minute Lynden quadrangle. Water quality samples

from domestic wells were taken at outdoor taps located as close as practical to the wellhead. Samples were collected after running the source tap for at least three minutes until field conductivity stabilized. Samples from irrigation wells were obtained during high discharge pumping.

All water samples were transported to the Ecology Environmental Laboratory in Manchester on the day following sample collection. Ground water samples were analyzed for specific conductance, major cations and anions including chloride, nitrite + nitrate nitrogen (NO₂+NO₃-N) and ammonia. In addition, samples were periodically analyzed for hardness as CaCO₃, alkalinity, total dissolved solids, total iron, and total phosphorus. The sampled parameters, analysis methods, and practical quantitation limits(PQLs) are listed below in Table 2.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>PQL</u>
pecific Conductance	EPA Method 120.1	1.0 umho/cm
lardness	EPA Method 130.2	1.0 mg/L
otal Dissolved Solids	EPA Method 160.1	1.0 mg/L
lcium	EPA Method 200.7	0.01 mg/L
lagnesium	EPA Method 200.7	0.01 mg/L
odium	EPA Method 200.7	0.07 mg/L
otassium	EPA Method 200.7	1.0 mg/L
on	EPA Method 200.7	0.02 mg/L
lkalinity	EPA Method 310.1	1.0 mg/L
hloride	EPA Method 330.0	0.1 mg/L
ulfate	EPA Method 330.0	0.5 mg/L
mmonia-N	EPA Method 350.1	0.01 mg/L
litrite+Nitrate-N	EPA Method 353.2	0.01 mg/L
otal Phosphorus	EPA Method 365.1	0.01 mg/L

Table 2. Ground water analysis methods, and practical quantitation limits. (Reference: EPA, Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA-600/4-79-020, Revised March 1983.)

The composition of representative water samples was illustrated using Stiff diagrams. Stiff diagrams depict water quality and water type based on the concentrations of major cations and anions. The milligram per liter (mg/L) concentrations were converted to

percent milliequivalents per liter (% meq/L) and plotted along scaled horizontal axes separated by a vertical zero axis. Cations and anions are shown on opposite sides of the diagram and the points are joined producing an irregular polygonal pattern that is characteristic of the particular water type. Stiff patterns can be a distinctive method of showing water-composition differences and similarities (Hem, 1989). Stiff diagrams were computer plotted using the HC-GRAM program, developed by the Office of Surface Mining (McIntosh and Miller, 1991).

To determine whether ground water quality at residential wells was affected by the lagoon, intra-well comparisons were made using both chloride and nitrate sampling data. Statistical methods applied to chloride and nitrate data were taken from U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste (EPA, 1992). Chloride and nitrate data from five domestic wells were normalized using log and square root transformations, respectively. Samples collected from the domestic wells prior to the estimated arrival time from the lagoon were used to characterize background ground water quality. Dairy waste was initially placed in Edaleen lagoon on March 1, 1990. Ground water travel time over the 1400-foot distance between the dairy lagoon and the domestic wells was estimated at 330 days. This 330-day travel time is a conservative estimate based on doubling the estimated ground water flow velocity (two feet/day). Background ground water quality was characterized using domestic well samples collected prior to January 25, 1991 (330 days after initial lagoon use). Statistical tolerance limits were used to test significance of differences in sample populations at 95% coverage and 95% confidence levels. The null hypothesis used for statistical testing postulated that there were no significant differences between samples collected prior to January 25, 1991, and samples collected after that date.

Results

Ground Water Depths and Flow Direction

Typical ground water levels in the Sumas Outwash aquifer range from 0 to 15 feet below land surface and fluctuate seasonally 5 to 10 feet. Hydrographs of water levels in three irrigation wells and two domestic wells are compared in Figures 4 and 5. Maximum 1990-91 ground water levels exceeded maximum levels for 1991-92. This was probably related to unusually high precipitation in 1990. Precipitation at Blaine weather station was 12.3 inches greater in 1990 than in 1991, and was over 9 inches above the annual average for 89 years of record (NOAA, 1990;1991). Water-level measurements for far-field wells are tabulated in Appendix B. The generalized water-table contour map in Figure 6 is based on November 21, 1990 water-level measurements and wellhead elevations.




Figure 4. Hydrographs for irrigation wells near Edaleen Dairy.




Figure 5. Hydrographs for domestic wells near Edaleen Dairy.

Ground-water flow direction was toward the south-southeast. The flow direction was consistently toward the south-southeast throughout the three-year study period.




Figure 6. Water-table contours in the Edaleen study area based on well measurements taken Nov. 21, 1990.

Water Quality

Ground water quality in the study area was generally good, except in the immediate vicinity of Edaleen lagoon and local occurrences of elevated chloride and nitrate concentrations. Ground-water quality results for the 21 domestic and irrigation wells sampled are tabulated in Appendix C, Table C-1. Table C-2 shows water quality results for Edaleen lagoon wastewater and monitoring well samples for the period: February 1990 to April 1993. Table C-3 shows bacteriologic and miscellaneous water quality results for the near-field lagoon and monitoring well sampling.

Concentration ranges in the lagoon wastewater for total dissolved solids(TDS) (2890 to 6850 mg/L), chloride (139 to 399 mg/L), ammonia-N (275 to 600 mg/L), and total phosphorus (26 to 133 mg/L) were high (Table C-2). TDS and chloride concentrations in domestic and irrigation wells ranged from 80.0 to 656 mg/L, and 2.2 to 26.7 mg/L, respectively (Table C-1). By comparison, the median TDS in 12 historical ground water samples from Western Whatcom County wells under 100 feet deep was 104 mg/L (Turney, 1986). The median chloride concentration in 35 historical samples from Western Whatcom County wells was 20 mg/L (Turney, 1986). Graphs of chloride concentrations in four domestic wells near Edaleen Dairy are shown below in Figure 7.




Figure 7. Chloride in domestic wells near Edaleen Dairy.




Figure 8. Nitrate in domestic wells near Edaleen Dairy.

Nitrite plus nitrate as nitrogen (NO₂+NO₃-N) in domestic and irrigation wells ranged up to 73.0 mg/L, with a median of 2.3 mg/L for 71 samples (Table C-1). The maximum nitrate value in a domestic well sample (73.0 mg/L) occurred in well 32Q01 located upgradient of Edaleen Dairy. The highest nitrate sample collected in a domestic well south of Edaleen Dairy was 6.2 mg/L in well 8C. The median nitrate (NO₂+NO₃-N) concentration in 30 historical samples from Whatcom County wells under 100 feet deep was 0.85 mg/L (Turney, 1986). Graphs of nitrate concentrations in four domestic wells near Edaleen Dairy are shown in Figure 8. Total phosphorus was detected in three of 25 domestic and irrigation well samples. Sulfate(SO₄) was a dominant ion species in domestic and irrigation well water and ranged from 4.9 to 60.6 mg/L.

The composition of ground water quality samples from four domestic wells in the Edaleen study area are graphically depicted by Stiff diagrams in Figure 9. Bicarbonate concentrations were converted from total alkalinity results. Hydroxide and carbonate alkalinity are not expected to be significant because pH in the shallow aquifer is typically below pH 7 (Erickson, 1991).




Figure 9. Comparison of water quality in four domestic wells.

There was little variation in cationic concentrations while anionic concentrations varied substantially between wells. Chloride concentrations were higher in wells with elevated nitrate concentrations. The unusually high chloride concentration in the sample from well 32Q01 is classified as "mixed" CaCl-CaSO₄ type water. Well 32Q01 also had elevated nitrate concentrations. Sample results for the study area indicate that calcium sulfate(CaSO₄) type water is prevalent in the shallow aquifer, with minor differences between individual wells.

Statistical Evaluation of Domestic Well Water Quality

To determine whether ground water quality at residential wells was affected by the dairy lagoon, intra-well comparisons were made using statistical upper tolerance limits on both chloride and nitrate data. The general formula for the normal upper tolerance limit (*UTL*) is;

$$UTL = m + ks$$

where m is the sample mean, 'k' is a tabulated tolerance factor, and 's' is the standard deviation (EPA, 1992). There were a total of 47 samples for each of the parameters chloride and nitrate in the five tested domestic wells. Chloride values were determined to be log-normal, and nitrate data were square-root normal. The critical value for testing normality using the probability plot correlation coefficient (n = 47, $\alpha = .05$) is r = 0.979 (EPA, 1992). Transformed chloride and nitrate sample populations yielded probability plot correlation coefficients of 0.986 and 0.996, respectively. The transformed data were therefore considered normally distributed and normal tolerance interval methods were applied.

The upper tolerance limit method at the 95% coverage and confidence levels was selected (EPA, 1992). Upper tolerance limits were calculated using data collected prior to the estimated earliest potential arrival time of lagoon contaminants. Samples collected up through January 15, 1991 were used to calculate tolerance limits based on background water quality. The calculated upper tolerance limits for chloride and nitrate in five domestic wells are compared with maximum sample values obtained since January 15, 1991 in Table 3 below.

Well Number	Upper Tolerance Limits for Cl-	max. Cl- value since Jan 15, 1991	Upper Tolerance Limits for NO ₃	max. NO ₃ value since Jan. 15, 1991
40/03E-05N01	17.5	14.1	6.31	2.84
10MC0-CTC0.001				₩.∪.
40/03E-05N01 40/03E-05N02	19.6	8.90	3.08	2.04
			3.08 2.65	
40/03E-05N02	19.6	8.90		2.04

Table 3. Intra-well statistical comparisons in five domestic wells.

None of the wells exceeded their upper tolerance limits for chloride or nitrate during the study period. As described previously on page 9 of this report, the null hypothesis postulated that no adverse effect occurred in the domestic wells due to lagoon leakage. Once established, the upper tolerance limit should not be exceeded by subsequent samples unless background conditions change. Statistical testing of sample results for chloride and nitrate indicates that the test null hypothesis, (i.e., that no adverse effect occurred in downgradient domestic wells), should be accepted.

Discussion

The results of this ground water sampling survey show that water quality in the Sumas aquifer was generally good, with local occurrences of elevated chloride and nitrate concentrations. Local dairy and agricultural practices other than lagoon leakage apparently caused some elevated chloride and nitrate concentrations in shallow ground water. The results of this ground water survey are indicative of at least local degradation of ground water quality below the root zone. In view of State Ground Water Quality Standards, this situation suggests that improved dairy management practices need to be developed and implemented.

Statistical Results

Statistical analysis of chloride and nitrate data collected during this ground water survey indicated that water quality in five domestic wells was not adversely affected by leakage from the Edaleen Dairy lagoon. The lack of contamination effects in local domestic wells from Edaleen lagoon leakage may be due to the fact that the domestic wells are not directly downgradient of the lagoon. Lagoon contaminants may have been diverted away from the monitored domestic wells by high discharge summer irrigation pumping from well 5P01. The effect on local ground water movement from domestic well pumping was considered negligible.

Data from Erickson (1991) indicate that near-field ground water contamination from dairy waste attenuates rapidly as it moves downgradient. Nitrate and chloride contamination from lagoon leakage may not have been measureable even if the domestic wells were located downgradient of the lagoon. Sample results from monitoring well #8 (MW-8), about 1700 feet downgradient of the lagoon, were comparable or better than background water quality in the Pangborn Road domestic wells (Appendix C, Table C-2). However, since MW-8 was constructed in July 1992, there were no background data to allow an intra-well comparison in MW-8.

Other Contaminant Sources

Leakage from dairy waste storage lagoons is one of several potential sources of ground water quality degradation. Some other potential sources of contamination are land application of agricultural or industrial wastewater, fertilizer and pesticide use, land application of sludge (biosolids), onsite septic systems, road salting, and leachate from silage bunkers. The highest nitrate and chloride levels measured in this survey occurred in ground water upgradient from Edaleen lagoon in or near areas where dairy wastes are land applied.

Chloride in well 32Q01, located one mile north of Edaleen Dairy, increased between January and July 1991 from around 10 mg/L to over 20 mg/L and remained elevated for over five months. This increase was accompanied by a fourfold increase in nitrate concentration in well 32Q01 which reached a maximum 73.0 mg/L in a sample taken on August 13, 1991. The dairy owner upgradient of well 32Q01 indicated that dairy waste was applied to his fields in spring of 1991. Contamination in well 32Q01 may have been partly due to prior applications of municipal sewage sludge to the 60-acre field immediately north and upgradient from the well. Biosolids were applied to the 60-acre field for approximately 3 years ending in 1990. Degradation of ground water quality has been noted as a potential negative impact from land application of sludge (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). The quality of ground water moving south across the Canadian border into the study area is unknown.

The highest nitrate concentration in the near-field vicinity of Edaleen Dairy was 98.7 mg/L in monitoring well #5 (MW-5). MW-5 is located upgradient from the main Edaleen lagoon about 200 feet from its northern edge. This ground water sample, collected in MW-5 on January 22, 1991, was probably affected by land application of wastes and heavy precipitation (Erickson, 1991). Two years later, nitrate in MW-5 was 75.2 mg/L in a sample collected on January 12, 1993 (Appendix C, Table C-2). In addition to land application of liquid manure wastes, dairy manure solids were periodically tilled into the field surrounding MW-5.

These instances of elevated nitrate and chloride concentrations in ground water were apparently caused by local land application of dairy waste. Dairy wastewater contains high concentrations of nitrogen compounds and chloride (Appendix C, Table C-2). The rate and timing of wastewater application are critical factors with regard to ground water quality protection. Excessive or ill-timed land application of dairy waste may cause elevated chloride and nitrate concentrations in ground water. Since land application of dairy waste occurs over large areas, land application has a high potential to contaminate ground water.

Ground Water Quality Standards

The Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) is responsible for the protection and management of ground water in the State of Washington. Under authority of the Water Pollution Control Act (RCW 90.48), Ecology adopted "Water Quality Standards for Ground Waters of the State of Washington"; Chapter 173-200 WAC in December, 1990. The standards apply a policy of antidegradation to all ground waters of the state that occur in a saturated zone or stratum beneath the land surface or below a surface water body (Ecology, 1990). Contaminant concentrations found in saturated soils where contaminants have been applied at agronomic rates for agricultural purposes, and where contaminants have been applied at approved rates and under approved methods of land treatment, are exempt from the standards if the contaminants will not cause pollution of any ground waters below the root zone.

Chloride and nitrate (converted from ammonium) are among the most mobile and persistent chemical parameters found in ground water (Hem, 1989). Since dairy waste contains high concentrations of nitrogen compounds and chloride, nitrate and chloride are useful indicators of dairy-related ground water contamination. According to Washington State Ground Water Quality Standards, chloride is defined as a contaminant at concentrations above background levels and is considered pollution at concentrations above the state ground water quality criteria of 250 mg/L. Nitrate is defined as a contaminant at concentrations above background levels and is considered pollution at levels above the ground water quality criteria of 10 mg/L (Ecology, 1990).

In view of existing state regulations, agricultural practices which may be causing ground water quality degradation should be studied further. Where necessary, best management practices protective of ground water should be developed and implemented in order to preserve and enhance the quality of ground water resources in Washington State.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions from this three-year ground water monitoring survey are as follows:

- 1. Shallow ground water in the study area flows south-southeast toward the Nooksack River. This flow direction was unchanged by seasonal water-level fluctuations.
- 2. Water-levels in the shallow aquifer of the study area fluctuated seasonally from 5 to 10 feet.
- 3. Calcium sulfate(CaSO₄) type water is prevalent in the shallow unconfined aquifer of the study area.
- 4. Ground water quality in five domestic wells located generally south of the Edaleen Lagoons remained good, and was statistically unaffected by leakage from the dairy wastewater lagoons.
- 5. Near-field monitoring at Edaleen Dairy shows that lagoon leakage is contaminating ground water in the immediate vicinity of Edaleen lagoon. Far-field monitoring indicates that agricultural activities, including land application of dairy waste, are contributing nitrate contamination to shallow ground water. In two instances, nitrate contamination in wells exceeded the Washington State Ground Water Quality Criteria of 10 mg/L.

The following recommendations would help determine best dairy and agricultural management practices with respect to ground water quality protection:

- 1. A study of ground water quality impacts of dairy waste land application is needed. The study should include determination of land use, contaminant loading estimates, precipitation, and surface water and ground water monitoring including flow and quality. Such a study should be conducted in conjunction with current ongoing studies by the WSU Cooperative Extension, Whatcom County Conservation District, and U.S. Soil Conservation Service.
- 2. Ground water quality surveys similar to this one should be undertaken in selected areas of Washington State to establish baseline ground water quality. Priority survey sites might be selected on the basis of proposed startup locations for new intensive dairy or other agricultural land uses. Such surveys will help characterize seasonal variability of water levels, flow directions, ground water quality and will assist in identifying water quality changes associated with land use.

References

- 1. Creahan, Kathy, and Harvey M. Kelsey. <u>Hydrogeology and Groundwater Flow near Lynden, Washington</u>. Western Washington University, September, 1988.
- 2. Department of Ecology. Chapter 173-200 WAC, <u>Water Quality Standards for Ground Waters of the State of Washington</u>. December, 1990.
- 3. Easterbrook, Don J. Geology and Geomorphology of Western Whatcom County. Western Washington University Dept. of Geology, 1971, 68 pp.
- 4. Erickson, Denis. <u>Edaleen Dairy Lagoon Ground Water Quality Assessment, February 1990 to February 1991</u>. Washington State Department of Ecology, Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Program, 1991, 33 pp.
- 5. Freeze, R.A. and J.A. Cherry. <u>Groundwater</u>. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1979, 604 pp.
- 6. Hem, John D. <u>Study and Interpretation of Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water</u>. U. S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254, third printing 1989, 263 pp.
- 7. McIntosh, Gary, and Kevin P. Miller. <u>HC-GRAM</u>: HydroChemical Graphic Representation Analysis Methods. Department of Interior, Office of Surface Mining, Denver, version 3.01.3, April, 1992.
- 8. NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). <u>Climatological Data Annual Summary, Washington</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, Volume 94, Number 13 (1990), Volume 95, Number 13 (1991).
- 9. Turney, G. L. <u>Quality of Ground Water in the Puget Sound Region, Washington, 1981</u>. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 84-4258, 1986, 170 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <u>Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities: Addendum to Interim Final Guidance</u>. Office of Solid Waste, Permits and State Programs Division, Washington, D.C., June 1992, 83 pp.
- 11. Washington Dept. of Conservation, Water Supply Bulletin No. 12. <u>Water Resources</u> of the Nooksack River Basin., Division of Water Resources, 1960, 187 pp.

Appendix A

Well Reports

File Original and First Copy with Department of Ecology Second Copy — Owner's Copy Third Copy — Driller's Copy	WATER WELL REPORT	Application No.
	05E01 5 _{Address} 9558	Bensenky 373
(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County	Uhatcom SWig	4 NW4 Sec 5 T40N, R36
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision of	corner	
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic [] Inde	ustrial Municipal (10) WELL LOG:	

(1) OVIVIEW. Name	-Address	
(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County Whatcom	SWIN WWW Sec 5 THON RA	3E.W.M.
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner		
	(10) MELL LOC	
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:	
Irrigation Test Well Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and strushow thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the materi	cture, and
(4) MAYDE OF MODIZ. Owner's number of well 7	stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of	formation.
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)	MATERIAL FROM	TO,
New well Method: Dug Bored	100 Doch	2
Deepened □ Cable □ Driven □ Reconditioned □ Rotary □ Jetted □		/
Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	(1) 1/1 by 1/2	1
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well	The County of the County	7
Drilled 32 ft. Depth of completed well 33 ft.		10
	- Coloree 7	/_ T
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:		22-
Casing installed: 36 " Diam. from 0 ft. to 33 ft.	Coarse Sand 14.	25
Threaded Diam. from ft. to ft.		
Welded "" Diam. from ft. to ft.		
Perforations: Yes 🗆 No 🗆		
Type of perforator used		
SIZE of perforations in. by in.		*****
perforations from ft. to ft.		
perforations from ft. to ft.		
perforations from ft. to ft. to ft.		
Screens: Yes No No		
Screens: Yes No Manufacturer's Name		
Type Model No		
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.		
Diam. Slot size from from ft.		
Gravel packed: Yes No D Size of gravel:		
Gravel placed fromft. toft.		
Surface reals 14		
Surface seal: Yes No D To what depth? ft.		
Material used in seal Lon Cutte Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes □ No △		
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Method of sealing strata off		
		
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name		
Type:		
(0) THE ADDRESS A TOTAL C. Land-surface elevation		
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level		
Static levelft. below top of well Datef		
Artesian pressurelbs. per square inch Date		
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)		
	,	
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	Work started / 19/4 Completed / -//	107J
Was a pump test made? Yes □ No □ If yes, by whom?		, 13/
Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:	/
,, ,, ,,	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this	report is
D D	true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	. 0, 0, 1 , 10
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level		
measured from well top to water level)	NAME Snowden Well) 1991ng	
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	(Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or/pr	int)
	Address 3325 S. E. CAMJUODY. GA	mane.
	Address USASS, EILAMONO DY, CA	. 119116.
	1 . 11. 1 E'S	/
Date of test	[Signed] My dan ficer	UKL
Bailer test / C gal/min. with 2 ft. drawdown after hrs.	(Well Driller)	
Artesian flowg.p.m. Dateg.p.m. Date	License No. 0 46 4 Date 6 - 12	1974

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL		Ap	pl/i.	#4104
	March 30 , 19 56	<u> </u>		
Record	_{by} Don Mulka			
Source.	driller's record	<u></u>		
	n: State of WASHINGTON	ļ	_	
Co	unty Whatcom			
Ar	ea			
Ma	p			
NW	14 SW 14 sec 5 T 40N, R 3	E. 7.	Diagram o	of Section
D ri llino	co. Don Mulka			
Ad	dress Sumas, Washing thod of Drilling dug hydrau	<u>tton</u>		_*****
Me	thod of Drilling dug nydrau	teM	ar.30	, 19 5 6.
Owner.	10,000			
Ad	dress Lynden, Wash.			
Land s	urface, datumft.above			····
	Delow	<u>1</u>		1 .
CORRE- LATION	MATERIAL	1	THICKNESS (feet)	DEPTH (feet)
f feasible	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphial water-bearing, so state and record static level ad-surface datum unless otherwise indicated. Core. Following log of materials, list all casings, perf. Red clay loam	orations	, screens, e	tc.)
	Gravel		23	26
	Sand		ر ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	20
	Danu			30
	No.			
	nim• 30.x30.	- 1		
	Dim. 30'x36" SWL: 5 ft.			
	SWL: 5 ft.			
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft.	emp.	46°	
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft.	emp.	46°	
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft.	emp.	46°	
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te			
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te	pip		m e
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te CASING: 36" diam. concrete top to 20	pip	e fro	
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te	pip	e fro	
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te CASING: 36" diam. concrete top. to 20 36" diam. wooden so	pip ft.	e fro	m 20
	SWL: 5 ft. DD: 5 ft. Yield: 200 g.p.m. Te CASING: 36" diam. concrete top to 20 36" diam. wooden so to 30 ft.	pip ft.	e fro	m 20

File Original and First Copy with Department of Ecology Second Copy — Owner's Copy

WATER WELL REPORT

40/0	3 –	5	No	7
/	Applio	ation	No.	

Third Copy — Owner's Copy	STATE O	F WASHINGTON	ī		Permit No		***************************************
(1) OWNER: Name.	40/03E - 05N01	Address	510	Para	Born 1	2d.	***************************************
(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County	w Metro	~	S = 34	SET 14 Se	c. 5. T.4	O.N. R.	3 = w.m.
Bearing and distance from section or subdiv	• •						
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Z	Industrial [Municipal	(10) WELI	L LOG:		<u></u>		
* *] Test Well [] Other		escribe by color, s of aquifers and	character, s	ize of materu	il and struc	cture, and
(A) MYDD OF WORK, Owner's au	mber of well	stratum peneti	s of aquifers and rated, with at l	d the kind a east one ent	nd nature of ry for each c	the materi hange of f	ormation.
(if more than	method: Dug Z Bored		MATER	IAL		FROM	то
Deepened []	Cable Driven	-) /	aprois 1	lack		0	<u>L</u>
Reconditioned [Rotary [] Jetted	<u> </u>	3			1	
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diamete	r of wellinch	ies.	proun of	~			
	ompleted well		rown sar	J+ Er	avel	-3	-6
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:		With 1	20	4. 1	H ₂ 0	-6	70-
Casing installed: 36 "Diam.		ft.	The grey	rand	7720	-6	
Threaded [3.0 "Diam. i	from 15 tt. to 3	n. ar	ad aren	el some	cobbles		
	fromft. to	п.	7				
Perforations: Yes 🗆 No 🗹							
Type of perforator usedSIZE of perforations						-	
perforations from				,		1	
perforations from				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1	
perforations from	It. W	Sit	Fout pra	lve 15	11.11:		
Screens: Yes 🗆 No 🗹				·			
Manufacturer's Name Type							
Diam. Slot size			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	
Diam Slot size	from ft. to	<u>ft.</u>				12.	
Gravel packed: Yes No 🗆	Size of gravel:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ []		1757	
Gravel placed from				M.		~9//	<u> </u>
Surface seal: Yes No D To	o what depth?	ft		17.21	3 0 19 0		
Material used in seal		X		000		F	
Did any strata contain unusabl		, g		No autoli		+	
Method of sealing strata off	= .			:*		1.03r	
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name						1	
Type:	HP					<u> -</u>	
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surf	ace elevation						
(6) WATER DEVELOS. above mea	op of well Date 2943	184	AH WA	A 143	3 -160-1	80(2)	
Artesian pressure		- Longe	terca con			V	
Artesian water is controlled by	(Cap, valve, etc.)	6 for	erete Pad.	at b	feet		
	is amount water level is low static level	Work started	Aux 128	10 84 0	ompleted 2	May 3	
Was a pump test made? Yes No [] If 3	yes, by whom? Driller		T PRIC C			-	, 15#
Yield: 3 b gal./min. with 4 ft.	drawdown after		ILLER'S ST				
	**	This well	l was drilled 1 best of my ki			and this	report is
Recovery data (time taken as zero when I	pump turned off) (water le	vel			4		/
measured from well top to water level) Time Water Level Time Water I		NAME A	meri	ca w	aler W	ello ,	mc.
			PA R	in, or corpor	adon) (Type or pr	A. 1 A
		Address	1. Y Do	7 dd	U 6 150	M ,	WA,
			1000	· 0	1) . 1 le	رده	
Date of test gal/min. with f	t. drawdown after	[Signed]	Jaw	(Wel	l Driller)		
Artesian flowg.p.m.	Date	/	0940	,	nto M.	Cy 8	1084
Temperature of water Was a chemical	ai analysis made? Yes 🗌 No	License No			Date	1	, 12K
					•	•	

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL 1	-	NoAp	pli,35	<u> 1</u> 2
	September 3 , 19.56		116.200	7- A
Record	by well driller			
Source	driller's record			
	n: State of WASHINGTON	ļ		-
Cou	_{inty} Whatcom			
	a			
	Government Lot 4			
XX	$xxxxxx_{sec.}$ 5 T 40 N, R	3E.	Diagram of	Section
Drillina	Co G. A. Wetzel			·
Add	iress Lynden,	Wash.		
	thod of Drilling		Aug.6	, 1954
Owner.	40/03E - 06A01			
Add	iress Lynden, Wash.			
	urface, datumft above	e		
	DETOM	7	1	
CORRE- LATION	MATERIAL		THEENESS (feet)	Derri (feet)
(Trailed in the state of the st	nscribe driller's terminology literally but p al water-bearing, so state and record stati d-surface datum unless otherwise indicated Following log of materials, list all casing	araphrase as c level if rep L. Correlate s, perforation	necessary, in orted. Give d with stratigra as, screens, etc	parentheses. epths in feet phic column,
	Top soil		12	12
	Coarse gravel		8	20
	PUMP TEST			
	Diam. 20'x36"			
	SWL: 5 ft. 0.7-1/2	10/8/	59: 7.1.	5 fm T.C
	DD: 3 ft.	11	<u> </u>	6" abou
-	Yield: 120 g.p.m.			DM
	Type & size of pum	p :cen	trifuga	21
	n n n n moto	or: De	ning 5	h.p.
			lectri	
	PERFORATIONS: 420	per f	not	-
	$6 - 1x1/8^n$ from 12			
		-		!
				!
i		***************************************		1
Turn up		Shee	tof	sheets

STATE OF WASHINGTO DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT Appli. #6626

WELL		/	
Date	April 23 , 19 63		
Record	by Driller		
Source.	Driller's Record		
	n: State of WASHINGTON What.com		l I
	unty Whatcom		
Are	ea		
Ma	p		
	1/4 NE 1/4 sec. 6 T. 40N., R. 3 E.	Diagram of	
Drilling	Co. Herman Ellingson		
Ad	dress Route 2, Lynden, Washing	ton	
Me	thod of Drilling Jetted Date	May 24	, 1962
Owner.	40/03F - 06G		
Ad	dress Route 1, Lynden, Washin	gton	
	urface, datumft_above		
Danu J	below below		
CORRS- LATION	Material	THICKNESS (feet)	DEPTH (feet)
	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as all water-bearing, so state and record static level if repid-surface datum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate Pollowing log of materials, list all casings, perforation Top soil peat	0	2
	Sand	2	<u> </u>
		1	
	Gravel	4	23
	Casing: 36" from 0 to 3"		
	36" from 3 to 15"		
	36" from 15 to 23"		
	(o to 3', plain; tile, 3 to	151 ner	orat.ec
	tile: 15 to 23°, perforate		
	TITE: 15 to 25. benionare	WOOU.	
	Perforated from 3 to 23		
	Periorated from 5 to 25.		
	Samanna imatallad from 15 to 0	2 •	
	Screens installed from 15 to 2	P	
	Gravel packed from 0 to 23	ļ	
Turn up	Shee	tof	sheet

RESOURCE PROTECTION WELL REPORT

START CARD NO. 078215 PROJECT NAME: Edgleon Dairs Lagoon Whatcom LOCATION NE 14 NW 14 Sec 8 TWO PON R 3E 3E STREET ADDRESS OF WELL: 1405 Dept Road DRILLING METHOD: Driven Lynder WA DRILLER: Drnis Ericksy WELL HEAD WATER LEVEL ELEVATION: Depth of Ecology 46 110.68 SIGNATURE:___ 7/13/92 INSTALLED: ____ CONSULTING FIRM: DEVELOPED: _____ NONE REPRESENTATIVE: 40/03E - 08C **WELL DATA** FORMATION DESCRIPTION AS-BUILT Locking Cap Dark Brown Topsoil Bentonite Seel Brown Pest Date gray SAWD, wet to 4.0 1/4" Galvanized Casing 11.57 Stainley Steel Screen Bother of Holo 13.5 feet PAGE OF

ECY 050-12 (Rev. 11/89)

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL 1	LOG No	Appli.	#2407
Date April 2 , 1952		Cert. #	1145A
	Don Mulka		
	Driller record		
	: State of WASHINGTON		
Cour	hty Whatcom		
Area			
Map.			
	1/2 SW 1/2 sec.32 T. 41 N., R. 3 E.	DIAGRAM	OF SECTION
	Co. Don Mulka		
Addr	ess Sumas, Washington		
Metl		Date Apr.	2_1952
Owner.			
Addı	Route 3, Box 107; I	Lynden,	Wn.
Land su	rface, daiumft. above		
		ı	1
CORRE- LATION	Material	THICKNESS (feet)	DEPTH (feet)
surface da	ascribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase a vater-bearing, so state and record static level if reported turn unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratign materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)	aphic column, if	feasible. Follow-
	Soil	8	10
	Sand	16	26
	Gravel	10	20
	Pump test:		
	Dim: 26' x 36"		
	SWL: 3'		
	D.D. 6 [†]		
	Yield 200 g.p.m.		
	Casing: 36" dia. con		
	top to 18'. 36" dia.	Wooden	filter
	from 18 to 26.		
	Perforations: 250 1"	x 1" f	rom
	18 to 26'.		
	10 00 20		
	10 00 20		

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL		Appl	i.#	5617
	8 - 22 , ₁₉ 60	<u> </u>		
Record	by well driller			
Source.	driller's record			
Locatio	n: State of WASHINGTON	- -		
Co	unty Whatcom	-		
Are	ea	. •		
Ma	p			
₩ <u>\$</u>	$_{\text{X}}^{\text{P}}$ SW $_{\text{Y}}$ sec 8 T40 N, R 3 $_{\text{X}}^{\text{E}}$	X Diag	gram of	Section
Drilling	dress Sumas, Wash.			
		5_1	 ጵ	1960
	thod of Drillingdug	e	Y	
Owner.	T J [4] . m h			
Land s	urface, datumft_above below			
Corre-		ТНИ	KNESS	Depth
LATION	MATERIAL		eet)	(feet)
If materi below lan if feasible	inscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphreial water-bearing, so state and record static level ad-surface datum unless otherwise indicated. Core Following log of materials, list all casings, performance.	if reported. elate with s rations, scr		
	Red clay loam		$\frac{2}{16}$	18
	Gravel		<u> 6</u>	
	Sand		0	24
	PUMP TEST:			
	Dim. 24'x36"			
	SWL: OIT.			
	DD: 14 ft.			
	Yield: 160 g.p.m.	II air	2015	<u> </u>
***************************************	Type & size of pump: 5 Type & size of engine:	1.7 h	_pu	13 G
	CASING:		P	<u></u>
	36" diam. conceste pipe	from	tor	to
	JO GIAM: CONCESSO PAPE		16	t.
	36" " wooden filte	r fro		
***************************************				ft.
	PERFORATIONS:			
	250 - 1"x3/8" from 10	ó to 2	0 f	

File Original and First Copy with	
Department of Ecology	
Second Copy — Owner's Copy Third Copy — Driller's Copy A 1 = 10	1
Third Copy — Driller's Copy $AAIA = IC$	•

WATER WELL REPORT

40/	03-086 Application No. G/224/9

Third Copy — Driller's Copy 40/3E/8/G STATE O	F WASHINGTON	Permit No.	G1-22	419F
(1) OWNER: Name 40/03E - 08G	Address 9184	Depot Rd	dSW4	11 F 1/2
(2) LOCATION OF WELL: county Whatcom	53 V3 Rods of TheNW	14 SE 14 Sec 8 T4		E.w.m.
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner So Fee		North of the co	·NTER (F Sec
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic 🗆 Industrial 🗀 Municipal	1 [(10) WELL LOG:			
Irrigation 📜 Test Well 🗌 Other	I show thickness of aquifers	lor, character, size of materi and the kind and nature of	the materia	ıl in each
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)		at least one entry for each of the contract of	FROM	ormation. TO
New well Method: Dug Bored Deepened Cable Driven	705 5	01/	0	21
Deepened ☐ Cable ☐ Driven Reconditioned ☐ Rotary ☐ Jetted			21	41
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 36 inch. Drilled 3.0 ft. Depth of completed well 30	1	vel strates coarse	4'	30'
			++	
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:			1	
Casing installed: 36. "Diam. from	4			
Welded [] "Diam. fromft. to	•		-	
Perforations: Yes X No [1	
Type of perforator used 3/	1			
SIZE of perforations 3/6 in. by 3/6	n. h.			
ft. to	ft.		+	
perforations from ft. to	f.		 	
Screens: Yes No No				
Manufacturer's Name				•
Diam Slot size from ft. to	ft.		-	
Diam. Slot size from ft, to	ft.			
Gravel placed from ft. to 50	ft.			
Surface seal: Yes X No D To what depth? 6	ft.			
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes [] No	X	***************************************		
Type of water? Depth of strata Method of sealing strata off				
				~~~~
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name Box Keley  Type: HP 25		4.400		
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level  Static level 4 tt. below top of well Date 12 - 74	.st.		ļ	
Artesian pressurelbs. per square inch Date			1	
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)			†	
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is				
lowered below static level  Was a pump test made? Yes ⋈ No ☐ If yes, by whom?	Work started Oct 15	, 19 7 Completed OC	t 20	<u>., 19.74</u>
Yield: 450 gal./min. with 7 ft. drawdown after 1 h	well driller's	STATEMENT:		
	This well was drilled true to the best of my	d under my jurisdiction	and this re	eport is
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water le	-	knowledge and benef.		
measured from well top to water level)  Time Water Level   Time Water Level   Time Water Level		Nature Wells (firm, or corporation)	Type or pri	nt)
Recovers completely in 5 minute	• Address 432 W.	King Tut Rd	Bellin	shar
Date of test	[Signed]	inbrough		
Bailer testgal/min. withft. drawdown afterh Arteslan flowg.p.m. Date		(Well Driller)	2.6-	~,
Cemperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? Yes [] No	License No. U. 56	Date 10-5	L8	, 19. 76

# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

~~	TT'	354 _A
T	10. 20	1 1
<u> </u>		
x <del>i</del> x	Diagram of	Section
		**************
air	iet.	
Date	-Jala	, 1938
ah	,	***************************************
211.	*****	
		*****
	THICKNESS	DEPTH
	(feet)	(feet)
aphrase as level if repo Correlate v perforation	necessary, in orted. Give d with stratigra s, screens, etc	parentheses. lepths in feet phic column,
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	necessary, in orted. Give dwith stratigras, screens, etc.	1
aphrase as level if repo Correlate v perforation	necessary, in orted. Give de with stratigras, screens, etc.	L)
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	necessary, in orted. Give d with stratigra s, screens, etc.	1
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	s, screens, etc	1 4
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	3 10	1 4 14
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	3 10	1 4 14
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	3 10	1 4 14
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	3 10	1 4 14
aphrase as level if rep Correlate v perforation	3 10	1 4 14
perforation	1 3 10 12	14 26
2n C	1 3 10 12 entrif	1 4 26 ugal
2n C	1 3 10 12 entrif	14 26
2" c	entrif	1 4 26 ugal
2" c	1 3 10 12 entrif	1 4 26 ugal
2" c	entrif	1 4 26 ugal
	air Date	air jetly sh.

File Original and First Copy with Department of Ecology Second Copy — Owner's Copy Third Copy — Driller's Copy

### WATER WELL REPORT

STATE OF WASHINGTON

41/03-320 Application No.

Permit No GI-22464 P

(1) OWNER: Name 41/03E - 32Q0 <b>2</b>	Address 719 VISSER RD.; LYNDEN, WN. 9826
LOCATION OF WELL: County WHATCOM	- 5W 45E 4 Sec 32 T41 N. R3E WM
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner 1200' N	
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic [] Industrial [] Municipal []	(10) WELL LOG:
Irrigation X Test Well   Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)	MATERIAL FROM TO
New well   Method: Dug 🗲 Bored	TOPSOIL (SOD) O I
Deepened	COAPSE SAND & GRAVEL 1 30
Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	LOND & WIND & WIND & 1
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 36" inches.	
Drilled ft. Depth of completed well 30 ft.	
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	
Casing installed: 36 " Diam. from 0 ft. to 30 ft.	
Threaded Diam. from ft. to ft.	
Welded Diam. from ft. to ft.	
Perforations: Yes 🛘 No 🕊	
Type of perforator used	
SIZE of perforations in. by in.	
perforations from ft. to ft	
perforations from ft. to ft.	- JAVC
Screens: Yes No No	
Manufacturer's Name	- COM E
Type Model No	The state of the s
Diam Slot size from ft. to ft.	1 1 0HO 108
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.	
Gravel packed: Yes No   Size of gravel:	11 31 / 1
Gravel placed fromft. toft.	173
Surface seal: Yes No   To what depth?ft.	
Material used in seal  Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes [] No []	
Type of water? Depth of strata	
Method of sealing strata off	
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name.	
туре: 3 РНА56 нр /5	
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation	
Static levelft. below top of well Dateft.	
Artesian pressurelbs. per square inch Date	
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	
(0) WEIL TESTS. Drawdown is amount water level is	
(a) 11 1111 1 10110. lowered below static level	Work started
Was a pump test made? Yes No   If yes, by whom? A Yield: 300 gal./min. with ft. drawdown after, hrs.	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:
71 17 17 19	1
11 21 21 21	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level	Add Same
measured from well top to water level)  Time Water Level   Time Water Level   Time Water Level	NAME A & L DRILLER
	(Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or print)
	Address
Date of testgal/min, withft, drawdown afterhrs.	[Signed](Well Driller)
Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	·
Temperature of water	License No

## Appendix B

Far Field Ground-Water Levels Groundwater Levels near Edaleen Dairy

Well	Wellhead		water		water leve
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
40/03E - 05E01	124.29	2/27/90	0.70		123.59
33' deep		3/26/90	1.98		122.31
north irrig. well		4/26/90	3.52		120.77
_		5/14/90	3.72		120.57
		5/15/90	3.77		120.52
		6/7/90	3.02		121.27
		6/26/90	3.17		121.12
		7/25/90	4.70		119.59
		9/7/90	6.93		117.36
		10/9/90	6.99	from top	117.30
		10/16/90	6.78		117.51
		11/21/90	0.37		123.92
		12/4/90	-1.19	flooded	125.48
		2/27/91	1.00		123.29
		3/29/91	2.30		121.99
new m.p. ref.=	127.29	6/11/91	6.73		120.56
-		10/25/91	9.24		118.05
		12/4/91	5.33		121.96
		1/2/92	4.82		122.47
		3/17/92	5.18		122.11
		5/6/92	5.54		121.75
		6/23/92	7.68		119.61
		7/13/92	8.22		119.07
		8/25/92	14.90	pumping	112.39
		10/19/92	9.82		117.47
		1/12/93	5.59		121.70
40/03E - 05M	125.97	2/27/90	3.10		122.87
30' deep		3/26/90	4.05		121.92
irrigation		4/26/90	4.83		121.14
		5/14/90	5.24		120.73
		6/7/90	4.07		121.90
		6/26/90	4.85		121.12
		7/25/90	pumping		
		9/7/90	9.05		116.92
		10/9/90	8.72		117.25
		10/16/90	8.55		117.42
		11/21/90	2.90		123.07
		12/4/90	2.05		123.92
		1/15/91	2.23		123.74
		2/27/91	3.30		122.67
		3/29/91	4.20		121.77
		6/11/91	5.27		120.70
		7/18/91	6.80		119.17
		10/25/91	7.77		118.20

Well	Wellhead		water		water level
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
40/03E - 05M	(continued)	12/4/91	3.78		122.19
	,	1/2/92	3.34		122.63
		3/17/92	4.08		121.89
		5/6/92	4.22		121.75
		6/23/92	11.08	pumping	114.89
		7/13/92	6.50	paniping	119.47
		8/25/92	7.94		118.03
		10/19/92	8.32		
		10/19/92	6.32		117.65
40/03E - 05N02	118.00	2/28/90	2.10		115.90
24' deep		3/26/90	3.53		114.47
domestic		4/26/90	5.23		112.77
		5/14/90	5.49		112.51
		6/7/90	5.52		112.48
		6/26/90	4.76		113.24
		7/25/90	6.14		111.86
		9/7/90	7.67		110.33
		9/12/90	7.76		110.24
		10/9/90	7.57		110.43
		10/16/90	7.50		110.50
		11/21/90	2.78		115.22
		12/4/90	0.90		117.10
		1/15/91	2.05		115.95
		2/8/91	2.65		115.35
		2/27/91	2.58		115.42
		3/29/91	3.70		114.30
		6/11/91	5.54		112.46
		7/18/91	6.27		111.73
		8/13/91	6.75		111.25
		10/25/91	7.10		110.90
		1/2/92	3.68		114.32
		3/18/92	3.64		114.36
		5/6/92	4.40		113.60
		6/23/92	6.04		111.96
		7/13/92	6.31		111.69
		8/25/92	7.03		110.97
		10/19/92	7.18		110.82
		1/12/93	3.67		114.33
40/03E - 05P01	122.66	2/27/90	5.72		116.94
28' deep	122.00	3/26/90	7.22		115.44
irrigation		3/26/90 4/26/90	7.22 9.07		
nngauon		5/14/90	9.07		113.59
		3/14/90 6/7/90	9.30 9.54		113.36
		6/26/90	9.34 8.66		113.12 114.00
		7/25/90	18.30	pumping	104.36

Well	Wellhead		water		water level
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
40/03E - 05P01	(continued)	7/30/90	10.50		112.16
	•	9/7/90	11.99		110.67
		10/9/90	11.88		110.78
		10/16/90	11.78		110.88
		11/21/90	6.57		116.09
		2/27/91	6.23		116.43
		3/29/91	7.48		115.18
		6/11/91	9.46		113.20
		7/18/91	10.29		112.37
		10/25/91	11.32		111.34
		12/4/91	8.49		114.17
		1/2/92	7.68		114.98
		3/18/92	7.50		115.16
		8/25/92	11.14		111.52
40/03E - 06A01	124	6/7/90	3.98		130.02
	134				
20' deep		6/26/90	4.67		129.33
irrigation		9/12/90	9.34		124.66
		11/21/90	1.68		132.32
		3/29/91	3.60		130.40
40/03E - 06A02	130	3/26/90	2.38		127.62
19' deep		4/26/90	3.52		126.48
irrigation		5/15/90	4.04		125.96
11115441011		6/7/90	2.25		127.75
		6/26/90	3.52		126.48
		7/25/90	pumping		
		11/21/90	1.08		128.92
40/03E - 06G	120	2/26/00	2.64		126.26
	130	3/26/90	3.64		126.36
23' deep		5/15/90	4.90		125.10
irrigation		6/7/90	2.65		127.35
		6/26/90	4.53		125.47
		7/25/90	pumping		101.71
		9/12/90	8.26		121.74
		10/9/90	7.70		122.30
		11/21/90	1.95		128.05
40/03E - 06J	127	3/26/90	4.77	inner lip	122.23
30' deep		4/26/90	5.65	11	121.35
irrigation		5/14/90	6.00	11	121.00
		6/7/90	4.47	11	122.53
		6/26/90	5.55	11	121.45
		0/20/90	در.ر		121.43

Well	Wellhead		water		water leve
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
40/03E - 06J	(continued)	7/25/90	pumping		
	, , ,	9/12/90	9.75	inner lip	117.25
		10/9/90	9.34	11	117.66
		10/16/90	9.15	11	117.85
		11/21/90	3.07	u	123.93
		12/4/90	2.15	ŧi	124.85
		2/27/91	4.04	n .	122.96
		3/29/91	4.97	11	122.03
		6/11/91	5.91	11	121.09
		7/18/91	7.44	H	119.56
		10/25/91	8.29	11	118.71
		12/4/91	4.11	11	122.89
		1/2/92	4.05	11	122.95
		3/17/92	4.85	**	122.15
		5/6/92	4.70	н	122.30
		6/23/92	13.19	pumping	113.81
		7/13/92	6.92	inner lip	120.08
		8/25/92	8.57	"	118.43
		10/19/92	8.72	н	118.28
		1/12/93	4.74	11	122.26
40/03E - 08G	108	5/15/90	4.80		103.20
30' deep		6/7/90	4.25		103.75
irrigation		6/26/90	5.18		102.82
		9/7/90	5.53		102.47
		10/9/90	5.04		102.96
		11/21/90	3.87		104.13
		12/4/90	2.93		105.07
		8/13/91	5.15		102.85
		10/25/91	4.73		103.27
10/02E 00101	100	# I1 # IOO			
0/03E - 08J01	108	5/15/90	5.21		102.79
26' deep		6/7/90	3.77		104.23
irrigation		6/26/90	5.02		102.98
		7/25/90	6.19		101.81
		9/12/90	7.39		100.61
		10/9/90	5.83		102.17
		11/21/90	3.57		104.43
		12/4/90	2.73		105.27
		1/15/91	2.86		105.14
		2/27/91	4.42		103.58
		3/29/91	4.94		103.06
		8/13/91	6.75		101.25
		10/25/91	5.40		102.60
		12/4/91	4.02		103.98
		1/2/92	3.77		104.23
		3/18/92	4.73		103.27

Appendix B

Well	Wellhead		water		water level
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
40/03E - 08J01	(continued)	5/6/92	4.31		103.69
	(	7/13/92	5.10		102.90
		8/25/92	7.02		100.98
		10/19/92	6.64		101.36
		1/12/93	4.89		103.11
40/03E - 08N03	108	5/14/90	5.98		102.02
24' deep		6/7/90	5.60		102.40
irrigation		6/26/90	5.78		102.22
		7/25/90	6.17		101.83
		9/12/90	6.50		101.50
		10/9/90	6.07		101.93
		11/21/90	3.88		104.12
		12/4/90	2.31		105.69
		1/15/91	2.90		105.10
		2/27/91	4.73		103.27
		3/29/91	5.36		102.64
		6/11/91	5.90		102.10
		7/18/91	6.12		101.88
		8/13/91	6.19		101.81
		10/25/91	6.01		101.99
		12/4/91	4.84		103.16
		1/2/92	4.77		103.23
		3/18/92	5.16		102.84
		5/6/92	5.12		102.88
		7/13/92	5.80		102.20
		10/19/92	5.85		102.15
41/03E - 32L	139	10/9/90	12.23		126.77
29' deep		11/21/90	4.33		134.67
irrigation		2/27/91	3.74		135.26
<b>0</b>		3/29/91	5.63		133.37
		6/11/91	8.10		130.90
		7/18/91	9.59		129.41
		8/13/91	10.90		128.10
		10/25/91	11.60		127.40
		12/4/91	7.89		131.11
		1/2/92	6.09		132.91
		3/17/92	5.58		133.42
		5/6/92	6.85		132.15
		6/23/92	9.55		129.45
		7/13/92	10.15		128.85
		8/25/92	11.43		127.57
		10/19/92	12.35		126.65
		1/12/93	6.60		132.40

Appendix B

Well	Wellhead		water		water level
/ depth	Elevation	Date	level	Status	elevation
41/03E - 32Q01	138	6/7/90	8.69		129.31
25' deep		6/26/90	8.69		129.31
domestic		7/25/90	10.35		127.65
		9/12/90	12.41		125.59
		10/9/90	12.24		125.76
		11/21/90	6.26		131.74
		12/4/90	4.28		133.72
		1/15/91	4.70		133.30
		2/27/91	6.28		131.72
		3/29/91	7.52		130.48
		6/11/91	8.98		129.02
		7/18/91	10.25		127.75
		8/13/91	11.45		126.55
		10/25/91	11.77		126.23
		12/4/91	8.25		129.75
		1/2/92	7.68		130.32
		3/17/92	7.53		130.47
		5/6/92	8.00		130.00
		6/23/92	10.2		127.80
		7/13/92	10.6		127.40
		8/25/92	11.88		126.12
		10/19/92	12.49		125.51

# Appendix C Water Quality Results

Appendix C. Table C - 1.

Table C-1. Far-Field Ground Water Quality in Domestic and Irrigation Wells near Edaleen Dairy. (Units = mg/L).

	Total Phos	0.05	Total Phos.			·	fora: Phos.							0.01	.01u	***************************************	Total Phos								0.04	.01u	.01u
	Alkalinity	53.8	Alkalinity		79.8		Alkalinity					62.5	0.79	67.5	41.1		Alkalinity							12.6	19.9	23.3	23.2
	TDS	176	TDS				TDS				169		500	184	127		TDS				135	132			145	135	156
	Hardness (CaCO3)	72.3	Hardness (CaCO3)				(CaCO3)				64.1	75.6	79.0	83.3	55.7		Hardness (CaCO3)				0.62	54.1		71.6	6.99	74.1	79.1
	K+		<b>K</b>				Ϋ́+							14	13		K+								8.0	9.0	1.6
	Na+		Na+	8.6	12.1		Na+	7.7	6.4	9.6	7.4	7.6	0.6	7.8	6.2		Na+	5.9	5.9	5.6	5.7	3.8		5.3	5.6	6.1	8.0
	Mg++		Mg++	6.30	95.9		Mg++	5.08	3.89	6.29	4.60	5.53	5.15	6.11	4.24		Mg++	5.41	4.88	4.63	5.48	2.92		4.35	4.51	4.88	3.84
	Ça‡		Ċa+‡	29.3	28.8		(2a++	18.1	14.6	21.9	18.0	18.1	17.4	21.6	15.3		Ca++	22.8	20.1	20.1	24.0	15.5		17.7	19.0	19.8	18.2
	504.	36.4	SQ.	43.1	50.9		SO4-	20.5	24.4	33.6	24.3	24.7	24.5	27.8	23.2		SO4.	47.5	44.9	53.6	59.8	31.2		49.8	50.9	54.1	50.4
	N-SHN	0.05	N-SHN		0.92		NH3-N					0.41	0.38	.01u	.01u	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	NH3-IN							0.05	80.0	0.11	0.10
	NO2+ NO3-N	2.50	NO2+ NO3-N		.01u		+70X	4.58	1.64	0.03	1.38	0.64	0.35	0.23	2.84	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	NO3-N	1.52	2.42	89.0	0.24	1.28	1.39	0.92	0.12	1.49	2.04
	-to	22.9	ť	20.7	26.7		÷	7.7	5.0	15.5	5.9	13.0	13.6	14.1	7.9	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	ð	16.4	13.9	12.6	14.4	5.2	8.2	8.4	7.7	10.1	11.3
	umhos	284	umhos	288	346		umhos	211	175	276	200	233	239	245	192		soquin	191	199	205	210	139	189	181	178	220	211
Well	40/3E-05E01	8/25/92	40/3E-05M	7/25/90	7/10/91	322	40/3E-05N01	1/5/90	06/L/9	9/12/90	1/15/91	7/10/91	8/13/91	12/4/91	2/6/92	2	40/3E-05N04	1/5/90	06/L/9	9/12/90	10/6/60	1/15/91	2/8/91	7/10/91	12/4/91	5/6/92	8/25/92

Appendix C. Table C - 1.

Continued.

40/3E-05N03	soum	÷	NO2+	NH3-N	SO4.	Ca++	Mg++	Na+	K+	Hardness (CaCO3)	TDS	Alkalınıty	Total Phos
1/5/90	158	9.01	2.40		26.8	20.6	2.73	3.6					
9/12/90	154	10.4	0.70		36.6	15.9	2.47	3.6					
1/15/91	154	0.6	0.59		38.1	18.9	2.72	3.5		59.1	140		
2/8/91	145	6.3	0.85										
16/01/2	144	6.9	1.23	0.04	35.6	16.1	2.57	3.6		59.0		11.7	
8/13/91	143	6.1	1.10	<0.04	34.9	14.0	2.10	3.0		58.0	116	11.6	
12/4/91	146	6.1	0.82	0.05	39.1	17.1	2.72	3.6	1.0	56.4	137	11.6	0.02
26/97	144	4.4	1.61	0.03	34.7	17.2	2.55	3.4	8.0	54.7	117	15.4	.01u
			NO2+							Hardness			Total
40/3E-05N02	nmbos	÷	NO3-N	NH3-N	\$0 <del>5</del>	<del>ئ</del> ئ	Mg++	+BN	‡	(CaCO3)	TDS	Alkalinity	Phos.
1/5/90	144	12.5	2.37		24.3	17.8	2.62	3.7					
06/L/9	160	12.2	2.27		29.0	42.7	.002u	32.3					
9/12/90	138	9.5	1.18		30.9	15.2	2.20	3.3					
10/6/01	131	9.9	1.08		32.6	17.5	2.30	3.1		49.8	124		
1/15/91	118	4.1	0.75		30.4	14.9	1.93	2.8		44.8	124		
2/8/91	138	7.0	0.99										
16/01/2	139	8.5	86.0	0.27	35.5	15.7	2.54	3.4		52.9		8.9	
8/13/91	138	7.0	0.73	<0.04	34.7	12.7	2.01	2.8		48.0	103	7.6	
10/29/91	130	5.3	0.38	<0.04	35.6	13.8	2.12	2.9		46.2	96	9.2	
3/18/92	142	5.8	0.44	0.03	40.0	16.2	2.59	3.3		55.2	109	6.7	.01u
8/25/92	191	8.9	2.04	0.05	40.6	38.3	60'6	12.4	3.0	62.6	117	8.4	.01u
			NO2+							Hardness			Total
40/3E-05P01	soquin	::	N-SON	NH3-N	SO4-	ţ.	Mg++	Na+	±2	(CaCO3)	TDS	Alkalinity	Phos
7/25/90	257	17.1			9.09	26.4	5.84	11.1					

Appendix C. Table C - 1.

Continued.

Total Phos.									.01u	.01u	Total	Phos.		Total	Phos.				.01u	.01u	.01u	.01u	Totat	Phos.	.01u
Alkalinity						12.5	13.6	14.6	16.1	13.5		Alkalinity			Alkalinity	14.1	14.7	16.2	14.6	12.9	13.3	13.8		Alkalimty	12.1
TDS			108	06			116	129	118	150		TDS			TDS		111	151	113	109	80	98		TDS	84
Hardness (CaCO3)			58.9	37.1		50.4	52.0	52.3	56.4	41.8	Hardness	(CaCO3)		Hardness	(CaCO3)	53.4	55.0	47.9	41.9	35.1	36.1	47.6	Hardness	(CaCO3)	27.8
K+									1.0	9.0		Α +			+24				0.5		0.4			Ř +	9.4
Na÷	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.0		3.4	3.0	3.9	3.6	3.3		Na+	7.3		Na+	3.5	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8			Na+	2.3
Mg++	2.18	2.38	2.44	1.42		2.03	1.70	2.11	2.04	1.86		Mg++	5.84		MB++	2.91	2.41	2.49	2.47	2.14	2.32			Mg++	1.77
Ca+t	17.0	18.2	20.2	13.1		15.4	14.2	16.2	17.2	14.2		‡	28.0		Ca++	15.3	13.4	13.8	13.0	11.3	11.5			ţ	8.5
SO4-	17.0	24.0	22.6	18.4		25.9	26.8	28.3	29.6	27.1		SQ.	53.1		SO4-	13.4	14.5	17.6	9.91	11.9	13.3	21.5		\$02	4.9
N-CHN						.01u	<0.04	<0.04	.01u	.01u		NH3-N			NH3-N	.01u	<0.04	<0.04	.01u	.01u	.01u	.01u		NH3-N	.01u
NO2+ NO3-N	2.41	3.69	2.63	1.02	1.10	3.26	3.10	2.90	3.21	2.13	NO2+	A-SOZ		NO2+	N-50N	6.16	5.60	4.10	3.86	4.07	3.80	2.89	NO2+	N-CON	4.24
Ci-	9.2	11.1	10.0	2.9	3.3	4.7	3.6	3.7	2.8	2.2		ċ	11.8		ť	7.6	7.3	4.0	2.5	2.9	2.7	4.8		÷	2.2
umbos	130	158	153	100	06	128	134	139	139	117		soyum	243		soyum	135	140	122	113	101	102	126		umhos	80.3
40/3E-05P02	1/5/90	9/12/90	10/6/01	1/15/91	2/8/91	16/01/2	8/13/91	10/29/91	12/4/91	2/6/92		40/3E-06J	7/25/90		40/3E-08C	16/01/2	8/13/91	10/50/01	12/4/91	3/18/92	26/9/5	8/25/92		41/3E-32J	2/6/92

Appendix C. Table C - 1.

Continued.

al S	n	n	n	3.	35,							n	n	n.	n		38.	n]
Total Phos.	.01	.01	.01u	Total	Phos.							.01	.01u	.01	.01	Total	Phos.	.01u
Alkalınıty	33.9	32.3	30.8		Alkalinity				17.6	17.0	16.2	15.0	16.2	15.4	13.2		Alkalinity	26.5
TDS	127	142	117		TDS			213		959	511	360	392	424	515		TDS	290
Hardness (CaCO3)	64.7	61.6	0.99	Hardness	(CaCO3)			101	263	276	269	211	200	194	225	Hardness	(CaCO3)	130
÷ <b>×</b>		1.5	6.0		<b>‡</b>							4.2		4.8	3.7		*	1.0
Na+	8.0	7.2	5.8		Na+	10.4	10.9	9.3	16.4	17.7	18.3	16.7	17.4	16.2	19.2		+ <b>F</b> N	3.6
Mg++	3.91	3.69	5.25		Mg++	6.02	6.45	5.22	13.9	15.4	14.9	12.1	12.0	11.7	14.1		₩ ‡	3.17
Ca++	19.5	18.2	22.5		Ca++	34.2	35.0	32.2	84.3	66.2	0.69	6.79	64.4	63.1	71.7		‡	18.3
SQ4.	23.1	23.2	25.1		\$ †	41.4	37.9	26.4	29.8	28.2	27.0	25.3	34.0	32.2	35.4		\$Q\$	42.0
NH3-N	.01u	.01u	.01u		NHD-N				0.01	<0.04	<0.04	.01u	.01u	.01u	0.01		NH3-N	.01u
NO2+ NO3-N	5.59	4.46	4.52	NO2+	N-60N	16.1	21.1	15.6	62.8	73.0	0.99	48.4	43.1	50.5	8.05	NO2+	NO3-N	15.7
÷	5.1	6.2	4.9		÷	10.3	12.0	8.0	21.9	21.7	22.4	20.1	14.1	12.4	13.7		ċ	18.3
mpos		172			soquin				675								soquin	352
41/3E-32P	3/11/92	26/9/5	8/25/92		41/3E-32Q01	06/L/9	9/12/90	1/15/91	7/10/91	8/13/91	10/56/01	12/4/91	3/17/92	5/6/92	8/25/92		41/3E-32Q02	8/25/92

Appendix C. Continued

Table C-2. Near-Field Edaleen Dairy Water Quality Results February 1990 to April 1993. (Units = mg/L).

						7		)	***************************************
			Total	Chemical	Total		Nitrate+		
			Dissolved	Oxygen	Organic		Nitrite	Total	
Site Name	# CI	Date	Solids	Demand	Carbon	Ammonia-N	as N	Phosphorus	Chloride
Lagoon 1	158027	04/10/90	2890	4400	1630	275	90.0	26	139
Lagoon 1	318025	07/31/90	4120 J	7070	2280	322	0.08	89 J	145
Lagoon 1	438047	10/22/90	3770	1300	1620	275	0.61	71.5	148
Lagoon 1	048059	01/22/91	3530	3100	1310	508	1.3 J	35.4	146
Lagoon 1	338174	08/14/91	L	6170	1390	589	1.2	83	225
Lagoon 1	448096	10/55/01	NT	3400	974	200	0.62	49	188
Lagoon 1	188119	04/28/92	NT	7800	2100	f 009	0.67 J	80.4	285
Lagoon 1	298146	07/15/92	LN	Z	LN	LN	L	L	315
Lagoon 1	188171	04/27/93	6850	14600	2880	582	0.45 J	133	399
MWI	098060	05/28/90	411	- 10	13.8	0.05	8.5	0.12	5.8
MWI	158021	04/10/90	226	6.8	29.6	0.05	7.6	0.01	8.1
MWI	208072	05/16/90	201	12.4	6.1	0.04	2.2	60.0	13.8
MWI	258031	06/11/90	089	449	95	0.01	10'0	0.04	51.2
MWI	318024	06/16/20	318	88	38.4	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.05	24.9
MWI	358073	08/27/90	1040	578	225	0.02	0.11	0.16	84.8
MWI	398032	09/22/90	086	650	244	2.8	0.05	0.12	
MWI	438044	10/22/90	864	255	175	11	0:10	0.26	60.8
MWI	488034	11/27/90	710	160	61.1	7.5	0.01 U	0.02	67.4
MWI	518064	12/18/90	505	120	42.3	6.6	0.2 J	1.0	40.3
NW.I	048055	01/22/91	613	120	202	31	0,04	0.12	52.5
MWI	098044	16/97/20	941	260	140	52	0.10	60.03	80.2
NWI	338170	16/14/61	333	52	18.5	45	0,02 U	90.0	34.3
MW1	448092	16/52/01	353	33	11.7	30	0,02 U	0.02	46.4
MWI	188114	04/28/92	407	19	23.9	13	0.01 U	0.018	32.4
MWI	298142	07/15/92	516	140	27.0	- 20	0.05 U	0.17	57.1
MWI	438091	10/20/92	344	52	15.6	26	6.0	1.0	35.9
MWI	038116	01/12/93	520	1 S	3.0	32	54	0.021	34.5
MW1	188167	04/27/93	537	14.3	5.4	8	47	0,014	32.0
MW2	098061	05/28/90	245	8.4	26.7	0.05	16	0.01	10.8

(pan
Contin
<u>ن</u>
Appendix

		lotal	Chemical	Total		Nitrate+		
		Dissolved	Oxygen	Organic		Nitrite	Total	
# A	Date	Solids	Demand	Carbon	Ammonia-N	as N	Phosphorus	Chloride
318020	04/18/20	156	16	5.6	0.02	3.2	0.01	1 2.4
438041	10/22/90	155	n s	6.8	0.07	2.5	0.31	2.4
488031	11/27/90	384	10 G	1.5 B	0.02	42	U 10.0	
518061	12/18/90	675	24	2.0 B	0.005 U	80 J	0.005 U	33.6
048051	01/22/91	744	10 U	4.0 B	0.05 U	99 J	U 500.0	46.7
098041	02/26/91	385	10 U	4.7	0.012	43	0.011	22.9
338166	08/14/91	227	U 1.0	2.0	0.04 U	11	0.01	14.6
448088	10/55/61	237	5.2	2.0		81	11 100	10.4
188111	04/28/92	370	n e	1.4		38	0.00	
298138	07/15/92	408	5 U	1.6	U 80.0	29		
438087	10/20/92	592	5	1.3		46	0.02 U	
038112	01/12/93	109	5 U	1.3	0.011	75	U 10.0	
188163	04/27/93	851	5 U	1.7	0.012	16		
90860	05/28/90	781	103	93	29	0.01 U	89	49.0
108018	03/01/90	937	135	112	. 33	0.02	0.01	54.8
158026	04/10/90	645	80	26.6	14	0.01 U	0.01	37.5
318028	08/01/90	1340	870	359	7.68	0.01 U	0.09 J	88.6
488037	11/27/90	775	47	86.9	69	0.04	0.01 U	
518067	12/18/90	803	270	71.8	91	0.21 J	0.2	68.9
048058	01/22/91	547	42	56.7	81	0.03 J	0.08	47.1
098047	02/26/91	580	88	31.6	46	0.01	0.02	50.4
338173	08/14/91	816	120	43.6	101	0.02 U	0.12	1.79
448095	10/29/91	1130	190	68.7	160	0.02 U	0.03	105
188118	04/28/92	701	110	48.0	103	0.01 U	0.041	60.3
298145	07/15/92	732	111	35.7	74	0.05 U	0.046	72.8
438094	10/20/92	1160	300	84.4	184	0.2 U	0.15	102
038119	01/12/93	1080	239	82.6	157	0.01 U	0.053 J	94.2
188170	04/27/93	783	124	49.2	108	0.01 U	0.023	72.7
518068	12/18/90	486	23	19.3	0.06	0.08	0.17	45.2
048054	01/22/91	627	239	151	0.02	0.03	50.0	60.2
098043	02/26/91	636	56	67.0	0.016	U 10.0	0.017	72.0

Appendix C. (Continued)

			Total	Chemical	Total		Nitrate+		
			Dissolved	Oxygen	Organic		Nitrite	Total	
Site Name	# OI	Date	Solids	Demand	Carbon	Ammonia-N	as N	Phosphorus	Chloride
MW7A	338169	08/14/91	484	53	20.8	4.2	0.02 U	10:0	40.5
MW7A	448091	10/55/61	949	091	52.6	23	0.02 U	0.04	84.5
MW7A	188113	04/28/92	441	40	16.5	17	U 10:0	0.034	30.3
MW7A	298140	07/15/92	530	54	14.1	1.5	0.05 U	0.046	36.2
MW7A	438090	10/20/92	642	19	21.7	4.1	12	0.05	46.2
MW7A	038115	01/12/93	530	46	18.0	14	U 10.0	0.012	1 41.4
MW7A	188165	04/27/93	410	22	8.4	8.9	U 10.0	0.019	27.4
MW8	298137	07/15/92	275	5 U	1.6	0.39	0.05 U	0.082	18.8
MW8	438096	10/20/92	237	14	1.5	6.1	0.2 U	0.03	16.9
MW8	038111	01/12/93	254	5 U	1.2	0.29	0.01 U	0.01 U	J 17.6
MW8	188162	04/26/93	220	5 U	1.4	0.25	0.01 U	0.01 U	J 18.1

J= Estimated value.U= Analyte not detected above value listed.B= Analyte detected in blank.

Table C-3. Near-Field Edaleen Bacteriologic and Miscellaneous Water Quality Results. (Units= mg/L unless shown otherwise.)

	0,1	Tomo III our croum mana							
			Total	Fecal		Iron,	Total		Total
			Coliforms	Coliforms	Iron,	Total	Persulfate	Total	Suspended
Site Name	∍ ID#	Date	(CFU/100mL)	(CFU/100mL)	Dissolved	Recoverable	Nitrogen	Solids	Solids
Lagoon 1	158027	04/10/90	440000	230000	-			- Andrewski Andr	575
Lagoon 1	318025	07/31/90	7400000 J	1000000 J					925
Lagoon 1	438047	10/22/90	8600000	2800000					
Lagoon 1	048059	01/22/91	3300000	2100000			0.01 U		1590
Lagoon 1	338174	08/14/91	230000	200000					
Lagoon 1	188119	04/28/92	2100000 S	1200000				8220	
Lagoon 1	298146	07/15/92	L	LN		3.5		20900	
Lagoon 1	188171	04/27/93	LN	LN				11800	
MW1	090860	02/28/90	3 U	3 U					
MW1	158021	04/10/90	1 U	1 U					
MW1	208072	05/16/90	1	1 U					
MW1	258031	06/19/90	1 U	1 U					
MW1	318024	07/31/90	1 U	1 U					
MW1	358073	08/27/90	3 U	3 U					
MW1	398032	09/25/90	1 U	1 U					
MW1	438044	10/22/90	1 U	1 U					
MW1	488034	11/27/90	1 U	1 U			10.3		
MW1	518064	12/18/90	H	H			3.6		
MW1	048055	01/22/91	1 U	1 U			11.4		
MW1	098044	02/26/91	1 U	1 U			3.7		
MWI	338170	08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW1	448092	10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW1	188114	04/28/92	1 U	1 U					
MW1	298142	07/15/92	2	1 U		58.1			
MW1	438091	10/20/92	U U	1 U					
MW1	038116	01/12/93	1 U	1 U			,		
MW2	098061	02/28/90	3 U	3 U					
MW2	158022	04/10/90	1 U	1 U					

(pənu
ıtinu
Co Co
Č.
endix
App

Page 2 of 5

			Total	Fecal		Iron,	Total		Total
			Coliforms	Coliforms	Iron	Total	Persulfate	Total	Suspended
Site Name	# OII a	Date	(CFU/100mL)	(CFU/100mL)	Dissolved	Recoverable	Nitrogen	Solids	Solids
MW2	208073	05/16/90	3 U	3 U					
MW2	258032	06/19/90	3 U	3 U					
MW2	318026	08/01/90	3 U	3 U					
MW2	358074	08/27/90	3 U	3 U					
MW2	398033	09/25/90	1 U	1 U					
MW2	438045	10/22/90	1 U	1 U					
MW2	488035	11/27/90	1 UX	1 U			31.8		
MW2	518065	12/18/90	H	H			13.9		
MW2	048056	01/22/91	148 J	7 J			20.6		
MW2	098045	02/26/91	1 U	. 1 U			18.0		
MW2	338171	08/14/91		1 · U					
MW2	448093	10/29/91	5 X	1 U					
MW2	188115	04/28/92	1 UX	1 U					
MW2	298143	07/15/92	1 UX	1 U		15.7			
MW2	438092	10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW2	038117	01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW3	098062	02/28/90	3 U	3 U					
MW3	158023	04/10/90	1 U	1 U					
MW3	208074	05/16/90	3 U	3 U					
MW3	258033	06/19/90	3 U	3 U					
MW3	318027	08/01/90	3 U	3 U					
MW3	358075	08/27/90	3 U	3 U					
MW3	398034	09/25/90	1 U	1 U					
MW3	438046	10/22/90	1 U	1 U					
MW3	488036	11/27/90	1 U	1 U			15.9		
MW3	518066	12/18/90	Н	H			7.3		
MW3	048057	01/22/91	3 U	3 U			0.01 U		
MW3	098046	02/26/91	1 UX	1 U			12.9		
MW3	338172	08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW3	448094	10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW3	188116	04/28/92	1 UX	1 UX	<b>×</b>				

Page 3 of 5

		Total	Fecal	# () # 	Iron,	Total	F	Total
Site Name ID #	# Date	(CFU/100mL)	(CFU/100mL)	Dissolved	i otali Recoverable	Persultate Nitrogen	l otal Solids	Suspended Solids
048051	1 01/22/91	1 U	1 U			175		
098041	1 02/26/91	1 U	1 U			42		
338166	6 08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
448088	8 10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
188111	1 04/28/92	1 U	. 1 U					
298138	8 07/15/92	1 U	1 U		0.27			
438087	7 10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
038112	2 01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW5 188163	3 04/27/93	LN	NT	0.088				
WW6 098065	5 02/28/90	3 U	3 U					
MW6 158026	6 04/10/90	1 U	1 U					
MW6A 318028	8 08/01/90	3 U	3 U					
MW6A 488037	7 11/27/90	1 UX	1 n			69		
MW6A 518067	7 12/18/90	Н	H			17		
MW6A 048058	8 01/22/91	3 U	3 U			23		
MW6A 098047	7 02/26/91	1 U	1 U			17		
MW6A 338173	3 08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW6A 448095	5 10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW6A 188118	8 04/28/92	1 U	1 U					
MW6A 298145	5 07/15/92	1 U	1 U		36.5			
MW6A 438094	4 10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW6A 038119	9 01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 048054	4 01/22/91	1 U	1 U			0.13		
MW7A 098043	3 02/26/91	1 U	1 U			2.2		
MW7A 338169	9 08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 448091	1 10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 188113	3 04/28/92	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 298140	07/15/92	1 U	1 U		249			
MW7A 438090	0 10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 038115	5 01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW7A 188165	5 04/27/93	TN	LN	12.6				

Appendix C. (Continued)

Total Suspended	Solids	Control of the contro		
Total	Solids			
Total Persulfate	Nitrogen	0		
Iron, Total	Recoverable	6.1		
Iron	Dissolved		J	J
Fecal Coliforms	(CFU/100mL)	1 1	1 1	1 1
Total Coliforms	(CFU/100mL)	1 U	1 U	1 U
	Date	07/15/92	10/20/92	038111 01/12/93
	Site Name ID #	298137	438096	038111
	Site Name	MW8	MW8	MW8

U= Analyte not detected above listed value.
X= Many background organisms.
S= Spreader.

NT= Not Tested.

CFU= Colony forming unit.

Table C-2. Near-Field Edaleen Dairy Water Quality Results February 1990 to April 1993. (Units = mg/L).

			Total	Chemical	Total	N	frate+	(a.m.)	
			Dissolved	Oxygen	Organic		Nitrite	Total	
Site Name	# 🛛	Date	Solids	Demand	Carbon	Ammonia-N	as N	Phosphorus	Chloride
Lagoon 1	158027	04/10/90	2890	4400	1630	275	90.0	26	139
Lagoon 1	318025	07/31/90	4120	1070	2280	322	0.08	89 J	145
Lagoon 1	438047	10/22/90	3770	1300	1620	275	0.61	71.5	148
Lagoon 1	048059	01/22/91	3530	3100	1310	208	1.3 J	35.4	146
Lagoon 1	338174	08/14/91	LN	6170	1390	589	1.2	83	225
Lagoon 1	448096	10/29/91	ZZ	3400	974	200	0.62	49	188
Lagoon 1	188119	04/28/92	LN	7800	2100	f 009	0.67	80.4	285
Lagoon 1	298146	07/15/92	LN	LN	L	NT	Z	NT	315
Lagoon 1	188171	04/27/93	6850	14600	2880	582	0.45 J	133	399
MWI	09860	05/28/90	411	01	13.8	0.05	8.5	0.12	5.8
MWI	158021	04/10/90	226	6.8	29.6	0.05	7.6	0.01	8.1
MWI	208072	06/91/50	201	12.4	6.1	0.04	2.2	0.09	13.8
MWI	258031	06/61/90	089	449	\$	0.01	0.01	10:0	51.2
MWI	318024	03/31/90	318	88	38.4	ល 10'0	0.01	0.05	24.9
MWI	358073	08/27/90	1040	578	225	0.02	0.11	0.16	84.8
MWI	398032	09/25/90	086	650	244	2.8	0.05	0.12	
MW1	438044	10/22/90	258	255	175	11	0,10	0.26	8.09
MWI	488034	11/27/90	710	160	61.1	7.5	U 10:0	0.02	67.4
MWI	518064	12/18/90	505	120	42.3	1.6	0.2 J	0.1	40.3
MWI	048055	01/22/91	613	120	202	31	0.04	0.12	52.5
MW1	098044	16/97/70	941	790	140	52	0.10	0.03	80.2
MWI	338170	08/14/91	333	52	18.5	45	0.02 U	0.08	34.3
MWI	448092	16/57/01	353	33	11.7	33	0.02 U	0.02	46.4
MWI	188114	04/28/92	407	<i>L</i> 9	23.9	13	U 10.0	0,018	32.4
MW1	298142	26/\$1/20	516	140	27.0	- 20	0.05 U	0.17	57.1
MWI	438091	10/20/92	344	52	15.6	26	0.3	0.1	35.9
MWI	911860	01/12/93	520	9 B	3.0	32	54	0.021	34.5
MWI	188167	04/27/93	537	14.3	5,4	8.	47	0.014	32.0
MW2	098061	05/28/90	245	8.4	26.7	0.05	16	0.01	10.8

Appendix C. (Continued)

		Chloride	14.8	19.6	48.4	87.6	111	! !	86.8	72.9	81.3	35.7	77.3	79.0	52.1	44.6	52.7	42.8	42.3	43.9	16.0	16.4	12.9	56.5	54.1	67.4		54.1	58.3	78.1	121	44.4	51.9
	Total	Phosphorus	0.01	0.00	0.1	0.06 J	0.13	0.14	0.27	0.06	0.28	0.16	0.14	0.23	0.12	0.138	0.17	0.19	0.097 J	0.092	0.01	0.01 U	0.08	0.1	0.03	0.14	0.12	0.28	10.0	0.11	0.17	80.0	0.12
Nitrate+	Nitrite	as N	38	1.4	0.18	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.02	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.16 J	0.04 J	0.14	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.011	0.05 U	0.2 U	0.015	0.01 U	14	43	0.68	0.1	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.02	0.01 U	0.01	0.17 J	0.04 J	0.11	0.02 U
		Ammonia-N	0.2	0.02	0.01	0.09	1.8	7.6	8.4	31	59	71	102	142	130	58	64	56	89	62	0.03	0.2	0.02	10.0	0.02	0.31	2.4	30	91	14	74	53	42
Total	Organic	Carbon Aı	2.3 B	28.6	38.5	236	429	304	217	93.1	100	84.5	88.7	69.2	55.8	39.8	31.9	37.4	31.0	21.5	18.3	2.8 B	4.6	46.1	133	201	169	77.4	26.3	48.2	214	60.4	47.0
Chemical	Oxygen	Demand	10	92	196	533	1064	800	120	240	300	120	1760	240	140	93	106	110	83	62	10	12	7.7	189	340	436	365	35	47	140	170	130	120
Total	Dissolved	Solids	413	196	495	1110	1640	1300	1200	1140	1060	536	822	856	616	548	640	515	481	372	184	381	961	540	730	911	865	525	460 J	592	1240	592	622
		Date	04/10/90	05/16/90	06/11/90	08/01/90	08/27/90	09/22/90	10/22/90	11/27/90	12/18/90	01/22/91	02/26/91	08/14/91	10/29/91	04/28/92	07/15/92	10/20/92	01/12/93	04/27/93	02/28/90	04/10/90	05/16/90	06/61/90	06/10/80	08/22/80	09/25/90	10/22/90	11/27/90	12/18/90	01/22/91	02/26/91	08/14/91
		# Al	158022	208073	258032	318028	358074	398033	438045	488035	518065	048056	098045	338171	448093	188115	298143	438092	038117	188168	098062	158023	208074	258033	318026	358075	398034	438046	488036	518066	048057	098046	338172
		Site Name	MW2	MW3																													

48.6 41.0 67.9 66.7 42.9 88.6 9.96 69.7 56.6 13.6 21.4 20.2 14.1 64.5 66.4 47.3 14.3 Chloride 0.005 **Phosphorus** 0.25 3.005 0.034 0.01 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.06 0.04 0.04 0.07 0.028 0.01 0.064 0.05 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.3 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.23 0.02 0.48 2.0 0.12 0.02 0.01 0.051 0.44 Nitrate+ **Nitrite** as N 0.02 0.03 0.02 90.0 0.05 0.07 0.13 0.13 0.23 0.12 0.22 0.21 0.15 0.02 Ammonia-N 0.55 3.6 0.50 54.9 Organic Carbon 23 ES 8.7 17.9 18.8 70.0 40.0 7.9 26.0 22.1 54.0 39.5 29.7 44.0 Oxygen 92 108 Chemical 145 Demand Dissolved 390 384 474 934 836 417 856 Solids Total 05/16/90 07/31/90 10/22/90 11/27/90 04/28/92 09/25/90 12/18/90 01/22/91 02/26/91 02/28/90 31/12/93 08/14/91 10/29/91 34/28/92 10/20/92 04/10/90 0/20/92 M/27/93 05/28/90 03/01/90 04/10/90 06/11/90 08/21/90 7/15/92 1/12/93 34/27/93 06/10/60 06/91/50 |88116 |98144 338118 08016 138093 88169 58025 208075 258034 318022 398030 138042 188032 518062 098042 988063 048052 338167 448089 88112 298139 338113 990860 358071 438088 88164 08015 58020 208071 Site Name EWIW. EWIN EW.W **EW IN** MW4 MW4 KW.W EW IN MW4 MW5 WW5 WW5

Appendix C. (Continued)

Appendix C. (Continued)

			Total	Chemical	Total		Nitrate+		
	:		Dissolved	Oxygen	Organic		Nitrite	Total	
Site Name	# 🛭	Date	Solids	Demand	Carbon	Ammonia-N	as N	Phosphorus	Chloride
MW5	318020	04/31/90	156	91	5.6	0.02	3.2	0.01	2.4
MW5	438041	10/22/90	155	5 U	6.8	0.07	2.5	0.31	2.4
MW5	488031	11/27/90	384	10 U	1.5 B	0.03	42	U 10.0	
MW5	518061	12/18/90	675	24	2.0 B	0.005 U	80 J		· ·
MW5	048051	01/22/91	744	0 OI	4.0 B	0.05 U	f 66	0.005 U	
MW5	098041	02/26/91	385	10 U	4.7	0.012	43	0.011	22.9
MW5	338166	08/14/91	227	0.1 U	2.0	0.04 U	11	U 10.0	
MW5	448088	16/67/01	237	5.2	2.0	0.04 U	18		
MW5	188111	04/28/92	370	3 U	<b>1.4</b>	0.011	38		
MW5	298138	07/15/92	408	5 U	1.6	U 80.0	29		
MW5	438087	10/20/92	265	35	1.3	0.5	46	U 20.0	
MW5	038112	01/12/93	109	5 U	1.3	0.011	75	U 10.0	
MW5	E91881	04/27/93	851	5 U	1.7	0.012	91	U 10.0	
MW6	98065	05/28/90	781	103	93	29	0.01 U	0.01	49.0
9MW	108018	03/01/90	937	135	112	. 33	0.02	0.01	54.8
MW6	158026	04/10/90	645	80	26.6	14	0.01 U	0.01	37.5
MW6A	318028	08/01/90	1340	870	359	2.68	0.01 U	0.09 J	88.6
MW6A	488037	11/27/90	775	47	86.9	69	0.04	0.01 U	88.9
MW6A	518067	12/18/90	803	270	71.8	91	0.21 J	0.2	68.9
MW6A	048058	01/22/91	547	79	56.7	81	0.03 J	0.08	47.1
MW6A	098047	02/26/91	280	88	31.6	46	0.01	0.02	50.4
MW6A	338173	08/14/91	816	120	43.6	101	0.02 U	0.12	67.7
MW6A	448095	10/29/91	1130	190	68.7	160	0.02 U	0.03	105
MW6A	188118	04/28/92	701	110	48.0	103	0.01 U	0.041	60.3
MW6A	298145	07/15/92	732	111	35.7	74	0.05 U	0.046	72.8
MW6A	438094	10/20/92	1160	300	84.4	184	0.2 U	0.15	102
MW6A	038119	01/12/93	1080	239	82.6	157	0.01 U	0.053 J	94.2
MW6A	188170	04/27/93	783	124	49.2	108	0.01 U	0.023	72.7
MW7A	518068	12/18/90	486	23	19.3	90.0	0.08	0.17	45.2
MW7A	048054	01/22/91	627	29	151	0.02	0.03	0.05	60.2
MW7A	098043	02/26/91	989	56	67.0	0.016	0.01 U	0.017	72.0

Appendix C. (Continued)

			2	'n	m	N	N	4	<b>~</b> 1	· •	6	9	П
		Chloride	40.5	84.5	30.3	36.2	46.	41.	27.	18.8	16.9	17.6	18.
	Total	Phosphorus	0.01	0.04	0.034	0.046	0.05	0.012 J	0.019	0.082	0.03	0.01 U	0.01 U
Nitrate+	Nitrite	as N	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.01 U	0.05 U	12	0.01 U	U 10:0	0.05 U	0.2 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
		Ammonia-N	4.2	23	17	15	4.1	1.4	6.8	0.39	6.1	0.29	0.25
Total	Organic	Carbon	20.8	52.6	16.5	14.1	21.7	18.0	8.4	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.4
Chemical	Oxygen	Demand	53	160	40	54	- 67	46	22	5 U	14	5 U	5 U
Total	Dissolved	Solids	484	949	441	530	542	530	410	275	237	254	220
		Date	08/14/91	16/56/01	04/28/92	07/15/92	10/20/92	01/12/93	04/27/93	07/15/92	10/20/92	01/12/93	04/26/93
		# 01	338169	448091	188113	298140	438090	038115	188165	298137	438096	038111	188162
		Site Name	MW7A	MW8	MW8	MW8	MW8						

J= Estimated value.

U= Analyte not detected above value listed. B= Analyte detected in blank.

Table C-3. Near-Field Edaleen Bacteriologic and Miscellaneous Water Quality Results. (Units= mg/L unless shown otherwise.)

			,						
			Total	Fecal		Iron,	Total		Total
			Coliforms	Coliforms	Iron,	Total	Persulfate	Total	Suspended
Site Name	# CII	Date	(CFU/100mL)	(CFU/100mL)	Dissolved	Recoverable	Nitrogen	Solids	Solids
Lagoon 1	158027	04/10/90	440000	230000					575
Lagoon 1	318025	07/31/90	7400000 J	1000000 J					925
_	438047	10/22/90	8600000	2800000					
Lagoon 1	048059	01/22/91	3300000	2100000			0.01 U		1590
_	338174	08/14/91	230000	200000					
	188119	04/28/92	2100000 S	1200000				8220	
	298146	07/15/92	FN	TN		3.5		20900	
-	188171	04/27/93	FN	LN				11800	
	090860	05/28/90	3 U	J 3 L	I				
MW1	158021	04/10/90	1 U	J 1 L	J				
MWI	208072	05/16/90	1	1 1	J				
MW1	258031	06/11/90	1 (	1					
	318024	07/31/90	1 U	] L	J				
MW1	358073	08/27/90	3 U	3 L	J				
	398032	09/25/90	1 U	J 1 C	J				
	438044	10/22/90	1 U	J 1 L	I				
MWI	488034	11/27/90	1 U	J 1 L	J		10.3		
	518064	12/18/90	H	H	I		3.6		
	048055	01/22/91	1 U	1 T	I		11.4		
	098044	02/26/91	1 U	1 1	1		3.7		
	338170	08/14/91	1 U	1 [	<b></b>				
MWI	448092	10/29/91	1 U	1	homa,				
	188114	04/28/92	1 U	וור					
	298142	07/15/92	2	1 L	h-m,	58.1			
MW1	438091	10/20/92	1 U	J 1 U					
	038116	01/12/93	1 U	1 1	<b></b>				
MW2	098061	02/28/90	3 U	J 3 L	<b></b>				
MW2	158022	04/10/90	U 1	1 1					

Page 2 of 5

Total	S																																
Total	Persulfate Total	Nitrogen Solids							31.8	13.9	20.6	18.0															15.9	7.3	0.01 U	12.9			
Iron,	Total	Recoverable														15.7																	
	Iron	Dissolved		1	1	_		_				_	_				-																
Fecal	Coliforms	(CFU/100mL)	3 L	3 נ	3 C	3 C	1 I	1 0	רב	H	7 J	1 U	J . U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	3 D	1 U	3 D	3 U	3 D	3 D	1 U	1 U	1 U	H	3 D	1 U	1 U	1 U	
Total	Coliforms	(CFU/100mL)	3 C	3 U	3 U	3 U	1 U	U I	1 UX	Н	148 J	1 U	1	s x	1 UX	1 UX	n I	1 U	3 U	1 U	3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U	1 U	1 U	U I	H	3 U	1 UX	1 U	1 U	
		Date	05/16/90	06/11/90	08/01/90	08/21/90	09/25/90	10/22/90	11/27/90	12/18/90	01/22/91	02/26/91	08/14/91	10/56/01	04/28/92	07/15/92	10/20/92	01/12/93	05/28/90	04/10/90	05/16/90	06/19/90	08/01/90	08/27/90	09/25/90	10/22/90	11/27/90	12/18/90	01/22/91	02/26/91	08/14/91	10/29/91	
		ne ID#	208073	258032	318026	358074	398033	438045	488035	518065	048056	098045	338171	448093	188115	298143	438092	038117	098062	158023	208074	258033	318027	358075	398034	438046	488036	518066	048057	098046	338172	448094	
		Site Name	MW2	MW3																													

Page 3 of 5

_
_
ŏ
3
=
tin
Ħ
7
ب
$\circ$
$\overline{}$
ri
J.
x C.
lix C.
×
×
×
×
×
×

			Total	Fecal	ron	Iron,	Total	F 100	Total
Site Name	ID#	Date	(CFU/100mL)	(CFU/100mL)	Dissolved	Recoverable	Nitrogen	Solids	Solids
MW5	048051	01/22/91	1 U	1 0	Warning of the second of the s		175		
MW5	098041	02/26/91	1 U	1 U			42		
MW5	338166	08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW5	448088	10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW5	188111	04/28/92	1 U	. 1 U					
MW5	298138	07/15/92	1 U	1 U		0.27			
MW5	438087	10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW5	038112	01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW5	188163	04/27/93	LN	NT	0.088				
9MW	98065	05/28/90	3 U	3 U					
MW6	158026	04/10/90	1 U	1 U					
MW6A	318028	08/01/90	3 U	3 U					
MW6A	488037	11/27/90	1 UX	1 U			69		
MW6A	518067	12/18/90	H	Н			17		
MW6A	048058	01/22/91	3 U	3 U			23		
MW6A	098047	02/26/91	1 U	1 U			17		
MW6A	338173	08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW6A	448095	10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW6A	188118	04/28/92	1 U	1 U					
MW6A	298145	07/15/92	1 U	1 U		36.5			
MW6A	438094	10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW6A	038119	01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW7A	048054	01/22/91	1 U	1 U			0.13		
MW7A	098043	02/26/91	1 U	1 U			2.2		
MW7A	338169	08/14/91	1 U	1 U					
MW7A.	448091	10/29/91	1 U	1 U					
MW7A	188113	04/28/92	1 U	1 U					
MW7A	298140	07/15/92	1 U	1 U		249			
MW7A	438090	10/20/92	1 U	1 U					
MW7A	038115	01/12/93	1 U	1 U					
MW7A	188165	04/27/93	LN	LN	12.6				

Appendix C. (Continued)

Total	Suspended	Solide	COLUMN		
	Total	Solids			
Total	Persulfate	Nitrogen	0		
Iron,	Total	Recoverable	6.1		
,	Iron	Dissolved			
Fecal	Coliforms	(CFU/100mL)	D I	1 U	1 U
Total	Comornis	(CFU/100mL)	l U	1 U	1 U
		Date	07/15/92	10/20/92	MW8 038111 01/12/93
		# 01	298137	438096	038111
		Site Name ID #	MW8	MW8 438096	MW8

U= Analyte not detected above listed value.
X= Many background organisms.
S= Spreader.
NT= Not Tested.

CFU= Colony forming unit.