Fiscal Year 2010 Financial Assistance Application Form and Resource Guide

for

Centennial Clean Water Program (Centennial)

Federal Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Fund (Section 319)

Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund (Revolving Fund)

This FY 2010 Financial Assistance Application Form can be found at:

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html

If you need this document in an alternate format, please contact us at 360-407-6502. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

(This page intentionally left blank)

This section provides information about resources that may help you prepare a successful application, including a scoring guideline that outlines how evaluators will assign points. The application follows this resource section.

Ecology cannot guarantee website accuracy or continued maintenance. Ecology does not endorse non-Ecology websites.

Application materials:

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html

Information on basin location of salmonid stocks (listed as threatened or endangered):

http://www.nwr.noaa.gov

Longitude and latitude of your project:

http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/wqawa/viewer.htm

Conversion from degrees, minutes, and seconds to decimal degrees:

http://www.directionsmag.com/site/latlong-converter

Information on problem areas, affected designated uses, or water quality programs addressed or implemented (*Washington's Water Quality Management Plan to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution, Volume 1*):

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/nonpoint/nps plan.html

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Watershed Planning Handbook to locate the <u>required</u> nine key criteria for nonpoint planning and implementation projects (see Chapter 2, page 16):

http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/watershed handbook/

The Water Quality Assessment interactive map for 303(d)-listed waters:

http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/wqawa/viewer.htm

TMDL Lead contact information:

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/tmdl/contacts.html

Financial Hardship Evaluation Form (required for hardship determinations):

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html

Contacts for Questions

| Contacts for Questions | I | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Internet: | Water Quality Program: | | |
| | http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/wqhome.html | | |
| | Funding Information: | | |
| | http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.ht | | |
| | <u>ml</u> | | |
| Ecology, Water Quality Program | Headquarters – Lacey (360) 407- 6400 | | |
| Reception: | Central Regional Office (CRO) – Yakima (509) 575-2490* | | |
| | Eastern Regional Office (ERO) – Spokane (509) 329-3400* | | |
| | Northwest Regional Office (NWRO) – Bellevue (425) 649-7000* | | |
| | Southwest Regional Office (SWRO) – Lacey (360) 407-6300* | | |
| | (*Number is the spill /environmental emergency line after hours) | | |
| General Information: | Jeff Nejedly (360) 407-6566, e-mail jnej461@ecy.wa.gov | | |
| Facility Projects: | Cindy Price (360) 407-7132, e-mail <u>cpri461@ecy.wa.gov</u> | | |
| Activity Projects: | Alice Rubin (360) 407-6429, e-mail <u>arub461@ecy.wa.gov</u> | | |
| Application Packets: | Section Secretary (360) 407-6502 | | |
| U.S. Mail Address: (Not to be used | Department of Ecology, Water Quality Program Financial | | |
| for UPS or other package delivery | Management Section, P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600 | | |
| services) | | | |
| Street Address: (Physical location/ | Department of Ecology, Water Quality Program Financial | | |
| package delivery – not to be used for | Management Section, 300 Desmond Drive, Lacey, WA 98503 | | |
| U.S. Mail) | | | |
| | I . | | |

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

ECOLOGY EVALUATOR SCORING GUIDELINES (for use with Part 2)

DO NOT FILL IN

Ecology's project evaluators will use the following criteria to score project proposals. The questions in the scoring guidelines are taken from Part 2 of the application. Each question is followed by a table outlining scoring criteria that should help guide the applicant in developing answers to the questions.

<u>Executive Summary</u> – In 250 words or less, describe the project and its water quality benefits.

1. Scope of Work (Up to 250 pts.)

Points are awarded for a clear, complete, and well thought-out scope that directly addresses a water quality problem. The scope demonstrates an understanding of the work required to implement and complete the project. Resourceful approaches or solutions are encouraged.

- Provide a detailed scope of work to achieve the water quality benefits of the project that includes clearly defined tasks, deliverables, and cost per task.
- Describe the project area and provide supporting map(s) and any relevant diagrams and pictures.

| 1. | Scope of Work | Total 250 |
|----|---|----------------|
| | | Points |
| | Complete and concise description of the project tasks and outcomes. Clear detailed description of deliverables, timelines, and purpose. | Up to 100 pts. |
| | Project directly and measurably addresses a water quality problem. | Up to 150 pts. |

2. Proposed Budget (Up to 150 pts.)

Budget: Points are awarded for a complete, reasonable budget that is consistent with the tasks described in the scope of work.

• Provide a clearly defined Task- and Object-oriented budget (as applicable).

Cost Estimate Process: Points are awarded to cost effective projects with accurate cost estimates. For example, an applicant may determine cost effectiveness and estimate accuracy based on experience with past or on-going projects, through consultation with other entities that have related experience, or through a planning process such as value analysis.

- Describe how costs were estimated. Include the steps taken to ensure accuracy, such as experience with past or ongoing projects, or through consultation with other entities that have related experience.
- Describe the process used to control cost and ensure that this is a cost-effective project (e.g., value engineering for facilities projects or cost analysis for activities projects).

| 2. | Proposed Budget | Total 150 |
|----|---|---------------|
| | | Points |
| | Complete project budget is consistent with the scope of | Up to 20 pts |
| | work. | |
| | The cost estimate process is reasonable. | Up to 30 pts |
| | The project budget represents a good value for the work | |
| | and water quality benefit achieved. | Up to 100 pts |

3. Water Quality and Public Health Improvements (Up to 250 pts.)

Points are awarded for improvements and protection of water quality and public health. Substantial environmental improvements receive the most points. Measurable improvements receive more points than unclear or vague benefits. The actual benefit, total impact (area impacted, number of people affected), level of implementation, and the severity of the problem will be considered. Only changes that can be achieved by the proposed scope of work will be considered.

- Define the water quality and public health problems the project will address.
- Describe the expected project results, including how the project will help achieve water quality and public health improvements and protection. Describe how much of the problem will be addressed by the project.
- Describe how success of the project will be measured and documented.
- Describe how the water quality and public health improvements will be sustained for the long-term.

| 3. | Water Quality and Public Health Improvements | Total 250 | |
|----|--|--------------|--|
| | | Points | |
| | How severe is the water quality problem and how well is it | Up to 50 pts | |
| | defined. | | |
| | Project will achieve substantial water quality benefits. | | |
| | Project success can be measured, and proposed methods to | | |
| | measure success are reasonable. | | |
| | The project provides long-term sustainability of water | | |
| | quality benefits (e.g., operation and maintenance of the | Up to 50 pts | |
| | system, long-term on-site septic program follow-up, and | | |
| | watershed management). | | |

4. State and Federal Requirements (Up to 100 pts.)

Points are awarded for projects that address state and federal requirements (e.g. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), permit requirements, watershed plans, etc.). How well the project addresses TMDL objectives will be considered. Straight to implementation proposals will be awarded points based on the link between the activity proposed and the ability to meet water quality standards.

• Describe how this project is specifically required by a state or federal agency. Provide reference or documentation including permit conditions, department orders, court orders, or other department correspondence.

- Explain how this project addresses specific actions in an Ecology-authored Water Quality Improvement Report or Water Quality Implementation Plan. Provide the document publication number and the last date of contact with the respective Ecology TMDL lead.
- Explain how this project addresses specific actions in a program or a plan, other than a TMDL, that is designed to meet water quality standards.

| 4. | State and Federal Requirements | Total 100 |
|----|---|---------------|
| | | Points |
| | How well does this facilities project address a current permit requirement or other legal requirement? | |
| | Or | Up to 100 pts |
| | How well does this activities implementation project address required actions of a Water Quality Improvement Report, other current approved plan, or a program specifically designed to address water quality problems? | |

5. Project Team (Up to 50 pts.)

Points are awarded based on skills, qualifications, and experience of the established or potential project team members.

- Describe roles and responsibilities of each team member. Include the estimated amount of time each team member will devote to the project. (e.g., what percentage of each team member's work week will be devoted to this project?)
- Describe the relevant skills and qualifications of each team member (do not submit resumes).

| 5. | Project Team | Total 50 |
|----|---|--------------|
| | | Points |
| | Team members' roles and responsibilities are well defined | |
| | and an estimated percentage of time each team member will | Up to 30 pts |
| | devote to this project is adequate for the scope of work. | |
| | Team members' past experience is relevant. | Up to 20 pts |

6. Project Development and Local Support (Up to 100 pts.)

Points are awarded based on project development efforts and commitments from project partners. Provide documentation as appropriate.

- Describe the decision making process used to select this project.
- Describe how you have involved and fostered local, regional, and statewide partnerships for the success of the project.
- Describe how you will sustain long-term water quality efforts.
- For cities, towns and counties, indicate whether you are designated an "evergreen community" per RCW 35.105.030.

| 6. | Project Development and Local Support | Total 100 | |
|----|--|--------------|--|
| | | Points | |
| | A comprehensive decision making process was used to | Up to 50 pts | |
| | arrive at the proposed project. | | |
| | The level of local support and commitments for the project | | |
| | is documented (e.g., on-going strategic review of sewer | | |
| | user rates, on-going watershed planning, interlocal | | |
| | cooperation, and ordinance development). | | |

7. Readiness to Proceed (Up to 50 pts.)

Points are awarded based on how soon a project can begin.

- Describe the steps you have taken to proceed immediately with the project.
- Provide detailed information and documentation on project elements such as completed designs, permits, inter-local agreements, landowner agreements, easements, other secured funding, staff, Quality Assurance Project Plans, and other agency approvals.

| 7. | Readiness to Proceed | Total 50 |
|----|--|--------------|
| | | Points |
| | Project elements are in place for the project to proceed and | Up to 50 pts |
| | documentation is provided. | |

8. Ratepayer Impact (50 pts.)

Points are awarded to projects that potentially place financial hardship on sewer ratepayers without the requested financial assistance. Applicants claiming financial hardship must fill out the financial hardship evaluation form and submit it with the application. Points will be assigned based on the outcome of the hardship calculations done at Ecology Headquarters as guided through rule.

| 8 | 8. | Ratepayer Impact | Total 50 |
|---|----|------------------|----------|
| | | | Points |

Note: Ties of overall total points in the evaluation of the project are broken using the score to Question 3 - Water Quality and Public Health Improvements. For example in a tie between two projects, the project that scores higher in Question 3 is placed above the other on the priority list. The score from Question 7 - Readiness to Proceed, is used if the tie cannot be broken using Question 3.

END OF APPLICATION RESOURCES

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY State of Washington

Fiscal Year 2010 Financial Assistance Application

Centennial Clean Water Program (Centennial)
Federal Clean Water Act Section 319
Nonpoint Source Fund (Section 319)
Washington State Water Pollution Control
Revolving Fund (Revolving Fund)

| ECOLOGY USE | |
|-----------------|--|
| Application no. | |

| 1. | PROJECT TITLE: | | | | |
|----|--|--|-------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| 2. | 2. APPLICANT NAME: (Public body or private not-for-profit per IRS 501 (C) (3)) | | (C) (3)) | 3. | FEDERAL ID NO: |
| 4. | APPLICANT SIGNATOR | RY: (The person whose name is listed | here must s | sign E | Box 13 of this application) |
| | Name: | | | | |
| | Title: | | Telephone | Num | ber: |
| | Address: | | | | |
| 5. | APPLICANT STAFF CO | NTACT: | | | |
| | Name: | | | | |
| | Title: | Telephone Number: | | | E-Mail Address: |
| | Address: | | | , | |
| 6. | PROJECT INFORMATI | ON: | | | |
| | What is the population in the PR | OJECT area? | | | |
| | Is the PROJECT located in a basendangered in accordance with t | sin with salmonid stocks listed as threate the Endangered Species Act? | ened or | | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | Is the PROJECT statewide? | | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | • • • | Water Resource Inventory Area design cent of the PROJECT will be accomplis | | egisla | ative district(s), and Congressional |

Please Note: You must select a primary location and then provide additional location information as applicable. All separate designations (County, Legislative District, Congressional District, and WRIA) must equal 100 percent (list from greatest to least percentage, and <u>please break any ties by at least one percentage point</u>). Limit your separate Legislative Districts and Congressional Districts to those that cover greater than five percent of the project area.

| County(ies) for the Project: | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Name | Percent | |
| Primary | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total | 100 | |

| WRIA(s) for the project: | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Water Resource Inventory Area | Percent | | |
| Primary | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | 100 | | |

| HUC Code for the Project: http://water.usgs.gov/wsc/map_index.html | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|
| Hydrologic Unit Code | Percent | | |
| Primary | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | 100 | | |

Part 1 FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

| Congressional District(s) for the | Congressional District(s) for the Project: | | Project: | (14 | Stream Reac I-digit number depict for the pro http://nhd.usgs.go | ing the wa ject): | - |
|--|--|---|------------------|---------|---|----------------------|---------|
| Number | Percent | Name | Percent | | Segment and Reach (N | | Percent |
| Primary | | Primary | | Pri | mary | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | 100 | Total | 100 | То | tal | | 100 |
| | | 1 1 | | | | | |
| you will be working. The water body | y location | r project location and the water body af should be located in the water body aff outfall location or center of the land app | ected by the pro | | | | |
| Location | | Latitude/Longitude | Primary S | Site | Secondary Site | Tertia | ry Site |
| Project Location | | Latitude: (e.g., 45.3530) | | | | | |
| | | Longitude: (e.g., -120.4510) | | | | | |
| 7. PROJECT DURATIO | N: | | | | | | |
| | on Date: Facility C | Construction projects, indicate the a | • | | • | | |
| | NEEDS | S ADDRESSED BY PROJE | CT: | | | | |
| For all projects: | . 1 .1 | | • . • • | 10. \$7 | | | |
| | | e Clean Water Act Section 303(d) L | _ | | es | | |
| • | - 1 | meter(s) and associated identification | on number(s)? | • | | | |
| Check all type(s) of water bo | dies that | _ | | | | | |
| ☐ Freshwater rivers☐ Freshwater lakes | | ☐ Direct marine water☐ Saltwater estuary | | | | | |
| Freshwater wetlands Ground water | | Other (specify) | | | | | |
| Check all that this project wi | ll addrace | • | | | | | |
| Endangered salmonids | ii audiess | . | | | | | |
| Threatened salmonids | | | | | | | |
| Other Endangered Specie Protection of shellfish ha | | otected species (identify) | | | | | |
| Protection of domestic w | | oly | | | | | |
| ☐ TMDL requirements ☐ NPDES requirements | | | | | | | |
| Other (specify) | | | | | | | |

Part 1 FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

| | | lity activity projects must meet the objectives of the Washington's Water Qual Sources of Pollution (Vol. 3) (<u>http://www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/0510027.pdf</u>). |
|----|---|--|
| Ty | ype of Activity Project | |
| Ch | neck all that this project would include: | |
| | Agricultural best management practices Stormwater best management practices Other best management practices (specially water quality monitoring Riparian restoration Large woody debris placement Bank stabilization Public education and outreach On-site septic system planning/surveys Groundwater protection and/or planning PILOT/demonstration project Comprehensive planning (such as water Other (specify): | ify): |
| | | A's 9 Key Elements for non-point source projects. Please refer to EPA's uate if the plan being implemented meets these criteria. |
| | anning Yes N plementation Yes N | |
| If | applying for an implementation project, j | please fill out the table below. |
| | Implementation Action | Reference the plan that describes this action, including page numbers and where a copy of the plan can be obtained for review. |
| | | |
| | | |

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

| Will the proposed project directly result in a loa | d reduction of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and/or Sediment? Yes No |
|---|---|
| Check applicable boxes below. NOTE: The recipient of financial assistance will be | e responsible for reporting the annual load reduction results. |
| Dissolved oxygen Nitrogen Phosphorus Sediment Fecal coliform Temperature Others (specify): | |
| 10. FOR WATER POLLUTION CONTRO | L FACILITY PROJECTS ONLY: |
| GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT (GMA | |
| Are you GMA compliant? Yes No | |
| If not, when do you expect to be in compliance? | |
| Do you expect to be in compliance for the duration | of the project? Yes No No |
| Type of Facility Project | |
| Check all that this project involves: | |
| ☐ Wastewater treatment systems needs ☐ Water reclamation and reuse ☐ Stormwater pollutant control needs ☐ On-site septic system repair/replacement progr ☐ Combined sewer overflow correction ☐ Other (specify): | am |
| For wastewater and stormwater treatment facilities projet and complete $\underline{\mathbf{all}}$ prerequisite planning dates and include | ects, check only <u>one</u> of the six boxes below that represents the present proposal, e attachments noted. |
| and complete <u>all</u> prerequisite planning dates and include | e attachments noted. |
| | |
| and complete <u>all</u> prerequisite planning dates and include Proposal to obtain financial assistance for: ☐ Combined comprehensive, general sewer, or | Prerequisite planning approval dates: Not applicable Provide the date of the approved comprehensive plan that identifies the need for your project. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, |
| Proposal to obtain financial assistance for: Combined comprehensive, general sewer, or stormwater plan with a facilities plan | Prerequisite planning approval dates: Not applicable Provide the date of the approved comprehensive plan that identifies the need for your project. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, |
| and complete <u>all</u> prerequisite planning dates and include Proposal to obtain financial assistance for: ☐ Combined comprehensive, general sewer, or stormwater plan with a facilities plan ☐ Site specific facility planning (Step 1) | Prerequisite planning approval dates: Not applicable Provide the date of the approved comprehensive plan that identifies the need for your project. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, and a letter from the Services concurring with the BA) Provide the date of the approved facilities plan¹ and any amendments. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, and a letter from the Services concurring with the BA) Provide the date of the approved plans and specifications and any addenda. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, and a letter from the Services concurring with the BA) |
| Proposal to obtain financial assistance for: ☐ Combined comprehensive, general sewer, or stormwater plan with a facilities plan ☐ Site specific facility planning (Step 1) ☐ Design (Step 2) | Prerequisite planning approval dates: Not applicable Provide the date of the approved comprehensive plan that identifies the need for your project. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, and a letter from the Services concurring with the BA) Provide the date of the approved facilities plan and any amendments. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, and a letter from the Services concurring with the BA) Provide the date of the approved plans and specifications and any addenda. Date of Ecology Approval: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, transmittal letter conveying the biological assessment (BA) to the Services, |

¹ Site specific planning documents must be approved as "facilities plans." Plans approved as "engineering reports" will not suffice.

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application Date approved: (attach a copy of approval letter, transmittal letter)

| conv the S | Services cond | ological asses curring with t | ssment (BA the BA, and | to the Ser d other requ | rvices, and a letter from aired documentation. See one information.) |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Do you have an Ecology permit for this project? Yes If no, what is the permit status? For wastewater facilities, provide information on the efflu | □ No | Provide peri | mit number mg/l | : TSS: | mg/l |
| For wastewater facilities, provide the following in | formation | <u> </u> | | | |
| Number of system-wide users: | | | | | |
| System design capacity (MGD): | | | | | |
| Number of effluent permit violations over the last twelve | | | | | |
| Maximum monthly flow over the last twelve months (MG | iD): | | | | |
| Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) (mg/l): | | | | | |
| Effluent discharge of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mg/l |): | | | | |
| Effluent discharge of Ammonia (mg/l): | | | | | |
| Effluent discharge of Total Nitrogen (mg/l): | | | | | |
| Effluent discharge of Phosphorus (mg/l): | | | | | |
| Effluent discharge of Fecal Coliform (CFU/100 ml): | | | | | |
| HARDSHIP | | | | | |
| For domestic wastewater construction projects, p | rovide the | following: | | | |
| Is a financial hardship assistance loan requested? | | ☐ Yes [| No | | |
| Is a financial hardship assistance grant requested? | | Yes | No | | |
| | | | | | |
| If yes, a Financial Hardship Analysis Form must be include | led with this | application. | The hards | hip form is | found at: |
| http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010 | <u>)/index.html</u> | | | - | |
| For stormwater projects, provide the following: | | | | | |
| Is a hardship loan requested for a stormwater treatment fac- | cilities proje | ct required u | nder a pern | nit? | Yes No |
| Is a hardship grant requested for a stormwater activities pr | roject require | ed under a pe | ermit? | Yes [| No |
| For hardship criteria on stormwater projects refer to Ecolo | ov'e fundin | a waheita at: | | | |
| http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010 | | _ | | | |
| | , macx.num | | | | |
| REFINANCE | | | | | |
| Check the appropriate box: | _ | | | | |
| Interim | Standard | d | | | |

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

Standard: complete the Standard Refinance Worksheet along with Part 1. Do <u>not</u> complete Part 2. Attach a copy of the Declaration of Construction Completion for the project. The worksheet can be found at: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html

Interim: complete Parts 1 and 2 of the application.

For more information on standard and interim refinance projects, refer to Chapter 173-98-410 WAC.

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

| 11. FUNDING REQUEST: (Identify the amount of funding requested to complete your project.) | |
|---|--|
| Facilities projects (including planning, design, and construction) are eligible for <u>loan funds only</u> | |
| (There are some exceptions for wastewater construction hardship, see question No. 10) | |
| Check for consistency with costs provided in Part 2, Question 2. | Project Amount & Terms: |
| Total Project Cost This amount represents the full cost of the project. | \$ |
| Eligible Project Cost This amount represents that portion of the project cost that is eligible for Ecology grant or loan assistance. | \$ |
| Ecology Grant Request (Activity Projects Only) This represents the amount Ecology will grant, which is typically 75 percent of the eligible project cost. Ceiling amounts and match requirements vary depending on the project. Refer to the funding program rules at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html . How to calculate Ecology's share: If Ecology's share is 75 percent of the eligible project cost, multiply the eligible project cost by 0.75. | \$ |
| Federal Funds in Project Identify anticipated source(s) of federal funds: Federal agency Federal agency Federal agency | Amount requested (or to be requested) from these agencies: \$ \$ \$ |
| If grant funds are not offered, would you accept loan funds for part or all of the eligible project cost? (Answers will not affect your grant request priority.) Yes No | Loan fund amount requested if grant funds are not offered: \$ Term: years |
| Ecology Loan Request (Activity or Facility Projects) This represents the amount Ecology will loan, up to 100 percent of the eligible project cost. Refer to Ecology's Web page for loan term and interest rates. | \$ Term: years |
| 12. BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (to appear in the funding list): (50 words or less) | |
| | |

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

13. APPLICATION CERTIFICATION:

I CERTIFY TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THAT THE INFORMATION IN THIS APPLICATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT I AM THE **LEGALLY AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY** OR DESIGNEE FOR THE SUBMITTAL OF THIS INFORMATION ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT.

| Printed Name | Signature |
|--------------|-----------|
| | |
| Title | Date |

14. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL INFORMATION:

Applications must be submitted in two ways:

- One original-signature application must be received at the Department of Ecology (Lacey headquarters office) no later than 5:00 p.m. on Friday, October 31, 2008.
- One electronic version on CD ROM (in MS WORD format) mailed with the original signed application.

| U.S. Postal Mailing Address: | Overnight Mail or Hand Delivery Address: |
|------------------------------|--|
| Department of Ecology | Department of Ecology |
| Water Quality Program | Water Quality Program |
| Financial Management Section | Financial Management Section |
| P.O. Box 47600 | 300 Desmond Drive |
| Olympia, WA 98504-7600 | Lacey, WA 98503 |
| | • |

(This concludes Part 1)

Part 2 FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

An applicant resource guide is located at the beginning of this form.

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (0 points) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summarize the overall water quality problem and how it will be solved or addressed by the project. | | | | | |
| (Limit your answer to 250 words or less.) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

1. SCOPE OF WORK (up to 250 points)

Points are awarded for a clear, complete, and well thought-out scope that directly addresses a water quality problem. The scope demonstrates an understanding of the work required to implement and complete the project. Resourceful approaches or solutions are encouraged.

- Provide a detailed scope of work to achieve the water quality benefits of the project that includes clearly defined tasks, deliverables, and costs per task.
- Describe the project area and provide a supporting map(s) and any relevant diagrams and pictures.

Task 1 is standard for all grant and loan projects. Follow the format provided below for the additional tasks in your scope of work:

Task 1- Project Administration/Management:

- A. The RECIPIENT will administer and manage the project. Responsibilities will include, but not be limited to: maintenance of project records; submittal of payment vouchers, fiscal forms, and progress reports; compliance with applicable procurement and interlocal agreement requirements; attainment of all required permits, licenses, easements, or property rights necessary for the project; conducting, coordinating, and scheduling of all project activities; quality control; and submittal of required performance items.
- B. The RECIPIENT will ensure that every effort is made to maintain effective communication with the RECIPIENT's designees, the DEPARTMENT, all affected local, state, or federal jurisdictions, and any interested individuals or groups. The RECIPIENT will carry out this project in accordance with completion dates outlined in this Agreement.
- C. The RECIPIENT shall submit all invoice requests and supportive documentation to the Financial Manager of the DEPARTMENT.

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

Required Performance:

- 1. Effective administration and management of this grant project.
- 2. Maintenance of all project records.
- 3. Submittal of all required performance items, including the Post Project Assessment Plan, progress reports, financial vouchers, and maintenance of all project records.

Total Task Cost \$

| Task 2: | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| Task 3: | | | |
| Task 4: | | | |
| Task 5: | | | |
| Task 6: | | | |
| Task 7: | | | |
| | | | |

2. PROPOSED BUDGET (up to 150 points)

Budget: Points are awarded for a complete, reasonable budget that is consistent with the tasks described in the scope of work. Budget examples can be found in Appendix A of *Administrative Requirements for Recipients of Ecology Grants and Loans* "Yellow Book," found at:

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html

• Provide a clearly defined Task and Object Budget (as applicable).

TOTAL Eligible Costs by Task Elements

| Proposed Project Budget and Time Frame | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Task elements | Total Project Cost | Total Eligible Cost | Months needed to complete | | | | | |
| 1. Project administration/management | \$ | \$ | | | | | | |
| 2. | \$ | \$ | | | | | | |
| 3. | \$ | \$ | | | | | | |
| 4. | \$ | \$ | | | | | | |
| 5. | \$ | \$ | | | | | | |

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

| 6. | \$ \$ | |
|--|----------|--|
| 7. | \$ \$ | |
| 8. | \$ \$ | |
| 9. | \$ \$ | |
| 10. (Include additional tasks as needed) | \$ \$ | |
| Total costs and months needed to complete: | \$ \$ | |

| TOTAL Eligible Cost by Bud | get Object |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Salaries: Benefits: | \$ \$ |
| Indirect costs: | \$ (May include up to 25 percent of employee salaries and benefits) |
| Contracts: | \$ |
| Materials, goods, and | |
| services (list major item): | \$ |
| Equipment (list major items): | \$ |
| | <u>\$</u> |
| T1. | \$ |
| Travel: | <u>\$</u> |
| Other (please outline): | Φ ¢ |
| Total Eligible Cost: | \$ \$ |
| Total English Cost. | Ψ |
| | |
| Match Source | |
| List other funding sources and | d amounts, including local matching funds, volunteer in-kind, or interlocal |
| contributions (25 percent = 0.3 | 25 multiplied by the total eligible project cost): |
| Funding Source: | \$ |
| Funding Source: | \$ \$ |
| G | · |
| Describe the status of match | ing funds: |

Cost Estimate Process: Points are awarded to cost-effective projects with accurate cost estimates. For example, an applicant may determine cost effectiveness and estimate accuracy based on experience with past or ongoing projects, through consultation with other entities that have related experience, or through a planning process such as value analysis.

- Describe how costs were estimated. Include the steps taken to ensure accuracy.
- Describe the process used to control cost and ensure that this is a cost-effective project (e.g., value engineering for facilities projects or cost analysis for activities projects).

| Part 2 |
|---|
| FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 3. WATER QUALITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS (up to 250 points) |
| 3. WATER QUALITY AND PUBLIC HEADTH INTINOVENIENTS (up to 230 points) |
| Points are awarded for improvements and protection of water quality and public health. Substantial environmental improvements receive the most points. Measurable improvements receive more points than unclear or vague benefits. The actual benefit, the total impact (area impacted, number of people affected), level of implementation, and the severity of the problem will be considered. Only changes that can be achieved by the proposed scope of work will be considered. • Define the water quality and/or public health problems the project will address. • Describe the expected project results, including how the project will help achieve water quality and/or public health improvements and protection. • Describe how much of the water quality problem will be addressed by the project. • Describe how success of the project will be measured and documented. • Describe how the water quality and/or public health improvements will be sustained for the long term. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4. STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS (up to 100 points) |

Points are awarded for projects that address state and federal requirements (e.g. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), permit requirements, watershed plans, etc.). How will the project TMDL objectives will be considered.

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

Straight to implementation proposals will be awarded points based on the link between the activity proposed and the ability to meet water quality standards.

- Describe how this project is specifically required by a state or federal agency. Provide reference or documentation including permit conditions, department orders, court orders, or other department correspondence.
- Explain how this project addresses specific actions in an Ecology-authored Water Quality Improvement Report or Water Quality Implementation Plan. Provide the document publication number and the last date of contact with the respective Ecology TMDL lead.

| • | • Explain how this project addresses specific actions in a program or a plan, other than a TMDL, that is designed to meet water quality standards. | | | | inat is | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

5. PROJECT TEAM (up to 50 points)

Points are awarded based on skills, qualifications, and experience of the established or potential project team members.

- Describe roles and responsibilities of each team member. Include the estimated amount of time each team member will devote to the project. (e.g. What percent of each team member's work week will be devoted to this project?)
- Describe the relevant skills and qualifications of each team member (*do not submit resumes*).

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

6. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL SUPPORT (up to 100 points)

Points are awarded based on project development efforts and commitments from project partners. Provide documentation as appropriate.

- Describe the decision making process used to select this project.
- Describe any local, regional, or statewide partnerships that will substantially contribute to the success of the project.
- Describe the long term sustainability of this project's water quality improvement.

| • | 35.105.030. |
|---|-------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

7. READINESS TO PROCEED (up to 50 points)

Points are awarded based on how soon a project can begin.

- Describe the steps you have taken to proceed immediately with the project.
- Provide detailed information and documentation on project elements such as completed designs, permits, GMA compliance, interlocal agreements, landowner agreements, easements, other secured funding, staff, or agency approvals.

FY 2010 Water Quality Financial Assistance Application

8. RATEPAYER IMPACT (50 points)

Points are awarded for wastewater treatment facilities construction projects that place financial hardship on sewer ratepayers. Applicants claiming financial hardship must fill out the financial hardship evaluation form and submit it with the application. Points will be assigned based on the outcome of the hardship calculations done at Ecology Headquarters as guided through rule.

| done at Ecology Headquarters as guided through rule. |
|--|
| Are you claiming financial hardship? |
| If yes, provide a financial hardship evaluation form at: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/funding/cycles/2010/index.html . |
| (Thank you! This concludes Part 2) |
| Did you remember to: |
| ☐ Number the pages? |
| ☐ Verify that the budget in Part 2, Question 2 is consistent with Part 1, Question 11? |
| ☐ Include maps, diagrams, and/or pictures? |
| Include applicable letter(s)? |
| ☐ Include citations? |
| Include applicable forms, such as hardship analysis? |
| Send a signed original to Department of Ecology by 5:00pm, October 31, 2008 (consider using "Return Receipt Requested")? |