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## 2.0 **Abstract**

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) details the source water and receiving water – and, if required, conveyance characterization – monitoring requirements necessary for demonstrating feasibility of the proposed Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) project in the \_\_\_\_ River basin in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ County or Counties in the State of Washington. The findings of this feasibility study will be submitted to the Washington State Department of Ecology Water Resources Program for consideration of additional MAR project funding.

*This type of monitoring will be conducted during MAR project feasibility studies associated with the Streamflow Restoration Act funding process. This QAPP template may also be used for other MAR projects beyond those funded under the Streamflow Restoration Act.*

This characterization is designed to answer the question, “Will this proposed MAR project, when operational, be protective of groundwater and surface water quality?” The QAPP ensures quality data collection, analysis, reporting, and management of the characterization monitoring.

## 3.0 Background

### 3.1 Introduction

Operational Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects are intended to augment low stream flows by capturing a portion of high-season streamflows, diverting that water to adjacent spreading basins or other infiltration facilities, and having that water migrate through the surficial aquifer and discharge back to the stream later in the year when it is more beneficial to fish.

Though water quality permits are not required for MAR projects, both ground and surface waters of the state need to be protected. The findings of the MAR project feasibility study will provide Ecology with assurance that the proposed MAR project will (1) fulfill requirements for water rights mitigation or otherwise offset new exempt uses or aquifer declines, and (2) protect quality of both groundwater and surface water.

The first objective will be met via the QAPP template provided in Publication 18-11-018, <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/documents/1811018.pdf>. The second objective will be met by implementing the study described in this QAPP, and by using this information to properly design, construct, operate, and maintain the MAR project. This study will provide the necessary data and analysis for project proponents and Ecology staff to evaluate conditions and provide appropriate assurance that water quality (surface and groundwater) will be protected when the MAR project is operational.

### 3.2 Study area and surroundings

The study location (Figure 1, to be provided by project proponent) is along the \_\_\_ side of the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ River in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ County or Counties. The proposed diversion location and spreading basin outline are included.

*Insert figure here and modify figure caption below as needed.*

Figure 1. Map of larger study area

#### 3.2.1 Summary of existing data

Previous studies of water quality in the \_\_\_\_ River in the vicinity of the location of the proposed MAR project include:

* *Add a bullet for each study. Include all studies from which existing data will be used for this study, and summarize the findings. Provide a brief description and include the publication information in the references section at the end of this document.*

*These existing data may be used in addition to or in lieu of collecting new data.*

In order to determine the full extent of data required for the source water comparison, the project proponent will check the [WQ atlas](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/waterqualityatlas/StartPage.aspx) for any 303(d) listings in the \_\_\_\_ River reach where the proposed MAR project is located, as well as upstream reaches. Any listed parameters will be added to the source water characterization. *The ensuing sections of the final QAPP will include these parameters in the protocols, data summary tables, and reports.*

* The \_\_\_\_ River reach where the MAR project has proposed is 303(d) listed for the following parameters: list parameters or say “none identified”.

* Reaches of the \_\_\_\_ River upstream from the location where the MAR project has proposed are 303(d) listed for the following parameters: list parameters or say “none identified”.

#### 3.2.3 Regulatory criteria or standards

*Project proponents must assess the source water and aquifer water compatibility, potential water quality changes that might occur during infiltration, and potential water quality standards compliance issues. If either of the surface (source) water or groundwater characterizations produce results exceeding applicable receiving water standards, then the project, if implemented, may require further monitoring.*

*Table 1 lists the minimum parameters that must be tested for this study. Additional parameters may be applicable per the review of the Water Quality Atlas (see section 3.2.1). Add any additional necessary water quality standards in Table 1.*

The sampling parameters are listed in Table 1, along with the applicable water quality standards to which the samples collected during this feasibility study will be compared. See [**WAC 173-201A-200**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A-200&pdf=true) **and** [**WAC 173-201A-240**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A-240&pdf=true) for applicable surface water criteria and [**WAC 173-200-040**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-200-040&pdf=true) for applicable ground water criteria. Surface water criteria are dependent on ambient water chemistry conditions, such as temperature, pH, or hardness. The summary report will include the necessary calculations. *We developed a* [spreadsheet](https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Stormwater-General-Permits/Construction-Stormwater-General-Permit/PermitCalcMarch9-2015) *that will make these calculations for you*.

Table 1. Parameters and groundwater quality standards for comparison

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Indicator/Parameter** | **Surface Water Quality1 Standard**[**WAC 173-201A-200**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A-200&pdf=true)[**WAC 173-201A-240**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A-240&pdf=true) | **Groundwater Quality Standard**[**WAC 173-200-040**](https://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-200-040&pdf=true) |
| Total Suspended Solids | NA | NA |
| Total Nitrogen (TN) | NA | 10 mg/L |
| Hardness | NA | NA |
| Fecal coliform | 100 CFU | 1/100 cells/ml |
| Escherichia coli | 100 CFU | NA |
| Dissolved Arsenic | 190 ug/L | NA |
| Dissolved Cadmium | [Hardness Dependent](https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Stormwater-General-Permits/Construction-Stormwater-General-Permit/PermitCalcMarch9-2015) | NA |
| Dissolved Chromium | 10 ug/L | NA |
| Dissolved Lead  | [Hardness Dependent](https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Stormwater-General-Permits/Construction-Stormwater-General-Permit/PermitCalcMarch9-2015) | NA |
| Dissolved Zinc | [Hardness Dependent](https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Stormwater-General-Permits/Construction-Stormwater-General-Permit/PermitCalcMarch9-2015) | NA |
| Total Arsenic | NA | 0.05 mg/L |
| Total Cadmium | NA | 0.01 mg/L |
| Total Chromium | NA | 0.05 m/L |
| Total Lead  | NA | 0.05 mg/L |
| Total Zinc | NA | 5 mg/L |
| Add additional parameters as needed |  |  |

NA = not applicable. CFU = colony forming units. mg/L = milligrams per liter. ml = milliliter. ug/L = micrograms per liter.

1 Freshwater aquatic life criteria for dissolved Cadmium, Lead and Zine are hardness dependent and standard must be calculated for each sampling event. Ecology provides a [spreadsheet](https://ecology.wa.gov/Asset-Collections/Doc-Assets/Water-quality/Water-Quality-Permits/Stormwater-General-Permits/Construction-Stormwater-General-Permit/PermitCalcMarch9-2015) that can be used to make those calculations.

*If diverted stream flow will be routed through a ditch or other pre-existing conduit, then the project proponent must demonstrate that there is little potential for the conveyance to contribute pollutants during the MAR project’s operation. For example, new flow through an abandoned irrigation water canal could contribute additional nutrients and pesticides.*

*The project proponent will consult with the Ecology grant manager to determine appropriate analyses for this characterization based on known prior uses or conditions surrounding the proposed conveyance. If conveyance sampling is required include a third column with agreed upon benchmarks for comparison in Table 1.*

## 4.0 Project Description

### 4.1 Project goals

The goal of this characterization study is to describe key chemical water quality attributes of the MAR surface water source and the receiving groundwater. Surface water sampling needs to occur during ambient high streamflow conditions in the *(Insert name of waterbody)*. Ground water sampling needs to occur near the MAR spreading basin.

### 4.2 Project objectives

**Objective 1**- Does the seasonal high flow in the \_\_\_\_ River have potential to affect ground water quality?

1. Measure nutrients, metals, and bacteria *(include 303(d) listed parameters if required)* in the \_\_\_\_ River during normal ambient high flow and compare these surface water quality results with groundwater quality standards.

Measure nutrients, metals, and bacteria *(author will include 303(d) listed parameters if appropriate)* in groundwater within the proposed MAR catch basin during normal ambient high flow and compare with groundwater quality standards.

1. Evaluate whether the proposed operational MAR will likely improve, not affect, or deteriorate groundwater quality in the vicinity of the proposed spreading basin for the MAR project.
2. Evaluate whether treatment or settling to remove total suspended solids might be advisable to protect functional MAR operation in the long term.
3. *If required:* evaluate whether the proposed means for conveying source water for the MAR project has potential to deteriorate water quality.

### 4.3 Tasks required

* Collect three (3) surface water quality samples, a minimum of one week apart, during the ambient high flow period from proposed MAR diversion location in the \_\_\_\_ River and compare these surface water results with ground water quality standards. Existing data may/will be used as described in sections 3.2.1 and 6.3.
* Collect one (1) water quality sample from groundwater monitoring well screened in the surficial aquifer near the project site and compare the ground water result with water quality standards for surface water. Existing data may/will be used as described in sections 3.2.1 and 6.3.
* Prepare a source water and receiving water characterization report.
* *If required:* conduct conveyance characterization and report.

## 5.0 Organization and Schedule

### 5.1 Key individuals and their responsibilities

Table 2. Organization of project staff and responsibilities

| **Staff** | **Title**  | **Responsibilities** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| NameEcology Water Resources Program Phone Number and/or Email | Ecology MAR Project Manager | Manages the MAR project at Ecology. Receives periodic updates and final project deliverables. |
| NameEcology Water Quality ProgramPhone Number and/or Email | Designated WQP Regional Contact | Coordinates Ecology review of the QAPP and reports. Approves the final QAPP and all required deliverables. |
| NameOrganization Phone Number and/or Email | \_\_\_ MAR project Manager | Oversees all characterization study staff and serves as the project liaison to the Ecology MAR Project Manager. *May also serve as Principal Investigator.*  |
| Name(s)OrganizationPhone Number and/or Email | \_\_\_ MAR project Principal Investigator | Finalizes the QAPP. Oversees field sampling and transportation of samples to the laboratory. Conducts QA review of data. Analyzes and interprets data. Oversees entry of data into EIM. Writes the draft report and final report. *May also serve as Field Lead.*  |
| Name OrganizationPhone Number and/or Email | \_\_\_ MAR project Field Lead | Oversees all field work and ensures crew safety. |
| Name(s) or TBDOrganization Phone Number and/or Email | \_\_\_ MAR project Field Assistant(s)  | Helps make field measurements, collect samples and prepare them for shipping, manage continuous data, maintain instruments, and record field information. |
| NameOrganizationPhone Number and/or Email | \_\_\_ MAR project Data Manager | Coordinates upload of data to required databases with the Environmental Information Management database (EIM) Data Coordinator.  |
| NameEcology Water Quality ProgramPhone Number and/or Email | QA Coordinator | Reviews the draft QAPP and approves the final QAPP. |
| Name of Project Manager Contract Laboratory NamePhone Number and/or Email*(repeat this section for as many laboratories as will be contracted for the study)* | Contract LaboratoryProject Manager | Reviews draft QAPP and coordinates with Manchester Environmental Laboratory (MEL) Quality Assurance (QA) Coordinator as needed. |

### 5.2 Special training and certifications

*List relevant field staff and experience here. All field staff involved with this project have relevant training and experience following SOPs.*

### 5.3 Proposed project schedule

The project proponent will prepare and submit each of the reports and deliverables listed below. The reports and deliverables will articulate the results and related procedures clearly. Written reports will be submitted electronically to the Ecology Designated WQP Regional Contact and MAR Grant Manager.

Table 3. Proposed schedule for completing data entry into EIM, and required reports

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Report Type/Title** | **Target date** | **Description** |
| **Monitoring preparation reports** |
| Final QAPP | date | Revised completed QAPP, responsive to all comments from Ecology’s Designated WQP Regional Contact and QA Coordinator. |
| **Data entry or upload**  |
| Entry of Study ID and monitoring locations into EIM | No later than three months following receipt of all data from lab | Sampling location coordinates and descriptions entered. |
| Entry of laboratory results into EIM | No later than three months following receipt of all data from lab  | All quality assured and quality controlled lab data and modified version for data analysis if necessary. |
| **Summary report** |
| Report summarizing results of characterization monitoring, including tables of data comparing concentrations to applicable standards. | No later than six months following receipt of all data from lab | Submit to Ecology’s Designated WQP Regional Contact and MAR Project Grant Manager. |

## 6.0 Quality Objectives

### 6.1 Data quality objectives

The main data quality objective (DQO) for this project is to the required number of water samples representative of (*Insert name of waterbody)* and ground water within the receiving basin and to have them analyzed. The analysis will use standard methods to obtain data that meet measurement quality objectives (MQOs) that are described below and that are comparable to previous study results.

*If conveyance sampling is required, the number of samples will agreed upon based on type, length, and prior use of the proposed conveyance.*

### 6.2 Measurement quality objectives

Field sampling precision will be addressed by submitting replicate samples. *(Insert analytical laboratory name here)* will assess precision and bias in the laboratory using duplicates and blanks.

Table 5 outlines expected precision of sample duplicates and method reporting limits. The reporting limits of the methods listed in the table are appropriate for the expected range of results and the required level of sensitivity to meet project objectives.

#### 6.2.1 Targets for precision, bias, and sensitivity

The MQOs for project results, expressed in terms of acceptable precision, bias, and sensitivity, are described in this section and summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Measurement Quality Objectives for this study

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | LCS1 (Recovery) | Lab duplicates (RPD)2 | Method Blanks | Matrix Spike (Recovery) | Matrix Spike Duplicates |
| TSS | NA | 5% | ±0.3 mg/L | NA | NA |
| Metals | 85 – 115% | <20% | <LOQ | 75 – 125% | <20% |
| Total Nitrogen | 80-120% | <20% | <MDL | 75-125% | <20% |
| Fecal coliform | NA | 40% | <MDL | NA | NA |
| E. coli | NA | 40% | <MDL | NA | NA |
| *(Add additional parameters as needed)* |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1Lab Control Samples

2Realtive percent difference

### 6.3 Acceptance criteria for quality of existing data

*If known, describe the quality of existing data available for the study area described in section 3.2.1. If not known, describe the criteria that will be used to assess quality and usability of the existing data, whether the project will also collect new environmental data, analyze the data (only), or use the data for modeling. It may be possible to cite other document that already contains this information.*

*Or, if this project is not using existing data, write* Not applicable.

## 7.0 Study Design

### 7.1 Study boundaries

This study will focus on describing key chemical water quality attributes of MAR source in the *(Insert name of waterbody)* and receiving groundwater during ambient high streamflow conditions. Sampling location are presented in Figure 4.

*Insert figure here and modify caption below as needed.*

Figure . Map showing boundary of project study area

### 7.2 Field data collection

#### 7.2.1 Sampling locations and frequency

Following the protocols in this QAPP, three surface water quality grab samples will be collected during the ambient high flow month(s) of October through April, and one groundwater quality sample will be collected near the surface water infiltration site. Surface water sampling events will be spaced at least one week apart, and will not occur during flooding events where the banks are exceeded and the river flow has exited the channel. Locations and descriptions are summarized in Table 4.

*For the surface water sampling location, include GPS coordinates at the upstream and downstream ends of the reach and provide a narrative description of their location (e.g., East Fork Lewis River, extending 1,500 meters upstream from the NE 82nd Avenue/Daybreak Road bridge)*

*Describe the groundwater sampling location and include it in Table 4. Groundwater will be sampled from a monitoring well screened in the surficial aquifer near the project site, or, if no monitoring wells exist at or near the project site, a nearby well may be used, or a simple temporary well may be constructed for the sampling. Determination of appropriate wells will occur in consultation with Ecology’s Designated WQP Regional Contact.*

*If conveyance sampling is required, describe the location and timing of the sample and include it in
Table 4.*

Table 4. Proposed sampling locations

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Location ID | Site ID | Location Description | Latitude | Longitude |
| *Fill in as needed* |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

#### 7.2.2 Field parameters and laboratory analytes to be measured

All water quality samples will be analyzed for total suspended solids, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, dissolved and total metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, zinc, and lead) and fecal coliform and E. coli bacteria *(Include additional parameters as needed).*

### 7.3 Assumptions underlying design

This study makes the assumption that the target analytes will be representative of water quality conditions during the period of time when MARs projects will be operational, and that the analytical and reporting limits for the laboratory analysis are appropriate for the water quality standards comparisons.

### 7.4 Possible challenges and contingencies

The study design was developed to achieve the desired goals and objectives of this project. However, logistical problems, practical constraints, and scheduling limitations do exist, which presents some challenges. Potential problems associated with sediment sampling logistics include the following:

## 8.0 Field Procedures

### 8.1 Invasive species evaluation

Assess the possibility of invasive species contamination of both protective gear and sampling equipment, including boats, rafts, and other water-borne devices. Ecology’s SOP EAP070 addresses invasive species transport and contamination. This document is at Ecology’s QA website: [Published SOPs](https://www.ecology.wa.gov/About-us/How-we-operate/Scientific-services/Quality-assurance).

### 8.2 Sampling procedures

TSS and nutrients will be collected following [*Standard Operating Procedures, EAP034, Version 1.5, Collection, Processing, and Analysis of Stream Samples*](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1703207.html)(EAP034, 2017)*.* Metal samples will be collected following guidance outline in [*Standard Operating Procedure EAP029, Version 1.6: Collection and Field Processing of Metals Samples*](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1803204.html)(EAP029, 2018) and [*Standard Operating Procedure EAP098, Version 1.1: Collecting Groundwater Samples for Metals Analysis from Water Supply Wells*](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1903204.html) *(EAP098)*. Fecal coliform and e. coli will be collected following guidance outlined in [*Standard Operating Procedure for the Collection of Fecal Coliform Bacteria Samples*](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/documents/1803239.pdf)(EAP030, 2017). All other groundwater samples will be collected following [*Standard Operating Procedure EAP099, Version 1.0: Purging and Sampling Monitoring Wells for General Chemistry Parameters*](https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1803214.html) *(EAP099). If additional parameters are to be analyzed, include appropriate SOP.*

### 8.3 Containers, preservation methods, holding times

Table 5. Sample containers, preservation, and holding times  *(Modify this example table as needed)*

| **Parameter** | **Matrix** | **Container** | **Holding Time** | **Preservative** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total Solids | Water | 1000 mL w/m poly bottle | 7 days | Cool to ≤6°C |
| Suspended Solids (TSS) | Water | 1000 mL w/m poly bottle | 7 days | Cool to ≤4°C |
| Total Nitrogen (TN) | Water | 125 mL w/m poly bottle | 28 days | H2SO4 or HCl to pH <2, preservation in fieldCool to ≤4°C |
| Hardness | Water | 500 mL w/m poly bottle | 14 days | Cool to ≤6°C, fill bottle completely |
| Total Metals | Water | 500 mL poly bottle with Teflon or polypropylene lid | 6 months | adjust pH to <2 with HNO3, cool to ≤6°C |
| Dissolved Metals | Water | 500 mL poly bottle with Teflon or polypropylene lid | 6 months | Filter (0.45 um) within 15 minutes of collection; then add HNO3⁸ to pH <2 , Cool to ≤6°C |
| Fecal Coliform | Water | 100 mL plastic bottle | 24 hours | Fill bottle to shoulder, Cool to ≤4°C |
| Escherichia coli | Water | 1000 mL w/m poly bottle | 24 hours | Cool to ≤6°C |

### 8.4 Equipment decontamination

*Explain your procedure for decontamination that may be necessary when sampling substances that contain high levels of contaminants, bacterial contamination, or organic materials that stick to the sampling devices. Refer to Ecology’s SOP EAP090,* Decontamination of Sampling Equipment for Use in Collecting Toxic Chemical Samples*.*

### 8.5 Sample ID

*Provide a specific protocol for establishing sample IDs. If such a protocol is lacking, adopt one (e.g., from an analytical laboratory) or develop and describe a new one.*

### 8.6 Chain of custody

*Maintaining environmental samples under chain of custody is standard practice. If standard procedures and forms are not available, adopt them, for example, from an analytical laboratory or develop and describe new ones here.*

Chain of custody will be maintained for all samples throughout the project. Samples will be stored in a cooler and *(insert analysis laboratory’s name)* chain of custody form will be used for documentation of shipment to laboratories.

## 9.0 Laboratory Procedures

### 9.1 Lab procedures table

All lab-analyzed samples will be analyzed at *(Insert analyzing lab here)* Methods for all lab procedures are described in Table 6. QA/QC protocols are discussed in the *Quality Control* section of this plan.

*Information required for this table may be provided by the analytical lab that will be performing the analyses.*

### 9.2 Sample preparation method(s)

Sample preparation methods are listed in standard operating procedures for lab analyses or in analytical methods (Table 6).

Table 6. Measurement methods (laboratory)  *(Modify this example table as needed)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Analyte | Sample Matrix | Expected Range of Results | Method | Method Reporting Limit | Analytical Instrument |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | Water | <1 – 2,000 mg/L | SM 2540D | 1 mg/L |  |
| Total Nitrogen (TN) | Water | 0.5-50 mg/L | SM4500NB | 0.013 mg/L |  |
| Hardness | Water | 0.5-500 mg/L | SM2340B | 0.01 mg/L |  |
| Fecal Coliform | Water | 1-15,000 cfu | SM 9222D | 1 cfu/100 mL |  |
| Escherichia coli | Water | 1-15,000 cfy | SM 9223B or EPA1105  | 1 MPN  |  |
| Arsenic | Water | 0.01-50 mg/L | EPA200.8 | 0.01 ug/L |  |
| Cadmium | Water | 0.008 – 10 mg/L | EPA 200.8 | 0.008 ug/L |  |
| Chromium | Water | 0.007 – 10 mg/L | EPA200.8 | 0.007 ug/L |  |
| Lead | Water | 0.007 – 10 mg/L | EPA200.8 | 0.007 ug/L |  |
| Zinc | Water | 0.2 – 10 mg/L | EPA200.8 | 0.2 ug/L |  |

### 9.3 Laboratories accredited for methods

*You must use an accredited laboratory to analyze your samples. That laboratory must also be accredited for the specific method that you are using for analysis. Ecology only accredits methods published by EPA, Standard Methods, or ASTM. This is an Ecology legal requirement, and exceptions for it are difficult to obtain. If your technical work involves the use of non-standard methods or analytes, a waiver process is available. Contact the Ecology Lab Accreditation Unit for more information.*

All chemical analysis performed at *(Insert name of analytical laboratory)* which is accredited for all methods (Table 6).

## 10.0 Quality Control Procedures

### 10.1 Table of field and laboratory quality control

*Identify the QC samples that will be measured in the field, analyzed in the lab or otherwise evaluated. You may do this with a table similar to Table 7.*

Table 7. Quality control samples, types, and frequency  *(Modify table as appropriate for the project)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Field Replicates | LCS1 | Method blanks | Matrix spikes | Matrix spike duplicates | Laboratory duplicates |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch |  |  | 1/batch |
| Metals | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | --- |
| Total nitrogen | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch | 1/batch |
| Fecal Coliform | 1/batch |  | 1/batch |  |  | 1/batch |
| Escherichia coli | 1/batch |  | 1/batch |  |  | 1/batch |

1Lab Control Samples

Each type of QC sample listed above will have MQOs associated with it (Section 6.2) that will be used to evaluate the quality and usability of the results.

### 10.2 Corrective action processes

The project manager will work closely with the contract laboratory staff reviewing preliminary results to identify any data that fall outside of QC criteria. The project manager will determine whether data should be re-analyzed, rejected, or used with appropriate qualification.

## 11.0 Data Management Procedures

### 11.1 Data recording and reporting requirements

*Projects funded by or submitting data to Ecology must submit the data formatted for entry into Ecology’s Environmental Information Management database IM data system. Before sampling begins, Project Manager or Data Manager will coordinate with Ecology’s EIM Data Coordinator to assign the EIM study identification number and site IDs.*

All field data and observations will be recorded on waterproof paper kept in field notebooks. Staff will transfer information contained in field notebooks to Excel spreadsheets after they return from the field. Data entries will be independently verified for accuracy by another member of the project team. Field and laboratory data for the project will be entered into [Ecology’s EIM database](https://ecology.wa.gov/Research-Data/Data-resources/Environmental-Information-Management-database/EIM-submit-data/). Laboratory data will be uploaded into EIM using the EIM XML results template.

### 11.2 Laboratory data package requirements

Laboratory data will be sent to Project Manager directly from each laboratory following completion of each set of analyses for a sampling event. Reporting times may vary depending on holding time and analytical methods but should not exceed six months from the documented sampling date. Laboratory reports will be reviewed by the Data Manager for errors or missing data. The Data Manager and Project Manager will implement corrective actions if needed. Finalized electronic laboratory data will be loaded to Ecology’s EIM database by the Project Manager with the assistance of Ecology’s EIM Data Coordinator.

### 11.3 Electronic transfer requirements

Analytical laboratory will deliver case narratives (in PDF format) and electronic data deliverables of contract laboratory data (in Excel spreadsheet format) to the project manager. Finalized electronic laboratory data will be loaded to Ecology’s EIM database by the Data Manager with the assistance of Ecology’s EIM Data Coordinator.

## 12.0 Audits and Reports

### 12.1 Reporting

The Project Manager will prepare a source water characterization report that includes all laboratory data presented in a table. Groundwater quality standards for all applicable parameters will also be included in the table for comparison purposes.

## 13.0 Data Verification

Throughout field sampling, the field lead and all crew members are responsible for carrying out station positioning, and sample collection as specified in the QAPP and SOPs. Additionally, technicians systematically review all field documents (such as field logs, chain-of-custody sheets, holding times, and sample labels) to ensure data entries are consistent, correct, and complete, with no errors or omissions. A second staff person always checks the work of the staff person who primarily collected or generated data results.

### 13.1 Field data verification, requirements, and responsibilities

Field notes will be verified by the project manager. No data other than sampling location and event will be generated in the field.

### 13.2 Laboratory data verification

Data verification involves examining the data for errors, omissions, and compliance with QC acceptance criteria. Analytical laboratory staff will perform laboratory verification following standard laboratory practices (MEL, 2016). Staff will provide a written report of their data review, which will include a discussion of whether:

1. MQOs were met.

2. Proper analytical methods and protocols were followed.

3. Calibrations and controls were within limits.

4. Data was consistent, correct, and complete, without errors or omissions.

The project manager is responsible for the final acceptance of the project data. The complete data package along with laboratory’s written report will be assessed for completeness and reasonableness. Based on these assessments, the data will either be accepted, accepted with qualifications, or rejected and re-analysis considered.

## 14.0 Data Quality (Usability) Assessment

### 14.1 Process for determining project objectives were met

After the project data have been reviewed and verified, the principal investigator/project manager will determine if the data are of sufficient quality to make determinations and decisions for which the study was conducted. The data from the laboratory’s QC procedures will provide information to determine if MQOs have been met. Laboratory and QA staff familiar with assessment of data quality may be consulted. The project final report will discuss data quality and whether the project objectives were met. If limitations in the data are identified, they will be noted.

Some analytes will be reported near the detection capability of the selected methods. MQOs may be difficult to achieve for these results. Best professional judgment will be used in the final determination of whether to accept, reject, or accept the results with qualification. The assessment will be based on a review of laboratory QC results. This will include assessment of laboratory precision, contamination (blanks), accuracy, matrix interferences, and the success of laboratory QC samples meeting MQOs.

### 14.2 Treatment of non-detects

Laboratory data will be reported down to the method detection limit, with an associated “U” or “UJ” qualifier for non-detected results. If averaging concentrations, non-detects will be assigned a value of half the detection limit while a value of zero will be assigned for other parameters.

## 15.0 References

*Include references in this section*

## 16.0 Appendices

*Appendices should include:*

* *Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)*
* *Historical data*